

>> REID: CALL THIS MEETING TO ORDER.

>> GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS.

THE FIRST ITEM IS APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA, AFTER CONSIDERING THE DELETION OR ADDITIONS OF ANY ITEMS WE RECEIVED THE FOLLOWING REQUEST.

ITEM 37.

WITHDRAWN PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 9,

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD TO THE 5-20-09 BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 12 HOLD TO THE MAY 29 PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 14, ITEM 15,

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

ITEM 16, ITEM 17, READ, ITEM 18, ITEM 19, ITEM 22, ITEM 23, ITEM 24, ITEM 25, ITEM 26, ITEM 27, AND ITEM 28, ALL HOLD TO THE JUNE 3, 2009, PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 30, HOLD TO THE MAY 15, 2009 PER THE APPLICANT.

APPLICANT IS NOTIFIED THEY WILL NEED TO REPAY RENOTIFICATION FEES.

ITEM 33, HOLD TO THE MAY 26, 2009 TOWN BOARD MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 35, HOLD TO THE JUNE 3, 2009 BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 36, HOLD TO THE MAY 27, PER THE APPLICANT.

APPLICANT IS ADVISED THAT RENOTIFICATION FEES WILL BE REQUIRED PRIOR TO BEING PLACED ON THE AGENDA.

ITEM 39, HOLD TO THE MAY 27, 2009 AND THE JUNE 3 2009, PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 33 HOLD TO THE 526 TOWN BOARD MEETING AND THE 6-3-09 PER COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

AND ITEM HOLD TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.

THE ABOVE PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS WILL BE OPENED AS A PUBLIC HEARING AND IMMEDIATELY RECESSED UNTIL THE DATES AS PREVIOUSLY STATED.

WITH THESE DELETIONS AND IF THERE'S NO OBJECTION FROM THE MORNING AUDIENCE THE MORNING AGENDA STANDS READY FOR THE APPROVAL.

>> REID: ANY DISCUSSION ON THE AGENDA?

ANY OTHERS?

>> HE SAID HOLD FOR THAT.

I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT MEANS.

>> REID: SHANE YOU WANT TO -- TELL HER WHY WE'RE HOLDING.

ITEM 33.

>> WE DID RECEIVE A LETTER FROM THE APPLICANT TO HOLD IT.

UNTIL THE 6-3-09 BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND TOWN BOARD.

THEY WANT TO RETURN BACK TO THAT, AND THEN COME BACK TO THE BOARD.

>> REID: SO THE APPLICANT WANTS THIS TO BE HEARD BY THE TOWN BOARD AND REHEARD BY THIS BOARD ON JUNE 3.

>> WE JUST COME BACK ON THAT DATE?

>> REID: OR GO TO THE TOWN BOARD.

>> WHERE IS THE TOWN BOARD AT?

>> REID: WHY DON'T WE HAVE THE STAFF FIGURE THAT OUT AND THEY'LL TELL YOU THAT OVER THERE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> JUST FOR CLARIFICATION, COMMISSIONERS ITEM 10 IS NOT TIME TO PULL IT OFF THE ROUTINE.

THAT WILL BE AFTER WE DO THE MINUTES.

>> REID: ANY DISCUSSION ON THE AGENDA?

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> NEXT ON THE AGENDA IS APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES, WHICH THERE ARE NONE. NEXT ARE THE ROUTINE ACTIONS CONSISTING OF ITEMS 3 THROUGH 34. EXCEPT FOR ANY ITEMS WHICH MAY HAVE BEEN HELD OR PREVIOUSLY DELETED THEY MAY BE CONSIDERED IN ONE MOTION.

IN ADDITION WE HAVE THE FOLLOWING AMENDMENTS ITEM 4, REVIES CIVIL ENGINEERING TO READ GRANT A 15 FOOT DRAINAGE EASEMENT WITH LOCATION TO COMMENCE 10 FEET WEST OF THE CENTER LINE OF MONTE CRISTO WAY ON APIN 125-04-009.

AND ADD THE FOLLOWING CONDITION.

RESERVE A 15 FOOT WIDE DRAINAGE EVACUATED NORTH OF 125-40-009.

ITEM 32-09 ADD THE FOLLOWING TO CIVIL ENGINEERING CONDITIONS.

CONSTRUCT FULL OFF SITES ON BARRY AND WALL STREET.

ITEM 33 HAS BEEN HELD.

ITEM 34.

ADD THE FOLLOWING TO CIVIL ENGINEERS CONDITION.

IN THE EVENT THAT PARCEL 162-043 ARE SOLD A NEW CROSS-AXIS AGREEMENT WILL NEED TO BE EXECUTED.

ITEM 5 SUNRISE MANOR TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDED DENIAL STATING THE APPLICANT DID NOT MAKE A CASE FOR INCREASED DENSITY.

STAFF HAS RECEIVED NINE CARDS IN PROTEST.

ITEM 11 STAFF HAS RECEIVED ONE CARD IN PROTEST.

ITEM 20, ENTERPRISE TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDED APPROVAL WITH TWO YEARS TO COMPLETE.

STAFF IS RECOMMENDING SIX MONTHS.

ITEM 21, ENTERPRISE TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDED APPROVAL FOR TWO YEARS TO COMPLETE AND STAFF IS RECOMMENDING ONE YEAR.

ITEM 31, STAFF HAS RECEIVED ONE CARD IN PROTEST.

ITEM 32

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

STAFF HAS RECEIVED ONE CARD IN PROTEST.

ITEM 34

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

STAFF HAS RECEIVED THREE CARDS IN PROTEST.

ANY PERSON WHO DOES AN AGREE WITH CONDITIONS RECOMMENDED BY STAFF HAS LISTED ON THE AGENDA SHOULD REQUEST THIS ITEM BE HEARD SEPARATELY WHEN THE PUBLIC HEARING HAS BEEN OPENED.

IN ADDITION ITEMS RECOMMENDED FOR DENIAL OR WITH DIFFERENT CONDITIONS OF THE PROVE BY THE RESPECTIVE TOWN BOARDS ARE NOT AUTOMATICALLY REMOVED FROM THE ROUTINE ACTION AGENDA.

IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK TO ANY ITEM ON THE ROUTINE ACTION AGENDA YOU MUST REQUEST IT BE REMOVED AND HEARD SEPARATELY.

THESE AMENDMENTS AND NO OBJECTION FROM THE AUDIENCE THE ROUTINE PORTION OF THE MORNING AGENDA STANDS READY FOR APPROVAL.

>> REID: THERE IS A QUESTION ON ITEM 10.

ARE THERE ANY OTHER REQUESTS?

IF YOU WOULD COME FORWARD AND STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD.

>> MY NAME IS MARGARET COLEMAN.

I'M A HOMELESS VICTIM.

ON ITEM 66 THE GENERAL ADMINISTRATION.

I'M REQUESTING FOR A SIGNATURE.

>> REID: MA'AM THAT AGENDA IS FOR A MEETING YESTERDAY.

THERE ISN'T AN ITEM 66.

IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ON PUBLIC COMMENT, THAT'S NOT UNTIL OUR AFTERNOON SESSION WHICH BEGINS AT 1:00.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> REID: ANY OTHER DISCUSSION ON THE ROUTINE ACTION ITEM?

>> REID: THERE'S A MOTION.

I HAVE TO ABSTAIN ON ITEM 13, BECAUSE OF WORK MY LAW FIRM DOES FOR THE APPLICANT.

ANY OTHER DISCLOSURES.

YOU HEARD THE MOTION.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

>> REID: MOTION CARRIES.

>> FOR THE AUDIENCES INFORMATION.

ANYONE WHO WISHES TO SPEAK ON THE ITEM SHOULD MOVE TO THE FIRST COUPLE OF ROWS AND BE PREPARED TO SPEAK AS EACH ITEM IS HEARD THERE.

ARE SEVERAL SPEAKERS IT IS SUGGESTED A SINGLE REPRESENTATIVE BE APPOINTED TO REPRESENT THE ISSUES.

THE NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 10.

USE PERMIT TO ESTABLISH A UNION HOME.

WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENTS STANDARDS TO REDUCE THE PARKING FOR THE UNION HALL AND THE EXISTING OFFICE IN 2.4 ACRES IN THE M1280 ZONE.

ON MARCO STREET AND 330 FEET OF CARRY AVENUE.

WITH THE WAIVERS AND BACKGROUND DESCRIBED IN YOUR AGENDA.

THE OVERLAY DISTRICT WAS INTENDED TO -- OVERLAY DISTRICT WAS ESTABLISHED TO PROVIDE FOR A RANGE OF USES COMPATIBLE WITH AIRPORT HAZARDS THE HANDLING OF TRANSPORTATION LIVE ORDINANCE.

NOISE EXPOSURE AREA AND PROHIBIT USES INCOMPATIBLE WITH NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE ORGANIZATION.

POTENTIAL ZONES ARE AREAS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED BY ACCIDENTS AND ARRIVING AND DEPARTING AIRCRAFT.

IN THE SUBDIGIT AP 2 GENERALLY PERMIT LOW OCCUPANCY INDUSTRIAL USE.

STAFF FINDS THE USE PERMIT IS COMPATIBLE TO SIMILAR FACILITIES APPROVED IN THE AREA.

STAFF CAN SUPPORT THE REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS TO REDUCE THE PARKING BASED ON THE CROSS ACCESS PROPOSED.

STAFF BELIEVES THE REDUCTION SHOULD NOT NEGATIVELY IMPACT THE ON SITE VEHICULAR TRAFFIC THE BUILDING REQUIRES 48 PARKING SPACES WHERE 74 SPACES ARE PROVIDED THE ADDITIONAL 26 COUPLED WITH THE NONCOUPLED USES SHOULD MITT GATE REDUCTION OF THE REQUIRED PARKING STAFF CAN SUPPORT EXPANDING THE EXISTING FACILITY AND APPROVE THE WESTERN FACADE.

THE PROPOSED DESIGN CHANGES FACING MARCO PROVIDE A DECORATIVE WALL THAT SHOULD MINIMIZE CONCRETE OR BLOCK WALL.

STAFF RECOMMENDS APPROVAL SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS AS LISTED IN YOUR AGENDA.

THE TOWN BOARD DID RECOMMEND DENIAL AND HELP EXCEED CONDITIONS IT IS A TRAIN FACILITY.

>> RECEIVED TWO CARDS IN APPROVAL.

>> GOOD MORNING.

>> GOOD MORNING MR. CHAIRMAN.

GEORGE ROGERS ARCHITECT 623 SOUTH FIVE JONES REPRESENTING THE APPLICANT CHIEF UNION LOCAL 88.

AND THIS PROJECT IS AN AREA EXPANSION TO THE EXISTING FACILITY IN USE THEY HAVE ENJOYED SINCE 1998 AT THIS SITE.

I THINK YOU'LL FIND THAT WE -- WHILE I RESPECT THE TOWN BOARD MENTION THAT PERHAPS WE'VE EXCEEDED THE PEOPLE DENSITY THAT WAS APPROVED IN 1998.

WE HAVE NOT.

WE HAVE MET THE PERSON DENSITY.

BACK WE INCLUDED THAT AS A SELF-PROPOSED CONDITION ON THIS APPLICATION, SHOULD YOU APPROVE IT.

WE'LL CONTINUE TO MEET THE 1998 DENSITY MAXIMUM THAT THIS BOARD AND NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE RECOMMENDED AT THAT TIME.

WE'RE AVAILABLE FOR ANY COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE.

>> REID: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK?

PLEASE COME FORWARD AND STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD TELL US WHAT YOU THINK WE NEED TO KNOW.

>> GOOD MORNING I'M COLONEL CASCELL.

NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE.

MANY OF YOU KNOW THE MISSION AT NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE IS THE HOME OF THE UNITED STATES WARFARE CENTER DOING TEST TRAINING FOR OUR SISTER SERVICES AND ALLIES WE FLY OVER 40,000 SORTIES EACH YEAR OUT ON THE RANGE.

WE TAKE A LOT OF PRIDE IN MAKING SURE WE PROVIDE REALISTIC TRAINING AND AT THE SAME TIME INSURE THE SAFETY OF OUR AIRMEN AS WELL AS THE SAFETY POPULATION WE IMPOSE A LOT OF RESTRICTIONS TO MAXIMIZE THAT SAFETY FOR OURSELVES AND FOR THE COMMUNITY.

OUR CONCERNED WITH THIS PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IS IN TERMS OF SAFETY AND USE OF THE FACILITY.

WHEEL WE UNDERSTAND AND APPRECIATE THE CONCERNS AND INSURE THEY REMAIN WITHIN THE DENSITY GUIDELINES, IT APPEARS WHAT WE SEE IS A PROPOSAL THAT SAYS WE WILL ADD ENOUGH TRAINING FACILITY, WHERE YOU CAN HAVE UP TO 168 UP TO 600 MORE PEOPLE WHO CAN STAY AND USE THAT FACILITY.

WHEN THE FACILITY WAS ORIGINALLY PROPOSED IN 1998 IT WAS PROPOSED AS STORAGE WAREHOUSE AND OFFICE SPACE.

IT'S USE IS AS A TRAINING FACILITY.

THAT IS A FACILITY THAT ENCOURAGES A GATHERING PLACE.

EDUCATIONAL SERVICES AND THE TRAINING FACILITY WE THINK WOULD BE CONSIDERED AN EDUCATIONAL SERVICE IS NOT COMPATIBLE WITH THE ESTABLISHMENT INSIDE AN AIRCRAFT ACCIDENT POTENTIAL ZONE.

SO IF THERE'S CURRENTLY ALREADY, WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE TRAINING SCHEDULE PROVIDED.

CURRENTLY AT THE TRAINING CENTER THEY HANDLE MONDAY THROUGH THURSDAY.

PROPOSAL GO MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY TRAINING SESSION.

CURRENTLY THEY CAN SUPPORT THE CLASSES PER 78 PERSONNEL, UP TO 78 PERSON PEOPLE.

THE PROPOSAL OF AN ADDITIONAL 14,000 SQUARE FEET OVER WHICH 9,000 IS FOR MEETING OR TRAINING ROOMS THAT CAN HANDLE UP TO 168, MAYBE UP TO 600 PEOPLE, DEPENDING HOW MANY YOU PUT IN EACH OF THE TRAINING FACILITIES.

WHILE WE UNDERSTAND WE'RE -- -- THEY ARE SAYING THEY WILL BE ABLE TO RESTRICT THAT TO THE 115 PEOPLE, WHICH WOULD BE WHAT DENSITY IS REQUIRED WITHIN THE 2.3 ACRES.

THEIR BUILDING FACILITY THAT CLEARLY PROVIDES THE CAPABILITY TO SUPPORTING MORE THAN WHAT IS AUTHORIZED IN THAT AREA.

AND SO OUR CONCERN IS THAT THIS FACILITY WILL ENCOURAGE A MEETING PLACE AND WILL ENCOURAGE A GATHERING FOR THE 1300 MEMBERS OF THE UNION AND EXCEED THE DENSITY RESTRICTIONS OUR INTENT IF THERE HAPPENS TO BE AN ACCIDENT.

WE DO EVERYTHING WE CAN TO AVOID THOSE ACCIDENTS BUT ACCIDENTS DO HAPPEN. WITHIN THE LAST TWO WEEKS, ONE OF OUR UNMANNED AIRCRAFT PREDATORS CRASHED JUST OVER A MILE OFF THE END OF THE RUNWAY THIS CURRENT FACILITY IS A LITTLE OVER OFF THE END OF THE RUNWAY AT NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE.

THE POTENTIAL CLEARLY EXISTS THERE COULD BE AN AIRCRAFT ACCIDENT AND WE WANT TO MINIMIZE THE IMPACT.

THAT'S WHY THE AIRCRAFT OVERLAYS ARE IN PLACE TO MAKE SURE WE MAINTAIN PUBLIC SAFETY.

>> REID: THANK YOU.

IS THERE ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK?

THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

SEEING NO ONE.

LET'S GIVE THE APPLICANT AN OPPORTUNITY RESPOND AND THEN WE'LL TURN IT OVER TO THE BOARD.

>> WE APPRECIATE THE COLONEL'S COMMENTS.

I SUPPOSE ANYONE LOOKING FOR AN APPROVAL OF THE NATURE OF THIS PROJECT THAT, DIDN'T INTEND TO ABIDE BY THE CONDITIONS OF THE APPROVAL COULD IN FACT DO WHAT THE COLONEL WAS SUGGESTING.

WE HAVE LIVED BY THE SAME CONDITION SINCE 1998 AND LOCAL 88 WILL INTENSE IN THE FUTURE FOR THE BUILDING HAS AMENITIES TO WHAT'S GOING ON IN THE BUILDING.

NOT NECESSARILY INCREASES THE AMOUNT OF PEOPLE THAT COULD PARTICIPATE IN THE BUILDING AT ANY ONE TIME.

THAT'S BEEN SOMETHING WE TOLD STAFF ABOUT AND INCLUDED IN THE JUSTIFICATION LETTER.

LASTLY PART OF THE JUSTIFICATION IS PARKING WAIVER.

IT WOULD BE TO PUT 600 PEOPLE IN THE BUILDING AS WELL.

WE DON'T INTEND TO DO THAT.

I HOPE THAT THE COLONEL AS RIGHT AND NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE REALLY DOESN'T HAVE A PROBLEM IN THE AREA.

THAT WOULD BE TERRIBLE FOR EVERYBODY.

I THINK THAT THE WILLINGNESS TO ABIDE BY THE DENSITY CONDITION IS REALLY WHAT'S GOING ON HERE.

I SUPPOSE IF THE BUILDING NEVER GOT TRANSFERRED TO A DIFFERENT OWNERSHIP AND SOMEONE ELSE CAME IN, THEY MIGHT HAVE A DIFFERENT REASON TO BREAK THE CONDITION THAT YOU WOULD IMPOSE OR HAS BEEN IMPOSED IN THE PAST.

THAT'S NOT SOMETHING WE INTEND TO DO.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER COLLINS THIS IS YOUR DISTRICT.

>> COLLINS: THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN.

WHERE ELSE DO YOU HAVE YOUR GENERAL MEETINGS BESIDES MARCO?

>> ON THE SITE NORTH OF THIS.

THERE'S ANOTHER UNION HALL WHERE THE GENERAL MEETINGS OCCUR.

>> COLLINS: HOW MANY MEMBERS ARE IN THE LOCAL 88?

>> I'M SORRY COMMISSIONER.

I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION.

I'M GUESSING IT IS PROBABLY MORE THAN -- 400 MEMBERS BELONGING TO THE LOCAL, BUT I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER.

>> COLLINS: BEING A UNION MEMBER ALL OF MY A DIFFICULT LIFE, JUST ABOUT. WE RARELY HAD EVERYBODY SHOW UP, EXCEPT AROUND CONTRACT TIME.

>> IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THEIR MEETINGS ARE LIMITED IN THE 100-PERSON RANGE GENERALLY.

AND WHEN I'VE BEEN IN THERE THERE WAS ONE TIME A GROUP OF 12.

ONE TIME ANOTHER GROUP.

I'M TRYING TO THINK OF WHEN YOU WOULD EVER HAVE A NEED TO EXCEED THE AIRPORT'S REQUIREMENTS OR THE ZONE REQUIREMENTS.

>> I CAN'T IMAGINE ONE SIR.

PERHAPS THIS MIGHT HELP ANSWER THE WEEKS YOU'RE POKING AROUND AT?

EARLY ON IN THE PROCESS WE RECEIVED A COMMUNICATION FROM NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE REGARDING THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT USE THE FACILITY.

WE USE THE INFORMATION.

THE HISTORICAL NUMBER THAT HAVE BEEN ON THE FACILITY AT ANY ONE TIME.

THOSE NUMBERS HAVE NEVER EXCEEDED 78.

WE PROJECT WHAT NEXT YEAR MIGHT BE.

THEM STILL ACTUALLY DON'T EXCEED 78.

I'M HAPPY TO GIVE THIS TO YOU AND INCLUDE IT IN THE RECORD.

>> COLLINS: I'M THINKING THE FUNCTION OF THE SHEET METTLE AND THE EXECUTIVE BOARD AND THEIR UNIT MEETINGS. THEIR GENERAL MEETINGS. THE SOCIAL ACTIVITIES AND NON-PROFIT ACTIVITIES. MOST OF THEIR MEETINGS ARE IN THE EVENINGS AND MOST OF THE ACTIVITIES ARE ON WEEKENDS.

>> YES, SIR.

>> COLLINS: I'VE NEVER SEEN THAT LARGE OF A GROUP. HOWEVER, WHEN WE DID THE LOWS ON CAREY AND NELLIS WAS OKAY. WHEN WE COME BACK TO PUT A FOX PHOTO IN A BANK BUILDING -- I MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE IT. IT WAS KILLED 6-1. I'M AFRAID TO MAKE A MOTION, FIRST. SECOND I JUST WANT TO KNOW HOW BEFORE ANYONE DOES. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW GETTING SOME EXAMPLES I'M NEAR AT THE END OF THE BASE THERE'S ONE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, THAT I KNOW IN GOOD TIMES A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO HE HAD 460 EMPLOYEES THAT CAME IN GOT IN THEIR TRUCKS AND DROVE AWAY. HOW DO WE POLICE THESE NUMBERS. RIGHT NEXT DOOR WAS A RECYCLING FACILITY IN THE MORNING THEY GOT IN THEIR TRUCKS AND DROVE OUT. AND A BLOCK OVER THE SAME SITUATION AS THE COMPANY IS BUILDING THE BIG SMURF THICK, WHERE THEY USED TO JUST DUMP STUFF. SOMEONE TO TELL ME HOW WE CONTROL OR ENFORCE THIS RESTRICTION?

>> C.C. PRO. IF YOU SEE TOO MANY PEOPLE REPORT TO C.CPRO AND THEY WOULD HAVE TO INVESTIGATE.

>> COLLINS: DO WE LET C.C. PRO WALK INTO BUILDINGS?

>> I'M SORRY.

>> COLLINS: DO WE LET C.CPRO WALK INTO BUILDINGS?

>> YEAH.

>> COLLINS: WHO ALLOWS THEM?

>> IT IS UP TO THE OWNER OR WHOEVER IS ON THE PROPERTY TO ALLOW THEM IN.

>> COLLINS: EXACTLY.

>> THEY COULD SIT OUT AND COUNT PEOPLE.

>> COLLINS: THE ONLY THING MISSING IS RANDY BLACK TELLS ABOUT HOW NELLIS BLEEDS BY A THOUSAND CUTS OR SOMETHING. I WANT TO MAKE SURE. I KNOW THE HALL HAS BEEN THERE A LONG TIME. I WANT TO MAKE SURE THEY'RE GOING TO COMPLY MY I.B.W. HALL ON BOULDER HIGHWAY NEVER GETS 100 PEOPLE, EXCEPT AT CONTRACT TIME. FOR THREE NIGHTS OUT OF EVERY THREE OR FOUR YEARS THERE'S 250 PEOPLE SCREAMING AND HOLLERING AND COMPLAINING ABOUT THE NEGOTIATING TEAM. OUTSIDE OF THAT, THERE'S NOT VERY MANY NUMBERS.

NOW THE ISSUE BROUGHT UP AT TRAINING -- THINK ABOUT TRAINING FACILITIES AT PLUMBERS HALL THEY HAVE A BUNCH OF DIFFERENT ROOMS. ONE IS PLUMBING, ONE IS AIR CONDITIONING, AND I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH THEY ROTATE OR HOW MANY THEY HAVE IN THE CLASSROOMS AND HOW MANY PEOPLE THE HOURS ARE MOSTLY IN THE EVENINGS.

I'M CURIOUS HOW -- HOW BROAD DO WE LOOK AT TRAINING, VERSUS NOT TRAINING. IT IS AN LOUD BECAUSE I KNOW ALL UNION HALLS HAVE A STUART'S TRAINING. WHERE YOU'LL BRING IN DIFFERENT NUMBERS BASED ON FIRST BY THE UNION. A COUPLE SMALL LOCALS THEY GET ALL FOUR.

OTHERS HAVE TO DO IT IN A, BECAUSE THEY HAVE SO MANY.

AND THEN I IMAGINE YOU HAVE A STUART ON ALMOST EVERY JOB OR A JOB OF ANY SIZE.

WOULD STUART TRAINING ON YOUR UNION HALL BE A VIOLATION?

>> STAFF CAN ANSWER THAT, I HOPE.

>> COLLINS: WOULD YOU ASK ME THE QUESTION AGAIN?

>> I THINK WHAT YOU'RE ASKING IS HOW MUCH TRAINING CAN GO ON IN THE FACILITY AND STILL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS.

>> COLLINS: AND NOT BE A TRAINING, YEAH.

>> IT IS HARD TO DRAW A LINE.

THE STANDARD IS IF IT IS INCIDENTAL TO THE UNION HALL, THEN IT IS OKAY TO HAVE TRAINING BUT IF THE TRAINING PRIMARY USE IT WOULD BE PROHIBITIVE.

>> COLLINS: IT IS LIKE TAVERNS IF GAMING IS INCIDENTAL TO THE FOOD AND DRINKS IT IS CAN BE LICENSED GAMING.

IF IT IS NOT INCIDENTAL NOW THAT THE SMOKING BAN CAME IN, THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE THE GAMING LICENSE.

IS THAT THE SAME THING YOU'RE SAYING?

>> RIGHT.

>> COLLINS: IF THIS IS NOT A FULL-FLEDGE TRAINING FACILITY, IT IS FINE. IF IT IS NOT FULL FLEDGE, IT IS NOT FINE.

>> ASSOCIATED WITH THE UNION HALL LIKE THE IRON WORKERS UNION ACROSS THE STREET.

>> COLLINS: I WAS GOING TO MENTION IRON WORKERS.

>> IN FACT I UNDERSTAND WAS ENLARGED TWO YEARS AGO AND THIS BOARD AT THE TIME IMPROVED THE ENLARGEMENT, AS YOU SAID, AS STAFF HAS DIRECTED AND HAS BEEN THE PRACTICE.

>> COLLINS: EMPHASIZING, SINCE I HAVE BEEN REPRESENTING DISTRICT B WE HAVE HAD THREE MAYORS OF THE 99 THERE HAS BEEN A CHANGE AS WE ADDRESS THESE KIND OF THINGS.

WE WANT A LONG-TERM PATTERN ENFORCING THESE RESTRICTIONS.

AS THE COMMANDERS CHANGE.

ONE WAS OKAY WITH THE LOWS IN 40 ACRES BUT THE RETAILS THAT A MAIN STORE - - WHAT DO YOU CALL IT?

>> ANCHOR.

SO IF WE KEEP -- I KNOW YOU HAVE A UNION HALL NEXT DOOR AND BUSINESSES IN THAT AREA OF THE COMMUNITY MOMENTARY WILL EXCEED BUT NOT FOR A LENGTH OF TIME.

IN MOST CASES NOT LONG ENOUGH TO GET AN ORDINANCE TO COME OUT AND POLICE IT.

IS NELLIS GOING TO HELP WATCH THAT?

--

>> THERE ARE A COUPLE OF THINGS.

ONE IN TERMS OF THE MAYORS OF THE BASE CHANGEOVER TIME.

THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WAS INITIATED WITH PREVIOUS MAYOR AND CONTINUED WITH THE CURRENT MAYORS.

WE'VE ESTABLISHED A PUBLIC PARTNERSHIP TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE A CONTINUITY AS THE LEADERSHIP CHANGE.

AS YOU KNOW IT IS OUR CHIEF OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP.

THAT'S OUR INTENT TO MAINTAIN CONTINUITY AND CONSISTENCY IN OUR RECOMMENDATIONS.

AND IN TERMS OF OUR CONCERN HERE IS JUST AS YOU ARE SAYING IF THE TRAINING IS INCIDENTAL, MAYBE IT IS ALLOWED BUT EDUCATIONAL SERVICES WHICH ARE ORIGINALLY DESIGNED OR RECOMMENDED AS THE BUILDING SAYS IT IS STEELWORKERS TRAINING FACILITY.

IT IS THE NAME ON THE BUILDING.

>> COLLINS: THE FIRST CONDITION IT SHOULD BE TO TAKE THE TRAINING OFF OF THE BUILDING.

>> YES, SIR WE ACCEPT THAT CONDITION.

>> COLLINS: ARE YOU THE ARCHITECT OR THE LAWYER.

>> IT IS GONE FROM THE BUILDING.

>> COLLINS: IT IS GONE ON YOUR DRAWING?

>> IT PROVIDES THE CAPACITY FOR SO MUCH MORE, WHICH ENCOURAGES THE MEETING PLACE.

WE WERE SAYING WE CURRENTLY HAVE 24,000.

ADDING ANOTHER 14,000 OVER WHICH 9,000 IS MEETING ROOMS. IT ENCOURAGES THE GATHERING OF PEOPLE AND PROVIDES CAPACITY FOR A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PEOPLE.

SO WE DO APPRECIATE IT AND WE WANT TO STAY WITHIN THE CAPACITY, BUT WE'RE A BUILDING FACILITY THAT CAN EXCEED THAT CAPACITY.

>> COLLINS: IT IS LIKE HUNDREDS AND HORSE CORALS.

>> GOING TO BUILD BUT NOT USE THE CAPACITY.

>> COMMISSIONER I DON'T NEED TO REMIND YOU IN THE SHEET METAL TRADE THERE ARE A LOT OF DIFFERENT NUANCES TO THE TRADE.

>> COLLINS: SPECIALTY.

>> STAINLESS STEEL VERSUS DUCT WORK VERSUS SOME WELDING. SOME ROOFING.

ALL KINDS OF DIFFERENT ISSUES.

>> COLLINS: ANYWAY.

LIKE I SAID THE LAST TIME I MADE THE MOTION IN APZ I SHUTDOWN 61 I WILL NOT MAKE A MOTION.

IT IS UP TO YOU ALL.

>> MR. CHAIR.

>> SISOLAK: MOVE FOR APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS AND TAKE THE TRAINING OFF OF THE BUILDING.

>> REID: YOU HEARD THE MOTION.
COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: I WAS GOING TO SAY.
WE ALWAYS TRY TO WORK CAREFULLY WITH NELLIS.
WE APPRECIATE YOU BEING HERE.

I HAVE TO FALLBACK TO THIS IS THE SUBDIVISION OF THE APC ALLOWING THIS AS
COMPATIBLE USE.

I WOULD HOPE, AS THE SPECIALTY AREAS ARE CARVED OUT.

I WAS NOT MAKING A JOKE WITH THE NEW ECONOMY, WE NEED TO LOOK AT DIFFERENT
WAYS.

AND GREEN CONSTRUCTION SHOULD BE PART OF WHAT IS LOOKED AT AND VIEWED AT
BY ALL OF THE UNIONS.

I SUPPORT THE MOTION.

>> REID: YOU HEARD THE MOTION.

CAST YOUR VOTE, PLEASE.

>> REID: MOTION CARRIES.

THANK YOU.

>> ITEM 35 AND 36 HAVE BEEN HELD.

ITEM 37 AND 38 ARE RELATED AND CAN BE HEARD TOGETHER.

ITEM 37, EVACUATE, ABANDONMENT EASEMENT OF INTEREST TO CLARK COUNTY
LOCATED BETWEEN BLUE DIAMOND ROAD IN BETWEEN MAN STREET AND TORREY PINES
BEING MAN STREET BETWEEN OLETA AND BLUE DIAMOND AVENUE IN THE M.U.D.
ZONE WITHIN ENTERPRISE.

WITH THE BACKGROUND AS DESCRIBED IN YOUR AGENDA.

STAFF HAS NO OBJECTION TO RELINQUISHMENT OF EASEMENTS AND RIGHT OF WAY NOT
REQUIRED FOR ROADWAY OR DRAINAGE PURPOSES THE CONSTRUCTION OF BLUE DIAMOND
ROAD WILL BE ELIMINATED THE INTERSECTION AT MAN STREET.

THEREFORE STAFF RECOMMENDS APPROVAL SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS AS LISTED IN
THE AGENDA.

APPROVAL OF MAN AND RIGHT OF WAY FOR MAN AND THE APPROVAL OF THE REMAINDER
OF THE APPLICATION PER THE TOWN BOARD.

ITEM 38, USE PERMIT FOR A SWAP MEET.

WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS FOR THE FOLLOWING ELIMINATE LANDSCAPING
AND OFF SITE IMPROVEMENTS WAIVER OF CONDITIONS TO INCLUDE 30 FEET FROM MAN
STRAIGHT AND SPANNEDDERIL.

OUT DOOR SWAP MET.

STORAGE BUILDINGS ON M.U.D.

OVERLAY DISTRICT.

LOCATED ON BLUE DIAMOND AND MAN STREET.

WITH THE WAIVERS AND BACKGROUND AS DESCRIBED IN THE AGENDA.

THE SWAP MEET IS COMPATIBLE USE WITH THE EXISTING DEVELOPMENT IN THE AREA
INCLUDING OUTDOOR STORAGE YARD, SWIMMING INSTALLATION COMPANY AND PARCEL
FOR UNDEVELOPED USES STAFF DOES NOT TYPICALLY SUPPORT USE ALONG BLUE
DIAMOND ROAD BUT CAN SUPPORT THIS REQUEST BUT BECAUSE THEY HAVE THE GRADE
ABOVE THE SUBJECT SITE BY AS MUCH AS 8 FEET.

IN LANDSCAPING AND ANY STREET LANDSCAPING WILL NOT BE VISIBLE FROM BLUE
DIAMOND ROAD IT MEETS ENTERPRISE LAND USE PLAN 13.6 STATES THE OVERHEAD

DOORS SHOULD BE SCREENED FROM PUBLIC STREETS AND ADJACENT USES IN AN EFFORT TO MEET THE LEFT-HAND USE POLICY 13.12.

STAFF FINDS THE SURROUNDING THE SWAP MEET SHOULD BE DECORATIVE AND SHOULD NOT BE AFFIXED TO THE DECORATIVE BLOCK WALLS.

IT WAS SUBJECT TO PAVED LEGAL ACCESS.

AS OF TODAY THIS REQUIREMENT HAS NOT BEEN MET.

A WAIVER FOR UL OFF SITES WAS APPROVED THE AREA HAS SIGNIFICANTLY CHANGED WITH THE STATE BLUE DIAMOND ROAD CONSTRUCTION PROJECT WINDING THE ROAD AND CONSTRUCTION OF OFF SITES ALONG THIS SECTION OF BLUE DIAMOND ROAD THE PROJECT WILL BE USED FOR COMMERCIAL PURPOSES AND FULL OFF SITES WILL BE REQUIRED.

ACCESS IS REPORTED TO BE OUELLETTA AVENUE TO TORREY PINES DRIVE, REQUIRING THE APPLICANT TO SUBMIT AND APPROVE THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT ACCESS GRANT TO SATISFY THE PAVED ACCESS REQUIREMENT.

MAN STREET IS REQUIRED TO TERMINATE WITH NO FUTURE ACCESS, DUE TO BLUE DIAMOND ROAD HAVING AN APPROXIMATELY 8 FOOT HIGHER GRADE THAN THE EXISTING GRADE WHERE MAN INDUSTRY WOULD BE CONSTRUCTED.

STAFF RECOMMENDS WAIVER OF CONDITIONS AND WAIVER OF WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS TWO.

AS SUBJECTS TO THE CONDITIONS LISTED IN THE AGENDA.

APPROVING WAIVER NUMBER ONE PER STAFF AND DENIAL WAIVER NUMBER TWO AND THE DESIGN REVIEW.

>> GOOD MORNING JIM SMITH 5160 SOUTHEASTERN AVENUE REPRESENTING THE APPLICANT.

FIRST, I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THE VACATION OF MAN STREET. AND BLUE DIAMOND ROAD.

>> BASICALLY ON THIS IS A STANDING ON MAN STREET LOOKING NORTH. AND WHAT HAS HAPPENED HERE IS CONSTRUCTION BLUE DIAMOND ROAD THERE'S -- YOU CAN SEE THE GRADE CHANGE MAINLY HERE.

THERE'S 8 FEET.

8.5 FEET FROM TOP OF CURB TO EXISTING GRADE.

IT MAKES IT EXTREMELY DIFFICULT TO CONSTRUCT THE ROADWAY.

IN ADDITION TO THAT -- IN ADDITION TO THAT THERE'S A WASH COMING ACROSS THE MIDDLE OF THE PROPERTY AND THERE'S 350 C.F.S. COMING ACROSS.

THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE A 10 BY FOUR CULVERT AND TO MATCH THE FLOW LINES, EVEN WITH TRANSITIONAL GRADING OUT OF THE FLOW LINES, THE CONSTRUCTED ROADWAY WOULD BE ABOUT SIX TO EIGHT FEET OVER THE EXISTING GRADE.

IF YOU'RE STANDING ON THE PROPERTY TO THE EAST AND LOOKING AT MAN STREET IF IT WERE CONSTRUCTED IT WOULD LOOK PRETTY MUCH LIKE THIS.

IT WOULD BE EIGHT FEET UP IN THE AIR.

WE THINK MAN STREET IS UNCONSTRUCTABLE.

WE'RE EXTREMELY DISAPPOINTED WE CAN'T TIE IN MAN STREET TO BLUE DIAMOND ROAD.

WE WOULD LIKE TO EVACUATE THE ROADWAY.

>> REID: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

IS ANYONE HERE TO SPEAK ON THIS?

>> GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS, DAVID CHESTNUT 8960 GLENDALE ROAD.
REPRESENTING THE ENTERPRISE TOWN BOARD THIS MORNING.
OUR CONCERN WITH THIS PROJECT OUR CONCERN IS THE AREA OF DEVELOPMENT.
THE TOUCH DOWN POINT IS HERE AND CONTINUES TO CLIMB AND TORREY PINES WILL
BECOME THE PRIMARY ACCESS TO THIS AREA.
OUR CONCERN AT THIS POINT IS SERENE AND ALITA WILL BECOME THE EAST-WEST
ROOTS.

>> WE THINK IT IS TOO EARLY TO GIVEAWAY THE RIGHT OF WAY ALONG MAN STREET.
THIS HAS AN RTC RESERVATION ON THE LAND USE PLAN AND THEY WILL PUT A PARK
AND RIDE AND A FACILITY, WHICH WOULD GREATLY INCREASE THE AMOUNT OF
TRAFFIC AND REQUIRE THE COUNTY TO HAVE THE RIGHT OF WAY TO MOVE THAT
TRAFFIC.

WE ALSO CONCUR THAT THE FULL OFF SITE SHOULD BE REDEVELOPED NOW THAT BLUE
DIAMOND HAS BEEN OPENED UP.
IT IS ALL 57 ACRES NOT SUBDIVIDED WE HAVE NO IDEAS WHAT RIGHT OF WAY WILL
BE THE TYPE OF THING WE HAVE SEEN TOO MANY CASES WHERE WE HAVE GIVEAWAY
RIGHT OF WAY AND COME BACK TO REGRET IT LATER.
BECAUSE WE DISAGREED WITH THE RIGHT OF WAY AND EASEMENT THE RIGHT OF WAY
ON MAN AND EASEMENTS FOR MAN TO THE NORTH THAT IT IMPACTS THE POINT WHERE
IT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE TOWN BOARD NO LONGER WORK.
THANK YOU.

>> REID: ANYONE ELSE?

>> SUSAN IVALON REPRESENTING RESIDENTS IN SOUTHWEST ACTION NETWORK.
I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT WE CONCUR WITH WHAT MR. CHESTNUT JUST EXPLAINED
TO YOU.
AND SUBMIT A STATEMENT TO THAT EFFECT FOR THE RECORD, THANK YOU.

>> REID: ANYONE ELSE?

THIS IS YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND TO WHAT WAS SAID.

>> THE LAND TRACK TO THE EAST HAS -- LEGAL ACCESSION THERE IS ANY BENEFIT
OF PUTTING MAN STREET ON TO THIS PROPERTY.
IT WILL BE 5 FEET UP IN THE AIR WE HAVE TO PUT IN FIVE TO SIX FEET OF FILL
COSTING \$500,000 FOR A ROAD THAT GO NOWHERE.
I DON'T THINK IT HAS ANY BENEFIT TO B.L.M..
THEY CAN PLAN THEIR STREETS BY CONDUCTING IT KNOCKS WHOEVER DEVELOPS THE
PROPERTY INTO A BAD SITUATION.
THEY WILL BE DEVELOPING HALF A STREET, FIVE TO SIX FEET IN THE AIR.
ACTUALLY IT IS EIGHT FEET WHERE THE FLOW LINE AND THE TOP OF THE ROAD
WOULD BE.
I THINK IT IS A TERRIBLE SITUATION.
AND -- MAIN STREET SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUCTED.
THAT'S MY POSITION.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

>> SISOLAK: MR. CHAIR, THIS ISN'T IN MY DISTRICT.
THIS IS IN SUSAN'S DISTRICT.
SORRY.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER BRAGER IS NOT HERE.
COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

>> COLLINS: THANK YOU I KNEW I WANTED TO JUMP ON THIS.
YOU SAID THE CUT TO EIGHT FOOT WOULD BE, HOW DID YOU SAY IT, NOT A
BUILDABLE ROAD?
>> BUILDABLE, BUT AT EXTREME COST.
>> COLLINS: THERE'S A HIGHWAY UNDER THE OCEAN -- FROM ENGLAND TO EUROPE OR
SOMETHING?
>> IT IS VERY EXPENSIVE.
>> COLLINS: EVER DRIVEN UP FLAMINGO WEST OF DECATUR GOING WEST AND GO UP
THE HILL ON BOTH SIDES ALL OF THOSE PROPERTIES ARE ON 10 TO 20 FOOT
ELEVATIONS AND THERE'S A TERRACE.
YOU'VE BEEN THERE?
>> I'M FAMILIAR, YES.
>> COLLINS: GOODMAN, YOU'VE BEEN AROUND TOWN.
I THINK THE ISSUE IS TOO MANY ACCESSES ON TO A STATE HIGHWAY IS YOUR
ARGUMENT.
AND I DON'T KNOW IF THE BOARD MIGHT WANT TO CONSIDER NOT REQUIRING ALL OF
THE IMPROVEMENTS, UNTIL EVERYTHING IS COMPLETE AS FAR AS THE CULVERTS ON
THE STATE HIGHWAY WHEN THAT PORTION IS DONE AND SO FORTH.
I DON'T THINK THAT I WOULD NOT SUPPORT.
I DON'T THINK THE COUNTY HAS PAID MILLIONS TO GET DOWN WARM STRINGS IF YOU DRIVE
TO VALLEY VIEW AND THERE AIN'T ENOUGH STREETS AND PARKING OR ANY OF THOSE
THINGS.
I WOULD NOT SUPPORT VACATING THE ROAD.
I'M NOT SURE I WOULD REQUIRE ALL OF THE IMPROVEMENTS.
MAYBE THEY COULD DO A RESTRICTIVE COVENANT.
I WOULD BE SUPPORTIVE OF A MOTION THAT SAID THAT.
>> THEY WOULD HAVE TO AT THE MINIMUM PAVE THE ROAD.
>> COLLINS: OKAY.
I'M FINE WITH THAT.
>> MY UNDERSTANDING IS THE STATE WILL NOT ALLOW THE ACCESS POINT BLUE
DIAMOND.
>> COLLINS: THAT'S GOOD.
THEY CAN MAKE IT A CUL-DE-SAC.
>> REID: COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: THANK YOU.
I THINK IF I MADE THE MOTION TO APPROVE THIS, AS RECOMMENDED BY ENTERPRISE
TOWN BOARD ON THE USE PERMIT WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS AND DENY THE
WAIVER OF DEVELOP WE WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS.
>> THEY DID DENY THE WAIVER AND THE DESIGN REVIEW.
BECAUSE NOT WAIVING OR VACATING THE STREET DOES IMPACT THE DESIGN REVIEW.
THAT'S WHAT THEIR MOTION WAS.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI:.
OKAY.
THE MOTION PER THE TOWN BOARD.
>> REID: WHY DON'T WE HEAR FROM THE COMMISSIONER.
IF YOU WANT TO RESPOND TO THE MOTION.
>> SISOLAK: FIRST IS THE PAINT BALL RANGE GONE?

>> YES.

>> SISOLAK: THERE WOULD BE NO PAIN BALL SHOOT?

>> WE HAD THE PROPERTY LEASED.

BECAUSE OF ALL OF THE CONSTRUCTION OUT IN THE LAST YEAR AND A HALF TO TWO YEARS, ALL OF OUR LEASERS LEFT.

THIS HAS BEEN A DEVASTATING FOR US FOR THE LAST TWO YEARS.

THERE'S BEEN NO ACCESS TO THE PROPERTY.

NOW THERE'S DEFINITELY NO ACCESS TO BLUE DIAMOND ROAD, WHICH WHEN THE PROPERTY WAS PURCHASED WE ANTICIPATED HAVING ACCESS TO BLUE DIAMOND ROAD. SO THERE ARE SOME ISSUES THERE.

ALSO, IF THE CASE IS THAT -- YOU'RE ASKING TON EVACUATE MAN STREET, WE WOULD LIKE TO CUT IT SHORT OF THE WASH, BECAUSE BUILDING THAT ROAD AND PUTTING THE BOX CULVERT UNDER IT AND HOW IT AFFECTS OUR PROPERTY IS A MAJOR FINANCIAL IMPACT.

WE CAN'T DEVELOP THE PROPERTY IF IT COSTS US \$500,000 TO PUT IN FILL AND BY FOUR BOX CULVERT AND SO FORTH.

COULD WE STOP IT SHORT OF THE WASH?

I SEE NO REASON WHY THE ROAD WOULD GO UP TO THE WASH.

>> THE ROAD WILL HAVE TO BE CUL-DE-SACED.

I DON'T BELIEVE THE STATE WILL ALLOW IT TO BE ACCESS BLUE DIAMOND.

YOU COULD AT THE NORTHERLY TERMINUS JUST SOUTH OF THE WASH.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: I'M HAPPY TO MAKE THAT PART OF THE MOTION.

>> ALSO, WE WOULD NEED TO CHANGE OUR CONDITIONS ON THE DESIGN REVIEW HE WOULD NEED THE DEDICATED CUL-DE-SAC AND NEED TO PUT THE IMPROVEMENTS BECAUSE THE WASH WOULD NOT IMPACT THE IMPROVEMENTS.

>> SISOLAK: HOW DO THEY DO THAT IF COMMISSIONER COLLINS SAY DELAY FOR IMPROVEMENTS WILL THEY BOND FOR IMPROVEMENTS?

>> NO THEY WOULD HAVE TO ACTUALLY PAVE, BECAUSE PAVING CANNOT BE WAIVED THEN THEY WOULD EXECUTE A RESTRICTIVE COVENANT.

BUT THE WASH WILL NOT IMPACT THE IMPROVEMENTS.

THERE'S NOT MUCH SENSE IF MAN IS GOING TO STAY THERE AND NOT BE IMPACTED BY THE WASH.

WE'RE ASKING FOR THE FULL IMPROVEMENTS AND IT WOULD TURN AND GO INTO MAN STREET.

>> COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI JUST TO BE CLEAR.

37 YOU'RE APPROVING, EXCEPT FOR THE VACATION OF THE RIGHT OF WAY OF MAN AND PER STAFF NON-CONFLICTING AND PER TOWN BOARD.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: ALLOW WHAT GENI SAID FOR THE CUL-DE-SAC TO GO IN.

>> ONCE WE HAVE THE CONDITION OF THE CUL-DE-SAC AT THE TERMINATION OF MAN STREET.

>> THE TERMINATION BEING THE NORTHERN LINE RIGHT HERE?

>> PRIOR TO THE WASH.

>> REID: IS THAT CLEAR FOR THE RECORD SENIOR.

I'M RIGHT HERE AND JUST BEFORE THE WASH I DON'T KNOW THAT.

>> TERMINATION TO BE NORTH OF PARCEL 007.

>> WHAT WAS THE -- THE IMPROVEMENT ON MAN STREET AND THE CUL-DE-SAC?

>> FULL IMPROVEMENTS ON MAN STREET.

>> FULL IMPROVEMENTS?
>> THAT'S CORRECT.
>> REID: I QUESTIONS ON THE MOTION.
CAST YOUR VOTE.
MOTION CARRIES.
>> ITEM 39, 40, AND 41 HAVE BEEN HELD THAT.
CONCLUDES THE MORNING AGENDA.
>> REID: WE'RE IN RECESS UNTIL 1:00 P.M.
>> EXCUSE ME WE HAD A SITE PLAN COULD I PUT THAT ON HOLD SO, WE COME BACK
WITH THE SITE PLAN.
THERE'S A SITE PLAN ISSUE THE USE PERMIT HAS IT BEEN ADDRESSED?
>> REID: DOES STAFF WANT TO HELP US ANSWER THAT QUESTION.
WHY DON'T YOU TALK TO THEM.
>> REID: I ADJOURNED THE MEETING SO IT IS EXTRA EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITY.
>> JUST SO FOLKS KNOW, UNFORTUNATELY THERE'S A FIRE WHICH IS TOO BAD.
COMMISSIONER REED IS IN HIS CAR ON HIS WAY BACK AND STUCK BEHIND SOME FIRE
TRUCKS, THE ROAD IS REHABBED AND ALL OF THAT IS TORN UP.
WE CAN GET A FEW MINUTES.
IF WE GET A FORUM WE CAN START, BUT WE'LL HANG IN THERE FOR A BIT.
THANK YOU.
>> CALL THE MEETING BACK TO ORDER.
APOLOGIZE FOR BEING LATE.
>> GOOD AFTERNOON.
FIRST APPROVAL AFTER ADDITIONS AND DELETIONS.
THE FOLLOWING REQUESTS, HOLD 6309 BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING PER THE
APPLICANT.
ITEM 6409 WITHDRAWN WITHOUT PREJUDICE.
THE ABOVE HEARING ITEMS ARE GOING TO BE OPEN AND IMMEDIATELY RECESSED
UNTIL THE DATES STATED.
THE AFTERNOON AGENDA IS READY FOR YOUR APPROVAL.
>> ANY DISCUSSION ON THE AGENDA?
HEARD THE MOTION -- CAST YOUR VOTE.
MOTION CARRIES.
>> JUST TO MAKE SURE ON ITEM 44 READ IN WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND WANT TO MAKE
SURE.
>> THE RECORD WILL REFLECT WITHOUT PREJUDICE.
>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
>> ITEM 45 IS A RECOMMENDATION THAT THE COUNT COMMISSIONERS APPROVE A
PUBLIC HEARING.
[READING FROM AGENDA]
>> ANY DISCUSSION ON THIS ITEM?
HOW ABOUT A MOTION?
MOTION FOR APPROVAL.
BEG YOUR PARDON?
OH, IT A PUBLIC HEARING.
I APOLOGIZE.
CAN ANYONE HERE TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM?

SEEING NO ONE I'LL CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.

MOTION FOR APPROVAL.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 46 ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION.

REQUEST A HEARING SET FOR MAY 20, 2009 AT 1:00 P.M.

TO AMEND TITLES 10 AND 30, 3008, 30.44, SECTIONS 10.080 AND TABLES 30, 16-4 AND 44-1 TO ALLOW FOR INCREASED NUMBER OF DOGS OR CATS SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS AND BOARD APPROVAL AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERE TO.

>> I'LL INTRODUCE THE ORDINANCE AND SET THE HEARING.

>> THE NEXT ITEM FOR PUBLIC HEARING, ORDINANCE 0574-09 IS AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP RECLASSIFYING CERTAIN PROPERTIES AS APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS THROUGH VARIOUS ZONE CHANGE APPLICATIONS IN ASSESSORS BOOKS.

>> THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING, ANYONE HERE TO SPEAK ON THIS?

SEEING NO ONE I'LL CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND TURN IT OVER TO THE BOARD.
A MOTION FOR APPROVAL.

THERE'S SOMETHING WRONG WITH THE COMPUTER.

THERE IT IS.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 48 IS AN ORDINANCE FOR PUBLIC HEARING 0073-09 REQUESTED THAT THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS CONSIDER AN ORDINANCE TO MODIFY DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS FOR CERTAIN USES LOCATED WITHIN RURAL AREAS AND DIRECT STAFF ACCORDINGLY.

I READ INTO THE RECORD A MODIFICATION IN SECTION 3 OF THE ORDINANCE UNDER AUTOMOBILE HOBBY REPAIR AND RESTORATION, THE RED ROCK DESIGN DISTRICT WILL BE EXCLUDED TO ALL REFERENCES TO DISTRICT FIVE.

>> BEFORE WE OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING, COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI HAD A QUESTION.

>> WE COULD BRING THAT BACK.

>> AND MODIFY THAT AS WELL.

>> YOU ANSWERED MY CONCERNS BECAUSE IT TIES TO A LOT SIDE.

WE WOULDN'T HAVE STORAGE AND AREAS AND WENT TO ALL TOWN BOARDS.

>> AND I DID PROVIDE MAPS.

THANK YOU.

>> THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

YES, MA'AM.

>> I'M GOING TO DO A BRIEF SHOW AND TELL.

THIS REPRESENTS ALL THE WORK THE COMMITTEE DID TO GET US TO THIS POINT IN TRYING TO ESTABLISH STANDARDS.

THIS BOOKLET IS THE OTHER COMMITTEE MY HUSBAND AND I SAT ON THAT CREATED THE LAS VEGAS VALLEY STANDARDS.

A LOT OF YEARS STARTING FROM '04 TO THIS DATE AND I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR RECOGNIZING THERE ARE DIFFERENT TYPES OF STANDARDS FOR DIFFERENT AREAS.

WE ARE IN A RURAL COMMUNITY.

WE CERTAINLY WOULDN'T EXPECT TO BRING OUR LIVESTOCK AND LIFESTYLE IN THE MIDDLE OF AN URBANIZED AREA AND APPRECIATIVE AND I HOPE YOU WILL RECOGNIZE THE FACT THAT THE SAME APPLIES FOR RULE AREA NOT TO HAVE URBANIZATION. I HOPE YOU TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION ALL THE HARD WORK FOR THIS AMENDMENT.
>> THANK YOU.

THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.
ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK?

>> THIS IS ON 007309.

IS THAT WHAT WE'RE SPEAKING ON?

>> YES, SIR.

>> I WANT TO CLARIFY THAT.

IS THE OVERHEAD WORKING?

>> IT IS.

>> MY NAME IS MARK, 777 COURT, SANDY VALLEY.

THANK YOU FOR HEARING ME ON THIS SUBJECT.

I PREPARED A BOOKLET.

I DON'T KNOW IF EACH OF YOU GOT IT.

I UNDERSTAND THE COMPLEXITIES OF THIS BECAUSE EACH RURAL AREA HAS ITS OWN INTEGRITY.

I'M HERE TO SPEAK ON SANDY VALLEY.

I DON'T KNOW HOW IT APPLIES TO THE OTHERS BUT SOME OF WHAT I'M ABOUT TO SAY WILL GIVE US SOME THOUGHT.

REAL ESTATE IS A GREAT INVESTMENT BECAUSE THEY AREN'T MAKING ANY MORE.

MOST OF US KNOW MOST OF THE STATE OR 80% IS OWNED BY THE GOVERNMENT.

THE CITIZENS LUCKY ENOUGH TO OWN THEIR OWN PROPERTY THEY TAKE A LOT OF PRIDE IN IT.

LOWERING THE STANDARDS OF TITLE 30 AS IT STANDS NOW MAY COST PROBLEMS WITH NEIGHBOR AGAINST NEIGHBOR AND THE COUNTY AND WE WANT TO AVOID THAT.

I'M NOT HERE TO SPEAK FOR OR AGAINST BUT BRING OUR POINTS SO IF IT IS CHANGED IT MAKES SENSE BECAUSE THE INTEGRITY IS DIFFERENT.

I'LL PULL THESE OUT AND PUT IT UP SO YOU CAN SEE THEM.

PICTURE NUMBER ONE IS A HOUSE IN SANDY VALLEY.

MOST EVERYTHING IS TWO AND A HALF ACRE MINIMUMS. THIS IS A FELLOW WORKS FOR A TRUCKING CONSIDER AND PARKS IT IN THE STREET BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT 30 REQUIRES HIM TO DO.

IT'S A HAZARD.

HE'S OUT THERE DRIVING IT AND WALKING AROUND IT AND WASHING IT ON THE WEEKENDS.

HE'S BETTER OFF PARKING IN HIS YARD.

IF A MAN WORKS FOR A PLUMBER OR ELECTRICIAN, HE DRIVES THE TRUCK DOWN.

I DON'T THINK ANYONE WOULD COMPLAIN.

A DUMP TRUCK OR A RIG LIKE THIS WHERE THEY START IT UP IN THE MORNING, THEY DON'T MAKE MORE NOISE THAN SOMEONE WITH A HARLEY DAVIDSON.

BUT THIS ALREADY HAS A TOW TRUCK PARKED IN THAT YARD.

WHEN IT CROSSES A LINE FROM A GUY DRIVING A COMMERCIAL VEHICLE HOME TO MAKE A LIVING AND THERE'S ALREADY FOUR OR FIVE CARS PARKED IN THE YARD AND THIS PICTURE DOESN'T SHOW THE TOW TRUCK AND THREE MORE CARS.

THERE HAS TO BE A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A GUY MAKING A LIVING AND A COMMERCIAL ENTERPRISE.

THREE OR FOUR GUYS, PEOPLE PICKING HIM UP, I DON'T THINK ANYONE WOULD SAY ANYTHING AGAINST THE GUY PARKING IT IN THE YARD.

IT'S LARGE ENOUGH AND CROSSING THAT LINE TO MAKING IT A COMMERCIAL ENTERPRISE.

YOU HAVE TO MODIFY TITLE 30 IT HAS TO BE WELL THOUGHT OUT OR IT WILL CAUSE PROBLEMS WITH THE NEIGHBORS.

ONE OF THE OTHER THINGS WE WERE DISCUSSING ON CHANGE IS SALVAGE YARD.

THIS IS A BOARD TERM AND MISTAKEN WITH SCRAP METAL.

SALVAGE IS ALWAYS ASSOCIATED WITH SCRAP METAL.

THIS IS A FELLOW OUT THERE WHO MAKING A LITTLE MONEY.

I BROUGHT THESE IN, I'M NOT HERE TO RAT ON MY NEIGHBORHOOD OR GIVE NAMES.

THIS IS FOR DEMONSTRATION PURPOSES.

THIS DUMPSTER IS HERE FOR ABOUT A YEAR.

THIS IS THE OTHER SIDE OF THE PROPERTY WHERE HE HAS OTHER STUFF STORED.

I THINK THE INTENTIONS OF THE SALVAGE YARD REVISION WAS THAT IF SOMEONE GETS A GOOD DEAL AND GOING TO BUILD A HOME IN A YEAR, IT'S OKAY TO STORE IT ON A VACANT PIECE OF LAND BUT WHERE DOES IT CROSS THE LINE FROM A LAND SCRAPE COMPANY, PLUMBING, CONTRACTOR BUYING A VACANT PIECE OF LAND, SAYING IT'S SALVAGE AND STORING IT THERE YEAR AFTER YEAR HAVING HIS EMPLOYEES GO OUT BRING STUFF IN AND TAKE IT OUT.

IT HAS TO BE WELL THOUGHT OUT.

THAT TERM IS A BROAD TERM THAT CAN GET US INTO A LOT OF TROUBLE.

A LOT OF COMPANIES IN TOWN DURING THIS ECONOMIC TIME THAT WE'RE IN HAVING A HARD TIME.

THEY DON'T HAVE TO SPEND \$2,000, \$3,000, \$4,000 ON LAND WHEN THEY CAN BUY A CHEAP PIECE OF LAND AND USE THAT FOR STORAGE.

THIS IS THE MINDSET OF WHAT THEY ARE DOING.

ESTIMATED 50% OF THE LAND IN SANDY VALLEY IS IN VIOLATION OF CODE 30 AS WE SPOKE.

MOBILE HOMES, SCRAP METAL, ESTIMATE 1,000 JUNK CARS PARKED OUT THERE.

WE'LL GET TO THAT IN A MINUTE.

A NEIGHBOR, OR SOMEONE HAS TO FILE A COMPLAINT.

EVERYBODY LIVES IN PIECE AND HARMONY AND EVERYBODY GETS ALONG.

NOBODY CARES, IT'S RURAL.

IT'S THE WAY THEY LIKE TO LIVE.

WHEN YOU LOWER THE STANDARDS, LOWER THE BAR OF TITLE 30, WE ARE OPENING OURSELVES UP FOR MORE PROBLEMS. THE STRUCTURE OF CHARLESTON, SANDY VALLEY ARE DIFFERENT.

WHAT I'M BRINGING UP IS THIS HAS TO BE THOUGHT OUT WITH CHECKS AND BALANCES AND CONTROLS AND APPLICATIONS AND THINGS IN ORDER IF SOMEONE WANTS TO USE ONE OF THESE LOTS THEY HAVE TO GO THROUGH A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT SO THERE IS A CHECK AND BALANCE.

BUT THEY DON'T BOTHER DOING IT.

THEY USE IT AND THAT'S ABOUT IT.

LET ME GET TO SOME OF THE OTHER ONES.

THE NEXT SECTION THAT THEY WERE CONSIDERING CHANGING IS CARS.
THIS WAS A LOT ALONG COURTS THAT HAD 159 HOBBY CARS.
THE GUY WAS GOING TO RESTORE THEM ALL.
OF COURSE, THE COUNTY CAME IN AND MADE HIM CLEAN IT UP.
THIS IS ANOTHER PICTURE OF IT.
AND A FEW GOOD CARS IN THERE, T-BIRDS BUT HE NEVER WOULD HAVE GOTTEN TO THEM.
THEY WERE THERE FOR FIVE OR 10 YEARS.
A LOT OF PROPERTIES OUT THERE.
I'VE BEEN RESTORING ANTIQUE CARS FOR 40 YEARS.
ONE VEHICLE, ONE OR TWO MORE FOR SPARE PARTS.
ANYONE WITH 10, 20 OR 30 CARS IS IN THE BUSINESS OF OBSESSION OR COMPULSION TO COLLECT CARS.
A HOBBY OR YOU NEED PSYCHIATRIC HELP.
ANYWAY, THERE'S A LOT OF PROPERTIES OUT THERE WITH 0 AND 50 CARS.
THE TOWN OF SANDY VALLEY OR FIVE YEARS HAS DONE TWO PUBLIC CAR CRUSHES.
THOUSANDS OF CARS.
THERE'S NO GAS STATIONS THERE.
YOU RUN OVER THE HILL AND RUN OUT OF GAS, YOU'RE NOT GETTING OUT.
A LOT OF HAULING THERE.
HERE'S A PICTURE HERE, I'VE BEEN OUT THERE AND 30 OR 40 CARS ON THE PROPERTY.
NOBODY HAS TOUCHED ANY OF THEM.
THEY'RE NOT THERE FOR A HOBBY.
THEY ARE JUST BEING STORED.
LIKE I SAID I'M NOT PICKING ON MY NEIGHBORS.
UNLESS YOU GO LOOKING YOU WON'T FIND HALF THESE PROPERTIES.
THEY SHOULD BE BROUGHT UP BECAUSE SANDY VALLEY AND SOME OF THE OUTLYING BEDROOM COMMUNITIES USED TO BE CLARK COUNTY'S FRONT TIER AND SOON THEY'LL BE THE FRONT DOOR.
WE COULD HAVE PROBLEMS. THIS IS ANOTHER LOT.
RIGHT NOW YOU NEED A PRIMARY RESIDENCE BEFORE YOU CAN PUT ANYTHING ELSE ON THE PRIORITY.
THERE'S NO PRIMARY RESIDENCE HERE.
THIS HAS BEEN DUMPED ON AND ABANDONED AND AN EXAMPLE OF A HUNDRED PIECES OF PROPERTY OUT THERE.
HERE'S ANOTHER ONE.
THIS SHOWS A PILE OF SALVAGE MATERIAL.
GOOD LUMBER AT ONE TIME.
YEARS AGO CONTRACTORS WOULD COME OUT AND PAY \$25 A LOAD TO DUMP LUMBER FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS AND THE RESIDUE OF WHAT'S LEFT.
SOME USED FOR FIREWOOD BUT YOU CAN'T BURN PARTICLE BOARD -- IT HAS HAZARDOUS CHEMICALS IN IT.
ONE OF MY NEIGHBORS WHERE I USED TO LIVE IN SANDY VALLEY IS LIVING IN A CAMPING TRAILER WHILE HE BUILDS HIS HOUSE, HIS DREAM HOUSE.
WHICH IS A TEMPORARY SITUATION FOR ONE YEAR WITH A TEMPORARY ELECTRIC METER.

HE'S FOUR YEARS INTO IT.

SEVEN OR EIGHT ANTIQUE HOBBY CARS HE TOWED IN OVER THE THREE OR FOUR YEARS.

ONE OF THE NEIGHBORS COMPLAINED.

CODE ENFORCEMENT WENT OUT.

CODE ENFORCEMENT TOLD THEM COVER THEM WITH TARPS, YOUR NEIGHBORS WON'T COMPLAIN.

THE SUN ON THE TARPS THEY DON'T LAST A FEW MONTHS.

ANOTHER GUY ON THE OTHER SIDE OF TOWN HAS 15 CARS ALL COVERED WITH TARPS THINKING THAT'S THE ANSWER TO THESE PROBLEM I BROUGHT THAT UP.

NEIGHBORS ARE FILING COMPLAINTS ON A EACH OTHER HAVE NO LEGAL FOUNDATION, JUST ANNOYED AT THE NEIGHBOR AND CODE ENFORCEMENT AND DEPENDING ON THE SEVERITY OF THE VIOLATION, ENFORCES IT OR TELLS THEM TO WORK IT OUT

BETWEEN THEM AND PRESENTING EVEN MORE PROBLEMS. THE REASON I'M BRINGING THIS UP IS I DON'T WANT TO SEE TITLE 30 CHANGED IN A HAPHAZARD WAY.

WHY ARE WE ENTERING INTO LOWERING THE STANDARDS.

THIS IS AN INTERESTING PIECE OF PROPERTY HERE WHICH HAS EVERYTHING I JUST BROUGHT UP.

FOUR SHOTS NORTH, SOUTH, EAST AND WEST.

HERE'S ABOUT 20 OR 30 CARS.

THIS IS AN INTERESTING ONE FACING SOUTH AND THE MIDDLE OF THIS PICTURE YOU'LL SEE A WIRE CORRAL FILLED WITH ABOUT 100,000 SODA AND BEER CANS ACCUMULATED OVER FIVE OR TEN YEARS.

THIS IS A DEFINITION OF SALVAGE YARD.

BRINGING IN MOBILE HOMES, STRIPPING THE ALUMINUM SIDING OFF WHICH IS COMMONLY DONE ALL OVER.

THE VALUE OF THE ALUMINUM IS DROPPED DOWN SO THEY ABANDONED THE PROJECTS AND THE WIND IS BLOWING THE INSULATION ALL OVER THE DESERT.

THIS IS FACING NORTH.

AND THIS IS TYPICAL.

GOT TO BE A HUNDRED OR 200 MOBILE HOMES IN SANDY VALLEY IN VARIOUS STATES OF DISREPAIR WHEN VANDALS WENT OUT AND STARTED STRIPPING THEM.

THIS I BROUGHT AS A DEMONSTRATION.

A HOUSE IN THE FOREGROUND COMPLETED, A STUCCO HOUSE.

A 20' CAMPING TRAILER BEHIND IT WHICH THE RESIDENTS LIVED IN AND FOLLOWED EVERY RULE, EVERY CODE THEY COULD UNTIL THEY FINISHED THE HOUSE.

ONE IN THE BACKGROUND IS FOUR YEARS IN CONSTRUCTION.

THIS IS THE ONE WITH SEVEN OR EIGHT CARS.

I GIVE HIM CREDIT TRYING TO BUILD IT.

WHEN HE BRINGS IN HIS HOBBY CARS BEFORE HE FINISHES HIS RESIDENCE --

>> THESE ARE FOUR OF THE NEIGHBORS.

YOU CAN SEE THE NICE HOMES STARTING TO BE BUILT OUT THERE.

FOR THE PAST FOUR YEARS LOOKING AT THIS MESS OUT THERE.

CODE ENFORCEMENT CALLED NUMEROUS TIMES OVER AND OVER AGAIN AND NOT DOING ANYTHING ABOUT IT OR GAVE UP ON THE GUY BECAUSE HE'S NOT GOING TO DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT HIMSELF.

AND THE REASON I BROUGHT THAT UP WAS THAT THIS COUNCIL WAS CONFRONTED ABOUT A YEAR OR TWO AGO WITH ANOTHER SITUATION OUT THERE. THIS IS ON THE SAME PIECE OF PROPERTY. I DON'T WANT TO MENTION NAMES BUT THIS IS A CONSTRUCTION COMPANY OPERATED OUT OF THAT HOUSE FROM THE PREVIOUS PICTURE. VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE FOR A CONSTRUCTION COMPANY UNLESS THEY HAVE A COMMERCIAL PIECE OF PROPERTY TO OPERATE OUT OF HIS HOUSE. THIS GENTLEMAN WAS OPERATING OUT OF A VACANT PIECE OF LAND IN SANDY VALLEY UNTIL THE COURT REQUIRED HIM TO EVACUATE THE LAND. A WELL, NO HOUSE, NO RESIDENCE. HE WAS ORDERED TO EVACUATE THAT PROPERTY, HE MOVED IT ACROSS THE STREET TO HIS RESIDENCE AND OPERATED THERE. THE NEIGHBORS FILED A COMPLAINT AND HE MOVED IT. WHERE DID HE MOVE IT TO? A BUILDING PERMIT SO HE MOVES IT OVER THERE SAYING THIS IS A CONSTRUCTION SITE. FOR THREE YEARS HE IS OPERATING OUT OF THIS CONSTRUCTION SITE EVEN THOUGH HE'S NOT USING THE EQUIPMENT ANY MORE. HE TAKES IT AND BRINGS IT BACK TO THIS JOB SITE. THIS MAN HAS A PROBLEM. A GREAT CONTRACTOR, GOOD MACHINE OPERATOR AND NICE TO HAVE HIM THERE BUT HAS HIS BACK UP AGAINST THE WALL BECAUSE TITLE 30 WILL NOT ALLOW HIM TO FUNCTION HIS BUSINESS ON A RESIDENTIAL PIECE OF PROPERTY. HOWEVER, THERE ARE PIECES OF PROPERTY AVAILABLE TO HIM. THE PROBLEM A LOT OF COMMERCIAL PEOPLE HAVE. I'LL MAKE THIS SHORT -- I LIVED IN PENNSYLVANIA IN THE SALVAGE BUSINESS IN THE 70s. I BOUGHT A RESIDENTIAL PIECE OF PROPERTY. THE NEIGHBORS HEARD ABOUT IT RUNNING DOWN TO THE TOWN COUNCIL SAYING OUTLAW THIS. THEY GET THEIR LAWYER WORKING ON IT AND THE LAWYER CAME BACK AND SAID THE SUPREME COURT HAS RULED THE TOWN HAS NO RIGHT TO OUTLAW A BUSINESS. OBVIOUSLY WE HAVE A PIG FARM HERE. CAN'T KICK HIM OUT. HE'S BEEN THERE. THIS COUNTY HAS MET THE BURDEN OF DIVERSITY TO SANDY VALLEY ALL OVER THE PLACE, IF A COMMERCIAL OPERATOR WANTS TO OPERATE A BUSINESS THERE IS LAND AVAILABLE TO RENT OR BUY TO DO THIS. NO REASON WHY SOMEONE HAS TO OPERATE OUT OF A RESIDENTIAL HOUSE UNLESS THEY CAN'T AFFORD IT. I BROUGHT THAT UP AS AN EXAMPLE TO WHAT THIS POOR FELLOW IS PUSHED AROUND FROM ONE LOT TO THE NEXT. I SYMPATHIZE FOR HIM. BUT HE CAN'T OPERATE HIS COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING BUSINESS NEXT TO RESIDENCES ACCORDING TO TITLE 30. THE REASON I MADE THIS PRESENTATION HERE IS TO SAY TO YOU, YEAH, NEED CHANGES MADE HERE.

WE CAN'T PLEASE EVERYBODY.
GOING TO TRY TO PLEASE THE MAJORITY.
IF THE CHANGES ARE MADE IN TITLE 30, THEY HAVE TO BE THOUGHT OUT BECAUSE
OF NUMBER ONE, THE DIVERSITY AND INTEGRITY OF THE RURAL COMMUNITY IS
DIFFERENT.
THE TWO, THE GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT IS COMING DOWN THE ROAD.
WE DON'T WANT TO BE IN LEGAL PROBLEMS AND SPEND MONEY THE COUNTY DOESN'T
HAVE TO DEFENSE THESE.
THERE WAS A CASE LAST YEAR.
ONE GUY GOT CONVICTED OF A FELONY FROM ASSAULTING ANOTHER NEIGHBOR BECAUSE
OF THE PROBLEMS. WE'D LIKE TO AVOID THAT IF WE CAN.
SO ALL I CAN SAY IS I WAS IN THIS CHAMBER 15 YEARS AGO WHEN THE NORTHWEST
AND SOUTHWEST WERE STARTING TO DEVELOP.
SOMEBODY CAME IN TO GET A CHANGE TO SOME ZONING.
THE COUNCIL MEMBER WHO SAT IN THE MIDDLE SUMMED IT UP NICELY SAYING I
THINK IT'S TOO EARLY IN THE DEVELOPMENT IN THIS COMMUNITY TO MAKE THESE
KIND OF CHANGES AND I'D LIKE TO END WITH THAT QUOTE.
I THINK IT IS EARLY IN THESE RURAL AREAS TO MAKE DRASTIC CHANGES THAT WILL
COME BACK LATER ON AND BITE US IN THE BUTT.
IT HAS TO BE THOUGHT OUT.
IT'S A SERIOUS PROBLEM.
THE ANIMAL PROBLEMS. PEOPLE RUNNING AMOK.
DOGS ARE NICE AND HORSES ARE NICE AND THE CODE REQUIRES WHAT THEY CAN DO.
IF CHANGES ARE MADE, THEY HAVE TO BE MADE WITH A LOT OF THOUGHT.
COMMERCIAL ENTERPRISE OPERATED OUT OF RESIDENCES.
NOBODY WANTS TO BUILD A HOUSE NEXT TO A THING WITH A BLUE DUMPSTER.
HE IS CLEANING UP HIS PROPERTY.
NOTHING TECHNICALLY ILLEGAL WITH WHAT HE IS DOING.
HE'S IN THE PROCESS OF DOING IT.
BUT FOR TWO YEARS?
HE IS BRINGING MORE STUFF IN.
THAT'S A COMMERCIAL ENTERPRISE.
HE'S DOING THAT TO MAKE A LIVING.
YOU CAN'T DO THAT NEXT TO A RESIDENCE, ON A RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY.
THANK YOU FOR LISTENING TO ME THANK YOU FOR LISTENING TO ME.
I THOUGHT IT SHOULD BE DISCUSSED IN MORE DETAIL AND THANK YOU FOR THE
CONSIDERATION YOU GIVE TO ALL THESE PEOPLE IN RURAL AREAS BECAUSE THEY
MOVED OUT OF TOWN FOR A CERTAIN LIFESTYLE AND LIKE TO RETAIN IT.
ANYBODY HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR ME?
>> COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.
>> WE MAY COME BACK TO STAFF ON THAT.
>> SOUNDS LIKE SOME OF WHAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT, WE WILL BE REQUIRING
CERTAIN USE PERMITS IN CERTAIN OF THE CATEGORY AREAS.
OUTSIDE STORAGE WILL NOT BE PERMITTED UNLESS THEY HAVE A SPECIAL USE
PERMIT AND THEY HAVE TO QUALIFY, SCREENING, TAPING AND SO ON AND SO FORTH.
MAYBE AFTER ALL THE PUBLIC TESTIMONY WE CAN HAVE STAFF WALK THROUGH.
THE BALANCING ACT HAS TO BE THERE.

WE NEED TO RECOGNIZE WE CAN'T TREAT EVERYTHING THE SAME AS IN TOWN VERSUS IN THE RURAL AREAS.

YOU DON'T WANT THOSE TYPE OF SITUATIONS.

THOSE WERE NOT HOBBY CARS.

SALVAGE PART 2 WILL REQUIRE SPECIAL PERMITS.

LET ME HOLD MY QUESTIONS AND HAVE STAFF WALK THROUGH AFTER THE FOLKS MAKE THEIR COMMENTS.

>> THANK YOU, SIR.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THE PUBLIC HEARING.

>> WE PUT FIVE YEARS INTO THAT THING.

I DON'T THINK WE DID THIS HASTILY.

THE THING IS WE WERE PUT IN A POSITION BY THE COUNTY TO PUT THIS INVOLVED WITH OTHER COMMUNITIES.

WE WANTED IT FOR OUR AREA WHEN IT STARTED OUT IN '04 BECAUSE WE WERE HAVING, AT THE TIME, DEVELOPERS, REAL ESTATE AGENTS COMING OUT TO OUR AREA BECAUSE IT WAS PRIME AT THAT TIME.

TELLING PEOPLE WHAT THEY -- OR CALLING AND SAYING THIS GUY HAS JUNK ON HIS LOT OR WHATEVER.

THERE'S A FARMER OUT THERE, HAY GROWER, THAT'S WHAT HE WAS.

HE HAD A TRACTOR OUT IN THE MIDDLE OF A FIELD THAT HE LEFT.

HE GOT WROTE UP BY CCPRO, SAYS HE DIDN'T BRING IT TO HIS BARN.

AND ANOTHER ONE THAT WAS ONE THAT HE WAS PULLING PARTS OFF OF AND THEY THREATENED HIM WITH A FINE PLUS JAIL TIME.

THAT IF HE DIDN'T -- SO HE CALLED UP A SCRAPPER.

CAME OUT AND GOT IT.

THEN HE NEEDED PARTS FOR THAT TRACTOR THAT HE JUST SCRAPPED.

HE HAD TO GO OUT AND PAY NEW PRICES FOR PARTS FOR THE TRACTOR HE WAS REPLACING.

A LOT OF US OUT THERE, MYSELF INCLUDED, THAT HAVE CARS.

I HAPPEN TO BE DIFFERENT BECAUSE I HAVE A GARAGE 2400 SQUARE FOOT GARAGE TO HIDE MY STUFF IN.

IF I PUT IT OUT THERE, I MIGHT BE CITED BECAUSE MINE LOOKS, SOME OF MY CARS AND SOME DON'T -- AND A SCRAP YARD, IT'S NOT A SCRAP YARD UNLESS YOU DETERMINE IT A SCRAP YARD BY NEVADA STATE CODE.

THEY CAN'T COME IN THERE AND SAY IT'S A SCRAP YARD UNLESS THAT PERSON SAYS IT'S A SCRAP YARD.

LIKE I SAY, WE TOOK FIVE YEARS OVER THIS.

THERE WAS A COMMITTEE THAT WORKED ON THIS.

WE DIDN'T JUST THROW SOME WORDS ON A PIECE OF PAPER AND SAY THIS IS WHAT WE WANT.

THE THING IS WE WANTED IT.

AT THE TIME WE WERE TOLD WE COULDN'T HAVE IT WE DIDN'T LIKE THE URBANIZATION FOR OUR AREA.

AGAIN, I'M SORRY THAT THIS GENTLEMAN DOESN'T LIKE WHAT HE HAS AS NEIGHBORS, BUT, AGAIN, A DISTANCE FROM TOWN.

THE PEOPLE THAT BUY THESE LOTS, YES, THEY SHOULD BE CONTROLLED.

BUT SO SHOULD THE COUNTY BE CONTROLLED BY NOT CRASHING INTO SOMEONE'S YARD THAT HAS FENCING AND TAKING THE CARS AND CRUSHING THEM IN FRONT OF THE GUY AND THE CARS WERE VALUABLE AT THE TIME.

SOME ARE STILL MISSING.

I THINK THAT WHAT WE DID WAS NOT HAPHAZARDLY TAKEN.

THANK YOU.

>> ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK?

>> JOHN RUDDERRIC.

I WAS ON THAT COMMITTEE WE HAD FOUR FIVE YEARS AND TAKING INPUT FROM EVERYBODY AS FAR AS WHAT THEY WANTED AND WHERE THEY WANTED IT AND HOW THEY WANTED IT AND WHATEVER.

AND WE BEAT IT TOGETHER AND CAME UP WITH HOPEFULLY A GOOD COMPROMISE AS TO EVERYTHING THAT WE HAD THERE.

SOME OF THESE PICTURES THAT THIS OTHER GENTLEMAN SHOWED, I COULD USE PSYCHOLOGICAL HELP.

SOME OF THE PICTURES I'D LIKE TO HAVE SOME OF THAT ON MY PROPERTY.

HE HAD SOME NICE TRACTORS AND STUFF.

I'VE GOT FIVE ACRES OUT IN LOGANDALE.

I'VE BEEN OUT THERE FOR CLOSE TO 30 YEARS WHEN I CAME OUT THERE THERE WAS NOTHING.

I WAS THE ONLY PERSON AROUND.

THE ONLY PERSON IN THE AREA AND NOBODY COMPLAINED ABOUT ANYTHING.

AND THEN A BUNCH OF PEOPLE MOVING IN FROM GREEN VALLEY AND THEY WANT THEIR PRISON COMPLEX WITH 6' HIGH BLOCK WALLS AND FANCY GREEN LAWN AND WANT EVERYONE ELSE TO GO AWAY THAT'S BEEN OUT THERE ALL THIS TIME.

WE NEED TO GETTING HAVE A GET -- WE NEED TO HAVE A GET TOGETHER WHICH WE'VE DONE WITH THIS BILL AND ALLOW THE PEOPLE, THE HOBBYISTS LIKE MR. STERITA HERE, AND I'M A HOBBYIST, TOO.

I PLAY WITH CARS TO A CERTAIN EXTENT AND I PLAY WITH STEEL AND MAKE STUFF OUT OF STEEL.

AND I HAVE STEEL OUT IN MY YARD.

TO HAVE SOMEBODY COME BY AND SAY IT'S NOT PRETTY ENOUGH IS RIDICULOUS.

WE ALSO HAVE MY NEIGHBOR HAS JUST MOVED IN A BUNCH OF HORSES OVER THERE.

A BUNCH OF PEOPLE OUT IN THE VALLEY WITH HORSES.

I DON'T SEE ANYTHING WRONG WITH THE HORSES.

YOU GOT A BUNCH OF HORSES THAT, OF COURSE, THEY DRAW FLIES AND SMELL A LITTLE BIT BUT WE ARE OUT IN THE COUNTRY SO THERE'S NO REASON TO BE COMPLAINING ABOUT IT.

IF I DON'T LIKE THE HORSES, I CAN MOVE INTO GREEN VALLEY AND HAVE NO HORSES AND MY STEEL DOESN'T DRAW FLIES.

IT SITS IN THE SUN AND BAKES.

WE'VE GONE THROUGH IT FIVE YEARS AND PASSED AROUND FOR SEVERAL OTHER YEARS.

WE NEED TO TAKE THIS AND PUT IT IN THERE AND USE IT.

IF I REMEMBER WHAT -- WHAT WAS IT?

10 CARS ON TWO AND A HALF ACRES.

EIGHT OR 10 CARS ON THIS BILL WHICH IS A FAR CRY FROM A SALVAGE YARD.

HE DID SHOW A COUPLE OF PEOPLE THAT HAD -- IF YOU'VE GOT 100 CARS ON TWO AND A HALF ACRES, YES, YOU COULD SAY THAT IS A SALVAGE YARD. BUT IF YOU'VE GOT FIVE OR TEN. I'VE GOT A COUPLE OLD CHEVIES OUT THERE I'VE BEEN TAKING PARTS OF. AS SOON AS THEY ARE NOT GOOD ENOUGH FOR THE PARTS, I HAUL THE CAR OFF AND IT'S GONE. I HAVE TWO OLD CHEVIES AND A TRUCK OUT THERE. WE NEED TO GO ALONG WITH THIS. WE'VE HAD IT UP IN FRONT OF EVERYBODY FOR, WHAT? THREE OR FOUR YEARS? AND WE NEED TO MOVE ON IT. THANK YOU.

>> ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK?

>> YES, ROY FROM LOGAN DALE. I HAVE TWO ACRES. I MOVED OUT FROM LAS VEGAS. I'M A 9-YEAR RESIDENT AND 30 YEARS FROM LAS VEGAS. I MOVED OUT THERE, I'M AN AMATEUR RADIO OPERATOR FOR CLARK COUNTY. I HAVE A LARGE NUMBER OF ANTENNAS AND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT. CODE ENFORCEMENT SAID IT WAS JUNG AND I HAD TO REMOVE AT ALL. I HAD SIX VEHICLES ONLY TWO WERE UNLICENSED. THEY DIDN'T BOTHER TO CHECK TO SEE IF THEY WERE LICENSED. JUST MARKED THEM AS ABANDONED. I BELIEVE WE NEED A DIFFERENT STANDARD FOR THE RULE AREAS AND WHAT WE DO IN LAS VEGAS. THANK YOU.

>> ANYONE ELSE?

I'LL CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND CLOSE THE HEARING. A MOTION FOR APPROVAL. COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> THANK YOU.

JUST SO IT IS CLEAR, I DON'T THINK THE GENTLEMAN WHO CAME TO TALK WAS TRYING TO DISPARAGE WORK THAT WAS DONE I DIDN'T HEAR THAT. MOST OF THE WORK WAS BROUGHT TO LIGHT. SOME OF THE CONVERSATIONS BEFORE THEY WENT TO TOWN BOARD. I DO THINK ALL OF THE ISSUES HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED IN THE CHANGES THERE BECAUSE OF THE SIZE OF THE LOT ESPECIALLY PERMITS REQUIRED HAVE THE DEFINITION OF A SALVAGE YARD, THE SCREENING AND PAVING. YES, THERE ARE IMAGES OUT IN A RURAL AREA AS WELL AS IN TOWN. AT SOME POINT ONE PERSON'S TREASURE IS ANOTHER PERSON'S TRASH SO YOU HAVE TO DEAL WITH THAT BALANCING ACT AND YOU DON'T WANT CHERRY PICKING. YOU TRY NOT TO DO THAT. NANCY, DO YOU MIND WALKING THROUGH BECAUSE I THINK MOST OF IT WAS TAKEN CARE OF. I HAD CONCERNS WITH COMMISSIONER COLLINS AND GRACIOUS ENOUGH TO CLARIFY WHAT THEY WERE.

>> FOR THE RECORD SEPTEMBER 07 THE BOARD DIRECTED US TO GO OUT TO ALL THE TOWN BOARDS AND TO GATHER REQUIREMENTS WHAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE AND WE CAME UP WITH AN ORDINANCE AND WENT TO THE OUTLYING TOWN BOARD. THIS HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR A YEAR AND A HALF. WE HAD VISITED ALL OF THE TOWN BOARDS. ALL OF THE PICTURES SHOWN WOULD NOT BE PERMITTED AS THEY ARE TODAY EVEN WITH THIS ORDINANCE. ALL OF THE PICTURES APPEAR TO BE IN VIOLATION EVEN OF THIS ORDINANCE. THE TRUCK IN THE ROAD, THAT'S A METRO ISSUE. ONLY ONE COMMERCIAL ALLOWED ON A COMMERCIAL PROPERTY AND THAT WOULD BE EXCLUDING AN EMERGENCY VEHICLE OR PUBLIC VEHICLE. ANY MORE WOULD NEED TO GO THROUGH A PROCESS. WE'D HAVE TO TALK TO THE NEIGHBORS AND THAT SORT OF THING. WE DON'T HAVE SALVAGE YARD. SALVAGE YARD IS NOT IN THIS ORDINANCE. WE CHANGED IT TO OUTSIDE STORAGE AND SCREENED AND THROUGH THE PUBLIC PROCESS. THEY WOULD NEED SPECIAL. IT WOULD HAVE TO BE SCREENED BY A WALL, VEGETATION, BEHIND A BUILDING BUT COULD NOT BE VIEWED FROM THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY. HOBBY CARS. THERE ARE LIMITS TO THE NUMBER ON A PROPERTY OUTSIDE AN ENCLOSED BUILDING OR GARAGE. IT WOULD BE FOUR PER ACRE. THAT WOULD BE THE LIMIT. IF THEY WANT MORE, THEY HAVE TO COME BEFORE THE BOARD FOR SPECIAL USE PERMIT WE HAVE TRIED TO MAKE THERE BE A PROCESS FOR THOSE PEOPLE WHO MAY HAVE OUTSIDE STORAGE. MAY WANT TO HAVE THEIR STEEL. MAY WANT TO HAVE WOOD OR PIPES OR WHATEVER IT IS THAT THEY WANT FOR OUTSIDE STORAGE. THERE'S A PROCESS AND IT WILL NOT BE WILLY-NILLY. THEY HAVE TO GET APPROVAL AND PUBLIC PROCESS.

>> I THOUGHT IT WAS IMPORTANT TO NOTE FOR THE RECORD. I THINK IT'S A BALANCING ACT. THOSE WHO WORKED FOR FIVE YEARS, WORKING WITH COMMISSIONER COLLINS'S OFFICE, IT'S A HUGE FEAT TO BRING SOMETHING LIKE THIS FORWARD. I MADE NANCY GIVE ME MAPS BUT I THINK IN THE LONG RUN, THIS SHOULD WORK FOR BOTH GROUPS AND NOT TREAT THE AREAS THE SAME AS AN URBAN AREA AND WE'LL SEE WHAT HAPPENS. WE MAY GET BLESSED OR CRITICIZED DOWN THE ROAD. IT TAKES CARE OF MOST OF THE CONCERNS. THANK YOU.

>> COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

>> A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS FOR STAFF BECAUSE I HAD A LOT OF CONCERNS ABOUT THIS WHEN I GOT BRIEFED ON THIS ISSUE. WHAT EXACTLY IS SCREENING?

DOES THAT MEAN YOU CAN PLANT A COUPLE OF APPLE TREES AND SOME FRUIT TREES AND THAT'S ENOUGH?

I'VE GOTTEN PEOPLE ON BOTH SIDES AND I DON'T HAVE NEAR THE EXPERIENCE. MY COLLEAGUE HAS, IN THE RULES, YOU SEE ONE HOUSE THAT THERE'S THE ROSE GARDEN THAT IS THE METICULOUSLY KEPT GARDEN AND NOT A WEED NEAR THERE AND NEXT DOOR IS ONE OF THESE, LIKE HE SHOWED, ALL KINDS OF STUFF THERE. I KNOW NEIGHBORS DON'T LIKE TO CALL ON NEIGHBORS.

CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT THAT MEANS?

YOU'RE NOT SUPPOSED TO SEE ANY OF THESE ITEMS FROM THE ROAD.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

THE BEST WAY TO PUT IT, IF YOU'RE GOING TO PUT UP A VEGETATIVE SCREEN, YOU PLANT IT.

YOU SCREEN IT LIKE A WALL WOULD.

WE WANT YOU TO PLANT IT SO YOU CANNOT SEE IT.

>> WHO DECIDES IF IT'S SCREENING?

THE NEIGHBORS?

WE'RE NOT GOING TO GO ON STAFF AND LOOK AT HOW THEY SCREEN THE YARDS.

>> UNLESS THEY CALL US AND SAY THERE'S AN ISSUE.

GENERALLY THEY CAN'T -- THEY CAN'T PUT UP A ROSE GARDEN AND I KNOW YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT ONE PIECE OF PROPERTY.

APPLE TREES YOU WILL SEE UNDER.

THEY HAVE TO HAVE A NICE MIXTURE.

>> AND JUST FOR MY CLARIFICATION, THE PICTURES HE SHOWED IN THIS BOOK THAT I HAVE IN MY OFFICE, THOSE WOULDN'T BE ALLOWED BEFORE THIS PASSES OR AFTER THIS PASSES.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> OTHER QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS?

YOU HEARD THE MOTION.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 49ORD-0406-09.

THAT THE BOARD OF THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS CONSIDER AN ORDINANCE TO PROVIDE FOR COMMUNICATION TOWN ANTENNA REMOVAL OF THE USE CEASES, AND DIRECT STAFF ACCORDINGLY.

>> THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

ANYTHING?

SEEING NO ONE, CAST YOUR VOTE.

SORRY.

DON'T CAST YOUR VOTE.

COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

>> ARE WE GOING TO NOTIFY THAT NOW THIS IS AN ISSUE?

>> I CAN DEFER, BUT HONESTLY OUR CODE DOES SAY WHEN A USE IS ABANDONED, IT MUST BE REMOVED.

THIS IS JUST MORE SPECIFIC TO CELL TOWERS.

AM I CORRECT?

>> NOW I'VE SEEN THESE TURNED INTO THE UGLIEST PINE TREES YOU'VE SEEN.

AND FLAG POLES AND SAY IT'S A PINE TREE.
>> SO THEY NOTIFY THAT OR NO?
>> THE PROCESS IS THEY'LL BE NOTIFIED AND 30 DAYS TO RESPOND.
IF THEY ARE STILL USING IT THEY CAN COME BEFORE AND IF NOT SHOW THEY ARE
REMOVING IT.
WE CAN GO OUT THERE AND ASSESS THE COST.
>> CAN THEY SAY THEY ARE USING IT?
>> NO, IT HAS TO BE THE INTENDED USE, THE PRIMARY USE AS A COMMUNICATION
TOWER.
>> LET'S CAST OUR VOTES ON ITEM 49.
THAT MOTION CARRIES.
OUR COUNCIL HAD A PROCEDURAL QUESTION ON ITEM 47.
>> 47 WAS SUPPOSED TO BE AN INTRODUCTION OF AN ORDINANCE.
WE HAVE TO ANNOUNCE THE PUBLIC HEARING DATE OR INTRODUCTION DATE WHICH I
THINK IS IN TWO WEEKS.
MIGHT BE 30 DAYS.
>> PROCEDURALLY WHAT DO YOU WANT US TO DO?
>> ANNOUNCE THIS IS AN INTRODUCTION AND THE PUBLIC HEARING DATE WILL BE?
>> ITEM 47 AN INTRODUCTION AND IT WILL BE HEARD --
>> MAY 20, 2009, AT 1:00 P.M.
>> THANK YOU.
WE DID VOTE ON IT.
>> DO WE NEED TO VOTE ON THE WITHDRAWAL?
>> ON THE WITHDRAWAL OF THE MOTION THEN THE SHARE CAN ANNOUNCE A PUBLIC
HEARING DATE WHICH WE'VE DONE.
>> SO THERE'S A MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 47 WHICH
WILL BE HEARD MAY 20 AT 1:00 P.M.
IF YOU'RE CONFUSED.
TALK TO OUR LAWYER.
CAST YOUR VOTE.
MOTION CARRIES.
DID WE --
>> VOTE ON THE CELL TOWERS.
>> YES, WE DID.
THAT MOTION PASSED.
>> ITEM 50, ORDINANCE 0512-09 THAT THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
CONSIDER AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE UNITED DEVELOPMENT CODE TO INCREASE
FEES RELATED TO SUBDIVISION MAPPING AND CIVIL ENGINEERING.
>> THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.
ANYONE TO SPEAK ON THIS MATTER?
SEEING NO ONE I'LL TURN IT OVER TO THE BOARD.
A MOTION FOR APPROVAL.
CAST YOUR VOTE.
MOTION CARRIES.
>> ITEM 51 ORDINANCE 511-09 AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP
RECLASSIFYING CERTAIN PROPERTIES AS APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY
COMMISSIONERS THROUGH VARIOUS ZONE CHANGE APPLICATIONS ON MARCH 18, 2009.

>> THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

ANYONE TO SPEAK?

SEEING NO ONE I'LL CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.

MOTION FOR APPROVAL.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

THIS IS THE TIME SET ASIDE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

ANYONE HERE WISHING TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS PLEASE COME FORWARD.

STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD AND OUR PRACTICE IS TO ALLOW EACH SPEAKER THREE MINUTES.

>> MY NAME IS SUSAN BRAGER -- IVY.

I'M SPEAKING AS A RESIDENT OF CLARK COUNTY ONLY.

I'M ALSO A DOG AFICIONADO AND TROUBLED TO HEAR THAT THE AREA FOUR IN THE PARK WHICH HAS RECENTLY BEEN REFURBISHED AND USED FOR SPECIAL EVENTS WAS GOING TO BE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC.

I'VE GONE TO THE DOG PARK IN THE PAST WHEN THERE WAS NO SECURED AREA AND LITERALLY HAD TO FIGHT OTHER DOGS TO KEEP THEM FROM FIGHTING WITH MY DOG. I'M NO LONGER PHYSICALLY ABLE TO DO THIS.

BUT THEY -- HAVING A SPECIAL AREA MAKES IT MUCH, MUCH EASIER ON PEOPLE WHO ARE TRYING TO TRAIN AND/OR SHOW THEIR DOGS PERFORMANCE AND ABILITIES.

AND I BELIEVE THAT A QUALITY DOG PARK AND DOG FACILITY COULD ATTRACT MORE EVENTS TO THE COMMUNITY WHICH WILL BRING IN MORE MONEY.

IF YOU HAVE 1,000 DOGS YOU HAVE 1,000 POEM AND THAT'S ALL REVENUE THAT GOES INTO THE LOCAL ECONOMY.

IN ADDITION YOU'RE NOT JUST LOOKING AT THE FOCUSED IN DIRECT PROXIMITY TO THE DOG PARK.

YOU'RE LOOKING AT PEOPLE FROM THE COUNTY THAT WOULD BE ABLE TO GO DOWN THERE AND USE THE AREA AS A SAFE AREA IN WHICH TO TRAIN THEIR DOGS.

WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO REALIZING THIS IS THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD IS REQUEST THIS ITEM BE PLACED ON SUBSEQUENT AGENDA SO WE COULD ADDRESS IT THOROUGHLY AND I THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR ATTENTION AND GIVE YOU ONE OF MY FAMOUS MEMOS.

>> WE'VE SEEN A FEW OF THOSE MEMOS.

CAN I ASK BEFORE WE GO TO THE NEXT SPEAKER, WHO ELSE IS HERE WITH THIS SAME ISSUE?

YOU CAN RAISE YOUR HAND.

I THINK IT'S ALL OF YOU.

APPRECIATE IT.

THIS IS IN MY DISTRICT FOR MY COLLEAGUE'S BENEFIT.

YOU'RE ALL WELCOME TO COME UP HERE AND TALK BUT WHAT MIGHT BE BETTER IS IF I HOST A MEETING.

COME ON UP.

BEFORE YOU SPEAK WHAT I WAS GOING TO SUGGEST, BLANCA FROM MY OFFICE IS THERE AND SHE MAY KNOW ALL OF YOU.

I'VE GOT A FEW E-MAILS ON BOTH SIDES OF THE ISSUE.

I KNOW IT'S A CONTROVERSY.

WE CAN'T TAKE ANY ACTION TODAY BUT IF YOU'VE ALREADY E-MAILED ME WE KNOW WHO YOU ARE.

IF YOU HAVEN'T, SEE BLANCA AND WE'LL SET UP A MEETING WHERE I WILL COME AND YOU CAN TELL ME WHAT YOU THINK.

I KNOW WHAT YOU THINK.

I ASSUME ALL OF YOU ARE WITH THE CLUBS AND YOU'RE HERE BECAUSE YOU WANT IT TO BE PRIVATE, CORRECT?

>> IF I MIGHT ASK, HOW MANY OF YOU ARE PERMITTED DOG FANCIERS?

>> PERMITS?

THE COUNTY HAS A CODE THAT REQUIRES THAT DOG FANCIERS BE PERMITTED.

I BELIEVE THE CITY DOES AS WELL.

I'M CURIOUS HOW MANY ARE IN COMPLIANCE?

>> I'VE GOT THREE.

>> I'VE GOT TWO.

>> WELL, THAT'S SOMETHING WE CAN TALK ABOUT AT THE MEETING IF YOU'D LIKE.

I'M HAPPY TO LISTEN TO YOU.

I WAS OFFERING THAT BECAUSE IT MIGHT BE A BETTER FORUM.

I'M SUPPOSED TO JUST LISTEN AND I'D RATHER TALK.

>> THANK YOU.

>> FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO THANK YOU ALL FOR GIVING US THIS TIME.

THESE ARE LETTERS OF SUPPORT AND THIS IS A BOOK OF A SHOW WE HAD WITH A THOUSAND OR SO SHOWING THEIR DOGS IN THIS AREA.

WHOEVER I NEED TO GIVE THESE TO, I WILL DO SO.

MY NAME IS VIRGINIA AUSTIN AND I LIVE ON PONDEROSA WAY.

ON BEHALF OF THE LOCAL DOG CLUBS AND EXHIBITERS FROM NEIGHBORING STATES I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR RENOVATIONS AT DOG FANCIER PARK.

WE ARE FORTUNATE TO HAVE A BEAUTIFUL VENUE THAT CAN HOST A THOUSAND OR MORE DOGS AND THEIR PEOPLE.

DOG FANCIER PARK WAS DEVELOPED IN THE 1970s BY AND FOR DOG FANCIERS TO TRAIN DOGS AND HOLD DOG EVENTS.

AS THE NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPS OVER THE YEARS, RESIDENTS REQUESTED AN AREA TO RUN THEIR PET DOGS AND PROMISES WERE MADE AND AREA FIVE WAS FENCED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

THE REST OF THE PARK REMAINED AVAILABLE FOR ITS ORIGINAL INTENT AND RESIDENTS REQUESTED MORE ROOM AND AREAS ONE, TWO AND THREE WERE DEVELOPED. AND YET STILL AVAILABLE BY RESERVATION FOR TRAINING AND EVENTS.

WHEN THESE AREAS WERE RENTED BY CLUBS AROUND THE VALLEY, THE CLUB MEMBERS WHO PAID FOR THE AREA AND ALSO PAID FOR INSURANCE WERE OFTEN MET WITH OPEN HOSTILITY BY THE PUBLIC AND OUT OF CONTROL UNLEASHED AGGRESSIVE DOGS AND ENTERED ON TO DOGS WITH NO GRASS AND DOG FECES THAT COULD VERY WELL PRESENT HEALTH HAZARDS TO BOTH HUMAN AND ANIMAL.

BECAUSE OF THIS, MANY CLUBS STOPPED RESERVING AND USING THE PARK.

JUST AS THERE ARE SPECIAL INTEREST PARKS FOR SPORTS SUCH AS SOCCER AND BASEBALL, WE ARE ASKING AREA FOUR, ONE OF MANY AREAS THAT THIS ONE AREA BE AVAILABLE ONLY BY PAID RESERVATION WITH PROOF OF INSURANCE TO ORGANIZE DOG CLUBS FOR TRAINING AND EVENTS FOR ALL DOGS BOTH PURE BRED AND MIXED BREED.

AND IN ITS CURRENT RENOVATED CONDITION WHICH IS FLAT, GREEN, HOLE-FREE AND WELL MAINTAINED.

IN THE NEWLY RECREATED AREA FOUR MADE AVAILABLE TO DOG CLUBS IT WILL ONCE AGAIN BE RENTED ON A REGULAR BASIS BY DOG FANCIERS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY AND CALENDAR OF DOG ACTIVITIES AVAILABLE TO ALL COUNTY TAXPAYERS INVOLVING INCREASED REVENUE AND DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION.

I WILL RETURN AS AN EXHIBITER.

I NOT ONLY JUDGE THE SHOW BUT TOOK IN TWO SOFTBALL GAMES NOT TO MENTION THE SHOPPING AND CASINOS AND FOOD AVAILABLE.

HAD A GREAT TIME.

I COULD EASILY SEE THE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS GENERATED AT THE EVENT.

I SPENT MY SHARE.

CONSIDER THE MONEY GENERATED THAT WILL HELP WITH THE UPKEEP TO KEEP OTHER PARKS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

>> I'M EXCITED TO SEE ALL THESE FOLKS HERE AND EXCITED CLARK COUNTY MADE IMPROVEMENTS.

A FEW MONTHS AGO THAT NATIONAL DOG SHOW.

WE WERE FIRST GO TO HAVE IT AT THE FANCIERS PARK AND ENDED UP AT SOUTH POINT BUT SENT A MESSAGE THERE.

I'M REMINDED I WAS PRESIDENT OF THE HORSE COUNCIL, JUST AN ASSEMBLYMAN AND COMMISSIONER REID HELD A TOWN HALL MEETING AT THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL BECAUSE A DEVELOPER HAD COME OUT IN THAT AREA OF THE DISTRICT IN NEED OF PARKS AND GOING TO COME AND BUILD ON THIS PARK.

YOU HAD TO PARK ABOUT THREE BLOCKS AWAY BETWEEN THE HORSE OWNERS AND DOG OWNERS AND MAYBE SOME OF YOU WERE AT THAT MEETING.

I'LL I'M TELLING YOU WHAT, I'VE NEVER SEEN EVER SO MUCH, I DON'T KNOW THE WORD BLIND SIDED OR SHOCKED AT THE TURNOUT, I DON'T THINK YOU REALIZE HOW MANY FOLKS THROUGH CLARK COUNTY PARTICIPATE IN THOSE THINGS AT THE DOG PARKS.

A DUG RUN AT ALEXANDER.

ONE AT SHADOW ROCK.

COMMISSIONER REID HAS THE BEST DOG FANCIER'S PARK.

IT'S WELL USED AND IT'S BEEN -- PARKS HAS TAKEN A NEW EFFORT MAKING IT NICER FOR YOU GUYS AND NEVER FORGET THAT NIGHT WHEN COMMISSIONER REID HAD SO MANY READY TO HANG HIM OR WHATEVER AND HE SURVIVED IT WITH THE GREAT TALENT.

>> I WASN'T THIS SKINNY BEFORE THAT MEETING.

>> I USED TO WEIGH 190-POUNDS.

>> INTERESTING.

I CAN ASSURE EVERYBODY THIS YEAR THAT CHAIRMAN REID KNOWS HOW IMPORTANT FANCY DOG PARK WOULD BE.

>> YES, SIR.

>> MIKE CONNELL EAST CAREY AVENUE.

JUST A LITTLE BACKGROUND WHY WE WOULD LIKE TO KEEP AREA FOUR FOR SPECIAL EVENTS.

I'M PAST PRESIDENT OF SILVER STATE CLUB.

A DELEGATE TO THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB.

WE HAVE JUST INTRODUCED A NEW PROGRAM CALLED THE MIXED BREED LISTING WHICH WE WILL BE INCORPORATED INTO OUR ACTIVITIES WHICH WILL BRING MORE RESERVATIONS FOR AREA FOUR TO PUT ON MORE EVENTS.

SOME OF YOU WHO HAVE BEEN HERE A WHILE, IF YOU REMEMBER ME IN 2005, I WAS INSTRUMENTAL PUTTING UP THE ANIMAL OXYGEN RECOVERY MASK TO ALL OF CLARK COUNTY, LAS VEGAS THE FIRST COUNTY IN THE UNITED STATES TO DO THAT.

WE CONTINUED ON WITH THE COMPLETE DOG BOOK CHILD VERSION TO ALL ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS.

THIS IS WHAT WE DO WITH THE MONEY WE GET FROM PUTTING ON THESE EVENTS.

WE'VE NEVER HAD SUCH COMPLIMENTS AS WE DID FROM OUR APRIL DOG SHOWS.

THE BEAUTY AND NICETY OF AREA FOUR.

WE'VE HAD THEM AT DOG FANCIER'S PARK BEFORE, THE STADIUM, AT THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE, THIS WAS THE FIRST TIME AND FROM ALL OVER THE UNITED STATES WE HAD PEOPLE COME.

WE HAD RAVE REVIEWS ON HOW BEAUTIFUL THAT PARK WAS.

AND IF ANYBODY WANTS TO SEE WHAT HAPPENS WHEN THE GENERAL PUBLIC IS TURNED LOOSE AND GO DOWN AND LOOK AT AREAS ONE, TWO, THREE AND FIVE.

THERE ARE WEEDS, DOG DITCHES WHERE THE DOGS DIG HOLES.

THE FECES IS OUTRAGEOUS.

IF YOU WANT TO KNOW HOW GOOD WE ARE, TALK TO THE MAINTENANCE PEOPLE AT DOG FANCIER'S PARK AFTER OUR EVENT.

THEY COULD NOT EVEN FIND A CIGARETTE BUTT ANYWHERE.

THANK YOU.

>> CAN I ASK A QUESTION, SIR?

MR. CHAIRMAN?

>> COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

>> YOU MENTIONED SOMETHING ABOUT A MIXED BREED SHOW AND I WANTED TO KNOW IF THAT INCLUDED TERRIERS?

>> A MIXED BREED LISTING.

THAT CAN BE A PURE BREAD DOG, SAY YOU'VE GOT A POODLE BUT BOUGHT IT -- A HORRIBLE THING TO SAY, A BACKYARD BREEDER.

THEY DIDN'T HAVE PAPERS BUT A NICE, WONDERFUL DOG YOU WANT TO TEACH, FIELD TRIALS AND BE ABLE TO COMPETE.

THE AMERICAN KENNEL CLUB WORKED ON THIS FOR TWO YEARS AND INSTRUMENTAL IN PUSHING THIS THROUGH, WE WILL HAVE A MIXED BREED LISTING STARTING IN OCTOBER AND NEVADA DOG FANCIERS WILL START TEACHING MIXED BREED PEOPLE AND BE ABLE TO SHOW THEIR DOGS AND EVENTS AND GET CERTIFICATIONS.

THE THING WE LIKE ABOUT THIS IS WE WILL DRAW GENERAL PEOPLE IN WITH THEIR MIXED BREED DOG INTO THE WORLD OF SHOWING AND EDUCATION OF THE HEALTH, WELFARE AND SECURITY OF THEIR ANIMALS SO THAT THEY CAN BECOME A VIABLE PART TO STOP INDISCRIMINATE BREEDING AND GOES TO OUR EVENTS.

THANK YOU.

>> I'D LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT THE BACKYARD BREEDERS.
I'D LIKE THE INPUT.

>> ANYTHING WE CAN DO WE WANT TO EDUCATE.
>> HER NAME IS GIUNCHIGLIANI.
YOU DON'T HAVE TO SPELL IT.

>> I AM A LICENSED BREEDER OF SHETLAND DOGS.
HAVE BEEN FOR MANY YEARS.
I AM ONE OF THE TRAINING DIRECTORS OF DOG OBEDIENCE CLUBS.
BEFORE WE HAD TRAINING CLASSES DOWN IN DOG FANCIERS PARK NOW.
WE ARE IN AREAS ONE, TWO AND THREE.
I HAVE TO GET THERE EARLY WITH THE OTHER TRAINING DIRECTOR AND BECAUSE
ONE, TWO AND THREE IS USED THE REST OF THE WEEK, WE HAVE TO GET THERE
EXTRA EARLY AND GO ON POOPER-SCOOPER DUTY AND FOR GOOD HOUR BOTH OF US
HAVE TO GO AND SCOOP UP WHAT THE PUBLIC HAS LEFT FOR US AND FOR A GOOD
HOUR WE HAVE TO CLEAN UP THAT PARK FOR OUR DOGS NOT TO HAVE TO WORK AND
TRAIN AND WHAT THEY HAVE LEFT.
IF AREA FOUR HOPEFULLY IS NOT LEFT OPEN FOR THE PUBLIC USE, MAYBE WE
WOULDN'T HAVE THAT KIND OF A PROBLEM.
I JUST WANTED TO THROW THAT OUT THERE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> IF I CAN SUGGEST -- I'M HAPPY TO MEET WITH ALL OF YOU IF YOU WANT TO
COME.
IT MIGHT BE MORE PRODUCTIVE IF THERE ARE DIFFERENT ORGANIZATIONS
REPRESENTED HERE IF YOU SELECT A PERSON THAT CAN SPEAK FOR YOUR
ORGANIZATION, I'M HAPPY TO HAVE 100 OF YOU.
IT MIGHT BE A PRODUCTIVE DISCUSSION IF THERE'S WHATEVER NUMBER 10 OR 12
REPRESENTATIVES FROM ALL THE ORGANIZATIONS AUTHORIZED TO SPEAK IT MIGHT BE
A MORE PRODUCTIVE DISCUSSION.
BLANCA IS OVER THERE.
IF SHE DOESN'T KNOW WHO YOU ARE, INTRODUCE YOURSELF AND GIVE HER
INFORMATION AND SCHEDULE SOMETHING HERE.
APPRECIATE IT.
MA'AM, DO YOU WANT TO SPEAK ON ANOTHER ITEM?

>> YES.

>> MY NAME IS-- MARGARET COLEMAN.
I'M ONE OF THOSE VICTIMS. WHAT I'M TRYING TO SHOW YOU IS THE LANE THAT I
FIRST PUT IN 2001 FOR \$3 MILLION.
I HAVE BEEN TO THE BOARD MEETING.
I HAVE COPIES OF THE TIMES I HAD ATTENDED THAT I WOULD LIKE TO SUBMIT AT
THE SAME TIME.
I'M STILL IN DEBATE TRYING TO GET YOU TO SEE MY POINT THAT I'M NEEDING
REIMBURSEMENT.
I'M UP ON WAGE DISCRIMINATION.
THERE WAS MY FIRST OPENING COMING TO THE BOARD OF THE COMMISSIONERS.
I HAD EVERYTHING IN ORDER HERE.
ANYWAY, THIS IS INFORMATION THAT I WOULD LIKE TO TURN INTO YOU CONCERNING
MY ISSUE AND REASONS FOR BEING HERE.

1/20/2009 MARGARET COLEMAN SPOKE REGARDING WAGE DISCRIMINATION.
A COURT SETTLEMENT.

THIS IS THE LIEN PLACED AS I STAYED BEFORE FOR \$3 BILLION THAT HAS BEEN
AWARDED TO ME.

2/17/09 I SPOKE UPON VARIOUS STATE ABUSE ALSO REIMBURSEMENT AND ALSO THE
WATER ISSUE THAT I WAS THE ONE SPOKE UP.

THE REASON I DID WHEN THE LIEN AS WELL AS THE COURT ORDER.

IN ORDER TO RECEIVE BECAUSE THE INJURY WAS CAUSED ON THE JOB OR DUE FROM
THE JOB.

3/09 MARGARET COLEMAN WAS INVOLVED ON ILLEGAL PROCEDURES AND PROPERTY
ENTITLEMENT.

PROPERTY ENTITLEMENTS WAS ACCESSORIES OFFICE WHERE I PRESENTED TO THE
BOARD INFORMATION CONCERNING ALL THE DIFFERENT PEOPLE COMING ROBBING MY
LIEN WHERE I COULDN'T USE IT MYSELF.

THE \$3 BILLION WAS IMPORTANT TO ME.

I'M A HOMELESS VICTIM DUE TO THEM USING ALL MY OPPORTUNITIES TO HELP
MYSELF, THEY BUILT, GIVING THE MONEY TO DEVELOPMENT AND PEOPLE IN THE
STATE HERE IN NEVADA.

SO I'M IN AN ISSUE HERE OF NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE BOARD CONTACTING ME.

I TURNED IN A LETTER TO THE OFFICE.

I TALKED WITH MR. TOM COLLINS YESTERDAY AND HE SAID HE DIDN'T GET A COPY
OF IT.

BUT I WOULD LIKE TO SUBMIT IT AGAIN TO THE BOARD.

MY QUESTION IS HE GET A COPY OF IT.

IT WAS SUBMITTED APRIL 8 -- OR APRIL 7.

IF NOT, I WOULD LIKE TO SUBMIT IT AGAIN.

ALSO I'M WAITING ON AN ANSWER FROM THAT LETTER FROM EACH ONE OF YOU.

IF YOU DON'T GET IT WITHIN SAY A WEEK OR SO, I WOULD LIKE TO SET A MOTION
FOR APPEAL OF NONCOMPLIANCE.

[BELL]

-- WITH THE BOARD ETHICS DUE TO NONCOMPLIANCE WHERE I HAVE CAME FORTH
NUMEROUS TIMES FOR YOUR COOPERATION TO GET ME OFF THE STREETS.

I'M A HOMELESS VICTIM DUE TO RIP-OFF OF \$86 MILLION THAT WAS COLLECTED DUE
FROM THE UNION INFORMATION AND YOU DID TRY TO GET THESE PEOPLE TO COME
FORTH FOR INFORMATION OF THEM OF WHAT THEY COLLECTED AND WHAT THEY WERE
DOING, SOCIAL SERVICES WHERE I WAS HERE AT EACH ONE OF THOSE MEETINGS.
SO WITHIN THE WEEK IF YOU COULD GIVE ME AN ANSWER.

AT 201 LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD, 89125.

>> THANK YOU.

I WOULD LIKE TO SUBMIT THIS AS A COPY TO THE BOARD OF ETHICS SO YOU CAN
KNOW WHERE I STAND AND ONE REASON I COME FORTH I'M ENTITLED TO THAT MONEY.

>> LET ME ASK IF THERE'S ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK?

>> ANY QUESTIONS YOU'D LIKE TO ASK ME?

>> I DON'T BELIEVE SO.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

>> ALL RIGHT.

THANK YOU.

>> MY NAME IS DAVID HOLMES.
I LIVE ON 50 ANNE ROAD, LAS VEGAS.
I BELIEVE YOU SHOULD HAVE GOTTEN THIS LETTER FROM ME LAST WEEK.
I TOOK IT TO THE COMMISSION OFFICE AND EACH ONE OF YOU GET ONE OF THESE?
A LETTER FROM ME STATING I WANT MY S.I.D.
MONEY I PAID BACK FOR REASONS OF ILLEGALITIES.
>> WE GET A LOT OF CORRESPONDENCE.
YOU CAN SAY WHATEVER YOU LIKE AND LEAVE A COPY FOR US IF YOU HAVE IT.
>> IF YOU DON'T KNOW, I WOULD LIKE PUT ON THE AGENDA FOR THE NEXT MEETING
SO WE CAN VOTE ON IT BECAUSE I WANT MY MONEY BACK.
I GAVE IT TO YOUR LADY UPSTAIRS WHO WAS SUPPOSED TO GIVE IT TO YOU GUYS.
I PUT IT ON THE TABLE AND SHOW IT TO THE CITY BECAUSE YOU GUYS -- BIG
TIME.
I WANT MY MONEY BACK BECAUSE IT'S ILLEGAL AND PLEASE PAY ATTENTION TO WHAT
I'M SAYING BECAUSE YOU DON'T WANT THIS GOING OUT.
-- AND THE CITY HAD AND IF THIS WAS TO GET OUT IN THE OPEN AND I WAS TO
WIN THIS CASE THE CITY WOULD BE INUNDATED WITH COURT CASES.
THAT'S THE ONLY ISSUE BECAUSE I SPENT TWO YEARS AND \$50,000 OF MY MONEY TO
RESEARCH THIS.
THE ONLY THING I DIDN'T DO WAS HOW TO SUBMIT IT TO THE COURT.
THAT'S WHERE I BLEW IT.
I HAVE ANYTHING AND I'LL DEAL WITH YOU NEXT TIME.
YOU WANT TO PUT IT ON THE AGENDA.
LET GO.
PAY ATTENTION BECAUSE YOU DON'T WANT IT OUT THERE.
>> THANK YOU.
ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK?
THIS MEETING IS ADJOURNED.