

>> WE WILL CALL TO ORDER THE MEETING OF THE LAS VEGAS WATER DISTRICT.
>> MR. PRESIDENT MEMBERS OF THE BOARD THE AGENDA HAS BEEN PROPERLY NOTICED AND APPOINTED.
>> THANK YOU, ITEM ONE APPROVE THE AGENDA WITH THE IN CONCLUSION OF TABLE AND RECONSIDERED EMERGENCY ITEMS UNDER DELETION OF ITEMS AND APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF APRIL 7, 2009.
>> SO MOVED.
>> BROWN: ANY DISCUSSION?
ALL IN FAVOR?
CAST YOUR VOTE.
MOTION PASSES.
ITEM NUMBER 2-4.
>> ITEMS 2-4 COMPRISE YOUR CONSENT AGENDA AND MAY BE TAKEN AS ONE MOTION.
>> BROWN: ANY DISCUSSION?
CAST YOUR VOTE.
MOTION PASSES.
>> ITEM 5 ASKS THE BOARD APPROVE THE AMENDMENT OF THE DEVELOPMENT CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT TO ADD A TWO YEAR EXTENSION FOR THE PROJECT FRAME FOR COMPLETION OF WATER FACILITIES PURSUANT TO APPROVED WATER MITIGATION PLANS AND MITT GATED CHARGES AND AUTHORIZE THE MANAGER TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT MR. WOMENER.
>> SIMPLY.
>> IT IS TO EXTEND THE AGREEMENT PAYING THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH EXTENDING THE AGREEMENT AND WATER QUALITY MITIGATION.
WE HAVE A LOT OF PARTIALLY FINISHED DEVELOPMENTS THERE.
IT IS NOT ENOUGH TO INSURE WATER QUALITY.
IT ALLOWS THEM NOT TO EVACUATE WATER FACILITIES.
IT WOULD BE EXPENSIVE TO PUT BACK IN SERVICE AND CONTINUE TO SERVE WHAT FEW PEOPLE ARE ON THOSE LINES WITH THE APPROPRIATE QUALITY OF WATER.
IT IS THE A GOOD THING FOR THE DEVELOPERS AND THE DISTRICT.
COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: IS THERE A COST BREAKDOWN.
HOW DO YOU FIGURE OUT WHAT YOU'RE CHARGING FOR THE MITIGATION.
>> THEY ARE PRIMARILY RESIDENTIAL AND BROKEN INTO LOT COSTS.
THEY WILL PAY A \$35 PER LOT FEE, IN ORDER TO DO THIS, PLUS THEY'LL PAY THE ACTUAL COST OF WHATEVER MITIGATION MEASURES ARE REQUIRED FOR THEIR PARTICULAR DEVELOPMENT.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: IT ALLOWS THEM TO SLOW DOWN IF THEY'RE NOT GOING FORWARD, UNFORTUNATELY.
>> IT WOULD COST THEM MUCH MORE LATER.
IF THERE ARE CUSTOMERS THAT MAINTAIN WATER QUALITY.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: THERE ARE SOME HOUSES IN THE SUBDIVISION.
>> THAT'S WHAT THIS ADDRESSED.
>> WE MET WITH THE HOME BUILDERS.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: I MOVE FOR APPROVAL IF, THERE'S NO QUESTION.
>> BROWN: MOTION PASSES.

>> ITEM 6 ASK THE BOARD SPECIFY NOTICE AND SET A PUBLIC HEARING TO BE HELD JUNE 6, 2009 REGARDING A PROPOSED REVISION TO THE SERVICE RULES PERTAINING TO CONSERVATION.

THE PROPOSED CONSERVATION MAKES EXISTING DROUGHT RESTRICTIONS PERMANENT. IT SETS THE PUBLIC HEARING.

>> BROWN: SIT THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR JUNE 2, 2009.

COMMISSIONER GIN GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: WE USE THE TERM IT GOT US IN TROUBLE. SERVICE RULES.

IT IS NOT A RATE INCREASE.

IT IS SOLELY TO DEAL WITH PUTTING THE DROUGHT--

>> RIGHT.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: IN THE RULES.

>> IN PERPETUITY.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: OKAY.

>> BROWN: 9:00 A.M..

>> WE NEED A MOTION.

>> BROWN: YOU NEED A MOTION TO SET IT?

ANY DISCUSSION?

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION PASSES.

>> ITEM 7 ASK THE BOARD REINSTATE THE POINT WITH A COMMERCIAL PAPER RESOLUTION, MR. WIMER.

>> YES, ALL THIS DID IS GET THE MECHANICS IN PLACE TO CONTINUE THE COMMERCIAL PAPER PROGRAM, WHICH HAS BEEN VERY IS, VERY SUCCESSFUL AT THE AUTHORITY AND THE DISTRICT, FOR THAT MATTER. EVER.

>> BROWN: MOTION.

COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: MERRILL LYNCH DIDN'T THEY GET BOUGHT OUT BY SOMEONE ELSE.

>> BROWN: BANK OF AMERICA.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: THIS JUST DEALS WITH THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MERRILL LYNCH AND THE DISTRICT.

DO WE HAVE TO NOTE THERE'S A CHANGE IN THAT?

>> THERE'S NO CHANGE IN THAT ALL RIGHT.

THANK YOU.

>> BROWN: MOTION, CAST YOUR VOTE.

ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION PASSES.

>> ITEM 8 ASK THE BOARD CONSIDER AND ADOPT THE LAS VEGAS WATERSHED ADVISORY COMMITTEE REGIONAL WATER QUALITY PLAN.

THIS PLAN HAS BEEN TO EVERY OTHER AGENCY.

THIS IS THE LAST AGENCY TO CONSIDER ADOPTION OF THIS PLAN.

>> BROWN: ANY DISCUSSION?

>> BRAGER: MOTION FOR APPROVAL.

>> BROWN: CAST YOUR VOTE.
MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.
THIS IS THE TIME SET ASIDE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT?
IS THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT!
THOSE WISHING TO SPEAK COME FORWARD.
PLEASE LIMIT YOUR COMMENTS TO THREE MINUTES OR LESS.
HEARING AND SEEING NONE, THIS MEETING IS ADJOURNED.
DON'T GO ANYWHERE.
WE WILL CALL BIG BEND TO ORDER.
>> SISOLAK: WE WILL CALL TO ORDER THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BIG BEND
WATER DISTRICT TRUSTEES FOR MAY 5, 2009.
>> MR. CHAIRMAN.
MEMBERS OF THE BOARD THE ITEM HAS BEEN PROPERLY NOTICED AND POSTED ALONG
WITH THE MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 3, 2009.
>> SISOLAK: THANK YOU.
ITEM ONE APPROVE THE SPECIAL MEETING FEBRUARY 3, 2009.
CAST YOUR VOTE.
MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.
>> THERE'S ONE ITEM BEFORE YOU.
IT ASKS THE BOARD APPROVE THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CLARK COUNTY WATER
RECLAMATION AND THE BIG BEND WATER DISTRICT FOR DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, AND
FUNDING OF WATER DESIGN IMPROVEMENT.
BEFORE THE TRANSFER OCCURRED THE RECLAMATION DISTRICT BEGAN THE WORK.
THIS ALLOWS THEM TO FINISH THE WORK.
>> SISOLAK: COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: WHAT'S THE TIMELINE FOR COMPLETION?
>> RIGHT NOW AS FAR AS THE COMPLETION, THIS WAS BUNDLED WITH THE CLARK
COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS PROJECT GOING FORWARD.
I UNDERSTAND THEY HAVE PUT THAT ON HOLD.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: BECAUSE OF THE BUDGET CONSTRAINTS?
>> YES.
>> SISOLAK: MOTION.
CAST YOUR VOTE.
MOTION PASSES.
AT THIS TIME THE BOARD WILL HEAR GENERAL COMMENTS UNDER MATTERS OF THE
JURISDICTION OF THE BIG BEND WATER DISTRICT.
PLEASE LIMIT YOUR COMMENTS TO THREE MINUTES OR LESS.
ANYONE WISHING TO SPEAK PLEASE COME FORWARD.
>> HEARING AND SEEING NONE THIS MEETING ADJOURNED AS WELL.
>> REID: I'LL CALL THIS MEETING TO ORDER.
PLEASE RISE WITH ME FOR THE INVOCATION BY REVEREND MARY LAID LAW, AFTER
WHICH WE WILL HAVE THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.
>> LET US PRAY.
CREATOR OF ALL.
LOOK UPON US, YOUR SERVANTS WITH UNDERSTANDING AND COMPASSION.

IN THESE STRAINED ECONOMIC TIMES GUIDE OUR ELECTED AND APPOINTED LEADERS TO UTILIZE ALL OF THEIR ABILITIES TO FORMULATE WISE DECISIONS FOR THE REST OF US.

GIFT THEM WITH WISDOM, CLARITY OF THOUGHT AND THE ABILITY TO TRULY SEARCH OUT AND UNDERSTAND MORE THAN ONE SIDE OF EACH STORY.

HELP LEADERS AND CITIZENS ALIKE TO LOOK FOR THE BIG PICTURE AND PUT GREAT WEIGHT ON THE NECESSITY TO DISCERN THE RIGHT CHOICES.

GUIDE MEDIA TO INVESTIGATE AND PUBLISH BOTH SIDES OF THE WHOLE STORY SO, THAT PUBLIC OPINION IS NOT SKEWED OFF COURSE.

CALM OUR EXCITES, GIVE US A HEART TO HELP OTHERS WHO ARE HURTING MORE THAN WE ARE.

AND HELP US TO REMEMBER TO LOOK TO YOU FOR GRATITUDE FOR WHAT WE HAVE AND LOOK TO YOU WITH PRAYER FOR OUR CURRENTS NEEDS AND HOPES.

AMEN.

>> I LEDGE A LEAGUE AGAINST THE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

AND TO THE REPUBLIC, FOR WHICH IT STANDS.

ONE NATION, UNDER GOD, INDIVISIBLE, WITH LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL.

>> REID: GOOD MORNING.

>> GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS.

ITEM 1 IS APPROVAL OF THE REGULAR MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETINGS ON MARCH 3, AND MARCH 17, 2009.

>> REID: ANY DISCUSSION ON THE MINUTES?

>>.

>> BRAGER: MOVE FOR APPROVAL.

>> REID: MOTION TO APPROVE.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

>> MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 2 IS APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA WITH ANY DELETION OF ANY ITEMS. STAFF IS REQUESTING ITEM 6, AND ITEM 90 BE DELETED FROM YOUR AGENDA.

I WOULD LIKE TO READ FOR THE RECORD A CORRECTION UNDER 92 UNDER THE BUSINESS SECTION.

STAFF WOULD LIKE TO TAKE ACTION OR AS DEEMED APPROPRIATE.

>> SISOLAK: DID YOU SAY ITEM SIX IS BEING ELIMINATED?

>> YES.

>> REID: AND 90?

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

>> REID: ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON SIX AND 90?

ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE AGENDA?

>> BRAGER: MOTION FOR APPROVAL.

>> REID: YOU HEARD THE MOTION.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> SECTION TWO OF YOUR -- THESE GUYS ARE MAKING ME NUTS.

SECTION TWO OF THE CONSENT AGENDA IS -- .

>> REID: IS THAT YOUR EMPLOYEE EVALUATION FOR THE YEAR!

>> CONGRATULATIONS.

SENIOR MANAGER.

SECTION TWO IS THE CONSENT AGENDA.

ITEM 3-72 COMPOSE THE CONSENT AGENDA.

THE 58 THE AGENDA STATES THE AMOUNT OF \$3 MILLION.

IT SHOULD READ \$3.5 MILLION.

ITEM 6 HAS BEEN DELETED.

ANY DISCUSSION ON THE CONSENT AGENDA?

YOU'VE HEARD THE MOTION.

COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

>> SISOLAK: COULD I PULL ITEM 26 P32, AND 67?

-- 26, 32, AND 67?

>> SISOLAK: 26, 32, AND 67.

>> REID: THOSE WILL BE HEARD SEPARATELY.

ANY DISCLOSURES.

>> SISOLAK: ITEM NUMBER 47.

I'M INVOLVED WITH THAT CHARITY.

I DON'T KNOW IF THAT MEANS I NEED TO ABSTAIN.

I DON'T GET PAID, I'M JUST A DONOR.

>> I THINK IF YOU'RE NOT IN THE MANAGEMENT JUST DISCLOSURE WOULD BE SUFFICIENT.

>> JUST A HELPER BEE.

>> SISOLAK: I'M A HELPER AND KNOW THE DIRECTOR WELL.

>> THE DISCLOSURE IS SUFFICIENT.

>> REID: I'M A MEMBER OF THE THEIR HONOREE BOARD OF THAT SAME ORGANIZATION.

IS THAT A MATTER I NEED TO ABSTAIN.

>> YOU DON'T NEED TO ABSTAIN THE DISCLOSURE IS SUFFICIENT.

>> REID: I'LL ABSTAIN ON ITEM 44 BECAUSE OF THE WORK MY LAW FIRM DOES FOR AT OF THE APPLICANT?

OTHER DISCLOSURES WAS THERE A MOTION?

>> THERE WAS.

>> REID: CAST YOUR VOTE ON THE MOTION.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> SECTION THREE OF COMMISSIONER MANAGERS RECOGNITION.

ITEM 73 DESIGNATE MAY 9, 2009 AS NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF LETTER CARRIERS FOOD DRIVE DAY.

GLEN NORTHOR PRESIDENT OF THE LETTER CARRIERS BRANCH 2502 IS HERE TO ACCEPT THE PROCLAMATION.

>> REID: GIVEN THE ECONOMIC TIMES WE'RE IN THE NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES THAT NEED ASSISTANCE CONTINUES TO RISE.

AND AT AN ALARMING RATE.

IT IS ESTIMATED THAT THERE ARE 30 MILLION PEOPLE THAT ARE HUNGRY EVERY DAY IN AMERICA.

AMONGST THAT NUMBER ARE 12 MILLION CHILDREN.

ON SATURDAY, MAY 9, THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF LETTER CARRIERS, IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE THE AFL-CIO AND THE UNITED WAY IS CONDUCTING ITS ONE DAY HELP STAMP OUT FOOD HUNGRY.

IT WILL BE CONDUCTED IN ALL 50 STATES AND ALL OF THE U.S. TERRITORIES.

THIS IS THE LARGEST ONE-DAY FOOD DRIVE IN OUR COUNTRY.

THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF LETTER CARRIERS IS ASKING FOR PUBLIC SUPPORT, THEY'RE ASKING THAT WE LEAVE NONPERISHABLE ITEMS IN OR NEAR OUR POSTBOXES OR POSTAL OFFICE.

YOUR LETTER CARRIER WILL PICK IT UP AND DELIVER IT TO A LOCAL COMMUNITY FOOD BANK.

THESE NONPERISHABLE FOOD DONATIONS WILL GO TO 20 CHARITIES, INCLUDING THE SALVATION ARMY, CATHOLIC CHARITY ST.

JUDE RANCH FOR CHILDREN.

JEWISH FAMILY SERVICES AND SOCIAL SERVICES AND OTHER FOOD BANKS AROUND THE VALLEY.

IT IS MY HONOR ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE OF CLARK COUNTY TO PRESENT THIS PROCLAMATION RECOGNIZING THE EFFORTS OF ALL OF THOSE INVOLVED.

I HAVE BEEN HERE SIX OR SEVEN YEARS.

WE'VE DONE THIS EVERY YEAR.

I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY YEARS IN A ROW THE COUNTY COMMISSION HAS RECOGNIZED THIS EVENT.

IT IS A GREAT COMMUNITY EVENT, WHERE WE COME TOGETHER TO DO SOMETHING INDISPUTABLY GOOD.

WE'RE GRATEFUL FOR YOU AND WHAT YOUR ORGANIZATION DOES AND WOULD LOVE IT HEAR FROM YOU.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH COMMISSIONER.

I WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT YOU WITH THIS T SHIRT.

ON BEHALF OF THE LETTER CARRIERS AND OUR FOOD DRIVE.

AND I JUST WANT TO LET EVERYONE KNOW THIS THE EASIEST FOOD DRIVE IN THE WORLD.

PLACE A BAG OR TWO OF NONPERISHABLE FOOD ITEMS BY YOUR MAILBOX THIS SATURDAY BEFORE THE LETTER CARRIERS GETS THERE AND WE WILL DO THE NEXT.

IT IS MORE NEEDED THIS YEAR THAN EVER.

IT IS OUR 19TH YEAR OF DOING IT IN THE VALLEY.

LAST YEAR NATIONALLY WE COLLECTED OVER 73 MILLION POUNDS, WHICH IS GRADE. LOCALLY WE DID ALMOST .5 MILLION POUNDS.

WE NEED YOUR WORD TO GET OUT.

PLEASE DONATE AS MUCH AS YOU CAN.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

[APPLAUSE]

>> ITEM 74 IS PRESENT A PROCLAMATION TO THE CLARK COUNTY CHILD MENTAL HEALTH CONSORTIUM AS 2009 MAY 9, 2009.

OF THE MENTAL HEALTH.

ALONG WITH TOM HORTON OF FAMILY SERVICES AND THE DIRECTOR OF THE JUVENILE JUSTICE CENTER IS HERE TO ACCEPT THE PROCLAMATION.

COMMISSIONER WEEKLY.

>> WEEKLY: GOOD MORNING.

I WANT TO SAY I'M EXTREMELY HONORED MY OFFICE HAS BEEN ONE OF THOSE OFFICES THAT WE GET CONTACTED BY DIFFERENT AGENCIES THAT RECOGNIZE JUST

OUTSTANDING EFFORTS THROUGHOUT CLARK COUNTY -- JACKIE IS HERE TO TELL US ABOUT THE WONDERFUL EVIDENCE TO LET THE COMMUNITY KNOW HOW SUPPORTIVE THE COMMUNITY HAS BEEN.

AND THEN WE WILL HAVE MR. FRITZ, WHO HAPPENS TO BE A GREAT TENNIS PLAYER I JUST LEARNED.

THAT IS TRUE.

>> THANK YOU.

ON BEHALF OF THE CLARK COUNTY CHILDREN'S MENTAL HEALTH CONSORTIA I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE CLARK COUNTY CONSORTIUM AND TOM HORTON THE DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE SERVICES FOR THEIR SUPPORT OF CHILDREN MENTAL AWARENESS DAY, WHICH IS THURSDAY BRINGING THE AWARENESS TO THE NEEDS OF MENTAL HEALTH, PREVENTION AND TREATMENT AND ADVOCACY IN CLARK COUNTY.

THANK YOU TO ALL WHO ARE WEARING THE GREEN RIBBON AND PLEASE SUPPORT US THROUGHOUT THE MONTH FOR CHILDREN'S MENTAL HEALTH.

>> I ECHO THAT.

ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY I KNOW I SPEAK FOR CAM FAMILIARLY SERVICES THAT WE ARE CERTAINLY PROUD TO BE INVOLVED IN THESE EFFORTS, THANK YOU.

>> JACKIE AND FRITZ WE WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT YOU THIS PROCLAMATION AND CHILDREN'S MENTAL HEALTH AWARENESS DAY HERE IN CLARK COUNTY.

THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THE HARD WORK THAT YOU AND YOUR STAFF AND ALL OF THE VOLUNTEERS PROVIDE IN MAKING THIS AWARENESS POSSIBLE.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

AND CONGRATULATIONS.

[APPLAUSE]

>> PRESENT A PROCLAMATION MAY 2009 AS NEVADA CHILDREN BLIND AWARENESS MONTH.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> WOULD YOU LIKE TO COME BACK TO THAT?

>> ITEM 76 IS PRESENT A PROCLAMATION TO PAMELA TORRES.

>> REID: STAY THERE, WE'LL BE BACK TO YOU WE LOST THE COMMISSIONER.

ITEM 76 IS PRESENT A PROCLAMATION TO PAMELA TORRES THE FIFTH GRADE STUDENT FROM THOMAS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL WINNING THE COUNTY WIDE ANTI-GRAFFITI ART CONTEST.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER BROWN.

>> BROWN: EACH YEAR THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT DARE PROGRAM AND SOUTHERN NEVADA GRAFFITI COALITION SPONSOR THE ANTI-GRAFFITI AT THIS ART CONTEST.

THE WINNER WHO HAS BEEN ANNOUNCED OUT OF 150 STUDENTS.

OUR WINNER THIS MORNING PAMELA TORREZ SUBMITTED HER ART WORK.

NOW THAT WILL BE DISPLAYED ON A WRAPAROUND BUS, THAT WILL BE TRAVELING THROUGHOUT THE VALLEY ALL YEAR.

THERE WERE TOP 10 FINISHERS THAT WILL HAVE THAT ART WORK DISPLAYED INSIDE THE BUS.

IN ADDITION TO BEING RECOGNIZED THIS MORNING.

PAMELA WON A GIFT CERTIFICATE OF \$100, \$150 FOR ART SUPPLIES, AND THE SCHOOL ALSO RECEIVED THE \$300 CHECK BECAUSE OF HER GREAT ART WORK.

I WILL HAVE HER TELL YOU A LITTLE ABOUT IT.

MR. AND MR. TORRES THE PRESENCE PAL BEHIND US, DENIS CUBBALLA AND HER ART TEACHER SHANNON DAGEL.

PAMELA WHY DON'T YOU TELL US ABOUT THE ART WORK.

>> THE ART WORK WAS MOSTLY TO TELL CHILDREN THAT GRAFFITI IS NOT SUPPOSED TO BE ON THE WALL, BECAUSE IT DOES LOOK PRETTY SOMETIMES, BUT MOST OF THE TIME, IT DOESN'T MAKE -- IT MAKES MOST THINGS UGLY.

AND MY DAD INSPIRED ME TO WORK ON THIS ART WORK.

>> WE DON'T HAVE A PICTURE, BUT, ENVISION THIS.

THE WORD GRAFFITI AGAINST THE BACKDROP, A CANVASS THAT SIMULATES A WALL AND A CROSS THAT -- OR IT IS ON THE SCREEN.

WELL, LET'S SEE HOW WELL I DESCRIBED.

IT AND THERE WE GO WITH THE DON'T SIGN RIGHT THROUGH IT.

IT THAT'S FANTASTIC.

I ALSO WANTED TO RECOGNIZE FROM OUR CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC RESPONSE, OUR SUPERVISOR AND CINDY LUCAS.

I MET CINDY FOR THE FIRST TIME.

PAMELA CONGRATULATIONS.

YOU COMPETED AGAINST SOME GREAT, GREAT ART WORK.

YOU SHOULD BE PROUD.

YOUR PARENTS SHOULD BE PROUD AND THE SCHOOL SHOULD BE PROUD, SO CONGRATULATIONS.

[APPLAUSE]

>> ITEM 75 IS PRESENT A PROCLAMATION REPRESENTING MAY 2009 AS NEVADA BLIND CHILDREN'S AWARENESS MONTH.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: THANK YOU.

I BELIEVE WE HAVE A -- OH, GOOD.

THANK YOU.

>> THE NEVADA BLIND CHILDREN'S FOUNDATION, THEY HAVE SEVERAL KEY GOALS.

THEY'RE TO PROVIDE EDUCATIONAL AND THERAPEUTIC SERVICES FOR CHILDREN.

AND WHOSE VISION IS PRIMARY ON STACK TO LEARNING DISCRIMINATION.

AND PROVIDE ONE IN KIND RESOURCES BRAILLE, ELECTRIC BOOKS AND SO FORTH.

AS A SPECIAL ED TEACHER, I ESPECIALLY APPRECIATE THAT.

THEY'RE HERE TO COLLABORATE WITH SCHOOLS, COMMUNITY AGENCIES AND OTHERS TO ENABLE CHILDREN WHO ARE BLIND TO SUCCESSFULLY TRANSMISSION INTO DEVELOPING THEIR TRUE POTENTIAL.

WE HAVE WITH US -- LET ME HAVE EACH OF THEM INTRODUCE THEMSELVES.

>> KEVIN SPILL BURRY FOUNDER OF NEVADA BLIND CHILDREN FOUNDATION.

>> LINDAN MINENE DIRECTOR OF OPERATION.

>> DALE SPRANGBOARD SECRETARY.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: AS SAY SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHER WE FORGET THAT PEOPLE WITH A DISABILITY CAN OVERCOME THAT.

THE BLIND AND WHO WILL GROW UP INTO OUR VERY PRODUCTIVE ADULTS NEED ADDITIONAL SUPPORT.

I APPLAUD ALL OF YOU FOR THE FOUNDATION.

YOU HAVE BEEN PHENOMENAL TO WORK.

GOOD TO SEE KEVIN.

WE WANTED TO GIVE YOU A PROCLAMATION IN RECOGNITION OF THAT.

IF YOU WOULD LINING TO SAY A FEW WORDS.

>> THANK YOU.

>> DONATIONS ARE ALWAYS GOOD.

I THINK THAT -- NEVADA IS ONE OF ONLY TWO STATES IN THE UNITED STATES THAT HAS NO SCHOOL FOR THE BLIND.

BUT I THINK IT IS ALSO NICE TO KNOW THAT WE'RE MAKING PROGRESS.

BECAUSE LAST YEAR IN JULY OF 2008 WE OPENED UP THE FIRST RESOURCE LIBRARY FOR THE BLIND IN THE STATE OF NEVADA HISTORY.

I THINK THIS PROCLAMATION WILL GO A LONG WAYS TO RAISE AWARENESS TO HELP THESE CHILDREN WITH THEIR DISABILITY AND BRING AWARENESS TO THE PUBLIC.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: THAT'S A PHENOMENAL THING TO HAVE HAPPEN.

WE APPLAUD THE FOUNDATION AND GOOD LUCK AND END?

CONTRIBUTIONS IF YOU NEED TO.

HERE'S A LITTLE SOMETHING FROM US.

>> THANK YOU SO MUCH.

>> ITEM 77 IS PRESENT A PROCLAMATION DESIGNATING MAY 4, 2009 AS PUBLIC SERVICE RECOGNITION WEEK.

IRENE NAVIS PRESIDENT OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION SOUTHERN NEVADA CHAPTER IS HERE TO PRESENT A PROCLAMATION.

>> PUBLIC SERVICE RECOGNITION WEEK IS A TIME WE SET ASIDE EVERY YEAR TO HONOR THE MEN AND WOMEN, WHO SERVE AMERICA AS FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.

IT IS A TIME TO EDUCATE CITIZENS ABOUT THE MANY WAYS IN WHICH GOVERNMENT SERVICES IMPROVE THEIR LIVES.

AND YOU MAY NOT KNOW THIS, BUT CLARK COUNTY EMPLOYEES, APPROXIMATELY 10,151 PEOPLE IN FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME POSITIONS, EACH DAY THESE DEDICATED EMPLOYEES DO ALL THEY CAN TO MEET THE NEEDS OF OUR CITIZENS. THERE'S A LOT TO DO IN THIS VERY DYNAMIC AND DIVERSE COMMUNITY.

I WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE IRENE MAVITS WHO WILL SAY A LITTLE MORE AND GIVE YOU PROCLAMATION AFTER YOUR SPEECH.

>> THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS.

I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU ON BEHALF OF AS SPA.

THANK YOU ON BEHALF OF THE ALL OF THE DEDICATED EMPLOYEES OF CLARK COUNTY WHO COME TO WORK IN CHALLENGING CIRCUS TO DO WHAT WE DO BEST MANY THAT'S SERVE THE PUBLIC.

ASPA IS DEDICATED TO THE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF ALL PUBLIC EMPLOYEES WE DO THAT THROUGH NETWORKING AND OUR NATIONAL AWARD-WINNING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.

I THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

I APPRECIATE BEING HERE.

[APPLAUSE]

>> ON BEHALF OF A GRATEFUL COMMUNITY THIS PROCLAMATION DECLARED THIS PUBLIC SERVICE WEEK.

CLARK COUNTY HAS 2.5 EMPLOYEES PER 1,000 RESIDENTS.

THAT'S COMPARED TO SOME MUNICIPALITIES IN THIS VALLEY, WHO WILL REMAIN NAMELESS THAT HAVE AS MANY AS SEVEN.

OTHERS HAVE NEAR FIVE EMPLOYEES PER 1,000 RESIDENTS.

WHAT THAT SAYS IS THAT CLARK COUNTY EMPLOYEES ARE EXPECTED TO DO MORE WITH LESS.

I THINK I SPEAK FOR THE BOARD, WHEN I SAY THAT WE'RE GRATEFUL FOR ALL THEY DO.

IT IS OUR HONOR TO RECOGNIZE YOU AND THEM TODAY.

THANK YOU.

[APPLAUSE]

>> ITEM 78 IS RECOGNIZE THOSE EMPLOYEES FOR THEIR 30-35 YEARS OF SERVICE WITH CLARK COUNTY.

>> REID: THAT LAST ITEM IS A GOOD SEGUE.

I WOULD ASK THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD TO STAND HERE WITH ME.

THE PEOPLE I NAME WILL RECEIVE SOME RECOGNITION.

THEN WE WOULD LIKE THEM TO COME UP HERE AND BE SEEN ON CHANNEL 4.

THE FOLKS THAT I'M GOING TO RECOGNIZE HAVE WORKED INCREDIBLY HARD FOR CLARK COUNTY, FOR AN INCREDIBLY LONG TIME.

WE, AS I SAID ARE GRATEFUL FOR THEIR DEDICATION TO THE PEOPLE OF CLARK COUNTY AND FOR ALL THAT THEY DO.

AND I REALLY HOPE THAT I DON'T MISPRONOUNCE SOME OF THESE NAMES OR I WILL DO MY BEST.

FIRST OF ALL I WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE CLARENCE PROBLEM MY WHO HAS WORKED FOR CLARK COUNTY FOR 30 YEARS.

CLARENCE PROBE.

[APPLAUSE]

FROM THE ASSESSORS OFFICE.

KAY CRYER, 30 YEARS OF SERVICES.

[APPLAUSE]

>> FROM DISTRICT COURT.

LINDA GASKEL 30 YEARS OF SERVICE.

[APPLAUSE]

>> REID: FROM THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, DANIEL SANDAVAL, 30 YEARS.

>> REID: WHAT IS IT THAT YOU'RE GETTING FOR 30 YEARS?

>> A CLOCK.

>> FROM JUVENILE JUSTICE SERVICES GARY ABBOTT 30 YEARS.

>> REID: FROM PARKS AND RECREATION, RUSSELL THOMPSON.

30 YEARS.

FROM PUBLIC WORKS GILBERT SUYKO, 30 YEARS.

GILBERT GAVE ME A LOOK LIKE I REALLY MESSED UP HIS NAME.

I WILL ASK HIM.

>> FROM THE RECORDERS OFFICE, CHERYL RITTER.

30 YEARS.

[APPLAUSE]

GILBERT IS MR. SUYKO.

IS THAT RIGHT THE SECOND TIME?

>> FROM SOCIAL SERVICE, SHELIA DOSER.

[APPLAUSE]

>> CONGRATULATIONS.

30 YEARS OF SERVICE.

>> REID: AND FROM CLARK COUNTY WATER RECLAMATION DISTRICT, HANK RIVIERA.
[APPLAUSE]

CONGRATULATIONS.

IF 30 YEARS WASN'T ENOUGH, THE FOLLOWING FOUR INDIVIDUALS HAVE BEEN WITH
CLARK COUNTY FOR 35 YEARS.

FROM THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, JERRY FAIR WEATHER.

JERRY, 35 YEARS.

CONGRATULATIONS.

[APPLAUSE]

>> FROM THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, GAIN JIMMINEZ.

>> CONGRATULATIONS.

FROM JUVENILE JUSTICE SERVICES LANA TALMON.

AND FINALLY FROM PARK AND RECREATION, CLIVE HOWARD, 35 YEARS OF SERVICE.

[APPLAUSE]

>> REID: THERE'S A BUNCH OF YOU, I DON'T KNOW IF WE WANT TO HEAR A WORD
FROM EVERYONE.

ANY VOLUNTEERS.

AFTER 30 TO 35 YEARS THEY ARE SO EXHAUSTED.

THEY HAVE ABSOLUTELY NOTHING.

CLARENCE WORKED 30 YEARS, BUT HAS SOMETHING TO SAY.

>> JUST WANT TO SAY IT WAS A NICE, EASY 30 YEARS.

AT TIME IT WAS HARD AT TIME.

I WANT TO THANK RANDY AND ROSEMARY FOR THE TEAM THAT THEY PUT TOGETHER AT
THE AIRPORT.

I'M GOING TO MISS THAT.

I'M RETIRING JUNE 12TH.

[APPLAUSE]

>> REID: ANYONE ELSE?

NEXT TIME YOU HEAR SOMEONE SAY SOMETHING BAD ABOUT A PUBLIC EMPLOYEE.

REALIZE THAT THEY ARE PEOPLE LIKE THIS HAVE WORKED MANY YEARS SERVING THE
PUBLIC.

AGAIN, THANK YOU FOR ALL YOU HAVE DONE.

GET BACK TO WORK.

[APPLAUSE]

>> COMMISSIONERS SECTION FOUR IS ITEMS TAKEN STRAIGHTLY FROM THE CONSENT
AGENDA.

THE FIRST IS ITEM 26.

APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE DIRECTOR OF AVIATION TO SIGN 5-2266 BETWEEN
CLARK COUNTY.

AND TATE SNIDER KINSLEY ARCHITECTS.

FOR DESIGN SERVICES ASSOCIATED WITH THE D SATELLITE AT MCCARRAN
INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT.

>> COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

>> SISOLAK: MR. WALKER ANSWERED MOST OF THEM.

THE CONDITION BEING ONE OF THE NEW GUYS IN THE 200-TRIAL-DAY PERIOD.

THIS IS A \$3.5 MILLION PROJECT THAT THERE'S BEEN \$2.5 MILLION IN CHANGE
ORDERS AND ADJUSTMENTS.

I GUESS I'M LOOKING FOR ANSWERS.
IS THERE ANY POLICY HOW MANY YOU CAN HAVE IN CHANGE ORDERS.
HOW IS THAT -- IT SEEMS LIKE AN EXCESSIVE AM.
I KNOW SOME IS DESIGN, YOU SAID.
>> IN CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS, THAT'S TRUE.
THERE IS A CAP.
IN -- IN DESIGN CONTRACTS AS LONG AS WE BRING EACH AMENDMENT BACK AND
EXPLAIN IT HAVE IT APPROVED WE'RE ADJUSTING THE CONTRACT AND GET A
COMPLETE SEPARATE CONTRACT, PARTICULARLY FOR SCOPE CHANGES TO THE
CONTRACT, IN THIS PARTICULAR ONE THERE WERE MANY SCOPE CHANGES IN PART TO
DO WITH THE SOME OTHER ISSUES THAT WAS EASIER TO GET TATE SNIDE ERTHAN TO
NEGOTIATE A WHOLE NEW CONTRACT.
AS YOU ARE AWARE.
YOU CAN'T COMPETE OUT FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR PRICE IN ANY EVERYONE.
WE TRY TO DO IS FIND THE MOST EFFICIENT WAY OF GETTING THE SERVICES DONE
AND SOMETIMES AMENDING AN EXISTING CONTRACT, AS OPPOSED TO NEGOTIATING A
NEW CONTRACT IS THE MOST EFFICIENT WAY TO GET THINGS DONE.
IF YOU LOOK AT THIS PARTICULAR CONTRACT, SOME OF THESE CHANGES WERE SCOPE
THAT REALLY ACTUALLY WERE OUTSIDE OF THE ORIGINAL SCOPE OF THE CONTRACT N
TERMS OF THE FOUR LEG OF D.
WE HAVE SOME TERMINAL THREE ISSUES, WHICH WE NEEDED TO GET DONE FOR THE
BID.
WE DIDN'T WANT THE DESIGNER OF TERMINAL THREE TO DO THAT, BECAUSE THEY
WERE RELATED, BUT NOT DIRECT ISSUES, BECAUSE THEY WERE AIRPORT WIDE, SUCH
AS THE FLIGHT INFORMATION DISPLAY SYSTEM SOME OF THE NEW SIGNAGE ISSUES.
AND SO TATE AND SNIDER WAS UNDER CONTRACT AND WE FELT IT WAS EASIER TO DO
THAT.
WE COULD HAVE EASILY WRAPPED THAT INTO A DIFFERENT CONTRACT AND NEGOTIATE
WITH A NEW CONTRACT WITH TATE AND SNIDER OR SOME OTHER ARCHITECT.
WE FELT IT WAS MORE EFFICIENT TO DO IT THIS WAY.
>>.
>> BRAGER: CAST YOUR VOTE, IF THERE ARE NO OTHER QUESTIONS.
MOTION PASSES.
>> ITEM 32 APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE TO SIGN THE SUPPLEMENTAL AND ENGINEERING
CONTRACT BETWEEN.
ZACK ZUNINO ARCHITECT UR SERVICES TO COMPLETE THE WASH TRAIL BETWEEN
MCCARRAN AVENUE AND CHRISTY LANE AND CHARLTON AND LAKE MEAD BOULEVARD.
>> SISOLAK: THIS IS THE SAME ISSUE.
THIS IS A \$600,000 CONTRACT THAT WE DID \$1 MILLION IN SUPPLEMENTS ON.
IT JUST SEEMS WE SHOULD GET A BETTER HANDLE ON THE SCOPE, BEFORE WE DO
THEM.
>> DENIS CEDARBURG, PUBLIC WORKS.
COMMISSIONER THIS IS WHAT WE DID HERE.
IT IS A TWO-PART.
THE FIRST WAS TO DETERMINE WHAT THE FINAL SCOPE WOULD BE.
THE INITIAL PORTION LOOKED AT ALIGNMENT FOR THE TRAIL.
LOOKED AT HELD VARIOUS PUBLIC MEETINGS.

IT WAS ORIGINALLY PROPOSED THROUGH THE DESERT ROSE GOLF COURSE.
WE LOOK AT ALIGNMENT BETWEEN SLOAN AND CROSSINGS OF NELLIS WHETHER IT IS
UNDERPASS OR OVERPASS.

WE ALSO LOOKED AT THE ALTERNATIVE BETWEEN OWENS AND LAKE MEAD.
ONCE WE WENT THROUGH ALL OF THE PUBLIC MEETINGS.

WE DETERMINED THE FINAL ALIGNMENT.

WE INITIATED THIS ADDITIONAL SCOPE, WHICH WOULD PROVIDE THE FINAL DESIGN
AND SPECS FOR THE PROJECT.

>> BRAGER: A QUESTION FROM COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: ESPECIALLY ON THE SLOAN, BECAUSE WE HAD NEIGHBORHOOD
MEETINGS STARTING TWO YEARS AGO WITH THE DESERT ROSE.
THERE WAS A LOT OF OPPOSITION.

WE HAD TO MEET, I HELD THREE AND THEN A TOWN HALL LAST WEEK, WHERE WE
PRESENTED IT.

WE WANTED TO FLUSH ALL OF THE POSSIBLE COMMENTS OUT.

WE HAD TO CHANGE THE ROUTES.

UNFORTUNATELY IT DID DRIVE UP SOME OF THE COST AND THE BRIDGE GOING OVER.
SO DENIS, WILL THIS ALSO INCLUDE -- IT IS JUST SOLELY FOR THE LANDSCAPE
ANY ON THE CAMERAS OR THOSE OTHERS INCLUDED?

>> NO, WE DON'T HAVE THE CAMERAS.

>> WE HAVE LIGHTING.

WE'RE LOOKING AT THE LIGHTING.

>> THANK YOU.

>> A MOTION FOR APPROVAL.

>> REID: CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> SECTION 5 IS PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS SCHEDULED FOR 10:00.

M.

SITTING AS THE LIQUOR AND GAMING FOR BEER, WINE, AND EXPERIMENT DRINK --
SORRY, COMMISSIONERS.

>> ITEM 67 ACCEPT THE DONATION FROM THE MADDUX FOUNDATION TO THE
DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$20,000 FOR CHILD HAVEN.

>> SISOLAK: THANK YOU MR. CHAIR.

I WANTED TO PULL TO THE MADDUX FAMILY HAVE BEEN EXTREMELY GENEROUS IN OUR
COMMUNITY.

PARTICULAR TIME WHEN WE'RE SO SHORT IN FUNDS I DIDN'T WANT IT TO JUST GO
THROUGH WHERE NO ONE WAS AWARE IF IT HAPPENED AND ASK A LETTER BE SENT
THANKING THEM ON BEHALF OF THE COMMISSION FOR SUCH A GENEROUS DONATION OF
\$20,000.

MOVE FOR APPROVAL.

>> REID: YOU'VE HEARD THE MOTION.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 79 SITTING AS THE LIQUOR AND GAMING CONDUCT A PUBLIC HEARING FOR
THE RETAIL BEER, WINE, AND SPECIALTY LIQUOR LICENSE IN CATEGORY TWO
RESTAURANT WITHIN 1500 FEET OF THE SCHOOL PATRICK LANE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
89120.

DAVID FOOD SERVICE LLC AND DAVID LIMITED.
DBA CARLITO BURR THITO AT PECKOS AND PATRICK RAIN.
>> ALLISON JIDANTE.
>> AND DAVID SAMUELS OWNER OF CARLITO BURRITOS.
>> REID: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.
IS ANYONE HEAR TO SPEAK?
PLEASE COME FORWARD AND STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD.
>> MY NAME IS HODGES I LIVE AT 123 SIR DAVID.
WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT THE SCHOOLS AND THE CHURCH.
THE CHURCH CAN DEFEND THEMSELVES THERE'S A SCHOOL WHERE YOUR BUSINESS IS
RIGHT THE IN THE VICINITY WITHIN THE 1500 FEET?
>> THE HIGH SCHOOL.
>> WHATEVER YOU DO, GAMBLING LICENSE AND LIQUOR AND WHATEVER.
I AIN'T USING THE PROPER WORDS I'M A LITTLE OFF TODAY.
MAKE SURE THAT -- WHATEVER YOU DO THAT DO THE BEST YOU CAN THAT IT IS SAFE
ESPECIALLY WHEN THE KIDS ARE GETTING OUT OF SCHOOL.
YOU CAN'T BE COP OR NOTHING, BUT WHEN YOU RUN A BUSINESS AND PEOPLE ARE
DRINKING YOU CAN SEE WHAT'S GOING AROUND.
MAKE SURE THE JERRY IS SAFE WHEN THEY'RE COMING AND GOING.
THANK YOU.
>> REID: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.
ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK?
DOES STAFF HAVE A RECOMMENDATION?
>> STAFF RECOMMENDS APPROVAL.
>> REID: TURN IT OVER TO THE BOARD.
THIS IS IN MY DISTRICT.
I MAKE A MOTION FOR APPROVAL.
THERE'S NO COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS.
CAST YOUR VOTE.
MOTION CARRIES.
>> ITEM 80 SITTING AS THE LIQUOR AND GAMING BOARD.
FOR LIQUOR, BEER, SPIRITS IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE DRUGSTORE LOCATE WITHIN
1500 FEET OF THE 2935 SOUTH HOLLYWOOD BOULEVARD, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89122.
CVS PHARMACY NUMBER 1507.
GOOD MORNING.
>> MATTHEW SHAW ON BEHALF OF CVS.
AND I'M HERE WITH ANTONIO FLANNA THE MANAGER OF THAT CVS STORE.
>> REID: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.
ANYONE HERE TO SPEAK ON THIS MATTER?
>> YOU DON'T RUN INTO ANY LIKE THIS.
IT IS A DOOZY.
I HAVE TO SPEAK FOR THE SIMPLE BACK YOU HAVE PACKAGE LIQUOR AND BEER AND
WINE.
YOU REALLY CAN'T CONTROL THOSE FOLKS.
BUT YOU ARE THE SELLER OF IT.
IT DOES -- IT IS BEST YOU CAN.
THEY COME IN WOBBLY AND WIGGLY.

YOU MAY HAVE TO PASS ON THAT SALE.

I KNOW YOU NEED THE MONEY.

SOMETIMES SOME PEOPLE IN THE BUSINESS ARE SO FAR GONE.

I KNOW WHAT YOU'RE SAYING I KNOW THEY ARE ADULTS AND WHATEVER.

THERE IS AN AREA, -- AREA THERE'S A SCHOOL IN THE AREA.

DOT BEST YOU CAN.

>> REID: ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK?

I'LL CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND TURN IT OVER TO THE BOARD.

BEFORE I DO THAT LET ME ASK STAFF IF THEY HAVE A RECOMMENDATION.

>> STAFF RECOMMENDS APPROVAL.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: THANK YOU I HAVE HAD NO COMPLAINTS.

I RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE ITEM 80.

>> REID: YOU HEARD THE MOTION.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 81 IS SITTING AS THE LIQUOR AND GAMING LICENSING BOARD FOR THE UTILITY OF THE SUPPER CLUB ASK WITHIN 1500 FEET OF THREE SCHEDULES LOCATED AT 1273 SILVERADO RANCH BOULEVARD.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA UNDER THE OWNERSHIP OF HATAMACHI CORPORATION.

HATAMACHI MARYLAND PARKWAY.

>> GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS.

1174 CARDINAL RANCH CORD, HENDERSON, NEVADA 89502.

I'M HERE ON BEHALF OF THE OWNER OF THE BUSINESS.

>> REID: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

ANYONE HERE TO SPEAK?

>> A AIN'T GOING TO BOTHER YOU NO MORE.

SIR, YOU SAID THREE SCHOOLS.

YOU'RE LOADED WITH THEM HUH?

THAT MEANS YOU'RE LIQUOR -- .

>> REID: MR. HODGES.

>> WE NEED YOU TO TALK TO US.

IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS FOR HIM.

>> I'M SORRY.

SIR, I APOLOGY.

-- I LOOK AT YOU.

>> I'M HAVING TROUBLE LOOKING AT YOU.

BUT -- ANYWAY, I'M I WANT TO SAY THREE SCHOOLS.

THAT'S PRETTY HEAVY.

I HOPE HE CAN RUN HIS BUSINESS THE BEST HE CAN.

WHEN YOU'RE DEALING WITH ALCOHOL AND CHILDREN THAT'S A BAD COMBINATION.

THANK YOU.

>> REID: THANK YOU.

ANY OTHER PUBLIC COMMENTS?

STAFF IF THEY HAVE A RECOMMENDATION.

>> STAFF RECOMMENDS APPROVAL.

>> REID: I TURN IT OVER TO COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

ACCESS THANK YOU I'VE HAD NO OBJECTION.

I MOVE FOR APPROVAL.

>> REID: YOU HEARD THE MOTION.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> ITEM 83 CONDUCT A PUBLIC HEARING AND APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE SIGNING THE RESOLUTION OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

>> GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING WITH ME KEVIN I BANKS AND KEVIN SUDCO TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE, REGARDING THIS ITEM.

>> REID: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

IS THERE ANYONE HERE TO SPEAK ON THIS MATTER?

I CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND TURN IT OVER TO THE BOARD.

THERE'S A MOTION FOR APPROVAL.

COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS?

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 38 CONDUCT A PUBLIC HEARING, AND APPROVE, ADOPT, AND AUTHORIZE IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OF BUNKERVILLE AND PROVIDING FOR THE MATTER PROPERLY RELATED THERE TO.

ITEM 84.

CONDUCT A PUBLIC HEARING AND APPROVE, ADOPT, AND AUTHORIZE FOR THE INCORPORATED TOWN OF MOAPA AND SERVED BY THE MOAPA ADVISORY BOARD AND PROVIDING TO THE OTHER RELATED THERE TO.

ITEM 85.

AND CONDUCT A PUBLIC HEARING.

AND APPROVE, ADOPT, AND AUTHORIZE TO AMEN THE JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARIES OF THE MOAPA VALLEY AND THE AREAS SERVED BY THE MAPPA TOWN ADVISORY BOARD AND PROVIDING FOR THE MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERE TO.

>> REID: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

ANYONE HERE TO SPEAK.

COME FORWARD AND STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD.

>> GUY DOTTY 2528 OHIO STREET NEVADA I'M A MEMBER OF THE MOAPA TOWN BOARD AND A LAND SURVEYOR LICENSED PROFESSIONALLY IN THIS STATE.

MUCH HAS BEEN SID ABOUT THIS ISSUE.

AND -- I KNOW THAT MANY, IF NOT ALL OF THE COMMISSIONERS HAVE READ SOME OF THE CORRESPONDENTS PERTAINING TO THIS ISSUE.

I WOULD LIKE TO SHARE WITH YOU THE ISSUES -- I'VE REVIEWED THE AGENDA.

AND THE BOUNDARIES AS MAPPED.

THEY CONCUR WITH MY UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT HAS TRANSPIRED AND THESE MAPS I WILL DISPLAY.

THE SAME INFORMATION THAT IS COLOR CODED TO SEE PROPORTIONATELY THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THESE BOUNDARIES HERE.

>> THIS MAP REPRESENTS THE BOUNDARIES AS THEY EXIST RIGHT NOW.

THE ISSUES ARE BETWEEN THREE INCORPORATED TOWNS IN CLARK COUNTY.

MOAPA, THE BLUE, MOAPA VALLEY LOGANDALE AND OVERTON AND BUNKERVILLE.

COMPRISED THE YELLOW AREA.
THIS MAP WAS TAKEN FROM THE CLARK COUNTY WEBSITE.
I'VE MADE SOME ADDITIONS TO IT TO SHOW THE RELATION TO WHERE THE
INTERCHANGE IS HERE.
AND THE LINCOLN COUNTY LINE.
WHICH IS ALSO THE NORTH LINE OF BUNKERVILLE.
THIS IS THE WAY IT EXISTS RIGHT NOW.
THIS IS THE PROPOSED CHANGES.
IT MEANS ADDING APPROXIMATELY 144 SQUARE MILES.
THESE FOUR TOWNSHIPS THE TOWNSHIP MEANING SIX MILES SQUARE.
AND ALSO ADDING A COUPLE OF SQUARE MILES AND 40 ACRES RIGHT HERE IN
SECTION 8 TO THE MOAPA TOWN.
IT ALSO INCLUDES ADDING WHAT USED TO BE OLD GLENDALE TOWN, WHICH IS THIS
AREA RIGHT HERE ABOUT 90 SQUARE MILES.
SO -- THOSE ARE THE CHANGES THAT ARE PROPOSED IN THESE THREE AMENDMENT.
AND EACH BOUNDARY, OF COURSE HINGES ON THE OTHER, BECAUSE WE ALL BORDER
EACH OTHER.
A LOT OF HISTORY A COUPLE OF YEARS WORTH OF HISTORY ON THESE BOUNDARY
ISSUES.
I KNOW COMMISSIONER COLLINS HAS BEEN FRUSTRATED THAT WE GET OFF SITTING
AND MAKE A DECISION.
WE DID FINALLY.
AND THE DATE WAS FEBRUARY 24.
IT WAS OUR LAST MEETING IN BUNKERVILLE.
THE FIRST MEETINGS BEGAN SOME TWO YEARS AGO WITH A CONCERN ABOUT A MAJOR
DEVELOPMENT OCCURRING IN THE MOAPA TOWN.
AND THEY HAD A REASONABLE REQUEST TO INCLUDE IN ONE TOWN JURISDICTION, THE
34507A TOWN, BECAUSE MOST OF IT WAS THERE.
MOAPA TOWN.
TWO SQUARE MILES AND 40 ACRES IN SECTION 8 IN THE MOAPA TOWN, SO THEY
WEREN'T HAVING TO GO TO TWO BOARDS TO GET APPROVALS FOR EVERYTHING THEY
DID IT SOUNDED REASON TO THE MOAPA VALLEY COMMUNITY.
AND WE SUPPORTED THAT.
IT MEANT RIVERVIEW PEOPLE WANTED TO INCLUDE THESE 12 MIMES A MILE EAST IN
BUNKERVILLE.
ALONG HERE.
SO THAT'S WHAT COLLECTIVELY THE TOWN BOARDS AGREED TO PRIOR TO A MARCH 12
MEETING WHERE THE COUNTY PRESENTED ANOTHER PROPOSAL.
HE WAS DOING IT, BECAUSE HE HAD CONCERNS HE WOULDN'T GET DONE, UNLESS HE
DID SOMETHING.
WE'RE NOT ALONE IN THIS WITH MOAPA VALLEY.
BUNKERVILLE IS THE OTHER PART OF THE PUZZLE.
AND THE MEETINGS AT BUNKERVILLE AND I ATTENDED ALL THREE, WHERE THEIR
ORIGINAL BOUNDARY WAS HERE IN TOWN TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH.
THEY HAD DESIRED IN THE END IN THE ACTION MOTION TO EXPAND THEIR BOUNDARY
SOUTH.
I HAVE SHOWN IT ON THIS MAP, JUST TO TOWNSHIP 16 SOUTH.

IN THEIR MOTION THEY ALSO WANTED THEM TO GO ALL THE WAY DOWN TO HERE. THIS MADE A DISTRIBUTION MAKING THE SQUARE MILEAGE ROUGHLY EQUAL. NOW I NEED TO ADDRESS A LITTLE BIT THE RATIONAL BETWEEN MOAPA VALLEY AND WANTING THIS TO BE THE BOUNDARY LINE. COMMISSIONER COLLINS PUT THE BOUNDARY HERE. HE PUT EVERYTHING WEST, ALL OF THIS TO MOAPA. THE RATIONAL BETWEEN THE MOAPA VALLEY FOLK IS THIS AREA HERE IS OF JURISDICTIONAL INTEREST TO MOAPA VALLEY. IT IS BECAUSE IT INCLUDES AN -- THIS BLACK LINE HERE REPRESENTS INTERSTATE 15. AND YOU CAN SEE THAT IN MOAPA THERE'S ALREADY ABOUT NINE MILES OF I-15 CORRIDOR ACCESS IN MOAPA VALLEY THERE WAS NONE. THIS INCLUDES AN EQUAL AMOUNT OF I-15 CORRIDOR ACCESS FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT. CERTAINLY NOT IN MY GENERATION, MAYBE NOT THE NEXT. AT SOME POINT IN TIME THESE ARE VERY IMPORTANT ISSUES FOR EACH OF THE COMMUNITIES. MOAPA VALLEY HAS A JURISDICTIONAL INTEREST. MOAPA IN MY OPINION NOT SO MUCH. THEY HAVE THE PROPORTIONATE SHARE FROM THE I-15 CORRIDOR. THERE HAVE BEEN A COUPLE OF SURVEYS IN THE SECTION SEVEN BY BULLET BROTHERS AND SOME PRIVATE LAND, 160 ACRES. I'VE LOOKED AT THOSE MAPS AND IT SHOWS PRIVATE PROPERTY TO BE EAST IN SECTION SEVEN, AS IT IS GRAPHICALLY SHOWN HERE ABOUT A MILE, THREE QUARTERS OF A MILE EAST IN THE LIMITS OF THAT PRIVATE PROPERTY. THIS CARP ELGIN CHANGE WAS APPROVED IN THE YEAR 2003. AND AMENDED IN 2008, BUT THAT AMENDMENT DIDN'T AFFECT ANY OF THE MASTER PLANNING THAT WAS SHOWN TO COME OFF OF THIS INTERCHANGE. SHOWN IN THE CLARK COUNTY TRANSPORTATION ELEMENT ORDINANCE. IT IS AN ARTERIAL ROADWAY, 125 FEET OR MORE THAT COMES OFF OF THIS ROAD CHANGE AND SOUTH THROUGH THE ABOUT. L.M. DISPOSAL AREA TO THE SOUTH LIMITS OF OVERTON, LEWIS STREET. THIS INTERCHANGE IS OF JURISDICTIONAL INTEREST TO MOAPA VALLEY, BECAUSE OF THIS MASTER PLAN. AND THE ARTERIAL ROADS AND THIS INTERCHANGE BEGINS THE VIEWER SHED FROM MOAPA VALLEY. YOU CAN'T SEE MY HOUSE OR COMMISSIONER COLLINS HOUSE, YOU CAN SEE THE MUDDY RIVER RANGE THAT BORDERS THE WEST SIDE OF THE VALLEY AND THE MESA EDGE. IT IS DOWN OFF THE MESA AND HIDDEN FROM VIEW FROM THE INTERCHANGE. YOU COME ANOTHER TWO OR THREE MILES YOU CAN CLEARLY SEE MOAPA VALLEY. IT IS A VIEW SHED. THIS OTHER DIRECTION THERE'S SOME GEOGRAPHICAL OBSTACLES. MOUNTAIN THAT ARE ARRANGED THAT BLEND INTO THE MORMON PEAK AREA AND NOT AN ACCESSIBLE DIRECTION FOR VIEW SHED OR MOAPA. IN THE SHUT SHELL THIS BOUNDARY WAS -- IN A NUTSHELL THIS BOUNDARY WAS INITIALLY AGREED UPON NOT IN THE MEETING WITH ALL THREE BOARDS, IT JUST

DOESN'T HAPPEN, WITH THE MEETING FIRST, IT WAS ACTUALLY FEBRUARY 28, 2008 OVER A YEAR AGO.

TO HAVE THE BOUNDARY THIS WAY FROM MOAPA.
IT WASN'T UNTIL THE MARCH 12 MEETING AND SOMETIME IN FEBRUARY, WHEN STAFF WERE DIRECTED TO PREPARE A BOUNDARY THAT WAS DIFFERENT.
IT PUT THE LINE ALL THE WAY OVER HERE AND NOT OFF JURISDICTION FROM MOAPA VALLEY, BUT FROM MOAPA.

IT'S THIS ONE.
THE ONE THAT IS BEFORE YOU TODAY.

JUST NOT EQUITABLE.
NOT JURISDICTIONAL.

I REQUEST THAT THE COMMISSIONERS AT LEAST HOLD THIS OVER.
I DON'T THINK THERE NEEDS TO BE A RUSH TO JUDGMENT ON THIS.
I'VE BEEN TOLD THAT IT MEANS MORE MONEY TO NORTHEAST CLARK COUNTY, IF THIS IS DONE NOW.

I HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO MAKE SENSE OF THAT PERSONALLY.
I HAVE INQUIRED AND I CAN'T FIND ANY EVIDENCE TO THAT, THAT IT MAKES A MONEY DIFFERENCE ONE WAY OR THE OTHER FOR ANY OF THE JURISDICTIONS.
IF COUNTY STAFF COULD HELP US OUT WITH THAT ISSUE.
IT MIGHT HELP IN MAKING A DECISION HERE.

I THINK SMALLER PART OF THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS HERE.
THIS SCENARIO HERE IS JUST NOT FAIR.

NOT EQUITABLE OR AGREED UPON BY THE THREE TOWN BOARDS.
>> THIS SCENARIO HERE -- IT IS FAIR, EQUITABLE, AND JURISDICTIONAL AND APPROVED BY ALL THREE OF THE TOWN BOARDS AT ONE POINT IN TIME, JUST UP TO MARCH 3 OF THIS YEAR.

I WOULD RECOMMEND AND REQUEST THAT COMMISSIONERS HOLD THIS OVER UNTIL IT CAN BE HASHED OUT AS TO THE APPROPRIATE BOUNDARIES.
OR VOTE THIS RECOMMENDED BOUNDARY DOWN AT THIS TIME.
AND PUT ON THE AGENDA THIS ONE, WHICH MUCH GROUND WORK HAS BEEN DONE ALREADY.

IT IS REALLY FAIR N MY OPINION.
AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION IN THE LANDS AND BOUNDARIES FOR THAT REASON.
I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS COMMISSIONERS HAVE FOR ME.

>> REID: THANK YOU -- ARE THERE QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD?
COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

>> SISOLAK: GUY WHY DID IT TAKE -- I HAD MANY DISCUSSION WAS COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

PART OF THIS IS HIS JURISDICTION.
PART OF IT IS MINE.

I UNDERSTAND HIS FRUSTRATION THAT THIS HAS TAKEN SO LONG TO GET RESOLVE WHY.

HAS IT TAKEN TWO AND A HALF YEARS.
WHY CAN'T YOU GET EVERYONE TOGETHER ON THIS.

NOW THERE'S A SENSE.

I KNOW COMING FROM MY COLLEAGUE THAT, IF WE HOLD IT, IT IS JUST ANOTHER DELAY, DELAY, DELAY.

EVER HE DOESN'T WANT TO SEE IT HAPPEN.

WHY HAS IT TAKEN SO LONG?

>> YOUR OPINION IS AS GOOD AS MINE, BUT I WILL GIVE YOU MY OPINION.

IT IS THE PERCEPTION INDIVIDUALS AND COMMUNITIES FEEL THAT SOMETHING WILL BE TAKEN FROM THEM IF, A BOUNDARY IS CHANGED.

THEY LOOK AT IT AND SCRUTINIZED IT AND ARE SUSPICIOUS OF.

IT THAT'S THE BOTTOM LINE.

ORIGINALLY THE RIVERVIEW GROUP CAME IN SAYING HEY, WE JUST WANT TO INCLUDE THIS TWO SQUARE MILES THAT COMES INTO THE MOAPA VALLEY.

THEN THE BOARD SAID WHY?

YOU WILL PUT A SEWER FACILITY?

AND WE'LL HAVE TO SMELL THE STINK AND THIS KIND OF THING?

OVER THE COURSE OF THE FEW WEEKS, WE AGREED TO THE REASONABLENESS OF HAVING THAT GO.

TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, COMMISSIONER.

I THINK IT IS INDIVIDUALS AND COMMUNITIES AND COMMUNITIES IN COMMUNITIES THAT ARE PROTECTIVE OF WHAT THEY THINK IS THERE AND ARE CONCERN BESIDE HAVING SOMETHING TAKEN FROM THEM.

IT IS PROBABLY A LITTLE BIT OF GOVERNMENT PHENOMENON.

IT TAKES TIME TO DO THESE THINGS.

IT IS PRESENTED IN ONE BOARD MEETING.

BUNKERVILLE FOR THE MOST PART SAID WE JUST DON'T NEED THE CHANGES THAT.

WAS THE CONCLUSION, UNTIL IT WAS PUSHED AND PUSHED.

UNTIL THEY MADE A DECISION.

CONCERNS THAT SOMETHING WOULD BE TAKEN FROM THEM.

I THINK IT IS THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION, FROM ME.

>> SISOLAK: UNDERSTANDING THIS IS THE MAP YOU'RE PROPOSING.

THE MAP THAT EVERYONE AGREED TO WAS THE FIRST PROPOSAL, RIGHT?

>> NO, SIR.

THE FIRST MAP THAT I SHOWED, JUST THE OTHER WAY AROUND COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

>> SISOLAK: NOT THAT ONE.

>> THIS IS THE ONE FIRST PRESENTED MARCH 12 IN THIS YEAR AT THE 11TH HOUR. THIS ONE, THE BOUNDARY PROPOSED ON THIS ONE WERE FROM COMMISSIONER COLLINS AND COUNTY STAFF MAPPED IT FOR HIS REQUEST.

>> SISOLAK: WHERE IS THE MAP THAT ALL THREE TOWN BOARDS HAD BASICALLY AGREED TO?

>> IT IS THE SECOND ONE I SHOWED.

IT IS THIS ONE.

THE FIRST ISSUES WERE -- MOAPA ADDRESSED MANY AND LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS WERE WRITTEN BY RIVERVIEW, I BELIEVE.

I'VE SEEN THOSE.

THEY INCLUDED 12 SQUARE MILES HERE, PLUS 2 SQUARE MILES AND 40 ACRES IN SECTION EIGHT.

AND INCLUDED THE GLENDALE HOLDINGS OR GLENDALE TOWNSHIP ABOUT NINE SQUARE MILES.

ALL OF THIS WIDE AREA IS NOTCHED UP INTO HERE.

IT IS INDIAN RESERVATION PROPERTY.
SECONDLY MOAPA VALLEY AGREED TO THESE AMENDMENTS TOO MAPPA'S TOWN.
THIRDLY THERE WAS THE ISSUE OF MOAPA VALLEY OUR INTEREST, INCLUDING I-15
CORRIDOR AND THIS BOUNDARY BETWEEN US AND BUNKERVILLE.
THEY WERE -- ACTUALLY THIS ISSUE WAS A SEPARATE ISSUE.
REALLY N MY ESTIMATION, JUST BETWEEN US AND BUNKERVILLE SINCE MOAPA HAD
ALREADY GREED UPON AND RECOMMENDED ACTION ON THIS LINE HERE.
THIS IS THE ONE THAT OVER TWO YEARS WORTH OF NEGOTIATIONS, DELIBERATIONS,
COMMITTEE MEETINGS, PHONE CALLS, AND -- AND FINALLY THREE CONSECUTIVE
MEETINGS IN BUNKERVILLE WE ARE IN GREEN BETWEEN MOAPA AND BUNKERVILLE AT
LEAST.
WHEN IT WAS PROPOSED BY COMMISSIONER COLLINS TO EXPAND THE BOUND BOUNDARY
TO HERE FROM MOAPA -- I THINK OF COURSE THEY SAID WHY NOT.
>> SISOLAK: IN THE NUTSHELL.
THE FIRST MAP ALL THREE TOWN BOARDS APPROVED IT.
THE LAST BEING MOAPA.
>> JUST THE OTHER WAY AROUND.
IT IS THE SECOND MAP.
THIS IS THE ONE THAT ALL THREE TOWN BOARDS.
>> NOW THE OTHER MAP THAT YOU HAVE THERE, THIS WAS THE COUNTY -- .
>> REID: COULD I MAKE A SUGGESTION.
COULD WE HAVE MAP ONE, TWO, AND THREE.
I DON'T MEAN TO MESS UP YOUR MAPS.
I THINK IT IS I THINK IT IS IN YOUR INTEREST TO HAVE US LESS CONFUSED.
>> SISOLAK: WHAT NUMBER IS THAT ONE?
>> IT IS ONE -- I'M SORRY.
ORIGINALLY WELL THIS IS THE WAY IT EXISTS RIGHT NOW, COMMISSIONER.
SHOULD WE CALL THIS H1N1?
>> SISOLAK: THIS IS MAP ONE.
>> THIS IS MAP ONE.
>> REID: IF YOU COULD LACE THEM NEXT TO THEM AND THE ENGINEER CAN TAKE A
WIDE SHOT.
I DON'T KNOW IF IT IS POSSIBLE.
LET'S TRY TO SEE ALL THREE.
IS IT BEST TO GO THIS WAY?
--
>> THIS WOULD BE TWO AND THIS WOULD BE.
>> SISOLAK: MAP ONE IS CURRENTLY.
>> THIS IS CURRENT, MAP ONE.
THIS IS THE BOUNDARY PROPOSED BY COMMISSIONER COLLINS CLARK COUNTY AND PUT
BEFORE US FOR A VOTE.
INCIDENTALLY MOAPA AND BUNKERVILLE REJECTED THIS ONE.
>> SISOLAK: TWO REJECTED AND -- .
>> SISOLAK: TWO OF THE TOWN BOARDS BUNKERVILLE AND MOAPA REJECTED THIS
ONE?
>> THAT'S CORRECT.
>> THEY REJECTED THIS MAP TWO.

>> SISOLAK: MAP THREE IS THE ONE IN CONCEPT THEY ALL APPROVED?
LET'S SEE -- WELL BETWEEN THIS ONE AND THIS ONE.
IT HAD TO DO WITH ISSUES WITH BUNKERVILLE AND EXPANDING THEIR BOUNDARY
SOUTH AND TO WHAT LIMITS.
TOWNSHIP 19 SOUTH.
I DREW IT BUNKERVILLE WILL HAVE THEIR OPINIONS.
I DON'T WANT TO SPEAK FOR THEM.
BUNKERVILLE AND MOAPA AGREED TO THIS BOUNDARY HERE.
>> SISOLAK: WHICH IS THE ONE THAT THE TOWN BOARDS ALL THREE, WHICH MAP,
ONE, TWO ARE OR THE ONE STUCK UP IN THE CORNER UP THERE -- THIS ONE IS THE
ONE THAT MOAPA DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO SAY ABOUT THE SOUTHERN EXPANSION OF
BUNKERVILLE.
I WANT TO CLEAR.
AND COMMISSIONER COLLINS MAKES A GOOD POINT.
THE THREE BOARDS WERE NEVER TOGETHER IN THE MEETING.
THESE WERE DONE IN SEPARATE MEETINGS.
FIRST THIS SCENARIO.
THEN SECONDLY -- THIS SCENARIO AND LASTLY THE 11TH HOUR CAME.
THIS SCENARIO.
>> SISOLAK: TO GET BACK TO MY POINT HERE.
IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT ON OCTOBER 15, MOAPA VALLEY APPROVED IN
CONCEPT ONE OF THESE MAPS.
WHICH IS THE MAP THAT THEY APPROVED?
OCTOBER 15 -- .
>> SISOLAK: OF 2008.
THEN BUNKERVILLE APPROVED IT ON FEBRUARY 24.
AND MOAPA APPROVED IT ON FEBRUARY 29.
>> TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, WE AGREED TO THIS BOUNDARY HERE.
WE LEFT IT IN OUR MOTION AS AN ACTION ITEM TO AGREE TO WHATEVER
BUNKERVILLE WANTED TO DO.
I BEG TO DIFFER COMMISSIONER COLLINS.
THE RECORD WILL SHOW THAT IN THE EXAMINATION OF THE MINUTES.
THE MOTION WAS THAT -- WITH REGARD TO THIS LINE, THIS WAS RESOLVED A YEAR
I THINK WITH THE ADDITION OF RIVERVIEW TO MOAPA AND WITH THIS 12 SQUIRE
MILES HERE.
WHAT WAS LEFT RESOLVED IS THE BOUNDARY BETWEEN US AND BUNKERVILLE AND OUR
MOTION N REFLECTED IN THE MINUTES WAS WE AGREED TO WHATEVER BUNKERVILLE
WANTED TO DO.
BUNKERVILLE IS HERE.
THEY CAN SUBSTANTIATE THAT.
THAT WAS THIS LINE.
WE FIRST HAD IT OVER HERE.
FIRST OVER HERE.
JUST WHERE COMMISSIONER COLIN HAS IT.
THAT MAY BE WHERE YOU'RE COMING FROM, COMMISSIONER COLLINS.
BUNKERVILLE THOUGHT IT WAS A BIT TOO MUCH I PERSONALLY DID AS WELL AND
COMPROMISE, AND BUNKERVILLE AGREED TO THAT.

DOES IT CLEAR IT UP OR IS IT STILL MUD DI?

>> SISOLAK: I THINK IT IS AS CLEAR AS YOU CAN MAKE IT.

THIS IS ISN'T HOW I LIKE TO MAKE A DECISION.

>> REID: I'M SURE THERE ARE OTHERS HERE WHO WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK.

THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

>> MAY I LEAVE THESE EXHIBITS UP FOR WHOEVER?

-- .

>> REID: PLEASE.

>> THANK YOU, COMMISSIONERS.

>> I'M MARY ANN LOVET FROM THE BUNKERVILLE TOWN BOARD.

I WANT TO CLARIFY THAT WE ARE IN AGREEMENT WITH MOAPA VALLEY.

AS FAR AS EXPANDING OUR BOUNDARY SOUTH.

IT IS NOT BECAUSE WE'RE TRYING TO BE GREEDY IT IS GOLD BUTE IS DOWN THAT WAY AND YOU HAVE TO GO THROUGH BUNKERVILLE AND WOULD LIKE A LITTLE SAY ON WHAT GOES ON DOWN THREE.

ACCESS WHICH MAP, ONE, TWO OR THREE.

WHICH DOOR ARE YOU PICKING?

>> THIS ONE.

>> MAP NUMBER THREE.

THANK YOU.

>> SISOLAK: MAP NUMBER THREE IS IN THE MIDDLE-- COULD YOU PUT MAP NUMBER THREE -- THERE YOU GO.

THANK YOU.

SO YOU'RE PICKING YOU PICKED MAP NUMBER THREE.

>> REID: I DON'T KNOW IF PUTTING THE MAPS IN NUMERICAL ORDER CREATES ANY OR HERE.

THANK YOU.

ANYONE ELSE?

>> GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS.

MY NAME IS BONNIE RENALDO AND REPRESENT THE RIVERVIEW PROJECT.

WE HAVE AN INTEREST IN THESE BOUNDARIES FOR A COUPLE OF REASONS.

ONE IS THAT OUR PROJECT IS WITHIN TWO TOWNS AT THE CURRENT TIME.

PARTLY IN MOAPA AND PART OF MOAPA VALLEY.

BY FAR THE VAST MAJORITY WITHIN MOAPA AND WOULD LIKE TO BE IN ONE TOWN JURISDICTION OR ANOTHER FOR ALL KINDS OF REASONS.

THE OTHER ISSUE WE HAVE IS OUR PROPERTY IS IN FOUR DIFFERENT TAX DISTRICTS CURRENTLY.

THAT'S PROBABLY THE BIGGEST THING WE WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS TODAY IS THE TIMING OF THIS ACTION.

IF YOU LOOK AT MAP NUMBER ONE -- YOU'LL NOTICE THIS WHITE AREA.

A PORTION -- THAT'S ALL UNINCORPORATED CLARK COUNTY.

THE PORTION USED TO BE THE TOWN OF GLENDALE.

WITHIN THE TOWN OF GLENDALE THERE WERE A NUMBER OF THINGS, BUT A MOTEL GAS STATION, AND A STORE AND RESTAURANT AND BAR.

WHEN THE TOWN OF GLENDALE WAS ELIMINATED, THAT AREA WENT BACK TO UNINCORPORATED CLARK COUNTY.

AND SOME REVENUES WERE LOST, BECAUSE NOW THAT AREA IS NOT SUBJECT TO ANY TOWN TAX.

THERE'S A TOWN TAX RATE OF APPROXIMATELY 20 CENTS THAT IS NOT IMPOSED ON THAT LITTLE WHITE AREA, BUT IT IS IMPOSED FOR THE REST OF THE TOWN OF MOAPA.

WE HAVE BEEN WORKING THAT TOWN TO BUILD A GOOD RELATIONSHIP AND BE A PARTNER IN THAT COMMUNITY.

IT HAS BEEN AN ONGOING INJUSTICE THAT OUR PROPERTY, WHICH IS ONE OF THE MORE HIGHLY IMPROVED PROPERTIES IN THE WORLD IS NOT PAYING THE SAME TAX RATE AS THE FOLKS ACROSS THE STREET.

THE BIGGEST DIFFERENCE IS THAT TOWN TAX RATE.

THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY COMING UP WHERE LAST APRIL THAT STORE, BAR, RESTAURANT, BURNED TO THE GROUND.

WE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF REPLACING IT.

WE IN REVIEW FOR OUR CURRENTS PERMITS CURRENTLY -- MOST OF THE TIME THERE IS A TAP ON TAXES.

THE PROPERTY TAXES CAN'T INCREASE ABOVE 3% IN ANY YEAR, BUT WHEN NEW CONSTRUCTION OCCURS THAT CAP IS LIFTED AND THINGS ARE RE-EVALUATED.

WE'RE ABOUT TO UNDERTAKE THAT NEW CONSTRUCTION.

WE THINK IT IS ONLY RIGHT, WHILE THE CAP IS LIFTED WE BE REBASED NOT ONLY DO TO OUR NEW CONSTRUCTION AND ASSESSED VALUE.

ALSO THE NEW TAX RATE OR THE TOWN TAX RATE BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION.

IF THIS CHANGE ISN'T DONE IN SHORT ORDER, THEN WHEN THE RE-EVALUATION OCCURS THAT PROPERTY WILL NOT BE WITHIN MOAPA OR MOAPA VALLEY OR BUNKERVILLE AND THE NEW RATE WILL BE IMPOSED.

THE NEW CAP WILL BE IMPOSED.

IF THE TOWN TAX RATE IS LATER CHANGED, WHILE THE RATE CHANGES THE TAX CAP IS STILL IN PLACE IT WOULDN'T HAVE THE ADVANTAGE OF THE FULL REVENUES IF THIS CHANGE IS MADE, BEFORE FINISHING OUR PERMITTING AND CONSTRUCTION.

THAT'S WHERE THE TIMING ISSUE COMES FROM.

THE SECOND TIMING ISSUE IS THE RIVERVIEW PROJECT HAS BEEN IN THE MAJOR PROJECTS PROCESS FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS.

WE HAVE RECEIVED OUR APPROVAL ON THE SECOND PHASE, OUR SPECIFIC PLAN WELL OVER A YEAR AGO.

WE HAVE TWO YEARS TO COMPLETE THAT.

WE HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO BEGIN OUR DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, BECAUSE WE ARE BETWEEN TWO TOWNS.

TWO TOWNS WITH VERY DIFFERENT OPINIONS ON ALMOST EVERYTHING.

THIS IS CAUSING US A DELAY IN COMPLETING OUR PROJECT.

WE WOULD LIKE -- THAT BUN IS NOT AS URGENT -- BUT THE PERMITTING IS AN URGENT ONE.

OUR BIGGEST ISSUE HAS DO WITH TURNING THIS WHITE AREA BLUE AND MAKING THAT PART OF A TOWN, SO FULL REVENUES CAN BE CAPTURED.

WHAT HASN'T BEEN CLEAR YET TODAY.

NONE OF THE TOWN BOARDS HAVE REACTED ON ANY OF THESE MAPS PER SE.

IT WAS DONE SEQUENTIALLY IN THE SERIES.

ORIGINALLY THE TOWN OF MOAPA ACTED ON THIS BOUNDARY.

THAT'S ALL THEY CONSIDERED.

AND THEN THE TOWN OF MOAPA VALLEY ACTED ON THIS BOUNDARY AND THAT'S ALL THEY CONSIDERED.

AND THEN, FINALLY BUNKERVILLE ACTED ON THE WHOLE THING.

AND SO THERE ARE -- AND THEN, AFTER THAT OCCURRED, WHEN THE COUNTY STAFF, WHEN THE ISSUE WAS FINALLY BROUGHT TO COUNTY STAFF AND PRESENTED FOR MAPPING PURPOSES THEY BROUGHT TO EVERYONE'S ATTENTION A SURVEYING ISSUE THAT EXISTS THAT WILL NOT ALLOW ACTION TO BE TAKEN TO CREATE THIS LINE OR THIS LINE, BECAUSE OF SURVEY ISSUES WITHIN THE B.L.M..

AND SO -- I BELIEVE THAT'S PROBABLY WHY CHAIR COLLINS CAME UP WITH THIS BOUNDARY, BECAUSE IT IS THE CLEANEST.

IT TAKES INTO ACCOUNT WHOLE TOWNSHIP AND AVOIDS THE SURVEY ISSUE AND COME THE CLOSEST TO MATCHING WHAT THE TOWN BOARD HAS ACTED ON, WHEN CONSIDERING THE SURVEY ISSUE.

IT IS REAL CLEAR.

THIS MATTE HAS NOT ONLY BEEN DRAGGING IN THE NORTHEAST FOR THE PAST TWO AND A HALF YEARS.

IT HAS BEEN ACTED ON.

THERE HAVE BEEN SEVERAL ANNEXATION COMMITTEES.

THIS HAS BEEN CONSIDERED BY THE THREE TOWN BOARDS OVER THE PAST FIVE TO SIX YEARS WITHOUT ACTION.

IT IS GOING TO REQUIRE A SENSE OF URGENCY CREATED BY THE COUNTY COMMISSION TO BRING THIS TO A HEAD.

WE WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU TO CAUSE THAT SENSE OF URGENCY SO THIS ISSUE CAN BE REVOLVED.

>> REID: CAN QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: BONNIE CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE TOWN TAX RATE.

I'VE NEVER HEARD IT TAKEN IN CONSIDERATION WITH THE 3% VERSUS THE 8% IN THE PROPERTY TAXES IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE SAYING, BECAUSE YOU'RE REBUILDING A NEW BAR AND RESTAURANT -- EXPLAIN THAT ONE TO ME AGAIN.

>> IT IS PRETTY COMPLICATED.

THE PROPERTY TAXES ARE INCREASED ONLY BY A CERTAIN AMOUNT EVERY YEAR. IN THIS CASE OUR NEW CONSTRUCTION WOULD MEAN A NEW EVALUATION FOR THE PROPERTY.

AND THAT WOULD BECOME PART OF OUR TAX FORMULA, DESPITE THE CAP.

THE CAP WOULD BE REMOVED.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: THE ENTIRE PROJECT OR ONLY FOR THAT PROPERTY.

>> I'M SURE IT IS JUST FOR THE PROPERTY WHERE THE NEW RESTAURANT STORE IS BEING CONSTRUCTED.

THE GLENDALE PROPERTY.

NORMALLY IF THE TOWN ISSUE WEREN'T THE CASE, THE CAP WOULD BE LIFTED.

THE NEW CONSTRUCTION EVALUATION WOULD BE CONSIDERED AND WE WOULD HAVE A NEW AMOUNT OF TAXES THAT WE WOULD BE PAYING.

A HIGHER AM.

WE WOULD BE FREE OF THE CAP.

THE CAP HAS ACTUALLY HELD BACK THE VALUE OF OUR PROPERTY AND HELD BACK THE AMOUNT OF TAXES WE HAVE PAID OVER THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS. WE'RE PAYING LESS THAN THE FULL TAX RATE BECAUSE OF THE CAP LIFT. WE GET TO PAY THE FULL TAX RATE AND THE VALUE OF THE NEW, IMPROVED PROPERTY.

>> NOW, THAT MEANS A CERTAIN AM OF TAXES ARE PAID.

IN ADDITION, THE ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATION IS -- THE TOWN TAX RATE ISN'T APPLIED WITHIN WHAT USED TO BE THE TOWN OF GLENDALE.

IF THE TOWN TAX RATE WERE TO BE APPLIED AT THE SAME TIME AS THE CAP IS LIFTED, WE WOULD BE PAYING NOT ONLY THE VALUE OF THE NEW CONSTRUCT, BUT ALSO WITH THE NEW TAX RATE.

>> AND THEN ON MAP ONE, IT IS UPPER WHITE PORTION DELIVER YOU PROJECT THERE.

>> JUST A PORTION OF IT IS.

>> THE LITTLE TAIL DOWN BELOW WHERE YOUR FINGER IS.

>> THIS PORTION IS THE FORMER TOWN OF GLENDALE AND THE PART OF THAT IS WHERE THE NEW CONSTRUCTION IS PROPOSED.

>> THEY'RE IN MOAPA.

THEY'RE IN INCORPORATED CLARK COUNTY.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: THAT'S WHY THEY'RE STILL WHITE.

OKAY.

SO -- BUT BUNKERVILLE MOSTLY.

>> YES.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: ALSO MOAPA MOSTLY.

NOT SO MUCH MOAPA VALLEY, EXCEPT FOR WHERE THAT CORNER COMES INTO PLAY.

>> THAT'S TRUE.

>> THE OTHER PORTION OF OUR PROJECT EFFECTED, NOT BY THE TAX CAP ISSUE, BUT BECAUSE IT IS WITHIN TWO TOWNS IS THIS AREA.

NOT A DISPUTED AREA.

I THINK ALL OF THE TOWNS HAVE AGREED TO IT.

BUT THE TIMING IS THE ISSUE.

THIS INCLUDES THE REST OF OUR RIVERVIEW PROPERTY.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: THE SURVEY IS THE PROBLEM WITH B.L.M.?

WHY DOES THAT IMPACT WHAT THE TOWN BOARD ATTEMPTED TO DO THEN ON NUMBER THREE?

>> PROBABLY OUR COUNTY SURVEYOR COULD ANSWER THAT BEST.

>> BRAGER: DO YOU WANT TO ASK NOW.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: I'LL WAIT.

AND WHOEVER ELSE YOU HAVE TO COME UP.

COMMISSIONER SISOLAK WANTED TO ASK A QUESTION.

>> SISOLAK: BONNIE YOU'RE CLAIMING THE BIG REASON THIS IS SO TIME SENSITIVE, WHILE I'VE BEEN MADE AWARE I WANT TO MAKE IT FOR THE RECORD I'VE BEEN MADE AWARE OF THIS ISSUE FOR MONTHS.

THE FIRST TIME YOU AND I TALK WAS FIVE MINUTES BEFORE THIS MEETING STARTED.

YOU NEVER APPROACHED ME WITH ANY OF THESE ISSUES THAT WERE SO IMPORTANT.

I WANT TO MAKE RECORD FOR THIS.

YOU'RE CLAIMING YOUR ISSUE IS THAT YOU'RE NOT GOING TO BE PAYING ENOUGH TAXES AT THE 20 CENT ADDITIONAL?

RIGHT?

>> I'M SAYING THAT IS THE ISSUE IN THE PLACE OF THE DIME.

>> SISOLAK: WHAT WOULD PRECLUDE YOU FROM MAKING A DONATION TO THE AMOUNT OF THE TOWN OF MOAPA WITH THE SAME TAX CONSEQUENCES AS A PROPERTY TAX DOES AND EVERYONE WOULD BE EQUAL?

>> THAT CERTAINLY COULD BE DONE.

>> SISOLAK: I DIDN'T HEAR YOU, WHAT IS THIS.

>> THAT COULD CERTAINLY BE DONE.

>> BRAGER: IS THERE ANYONE WHO WANTS TO SPEAK.

MR. HODGES HOLD ON.

YOU'RE WELCOME TO SPEAK, BUT WE HAVE PEOPLE WHO LIVE OUT THERE, IT COMMISSIONER REID.

>> MY NAME IS DENISE ROBERTSON.

I LIVE IN THE TOWN OF LOGANDALE.

IT IS QUITE A HEATED ISSUE.

THE NEWSPAPERS HAVE BEEN RIDDLED WITH THE CONTROVERSY AND IT IS HAS BEEN A TRICKY SITUATION.

>> WHAT I URGE AND HOPE ALL OF YOU WOULD DO IS MAYBE CREATE A STEERING COMMITTEE SO, WE CAN ACTUALLY GET ALL OF THE REPRESENTATIVES FROM EACH ONE OF THESE TOWN BOARDS TO COME TOGETHER.

AND TO NEGOTIATE.

I -- THESE -- I'M CONFIDENT IN THESE TOWN BOARDS AND THE PEOPLE, WHO WE HAVE AS LOCAL LEADERSHIP.

I BELIEVE IF GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY, THEY WILL BE ABLE TO COME TO A MEETING OF THE MINDS AND BE ABLE TO VOTE ONCE FOR ALL AND ALL TOGETHER.

I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT MAYBE ONE OF THE COMMISSIONERS MAYBE HEAD THE COMMITTEE AND GET REPRESENTS FROM THE BOARD OR THE TOWN BOARDS.

CERTAINLY WITH MR. COLLINS AND HIS INPUT PROBABLY WOULDN'T BE THE BEST NON BIASED POSITION.

IF YOU WOULD DO THAT IT WOULD HELP US.

IT WOULD ALLEVIATE SIR IT WOULD BE PART OF THE WONDERFUL VALLEY THERE.

WOULD BE PEACE AND WE WOULD BE ABLE TO MOVE FORWARD.

I DIDN'T KNOW.

I DIDN'T GET.

DID YOU ASK HER WHAT THE TIME PERIOD OR FRAME WAS AS THE DROP DEAD DATE?

IS THAT BECAUSE OF THE NEW TAX ROLE?

>> I AM FAIRLY CONFIDENT IF YOU WERE -- ANY OF YOU WERE TO TAKE POSITION AS HEAD OF THE STEERING COMMITTEE THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO IN THE JUNE MEETING TAKE A VOTE.

A ALLOW THE LEADERS TO BE ABLE TO COME TOGETHER.

>> I DON'T VOLUNTEER PHOTOGRAPHER TEAR TO ANYONE.

>> I AM A HOMEOWNER IN LOGANDALE.

THERE HAVE BEEN A LOT OF ISSUES RAISED.

PART OF THE ISSUE THAT HASN'T BEEN BROUGHT UP IS THE FACT THAT THERE'S A INCORPORATION PROCESS GOING ON.

I THINK IT WILL MAKE A REALLY BIG DEAL IF -- SUDDENLY WE DON'T HAVE ACCESS TO ANY OF I-15.

YOU CUT OFF.

THE ONLY ENTRANCE INTO THE VALLEY AND ALONG THE WAKE.

THAT'S BASICALLY ALL I HAVE TO SAY.

>> REID: MR. HODGES?

>> THEY RAN OLD COLLINS OUT OF TOWN AND TAR AND FEATHERED HIM AND SENT HIM TO ST.

GEORGE, I WILL BE BEHIND I LOVE YOU FROM THE VALLEY.

>> REID: MR. HODGES.

>> FACE YOU, OKAY.

>> ANYWAY.

I WANT THE NICE FOLKS SO THEY DON'T HANG ME RIGHT AWAY.

>> REID: NO ONE WILL SEE YOU ON TV IF YOU LOOK AT THAT MAN.

>> WHATEVER, MAP NUMBER THREE -- MAP NUMBER -- MAP NUMBER THREE WILL HAVE THAT LITTLE STRIP IN THE TOWNSHIP TO GET UP TO INTERSTATE 15, RIGHT?

AND THE WAY THE REST OF THE MAPS THAT TOWN IS LEFT OFF.

EVERYBODY AIN'T NOTHING BUT A HORSE PATH OR CAR PATH, YOU NEED SOMETHING FOR THE PEOPLE TO GET TO INTERSTATE 15 WITHOUT BOTHERING THE OTHER TWO TOWNSHIPS TO DO SO.

I THINK THAT'S FAIR THAT Y'ALL GIVE THEM A LITTLE STRIP TO GET UP THE I-15 DOES IT MAKE SENSE TO ALL OF Y'ALL.

THAT'S THE CONCLUSION Y'ALL PRETTY MUCH CAME TO, WHATEVER.

THANK YOU.

15 SHOULD BE INVOLVED FOR ALL THREE TOWNSHIPS THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY, THANK YOU.

>> REID: YOU'RE ALLOWED ONE.

AS LONG AS YOU DON'T VOLUNTEER ME.

>> I WANT TO BE SOUND A LITTLE BIT.

I'M SURE ALL OF YOU HAVE BEEN TO MOAPA VALLEY.

THERE IS ONE WAY INTO OUR VALLEY, WHICH DOES CAUSE SOME EMERGENCY EVACUATION-TYPE SITUATION.

WHAT MR. KELLY WAS TALKING ABOUT WAS THE CARP ELGIN EXIT WAS SET ON THE MASTER PLAN TO BE THE TRANSPORTATION EXIT AND ANOTHER WAY INTO OUR PARTICULAR VALLEY.

NOT ONLY WOULD IT PROVIDE AN ADDITIONAL ROUTE OUT OF OUR VALLEY IN CASE OF THE EMERGENCY.

THAT'S WHY THIS PARTICULAR MAP WAS DRAFTED.

IT DOES ACTUALLY INCLUDE.

IT DOES ACTUALLY INCLUDE THAT PARTICULAR EXIT.

THANK YOU.

>> REID: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

ANYONE ELSE?

>> GOOD MORNING MR. CHAIRMAN.

>> COMMISSIONERS BRETT LANE PUBLIC WORKS.

I WANT TO TAKE JUST A MOMENT TO MAKE A POINT OF CLARIFICATION.

ON THE SURVEYING ISSUE, IF YOU'RE READY I HAVE ANOTHER MAP.

IT'S BIGGER.

HAVE TO PUT IT IN THE MIDDLE.

THAT WILL HELP.

THIS IS A MAP THAT WE PUT TOGETHER IN THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT TO BENEFIT PROTECT THE INTERESTS OF THE COUNTY AND IT IS CONTEMPLATING CHANGING UNINCORPORATED TOWN BOUNDARIES.

THE AREA OF SURVEYING INTEREST IS THE AREA ON THIS MAP THAT SHOWS IN BLUE. IT IS BY THE WAY A COMPOSITE MAP ALL OF THE PROPOSED BOUNDARY CHANGES WHERE WE WORKED WITH STAFF TO DEVELOP IT.

THE REASON THAT THEY SHOW THIS WAY, SO THEY HOLD TO THE OUTER BOUNDARIES OF THE SUSPENDED SURVEYS AND SUSPENDED TOWNSHIPS, WHICH ARE SHOWN IN BUNKERVILLE AND IN MOAPA, ON THIS MAP THAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT, WHICH IS THE PROPOSED BOUNDARY CHANGES.

>> I WOULD LIKE TO PULL BACK THE ORIGINAL SITUATION, WHICH IS WHAT WE HAVE HERE.

THE NEXUS BETWEEN THE TWO MAPS.

YOUR EXISTING BOUNDARIES FOR THE INCORPORATED TOWNS ARE ALSO IN THE SUSPENDED AREAS.

THOSE BOUNDARIES ARE LEGAL, AND EVERYTHING IS, HOWEVER, TO, PROPOSE TO CHANGE AT THIS POINT -- AND TO EVADE ANY OF THE TOWNSHIPS SUSPENDED THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS PUT CLARK COUNTY ON NOTICE IN THE YEAR 2000 THAT THESE SEVERAL TOWNSHIPS HAVE BEEN SUSPENDED BASED ON THE FACT THAT THE ORIGINAL SURVEYS IN 1928 WERE FRAUDULENT, FICTITIOUS OR POORLY EXECUTED. LEAVING CERTAINTY OF LEX ON THE GROUND, AT BEST QUESTIONABLE.

MY COUNCIL TO THE BOARD THIS MORNING WOULD BE NUMBER ONE.

THE EXISTING ORDINANCES AS THEY ARE WRITTEN ARE SOLID, BUT THAT ANY PROPOSED CHANGES NEED TO BE TENTATIVE TO THE FACT THAT DESCRIBING PROPOSED CHANGES SHOULD NOT DESCRIBE THINGS, BY SECTIONAL SUBDIVISIONS.

SAYS SIX, SEVEN, EIGHT, NINE, WHATEVER IS PERT KNOWN TO THESE BOUNDARIES IT DOESN'T MEAN DIFFERENT BOUNDARIES CAN BE DRAWN.

IT MEANS THEY CANNOT DESCRIBED AS THE UNITED STATES PUBLIC LANDS DIVISION BY THESE TOWNS THAT HAVE BEEN SUSPENDED.

>> REID: THANK YOU.

ANYONE ELSE?

>> IF I COULD I ONLY HAVE ONE MORE STATEMENT THAT NEEDS TO BE MADE TO EXPLAIN THE SURVEY ISSUE.

THERE WAS A DISCUSSION DISCUSSED BRIEFLY AT THE MOAPA TOWN BOARD THAT MAYBE WE SHOULD DISCUSSED -- IT WOULD BE YET ANOTHER MAP.

THIS IS WHAT IS BEFORE YOU IN THE ORDINANCE TODAY.

MAP NUMBER TWO.

TO ADDRESS MOAPA VALLEY ISSUES AND POTENTIALLY BUNKERVILLEO ISSUES -- WELL TO ADDRESS MOAPA VALLEY ISSUES OF NOT HAVING ACCESS TO I-15 WHAT WAS DISCUSSED AT THE MOAPA TOWN BOARD MEETING TO ADDRESS THOSE ISSUES AS WELL AS THE SURVEY ISSUES LEAVE THE BLUE LINE WHERE IT IS.

EXCEPT I-15 DAN BE DESCRIBE THE CENTER LINE CAN BE DESCRIBED, DESPITE THE SUSPENSION OF THE SECTIONS AND BRING MOAPA VALLEY TO THE CENTER LINE OF I-15 AND MOAPA, BEYOND THE CENTER LINE OF I-15.

IT ALLOWS MOAPA TO HAVING ASSIST TO THE CARP ELGIN ACCESS THE FUTURE RIGHT OF WAY TO SERVE THAT COMMUNITY, AS WELL AS THE EXISTING MOAPA VALLEY EXIT. THAT IS A SOLUTION THAT COULD ADDRESS EVERYONE'S CONCERNS. AS WELL AS NEED TO COMBINE IT WITH THIS MAP TO ADD BUNKERVILLE, THE TERRITORY THEY WOULD LIKE TO HAVE, THAT IS NOT IN DISPUTE WITH ANY OF THE OTHER TOWNS.

>> REID: THANK YOU.

WE'RE NOT GOING TO KEEP GOING HERE.

LET'S HEARD FROM THE -- LET'S HEAR FROM THE BOARD.

TYPICALLY WE LET EVERYONE SPEAK ONCE AND NOW EVERYONE WILL BE SPEAKING TWICE.

>> EVERYONE HAS TWO SHOTS.

I HAVEN'T GOT TWO SHOTS.

>> REID: YOUR TALK WAS LONGER THAN ALL COMBINED THERE MAY BE QUESTIONS WE CALL YOU UP TO ANSWER.

I DON'T KNOW WHO I'M TURNING IT OVER TO COMMISSIONER COLLINS YOU WANT TO GO FIRST?

>> COLLINS: THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

I APOLOGIZE I HAVE BEEN KEEPING TOUCH WITH THE E.U., BECAUSE IT IS IN MY DISTRICT THE ISSUES BETWEEN MOAPA AND MOAPA VALLEY AND CONVERSING WITH YOU AS WE WERE ADDRESSING MEETING WITH THE BUNKERVILLE BOARD.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT'S CLEAR.

FIRST OF ALL GUY DEEDY IS ABSOLUTELY CORRECT.

IT IS TO GET THEM TO GET OFF THEIR HANDS.

AS IT HAS BEEN EXPRESSED BY MORE THAN ONE PERSON, INCLUDING MR. DEEDY THIS HAS BEEN IN THE PROCESS FOR YEARS.

THE REASONABLE REQUEST I WANT TO ADDRESS WHEN I STEP OUT IN JUST A MOMENT.

THERE WAS NOT A CONSENSUS.

I THINK WE AGREE.

I WILL PROVE ON THE MAPS.

THE SQUARE MILE EQUITABILITY IS NOT AN ISSUE, MUCH IS UNDEVELOPABLE AND TORTOISE HABITAT AND CARPEL GIN YOU HAVE TO DRIVE THREE MILES SEE THE LIGHT POLL IN THE MOAPA VALLEY FROM CARP EL GIN EXIT, THERE'S NO VIEW SHED THERE.

CURRENTLY AS IT STANDS LOGANDALE OR MOAPA VALLEY HAS NO FRONTAGE ON I-15.

CURRENTLY THERE IS ZERO FRONTAGE IN THE MOAPA VALLEY TOWNSHIP THAT.

WE WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS.

IF THE CHAIRMAN WILL ALLOW ME TO GO TO THE FRONT NOT BEING ABLE TO SHOW YOU ALL OF THIS STUFF I WOULD LIKE TO DO IN COLLECTIVISM.

>> REID: IT MIGHT BE EASIER TO HAVE SOMEONE FROM STAFF -- GET SOMEONE TO SHOW THE MAPS FOR COMMISSIONER COLLINS?

>> COLLINS: TO MY COLLEAGUES, THE FIRST POINT OF INTEREST WAS GENERATED BY THE RIVERVIEW FOLKS AS BONNIE HAS EXPLAINED OVER THE YEARS.

IT IS VERY SIMILAR TO WHAT HAS BEEN DONE IN OTHER PARTS OF NEVADA WHERE FOLKS HAVE COME IN AND SAID HEY W WILL BE AN IMPACT ON THE COMMUNITY.

WE WANT TO MAKE SURE WE ARE CONTRIBUTING FAIRLY.

THEY HAVE OFFERED TO MOVE THE GLENDALE PROPERTY, CURRENTLY JUST IN CLARK COUNTY AND NOT ON ANY OF THE TOWN BOARDS.

THEY WOULD LIKE TO MOVE IT TO THE MOAPA TOWN BOARD, BECAUSE IT CONNECTS THERE BY GENERATING MORE TOWN BOARD TAXES WHICH WOULD ASSIST MORE SERVICES IN THAT AREA.

SECONDLY IF YOU CAN FOCUS IN ON THE LITTLE BLACK SQUARE IN THE MIDDLE OF ALL OF THAT.

YOU CAN SEE IT IS JUST GENERALLY THE ISSUE OF -- THE ISSUE OF THE LITTLE TINY BLACK SQUARE IS THE ONLY AREA THAT COMES INTO DISCUSSION AND THE SIMPLE REQUEST FROM THE MOAPA AND THE DEVELOPER TO MOVE THAT FROM MOAPA VALLEY AND PUT IT IN MOAPA.

A SIMPLE LITTLE THING A COUPLE OF RESIDENTS A OLD RANCH AND VACANT RACETRACK.

SOMETIMES THEY RUN A FEW GOATS.

THERE'S A LITTLE MINING OPERATION GOING ON.

IT IS BASICALLY UNINHABITED BUT IT IS PRIVATE PROPERTY.

FOR CONVENIENCE IT HAS BEEN EXPLAINED TO MOVE THIS DEVELOPMENT FROM FOUR TAX DISTRICTS TO ONE.

WE TALK ABOUT SEVERAL TAX DISTRICTS TOWN BOUNDARY, RURAL TAX DISTRICTS. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT TWO-PART DISTRICT, A FIRE DISTRICT THAT COLLECTS NO TAXES IN ALL OF THE TOWN BOARDS AND WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A 9/11 EMERGENCY TAXING DISTRICT.

THE INITIAL WOULD BE THE TOWN BOUNDARIES AND WORKING WITH THE ONE TOWN BOARD.

HISTORICALLY IN THE LAST THREE OR FOUR YEARS, SINCE I'VE BEEN GOING TO THE TOWN BOARD MEETINGS MOAPA VALLEY WOULD SAY, GENERALLY AS THIS DEVELOPMENT WAS COMING FORWARD, WHATEVER MOAPA WANTS GO AHEAD THAT.

WOULD BE THE DIRECTION ON WEDNESDAY NIGHT FROM THE MOAPA TOWN BOARD TO THE MOAPA BOARD ON ZONE CHANGES, MAJOR DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS ET CETERA.

THEN THE SIMPLE REQUEST FOR THE LITTLE SMALL BLACK SQUARE.

THEN AS ALREADY EXPRESSED THERE WAS A LOT OF LAG TIME AND DISCUSSION OF -- NO, THEY CAN'T HAVE IT, UNLESS THEY GIVE US SOMETHING.

CAN WE GO TO MAP TWO?

BEFORE YOU DO, MATT ONE MORE THING.

BASICALLY -- WHAT GOT ORIGINALLY APPROVED BY MOAPA TOWN BOARD WAS THE LITTLE LONG STRIP DOWN THE SIDE.

INCLUDING THE GLENDALE PROPERTY, WHICH WAS NOT IN ANY TOWN BOARD AND ADDING TWO LITTLE SQUARES FROM MOAPA VALLEY.

VERY SMALL, INSIGNIFICANT THING.

IN THE WORLD TODAY WITH -- NO BUDGET TO SUBPOENA THE STATE.

THIS IS A REALLY BIG DEAL.

LET'S GO TO MAP TWO.

HERE'S THE MAP, PROBABLY GENERATED BY THE COMMENT THE GENTLEMAN MADE ABOUT WANTING TO INCORPORATE THAT RUNS FROM ADJUSTING TWO SECTIONS OF LAND APPROXIMATELY TO APPROXIMATELY 104 BEFORE -- 144 SECTION OF LAND.

FOUR FULL TOWNSHIPS IT IS 46 TIMES FOUR.

IS THAT PRETTY CLOSE.

WE'RE HEARING ALL OF THIS STUFF ABOUT EQUITY.
GETTING ALONG WITH OUR NEIGHBORS.
WE'RE ALL IN CONSENSUS.
IT IS A PROPOSAL MADE BY MOAPA VALLEY.
THE CAVEAT THREE THREW IN IF BUNKERVILLE WILL GO ALONG.
THIS IS WHERE WE'RE AT WHEN COME LAST JANUARY, BUNKERVILLE HAD, AS
EXPRESSED ON THE RECORD, AT LEAST FOUR MEETINGS WHERE THEY WOULD NOT
DECIDE.
THE COMMENT FROM THE JANUARY MEETING WAS WE PROBABLY SHOULD HAVE ANOTHER
PUBLIC MEETING FROM THE OPEN COMMUNITY AND GET I REALLY FEEL FROM ALL 435
OF THEIR RESIDENTS OR WHATEVER THE NUMBER IS IN BUNKERVILLE.
SECONDLY I SAID -- IT'S TIME.
GUY DODY ONE OF THE FIRST STATEMENTS TO ENCOURAGE THE TOWN BOARD FOLKS TO
GET OFF OF THEIR HANDS.
IT'S BEEN YEARS.
THEY ADMITTED THAT.
ON FEBRUARY 5 THERE WAS A TOWN BOARD MEETING REPRESENTING THE ELECTION
DEPARTMENT, TAX DEPARTMENT, SURVEY DEPARTMENT, AND THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
OFFICE AND I CAN'T TELL YOU WHO ELSE THERE.
WERE 20 PEOPLE IN THE ROOM FROM SEVERAL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES IN CLARK
COUNTY TO COME UP WITH A DECISION.
LET'S GO TO MAP THREE.
THIS WAS THE PROPOSAL I SUGGESTED TO DRAW UP.
BUNKERVILLE HAD NOT MADE A DECISION.
MOAPA VALLEY AND MOAPA WERE NOT IN CONSENSUS.
LET'S GO TO MAP FOUR, MATT.
AFTER THIS BOUNDARY WAS DECIDED.
THIS IS WHAT BUNKERVILLE CAME BACK AND MOAPA VALLEY CONSENTED AND THEN
GOOD TO FIVE.
ALMOST SIMULTANEOUSLY, AFTER THE FEBRUARY 11 MEETING.
HERE WAS BUNKERVILLE'S NEXT ONE, IF YOU SEE.
AND THAT'S -- THEY WANTED TO EXPAND ALL THE WAY TOWARDS GOLD BUTE.
SO YOU CAN SEE, I HOPE YOU CAN UNDERSTAND BY NOW THESE FIVE MAPS THAT
THERE'S NOT A CONSENSUS.
THERE WAS NOT A CONSENSUS IN FEBRUARY, WHEN WE WANTED TO MEET THE
TIMELINE.
TO MEET ANY CHANGES AND FOLLOW THE PROTOCOL AND GO TO THE TOWN BOARD AND
GET CONSENSUS THEY WOULD RUN PAST JULY 1.
WHICH WOULD DELAY REVENUES BEING GAINED IN CLARK COUNTY, A SIMPLICITY
THING.
EVERYONE SAID WE'RE FINE WITH THIS JUST GIVE ME 14 TOYS UNDER THE
CHRISTMAS TREE.
I'M BEING A LITTLE FASTIDIOUS BECAUSE THE WAY IT IS GOING ON.
WHEN I MADE THE PRESENTATION TO THREE TOWN BOARD AND TOOK ALL THREE MAPS
TO ALL FIVE TOWN BOARDS AND SAID COME JULY 2.
I WILL SIT DOWN AND MAKE THE DEAL THAT THERE'S ROBSON HAS RECOMMENDED
WE'LL SIT DOWN AND GET TO THE NUTS AND BOLTS OF WHAT IS GOING ON.

THE ONLY WAY TO GET THE THREE TOWN BOARDS IN MY OPINION, AND THE THREE COMMUNITIES I'VE BEEN OUT INVOLVED WITH AND MEETING WITH AND TALKING TO, THE WAY TO GET THIS DONE IS MAKE A CHANGE. NOT LEAVE IT AS STATUS QUO. AS LONG AS WE LEAVE IT AT STATUS QUO, WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE AN CONSENSUS FROM THE THREE TOWN BOARD OR COMMUNITIES. AS IS OBVIOUS, BECAUSE THE MOAPA VALLEY TOWN BOARD WAS CREATED IN 1981 BY CONSOLIDATION. AND BUNKERVILLE WAS CREATED IN 1915 OR 1928 AND MOAPA SOMETIMES IN THE MIDDLE OF THAT. AND GLENDALE CAME AND WENT. IT WAS A TOWNSHIP AND BECAME NO LONGER A TOWNSHIP. MY RECOMMENDATION IS TO APPROVE THE MAP AS PRESENTED BY STAFF, DIRECTED BY ME THROUGH THAT COLLECTIVE MEETING OF THE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS TO SET THE STAGE. THEN WE CAN GO FORWARD AND FOLKS CAN DO WHAT THEY NEED TO DO. COME THE JULY 1, ONCE IT IS RECORDED AND THE NEW TAX ROLLS COME OUT AND THE BENEFITS TO NORTHEAST CLARK COUNTY ARE BENEFITED TO THE UP TO OF MOAPA AND THE TOWNSHIP COMING BACK IN OUR GENERAL COUNCILS AND THE PERMITS CREATING MORE BENEFIT FOR THIS COMMUNITY, THEN WE'LL SIT DOWN AND ALL THREE PARTIES WILL HAVE A REASON TO NEGOTIATE. ALL THREE PARTIES CAN GET -- THE SIMPLEST THING WOULD BE TO SAY WE DON'T DO ANYTHING AT THE RON LEWIS RANCH. YOU GUYS ARE LOOKING AT THE IT AND FACING IT, TAKE IT. IN THE MEANTIME -- MOAPA VALLEY FOLKS FINALLY REALIZE WE DON'T HAVE ACCESS TO A 15. WE DON'T HAVE ANY JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARIES TO A 15. IN THE MARCH MEETING AFTER THIS MAP HAD BEEN DIRECTED AND DRAWN, ONE OF THE GREATEST IDEAS CAME FROM MR. WOOLY ON THE MOAPA TOWN BOARD. HE SAID WHY DON'T WE FOLLOW I15 LIKE BONNIE JUST RECOMMENDED. ONE OF THE MOAPA SAYS LET'S SHARE, LET'S WORK WITH THEM. FOLLOW THE I 15 LINE. THAT WAS THE RECOMMENDATION. THE BUNKERVILLE ADDED GOING TO GOLD BUTE. I THINK IT IS A GREAT IT'S COMMISSIONER SISOLAK. I THINK WE SHOULD GIVE THEM MORE RESPONSIBILITY, BECAUSE THAT'S AN AREA OF CONCERN THAT THEY TAKE CARE OF. ALL OF THAT IS FINE. THE FOLK HAVE HAD TWO YEARS PLUS TO DECIDE ABOUT CONTACTING I-15 OR HAVING ACCESS TO I-15 AND TWO PLUS YEARS TO DECIDE WHETHER THEY WANTED GOLD BUTE ADDED AND WHAT IF MOAPA VALLEY WANTS TO GO IN MOAPA TERRITORY WHERE LOGANDALE TRAILS ARE. YOU HAVE TO GO IN LOGANDALE ACCESS DID ANYONE ASK TO KICK MOAPA OUT? >> NOT. THERE'S A LOT OF ISSUES THAT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED WE NEED TO DO THAT BEFORE JULY 1.

MY RECOMMENDATION TO ASK MY COLLEAGUES TO VOTE FOR THIS, WHICH WILL MAKE A CHANGE BRINGING EVERYONE TO THE TABLE, THANK YOU.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

>> SISOLAK: MY CONCERN IS THAT -- I HAVE THE UTMOST RESPECT FOR MY COLLEAGUE.

I WON'T SEE WHAT WILL BRING MOAPA TO THE TABLE.

THEY WILL GET EVERYTHING THEY WANT.

THEN IF IMPEDIMENT TO THE PROCESS.

I HAVE TWO OR ONE AND A HALF AREAS HERE THAT ARE JUST NOT SUPPORTIVE OF THIS.

I CAN'T SUPPORT IT AS IT IS.

I WOULD RATHER SIT DOWN RATHER THAN PASS SOMETHING IS HALF HOT THROUGH.

IT IS TOTALLY THOUGHT THREE 10 TIMES AND FOR TWO YEARS.

I CERTAINLY DON'T WANT TO VOLUNTEER ANY OF MY COLLEAGUES TO CHAIR A --
[LAUGHTER]

A FACT-FINDING COMMITTEE THAT.

WOULD BE A CRUEL THING TO DO.

I THINK YOU NEED TO GET A COMMISSIONER WHO IS NOT TOM OR MYSELF INVOLVED IN THIS AND KIND OF WORK THROUGH THIS.

I DON'T WANT TO PASS IT AND COME BACK IN JULY AND PASS IT ANOTHER TIME.

THE TAX ISSUE I DON'T KNOW WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT.

IS THERE ANOTHER WAY TO FIX THIS TAX ISSUE YOU KEEP BRINGING FORWARD?

>> I THINK YOU CAME UP WITH THE SOLUTION.

IF THE PROPERTY OWNER IS CONCERNED ABOUT PAYING REVENUE.

THEY CAN MAKE A DONATION.

>> SISOLAK: THEY WOULD BE FINE.

THEY ARE WELCOME TO DONATE TO MOAPA AND I'M SURE THEY WOULD EAGERLY ACCEPT THE DONATION.

I WOULD BE IN FAVOR OF GETTING EVERYONE TOGETHER WITH STAFF AND EACH OF THE TOWN BOARDS WHETHER THEY ARE REPRESENTATIVES WITH THE TOWN BOARDS YOU CAN'T HAVE AN UNRULY MEETING WITH 27 PEOPLE INVOLVE OR NOTHING WOULD BE ACCOMPLISHED.

I WOULD MAKE MYSELF AVAILABLE.

I THINK WE NEED A THIRD PARTY FACILITATOR IF, IT IS POSSIBLE, MR. CHAIR.

I WOULD RATHER HAVE SOME MORE DISCUSSION AND HOLD THIS.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER BRAGER AND THEN COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> BRAGER: I HAVE NO PROBLEM RECOMMENDING THAT COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI GO OUT THERE AND HOLD A MEETING.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: I UNDERSTAND THAT I'M HAPPY TO DO THAT -- >> BRAGER: IN ALL SERIOUSNESS--

>> WE DO HAVE MEDIATOR ON STAFF.

>> BRAGER: IN ALL SERIOUSNESS I DO RESPECT COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

KNOWING THE AREA AND BEING OUT THERE AND COMMISSIONER SISOLAK, I THINK IT PUTS FROM COMMISSIONER BROWN TO COMMISSIONER WEEKLY INTO A QUAGMIRE OF -- WHO DO WE LISTEN TO?

I DON'T THINK THAT'S A HEALTHY SITUATION.

I THINK IF YOU ALL COULD MEET AND JUST COME BACK WITH ONE PROPOSAL WOULD BE BEST WITH SOMEONE MEDIATING OTHER THAN THE TWO COMMISSIONERS.

>> COLLINS: COMMISSIONER BRAGER.

WHEN YOU WERE ON THE SCHOOL BOARD DIDN'T YOU DO THE SAME THING WHEN YOU CHANGED SCHOOL ZONES EVERY YEAR.

>> BRAGER: WE HAVE TO COME TOGETHER AS ONE.

>> COLLINS: DID YOU EVER MAKE THEM ALL HAPPY?

>> BRAGER: NEVER.

YOU CAN'T MAKE ANYONE ALL HAPPY.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

GEN.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: I SPEND TIME WITH COMMISSIONER COLLINS IN HIS OFFICE GOING OVER THE MAPS.

THE SENSE OF FRUSTRATION MAY COME BACK IN THE LEGISLATURE WHEN WE DIDN'T WANT TO DEAL WITH MANAGE WE PUT IT IN THE STUDY OR DELAY.

I THINK WE'RE CLOSE TO ACTUALLY RESOLVING THIS.

I AM HAPPY TO SPEND THE TIME.

I HAVE ASKED VIRGINIA IF DOUG LINE COULD ASSIST.

WE ARE DOING THIS ON SOME OF THE OTHER PROGRAMS. SIT DOWN.

GET THE REPRESENTATIVES AND COME TO A CONCLUSION WITHIN THE NEXT 30 DAYS.

WE HAVE TO HAVE A TIME CERTAIN THAT COMES INTO PLAY.

I'M HAPPY TO OFFER THAT, IF THAT -- IF THE BOARD WISHES TO MOVE IN THAT DIRECTION.

IF WE CAN'T RESOLVE IT COME BACK AND DEAL WITH IT AS A BORE.

>> COLLINS: WHAT IS THE TIME FRAME TO MEET JULY 1?

DIDN'T IT TAKE QUITE A WHILE.

THIS IS PART OF THE ISSUE.

IT IS NOT JUST OUR DECISION, IT IS THEN GOING BACK TO SEVERAL STAFF MEMBER.

THE TAXATION, SURVEYING DEPARTMENT, TO CORRECT THOSE THINGS.

THAT'S WHY -- THIS IS A TIMELY MATTER.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: I THINK IT IS.

EXCEPT I THOUGHT IN HIS TESTIMONY THAT THE ISSUE IS HOW WE DESCRIBE.

IT WE DON'T NECESSARILY HAVE TO HAVE A NEW SURVEY DONE BECAUSE OF THE SUSPENSION COMPONENT.

IT IS WHETHER OR NOT WE DESCRIBE IT PROPERLY FOR THE ORDINANCE, BUT MAYBE I MISUNDERSTOOD.

>> NO, THAT'S CORRECT COMMISSIONER.

WE HAVE THE SHELLS DONE WE CAN MAKE ANY CHANGES PRETTY QUICKLY IT IS A MATTER OF DETERMINE WIG BOUNDARIES YOU WANT TO HOLD.

THERE'S NO SURVEYING IN THE FEEL THAT WILL BE INVOLVED.

IF YOUR QUESTION IS RELATED TO THE NEEDED RESURVEYS TO RESOLVE THE ISSUES OF THE TOWNSHIPS THAT HAS TO BE HANDLED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND ONLY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: THANK YOU.

>> REID: NO ONE HAS MADE A MOTION.

>> COLLINS: I DID MR. CHAIRMAN?

WAS IN THE FORM OF THE MOTION.

>> COLLINS: I WANT TO MOVE FORWARD AND APPROVE THIS.

THIS IS NEW INFORMATION.

YOU THINK THEY CAN WORK IT OUT.

I WOULD LOVE TO SEE THEM WORK IT OUT.

I JUST MENTIONED SOME THINGS THAT HAVE ONLY RECENTLY COME UP ABOUT FOLLOWING I15 AND MOVING LOGANDALE TRAILS OUT AND GOLD BUTE AT DIFFERENT LEVELS THOSE WON'T GET DONE QUICKLY.

THEY WON'T GET DONE IN 30 DAYS.

THAT'S WHY -- IF YOU APPROVE THIS, YOU CREATE AN URGENCY TO GET THOSE DECISIONS MADE IF NOT WE'RE BACK IN THE TWO AND A HALF YEARS PLAYING THE SAME OLD SONG.

I WOULD APPRECIATE YOUR SUPPORT.

>> REID: THAT'S THE MOTION RESEARCH THERE COMMENTS ON THE MOTION?

>> REID: COMMISSIONER.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: THANK YOU.

I LEARNED IN THE LEGISLATURE I WOULD RATHER THE MESSAGE BE WITHOUT URGENCY.

I WOULD MOVE TO AMEND IT TO ESTABLISH A WORKING GROUP WITH THE THREE TOWN BOARDS NECESSARY STAFF, STAFF FROM THE TWO COMMISSIONERS OFFICE.

I'M HAPPY TO CHAIR THAT AND HOLD IT OUT IN THE RURAL AREAS TO DECIDE WHICH IS THE MOST APPROPRIATE PLACE.

WE EITHER HAVE IT RESOLVE IN 30 DAYS OR BRING IT BACK TO THE BOARD AND MAKE A DECISION ON HOW TO HANDLE IT.

>> COLLINS: I PLEDGE THAT TO ALL THREE COMMITTEES TO SIT IT DOWN AND WORK IT OUT I ALREADY PLEDGED THAT.

>> REID: IS THAT AMENDMENT ACCEPTABLE?

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: ARE 30 DAYS ENOUGH?

I WANT TO BE REASONABLE TO WORK THROUGH THIS?

>> REID: CAN I SUGGEST AN AMENDMENT TO THE AMENDMENT.

>> COLLINS: YOU HAVE THAT MEETING IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING CHRIS OR APPROVE THIS MAP AND THEN WORK OUT THE DETAILS?

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: I DON'T FEEL COMFORTABLE TRUTHFULLY WITH THE MAP.

I THINK WE'RE CLOSE, TRUTHFULLY.

IF NOT I'M HAPPY TO ADMIT THAT I WAS WRONG.

>> COLLINS: I MOVE TO HOLD THIS 30 DAYS.

THAT WAY Y'ALL CAN FIND OUT WHO WANTS TO PLAY AND WHO DON'T.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: IF I NEED MORE TIME.

ACCESS COULD WE MAKE PART OF THE HOLD THE CONCEPT OF THE -- .

>> REID: I THINK RATHER THAN SAY THE GROUP YOU DESCRIBED WAS PROBABLY TOO LARGE FOR NOTHING TO HAPPEN.

I THINK IT WOULD BE BETTER IF EACH OF THE TOWN BOARD DESIGNATED A REPRESENTATIVE THE CHAIR OR WHOEVER THEY DESIGNATE SO, THERE'S A REPRESENTATIVE FROM EACH OF THE COMMUNITIES.

AND APPROPRIATE STAFF FROM THE COUNTY AND THEY'LL MEET.

THEY CAN COORDINATE THAT SCHEDULE WITH COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI OFFICE.

>> COLLINS: THAT WORKS.

>> REID: ANY OTHER DIRECTION?

YOU'VE HEARD THE MOTION.

WE PROBABLY SHOULD HOLD THAT TO A DATE CERTAIN.

THE NEXT MEETING IS 30 DAYS FROM NOW WHEN?

>> JUNE 2ND.

>> REID: NOT QUITE 30 DAYS, BUT CLOSE ENOUGH.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> REID: YOUR MOTION WAS ON ALL THREE ITEMS, CORRECT?

>> MR. CHAIR.

>> REID: NOW IF EVERYONE CAN COLLECT THEIR MAPS AND GO HOME.

>> SISOLAK: I WOULD LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI FOR STEPPING AND UP GO OUT THERE AND HOPEFULLY GET SOMETHING RESOLVED.

>> SECTION SIX IS INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCE AMEND CHAPTER 4 POINT 08 BY A MEANING 10, 40, 60 AND 75 REGARDING THE INCREASE IN THE TRANSIENT LODGING RATE AND LATE CHARGES AND REFUNDS BY DAY TAXES IMPOSED ADDING SECTION 4.0831, 14808.125 FOR ADDITIONAL TAX ON TRANSIENT LODGING ESTABLISHMENTS IN THE COUNTRY.

IN ADDITION THE INCORPORATED AREA AND LODGING TRANSIENT RATE PROVIDED FOR MATTER THERETO AND SET A PUBLIC HEARING.

STAFF RECOMMENDS A PUBLIC HEARING FOR TUESDAY JUNE 2, 2009 AT 10:00 A.M.

>> REID: I INTRODUCE THE ORDINANCE AND SET THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR JUNE 2, 2009 AT 10:00.

A.M.

>> ITEM 87 OF THE BUSINESS AGENDA SITTING AS THE CLARK COUNTY RECLAMATION BOARD OF TRUSTEES ACCEPT THE DECEMBER 2008 INTERNAL CONTROL ASSESSMENT AND AUDIT FINDINGS REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FROM PRICE WATER HOUSE COOPERATORS.

THE DISTRICT INTERNAL CONTROL AUDITORS.

>> REID: GOOD MORNING.

>> BRINGING IT MAC NELLY CLARK COUNTY WATER RECLAMATION.

LATE LAST YEAR STAFF DETERMINED THAT ALONG WITH -- IMPROVING OUR PROCEDURES THROUGHOUT THE DISTRICT, WE ALSO WANTED TO INCORPORATE A COMPREHENSIVE ADD AT THIS TIME PLAN.

WE WANTED AN OUTSIDE SOURCE, BASICALLY BECAUSE THE MORE YOU LOOK AT SOMETHING.

THE HARDER IT IS TO SEE IT WE WANTED OUTSIDE EYES TO TAKE A LOOK.

I HAVE STAFF FROM PRICE WATERHOUSE COOPERATORS TO GIVE A QUICK PRESENTATION.

I WANTED TO EXPLAIN A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHAT WE'RE DOING.

THEY CAME IN.

THEY SPOKE WITH STAFF.

BOTH FROM SENIOR MANAGEMENT TO THE WORKING STAFF.

ASKED QUESTIONS.

INTERVIEWED A LOT OF AND US CAME UP WITH A PLAN.

THEY IDENTIFIED DIFFERENT AREAS OF POTENTIAL RISK.

AND FROM THOSE 10 AREAS, THEY WENT AHEAD AND TOOK THREE AND DID A COMPREHENSIVE AUDIT ON THOSE AREAS.

GOING AHEAD AND GIVING YOU THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS WHAT WE PLAN ON DOING IN THE FUTURE IS TAKE EACH OF THOSE AREAS, THREE EACH YEAR AND DO A COMPREHENSIVE AUDIT AND CONTINUE THIS PROCESS SO WE'RE ALWAYS LOOKING AT WHAT WE'RE DOING AND HOW WE'RE DOING.

>> RIGHT NOW I BRING UP ANDY DALE AND RENEE SWAN.

>> THANK YOU AND GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS.

>> WANTED TO GIVE YOU AN OVERVIEW OF THE INTERNAL CONTROL REPORT THAT WE PUT TOGETHER FOR THE DISTRICT.

ON THE AGENDA THERE'S REALLY THREE THINGS WE LIKE TO COVER.

I SHARE ON THE INTERNAL CONTROL FRAMEWORK THAT WE APPLY.

>> SECOND OF ALL, WE COVER THE RISK ASSESSMENT WE USED TO PRIORITIZE AREA AND PROCESSES AND THIRD OF ALL GIVE YOU AN OVERALL OF THE SPECIFIC PROCESSES WE DO A DEEPER DIVE ON.

THE COASTAL CUBE IS ON THIS STANDING FOR COMMITTEE SPONSORING ORGANIZATION.

PEOPLE MADE OF A VESTED INTEREST OF INTERNAL CONTROL THE AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED ACCOUNTANTS.

I'M A C P.A.

FINANCIAL EXECUTIVE FINANCIAL GROUP AND FINANCIAL EXECUTIVES AROUND THE WORLD AND THE INSTITUTE OF INTERNAL AUDITORS I'M A MEMBER OF THE INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS BOARDS AND A COUPLE OF OTHER ORGANIZATIONS IF I LOOK AT THIS IF, I'M TRYING TO SAY WHAT DOES NOT DID YOU SEE IT MEAN TO YOU AND TAKE SOMETHING ABOUT INTERNAL CONTROL.

THERE'S FIVE LAYERS OF CONTROL.

ALL HAVE TO BE PRESENT FOR GOOD CONTROL.

A GOOD CONTROL ENVIRONMENT.

SOMETIMES PEOPLE CALL TONE AT THE TOP.

THAT'S THE FOUNDATION.

CIRQUE THERE HAS TO BE A GOOD RISK ASSESSMENT ON THE RISK WHETHER IT IS FINANCE OR COMPLIANCE THAT RELATE TO THE ENTITY AND THIRD OF ALL CONTROL ACTIVITIES THAT RESPOND TO THOSE RISK AND THERE HAS TO BE INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION THAT HELPS FACILITATE THE CONTROL ENVIRONMENT AND LAST OF ALL AT THE TOP OF CUBE THERE HAS TO BE MONITORING TO MAKE SURE THAT THE PROCESS IS WORKING.

I WOULD SAY THIS REVIEW IS ONE OF THE MONITORING ACTIVITIES THAT ORGANIZATIONS CAN PUT IN.

AS PART OF ASSESSING THE DISTRICT'S RANKING, WHEN YOU LOOK AT -- THE INTERNAL CONTROLS, WE DID THE SURVEY WE HAVE USED IN OTHER SPOTS.

SURVEYED OVER 100 EMPLOYEES OF THE DISTRICT.

IF I GIVE YOU A COUPLE OF HIGHLIGHTS.

WE SAY IT RANKED HIGH IN A COUPLE OF AREAS.

SPECIFICALLY ON INTEGRITY AND ETHICAL VALUES AND PEOPLE, IF YOU DROVE DOWN AND THOUGHT THE ORGANIZATION WAS ETHICAL.

SECOND OF ALL COMMUNICATION OF MISSION AND OBJECTIVES THROUGH POLICIES AND PROCEDURES.

IT WAS RANKED WELL, COMPARED TO OTHER ORGANIZATIONS AND AREAS OF IMPROVEMENT ONE WAS IN IDENTIFYING AND ASSESSING RISK. THE ORGANIZATION MAY NOT HAVE DONE THAT AS FORMALLY IN THE PAST. IN THE SURVEY IT DIDN'T RANK AS HIGH ON INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION IN THE GROUP ACROSS FUNCTIONAL AREAS, SOMETHING NOT UNUSUAL FOR OUR ORGANIZATIONS.

PEOPLE IN THE ORGANIZATION THOUGHT THAT COULD IMPROVE. WITH THAT I WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE RENEE KWONG FOR MORE DETAILS. >> THE RISK ASSESSMENT BASED ON INTERVIEW WITH THE CLARK COUNTY WATER RECLAMATION HELP IDENTIFY KEY ISSUES AND CHALLENGES FACED BY THE DISTRICT. THROUGH THIS PROCESS WE GAINED A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF EXISTING PROCESSES AND BUILD A RISK-FOCUS AUDIT PLAN THE HEAT MAP. IT HIGHLIGHTS THE AREAS BASED UPON THE LIKELIHOOD AND IMPACT OF THE RISK IDENTIFIED.

FOR CLARK COUNTY WATER RECLAMATION DISTRICT WE LOOKED AT EACH DEPARTMENT AND THE PROCESSES WITHIN EACH DEPARTMENT TO DRAW THIS HEAT MAP.

HIGH ON THE IMPACTED WERE THE PROCUREMENT SKILL SET DEVELOPMENT AREAS.

>> AFTER INTERVIEWS WITH THE AREA AND DISCUSSION WITH MANAGEMENT P.W.C. PERFORMED AN AUDIT OF THREE AREAS WITH DETAILED REVIEW OF CONTROL AND RELATED TRANSACTION AND DOCUMENT TRANSACTIONS.

THEY WERE PROCUREMENT CONSTRUCTION AND SKILL SET.

CONSTRUCTION WAS NOT ONE OF THE THREE HIGH-RISK AREAS IN THE UPPER RIGHT HAND AND RANT THE NOTED IMPACT AND MEDIUM LIKELIHOOD BUT CLARK COUNTY WATER RECLAMATION WANTED CONSTRUCTION AREA.

IT WILL BE IN THE THREE AUDIT AREAS IDENTIFIED THIS YEAR.

THE AREAS WITHIN PROCUREMENT, CONSTRUCTION AND EMPLOYEE SKILL SET -- THERE'S THREE AREAS.

THESE AREAS WITHIN THESE AREAS WERE SOME OF THE THOSE IDENTIFIED THROUGH THE RISK ASSESSMENT AND PERFORMED DETAILED TESTING AGAINST THESE NOTED HERE INCH THAT WORK, WE FOUND NO INSTANCES OF FRAUD IN THE TEST.

WE DID IDENTIFY OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT IN THE PROCESSES AND CONTROL TO ADDRESS THE REST OF THE DISTRICT.

I BELIEVE THE DISTRICT SENT A DETAILED INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT.

WE INCLUDED THE FINDINGS IMPLICATIONS AND CLARK COUNTY WATER DISTRICT MANAGEMENT HAS COME UP WITH THE ACTION PLAN AND FINDINGS AND IMPLICATIONS INCLUDING A RESPONSIBLE PARTY WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTING THOSE CHANGES AND A DUE DATE.

FOR MOST OF THE FINDINGS THE ACTION PLANS HAVE BEEN IMPLEMENTED BY NOW. FOR OTHERS THEY ARE AN ONGOING PROCESS AND IMPROVEMENT OR HAVE A DUE DATE LATER THIS YEAR.

THERE IS AN ACTION PLAN IN PLACE AND MANAGEMENT HAS SEATTLE A TONE TO ADDRESS THESE.

>> IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS WE WELCOME THE CHANCE TO ANSWER THOSE?

>> REID: QUESTIONS, COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: THANK YOU.

IF I LOOK AT 15 TECHNICAL SKILLS WILL BE BENEFICIAL.

IT HAS TO BE CERTIFIED.

CORRECT AND MAINTAIN CERTIFICATION.

TERMINOLOGY WISE ENCOURAGE MORE FORMAL DOWNWARD COMMUNICATION OF MANAGEMENT.

I TEND TO THINK SHOULD BE UPWARD.

EMPLOYEES CLOSEST TO THE JOB WOULD BE MORE ENGAGED AND I THINK YOU TALK ABOUT THAT WITH THE PERFORMANCE APPRAISALS BUT THEY'RE NOT ALWAYS FOCUSED ON SOME OF THE COMPONENTS.

-- I TRUST THAT RICHARD INVOLVED HIS FOLK.

HE HAS A GOOD TEAM THAT WORK WITH THEM TOGETHER.

I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THE VIEW IS THOSE CLOSEST TO THE JOB, NO MATTER IF IT IS THIS DEPARTMENT OR AREA OR NOT, TEND TO KNOW WHAT IS BEST ON HOW TO MAKE IT WORK BETTER.

I READ THAT THROUGH THE THREADS OF YOUR REPORT WAS.

>> THAT'S A VERY GOOD POINT.

THANK YOU.

>> REID: OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

COMMISSIONER BROWN?

>> BROWN: THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN UNDER COMMISSIONER SISOLAK TWO-DAY RULE -
- .

>> BROWN: IT IS NOT JUST MY RULE -- .

>> BROWN: CURIOSITY ON SOMETHING AS COMPREHENSIVE AS THE OUTSIDE AUDIT OF WHAT HAPPENS NOW?

>> SEE THE RECOMMENDATIONS HAVE BEEN PUT IN PLACE AND STAFF HAS RESPONDED. WHAT IS THE FOLLOW-UP TO -- WHAT WE'RE HERE TODAY IN THE REPORT TO INSURE THAT HIS MANAGEMENT IS FULFILLING ALL OF THE OBLIGATIONS?

>> WE HAVE HAD SCHEDULES WITH JERRY CAROLL IN THE AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

WE WILL FOLLOW UP WITH HIM SO, HE CAN MAKE SURE WE ARE KEEPING ON TRACK AND HAVE HIM COME BACK TO SEE WHERE WE ARE.

THEN WE WILL ISSUE ANOTHER REPORT, LETTING YOU KNOW WHERE WE ARE AT WITH THIS REPORT AND WHAT WE ARE DONE WE ARE 80% COMPLETED THE LONGEST TERM THAT CAME UP WAS -- DEALING WITH OUR FINANCIAL SYSTEMS WHERE WE HAVE TO REDEFINE SOME THINGS IN THE SYSTEM.

WE WILL JUST TAKE A LITTLE TIME.

PULLING KNOWLEDGE OUT OF WHAT EACH PERSON IS DOING IN THE DEPARTMENT.

THE LONG-TERM PROJECT AS FAR AS ADDRESSING THE ISSUES WE BELIEVE.

GETTING IT FINISHED BY THE END OF THE YEAR IS OUR GOAL.

>> THE INTERNAL AUDIT IT DEPENDS ON THE AUDIT IT IS A PERIOD OF SIX TO NINE MONTHS.

THEY WILL CHECK ON COMPLIANCE AND UPDATE IT.

THEY KEEP STATISTICS ON IT.

THEY WILL GIVE THEM THE PERIOD WITHIN WHICH TO COMPLY AND THEN DOUBLE BACK AND DO THE AUDIT RECOMMENDATIONS AND THEIR COMPLIANCE.

>> BROWN: THANK YOU.

>> REID: OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

>> THANK YOU.

>> ITEM 88 APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE TERMINATION OF THE LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CLARK COUNTY AND SPRING VALLEY MEDICAL OFFICES AS IT RELATES FOR DEVELOPMENT OF 2.99 ACRES.

>> REID: MAYBE WE SHOULD MAKE A MOTION TO ACCEPT THAT -- REPORT. I THINK THE LAWYERS WERE DESCENDING TO MAKE THAT SUGGESTION.

YOU HEARD THE MOTION, CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 88 IS APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE TERMINATION OF LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN CLARK COUNTY AND SPRING VALLEY MEDICAL OFFICES LLC TO BELL CREEK LLC FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF 2.9 ACRES LOCATED IN THE COOPERATIVE MANAGEMENT C.M.A.

DUE TO FAILURE OF TIME LIE COMPLETE CONSTRUCTION AS REQUIRED BY THE LEASE AND AUTHORIZE THE DIRECTOR OF REAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT TO SIGN NECESSARY DOCUMENTS TO TERMINATE THE LEASE AND CORRECT A COMPROMISE UNDER THE LEASE. THE SITE KNOWN AS 163-27-08-2105 AND LOCATED AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF TIMBER CREEK AND RUSSELL ROAD.

>> REID: DOES STAFF HAVE A PRESENTATION ON THIS?

>> SISOLAK: ARE 88 AND 89 CONNECTED?

>> DIFFERENT OWNERS AND THEY ARE ACROSS THE STREET.

>> SISOLAK: I DIDN'T HEAR THE FIRST PART.

>> DIFFERENT COMPANIES WE'RE DEALING WITH.

THEY ARE ACROSS THE STREET FROM EACH OTHER.

>> RANDY TAR, WE DO NOT HAVE A PRESENTATION.

>> COMMISSIONER BRAGER.

>> BUT IN REGARDS TO 88 AND 89, IF WE WANTED TO DO SOMETHING.

THEY WOULD BE RELATED IN RELATION WITH WHAT HAS NOT HAPPENED.

>> CORRECT.

>> OUR RECOMMENDATION IS THE SAME.

>> ACTUALLY, IF YOU DON'T MIND I KNOW THEY'RE NOT REALLY IN DISTRICT F, BUT I HAD -- WANTED TO ASK A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS REGARDS TO PROCESS ESPECIALLY IN REGARDS TO THE ECONOMIC TIMES I WOULD LIKE ONE OF THE OWNER OF 89 IS HERE.

I WOULDN'T MIND, BUS I LIKE OPENNESS IN BUSINESS.

TO LET PEOPLE FOR THE BOARD TO KNOW WHAT HAS HAPPENED I CALL ON SOMETHING ELSE AND HAPPEN TO SAY HOW ARE THINGS GOING!

HE SHARED ASKING HAPPENING I FEEL IT IS IN THE BEST OF THIS ECONOMY AND WHAT HAS GONE ON FROM 2004 UNTIL NOW.

IT HAPPENS TO BE IN COMMISSIONER SISOLAK'S AREA, I BELIEVE.

>> BRAGER: WE COULD HEAR FROM MR. SCHUMER.

>> I WOULD BE MORE COMFORTABLE.

I DON'T USUALLY DO THIS.

IT IS 89.

DO YOU NEED TO READ THAT FIRST?

>> APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE TERMINATION OF THE LEASE GREEN BETWEEN CLARK COUNTY AND SPRING VALLEY MEDICAL OFFICES LLC TO TIMBER CREEK LLC FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF 12.75 ACRES OF REAL ESTATE REAL PROPERTY WITHIN THE COMPREHENSIVE MANAGEMENT AREAS DUE TO THE FAILURE OF THE TIMELY COMPLETE

CONSTRUCTION AND PLAYGROUND RENT REQUIRED BY LEASE AND AUTHORIZE THE DIRECTOR OF PROPERTY MANAGER TO TERMINATE THE LEASE AND COLLECT AMOUNTS DUE UNDER THE LEASE.

KNOWN AS 163-27-01-026 LOCATED AT NORTHEAST CORNER OF BY HIM TIMBER CREEK AND RUSSELL ROAD.

>> GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS.

I'M CHRIS DUMBER THE PRINCIPAL OWNER OF TIMBER CREEK LAS VEGAS LLC.

I APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS YOU REGARDING THIS ITEM.

I HAVE WITH ME DOUGLAS CROOK, WHO HAS BEEN THE GENTLEMEN I HAVE BEEN WORKING, WHO HAS HAD ALL OF THE INTERACTION WITH THE STAFF REGARDING THE DEVELOPMENT OF THIS PROPERTY.

>> WE ASSUME THIS LEASE AT THE END OF 2005.

WE HAVE ACTUALLY BEEN ACTIVE IN DEVELOPING THIS PROPERTY FOR 2006, 2007, AND 2008.

AS I'M SURE YOU ARE AWARE GOING THROUGH IN THE TIMELY PROCESS, AS WELL AS THE TRAFFIC STUDIES DRAINAGE AND BUILDING PERMITS THERE'S AN EXTENSE IF AMOUNT OF TIME IT TAKES TO GET ANY PROJECT THROUGH THE PROCESS.

THANK YOU.

JUST TO ADDRESS THAT QUESTION, I WAS THE ONE WHO DRAFTED THAT LETTER.

I WASN'T PER SE SURPRISED BUT I HAD A MEETING WITH STAFF, RANDY AND HIS GROUP AND CAROL NUMEROUS TIMES OVER THE COURSE OF THE LAST TWO YEARS.

MARCH '07 I WROTE THE INITIAL LETTER INDICATING WE WEREN'T GOING TO HIT THE TIME LINE IN THE LEASE.

AND I ASKED FOR A FIVE-YEAR EXTENSION.

EACH TIME I MET WITH THE GROUP FROM THE COUNTY IT FELT THEY COULD AUTHORIZE A SIX-MONTH EXTENSION BUT NEEDED TO GO BACK TO THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS.

IT SEEMED LIKE THERE WAS SOMETHING GLOBAL COMING FROM THE COUNTY COMMISSION LEVEL THAT WAS GOING TO BE HANDED TO RANDY AND HIS GROUP AND THEN THE LEASE HOLDERS.

IN A MEETING NEAR THE END OF '08 THE CONVERSATION WAS THIS GROUP HERE THAT WE ARE AMONGST IS STILL REVIEWING STRATEGIES AND IDEAS.

AND I WAS ASKED FOR INFORMATION LIKE HOW MUCH MONEY DID WE HAVE IN THE PROJECT AT THE TIME.

WHO DID OUR DEVELOPMENT TEAM CONSIST OF, WHICH I WAS PROVIDING.

IT FELT LIKE WE WERE ON THE SAME TEAM THEN OUT OF THE BLUE THE LETTER APPEARED, ALL THE WAY BACK TO OCTOBER '08 EVEN THOUGH WE HAD TWO MEETINGS AFTER OCTOBER '08 WHERE THAT DIDN'T COME UP.

>> WHAT WOULD YOU HOPE TO ACCOMPLISH IF WE HOLD THIS FOR 30 DAYS? TO RENEGOTIATE?

>> TO SIT DOWN WITH STAFF AND SEE IF WE CAN COME UP WITH A MUTUAL RESOLUTION WHERE WE ARE CURRENTLY IN THIS LEASE.

THE LEASE WAS BASED ON AN APPRAISAL JUST COMPLETED JANUARY THIS YEAR.

THERE'S QUESTIONS REGARDING THE VALUE OF THE LEASE ALONE.

ESPECIALLY WHAT'S GOING ON WITH LAND VALUES, ETC..

WE WOULD LIKE AN OPPORTUNITY TO SIT DOWN WITH STAFF AND SEE IF THERE'S A MUTUAL RESOLUTION TO THIS.

>> WHEN WAS THE LAST PAYMENT MADE?
>> WE DIDN'T GET A BILL FOR ANY OF THE GROUND RENT UNTIL MARCH.
SO THIS IS ALL VERY NEW.
WE GOT A BILL FROM THE GROUND RENT WITH A NOTICE OF DEFAULT ON THE LEASE
SIMULTANEOUSLY.
THERE WAS NEVER A BILL, EVEN THOUGH IT'S BACK DATED BACK TO OCTOBER, WE
NEVER RECEIVED A BILL, OCTOBER, NOVEMBER, DECEMBER, JANUARY, FEBRUARY.
>> YOU DIDN'T GET A BILL?
>> NO.
AND THE REASON WHY THERE WAS NO APPRAISAL TO ESTABLISH WHAT THE LEASE RATE
SHOULD BE, AND THAT WASN'T COMPLETED UNTIL JANUARY.
WE NEVER GOT A COPY OF THAT APPRAISAL UNTIL MARCH.
>> OK.
>> I HAVE A QUESTION.
STAFF, DO YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT STAFF IS RECOMMENDING THAT THE LEASE BE
TERMINATED AND YOU PAY BACK RENT FOR THE PERIOD IT'S ALLEGED YOU ARE IN
DEFAULT, CORRECT?
>> THAT'S OUR UNDERSTANDING WHAT THE DEMAND IS.
>> DO YOU HAVE A NOTION WHAT YOU THINK THE APPROPRIATE RESOLUTION SHOULD
BE, OR IS THAT WHY YOU WANT TO HAVE THE DISCUSSION?
>> THAT'S WHY I WANT TO HAVE THE DISCUSSION.
>> COMMISSIONER SISOLAK THIS IS YOUR DISTRICT.
DO YOU HAVE A MOTION OR -- ?
>> YEAH, I DO.
I WOULD RATHER MOVE TO HOLD THIS FOR 30 DAYS, BRING IT BACK IN JUNE AND
DIRECT STAFF TO SIT DOWN AND SEE IF SOMETHING COULD BE WORKED OUT,
NEGOTIATED.
I SYMPATHIZE WITH THE FACT YOU GOT CLOSE TO SEVEN FIGURES IN THIS JOB
ALREADY.
WE ARE ALL ON THE SAME PAGE.
WE KNOW THE ECONOMY HAS SOURED A LITTLE AND WANT TO PUT PEOPLE TO WORK BUT
AT THE SAME TIME I UNDERSTAND THE STAFF'S POSITION.
I WOULD MOVE TO HOLD IT FOR 30 DAYS.
>> IS THAT ON BOTH ITEMS?
88 AND 89?
THAT DATE WOULD BE JUNE 2nd, CORRECT?
ANY DISCUSSION?
CAST YOUR VOTE.
>> AND COMMISSIONER SISOLAK, THANK YOU.
IT WAS JUST BY A CASUAL CONVERSATION AND FELT IT WAS IMPORTANT TO LOOK
LIKE.
-- LOOK AT.
>> MR. CHAIRMAN?
>> MOTION CARRIES.
COMMISSIONER BROWN?
>> A COMMENT ON THIS IN LIGHT OF OBVIOUSLY THE ECONOMY AND SOME OF THE
ACTIONS THE BOARD HAS TAKEN AT THE AIRPORT TO WORK WITH SOME OF OUR

VENDERS, I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN HAVING MR. TAR BRIEF AS FAR AS WHAT THE COUNTY'S POSITION IS.

IS IT TO GO AFTER SOME OF THESE LEASES THAT WE LEGALLY CAN TERMINATE? OR IS IT TO GO OUT AND TRY TO WORK WITH THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY TO EXTEND IT AND PROTECT THEIR INVESTMENT WITH THE HOPE OF THE ECONOMY TURNING OVER? I GUESS I'M UNSURE WHERE THE COUNTY'S POLICY IS, SO IF WE COULD GET BETTER DEFINITION OVER THE NEXT 30 DAYS.

>> I'VE GOT NO CRITICISM HOW THE DEPARTMENT HANDLED IT, I KNOW YOU ARE WELL WITHIN THE RIGHTS OF THE COUNTY TO GO AFTER THIS, BUT I WOULD RATHER SEE SOMETHING BE WORKED OUT.

>> THE MOTION TO HOLD PASSED.

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ITEM 90 HAS BEEN DELETED.

ITEM 91

[READING]

>> DOES STAFF HAVE SOMETHING TO SAY ON THIS?

SOME OF THE PROPONENTS ARE HERE, AS I UNDERSTAND IT.

>> GOOD MORNING, COMMISSIONERS.

MS. JONES, FINANCE PURCHASE CONTRACTS.

THE TRUST ACCOUNT FOR THE INMATES AT THE CLARK COUNTY DETENTION CENTER.

WE HAVE SOME INTERVIEWS.

THREE OTHER FIRMS WERE SELECTED TO PERFORM AN INTERVIEW WITH THE GROUP.

TWO OF THOSE FIRMS WERE DEEMED TO BE QUALIFIED.

WE HAVE REPRESENTATIVES FROM CCDC OR METRO TO MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD.

>> OK.

WHY DON'T WE HEAR FROM THE PROPONENTS.

I SEE THE CHIEF IS HERE.

HE MIGHT HAVE A WORD FOR US.

I HAVE A COUPLE CARDS, FIRST FROM JOSH SHAPIRO.

>> I'M JOSH SHAPIRO WITH J PAY.

WE ARE HEADQUARTERED IN MIAMI.

I LIVE IN LOS ANGELES BUT I WORK FOR THEM.

WE ARE THE NATION'S LEADER IN INMATE TRUST SERVICES WE HAVE 20 STATE

CONTRACTS, ABOUT 60 COUNTY JAIL CONTRACTS.

WE DO MONEY TRANSFER.

JUST SO EVERYBODY KNOWS WHAT THAT IS, FRIENDS AND FAMILY INSTEAD OF SENDING OR MAILING MONEY ORDERS TO PLACE MONEY IN THE INMATES BOOKS TO SPEND MONEY ON COMMISSARY AND BUY ENVELOPES, STAMPS, ETC., THE HEADACHE IT CAUSES THE COUNTY OR THE JAILS OR PRISONS WHERE THEY HAVE DESIGNATED STAFF THEY HAVE TO CRACK OPEN THE MONEY ORDERS, LOOK FOR CONTRABAND AND PLUG THE MONEY INTO THE BOOKS.

WE CREATED THIS, IT'S ELECTRONIC, WE HAVE BEEN DOING IT EIGHT YEARS AND WE ARE THE LEADER IN THE NATION.

WE WOULD BE HONORED TO DO THIS WITH CLARK COUNTY AND THAT'S IT.

>> THANK YOU.

I ALSO HAVE A CARD FROM JIM HAMMER.

>> GOOD MORNING, COMMISSIONERS.

MY NAME IS JIM HAMMER WITH EASY CARD AND KIOSK, FIRST I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE COMMISSIONERS FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO BID FOR MANAGING THE INMATE TRUST SERVICE ACCOUNT.

I'VE BEEN A RESIDENT OF LAS VEGAS FOR 36 YEARS.

SINCE 1983 I'VE APPEARED NUMEROUS TIMES BEFORE THIS BOARD FOR NUMEROUS REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENTS.

I'VE OWNED AND MANAGED SEVERAL COMPANIES IN THE ELECTRONIC PAYMENTS INDUSTRY.

I HAD THE GOOD FORTUNE MEETING UP WITH RON AND GREG HODGE WITH EASY CARD AND KIOSK.

WE KNOW WHEN WE APPLY OUR STATE OF TECHNOLOGY TO THE MANAGEMENT INMATE TRUST SERVICES WE CAN ONE, SAVE THE COUNTY TIME AND MONEY.

TWO, GENERATE ADDITIONAL REVENUE STREAM FOR THE COUNTY.

AND THREE, AND MOST IMPORTANTLY IN THE LIGHT OF OUR CROWDED JAIL

SITUATIONS WE CAN CUT THE TIME TO PROCESS, DETAIN AND RELEASE INMATES.

BUT WE ARE ALSO AWARE OVERLAYING TECHNOLOGY ON A BUSINESS MODEL IS NOT THE ENTIRE ANSWER.

UNDERSTANDING HOW JAILS WORK IS VALUABLE.

BOTH THROUGH THE EYES OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND THROUGH THE EYES OF INMATES.

AND TO THAT END, LAST SUMMER WE RECRUITED BILL YOUNG, FORMER SHERIFF OF CLARK COUNTY TO BE ON OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

HIS OVER SIGHT HAS BEEN HELPFUL.

IN THE LAST 25 YEARS I'VE OWNED AND OPERATED MANY BUSINESSES IN LAS VEGAS. OUR CORPORATE PHILOSOPHY AS WELL AS MY PERSONAL PHILOSOPHY HAS ALWAYS BEEN DO WELL AND THEN DO GOOD.

OUR RECORD OF SUPPORTING DOZEN OF LOCAL CHARITIES AND PHILANTHROPIC CHARITIES IS USUALLY DOCUMENTED.

WE EXPECT TO BE NO DIFFERENT.

THANK YOU AGAIN FOR THE OPPORTUNITY AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

>> RELAX, NONE OF US ARE UNDER ARREST.

>> GOOD MORNING, LEROY.

I WANT TO THANK MY COMMITTEE THAT GOT TOGETHER AND INTERVIEWED THESE COMPANIES.

THEY DID A GREAT JOB.

THE KIOSK SYSTEM IS A LONG TIME COMING.

RIGHT NOW EVERYTHING IS DONE VIA MONEY ORDER OR CHECK.

IT WOULD GO A LONG WAYS TOWARD AUTOMATED THINGS.

BOTH COMPANIES ARE WELL REPRESENTED.

THE ONLY THING I WOULD LIKE TO PASS ON VIA THE SHERIFF, MR. HAMMER HAS BEEN A VERY GOOD FRIEND AND INVOLVED WITH EASY CARD AND WHILE IT'S UP TO THE COMMISSION TO MAKE THAT SELECTION I WOULD JUST LIKE TO OFFER THAT UP.

>> QUESTIONS?

COMMISSIONER SISOLAK?

>> THANK YOU.

MY QUESTION IS JUST, WE ARE DOWN TO THREE FIRMS OR TWO?

>> TWO.
>> WHAT IS THE COST, I UNDERSTAND THERE'S NO COST OF THE DETENTION CENTER TO DO THIS, CORRECT?
>> CORRECT.
>> IS THERE A REVENUE TO THE DETENTION CENTER FOR SETTING THIS UP?
>> THAT WILL BE PART OF THE NEGOTIATIONS ONCE A FIRM IS SELECTED AND THAT'S NOT MANDATORY.
>> I UNDERSTAND THAT SOMEBODY, A FAMILY MEMBER WOULD WIRE OR CHARGE TO THEIR CREDIT CARD SOME SPECIFIC DOLLAR AMOUNT FOR AN INMATE, CORRECT?
>> THAT'S CORRECT.
>> IT'S OF IMPORTANCE TO ME AND I KNOW WE WILL GET INPUT AFTER THE FACT, HOW MUCH THAT FEE IS TAKEN OUT OFF THE TOP.
IF \$100 IS CHARGED OR WIRED HOW MUCH DOES THE INMATE GET TO SPEND IN THE COMMISSARY?
>> I APOLOGIZE, I DON'T HAVE THAT INFORMATION.
I WASN'T PART OF THE SELECTION COMMITTEE.
IF ONE OF THE FIRMS WANT TO COME UP.
I DON'T HAVE THAT INFORMATION.
>> I ASSUME BOTH FIRMS HAVE CONTRACTS IN OTHER FACILITIES, CORRECT?
>> THAT'S CORRECT.
>> IS IT A STANDARD FEE OR A NEGOTIATED FEE?
THE TELEPHONES IT WAS NEGOTIATED.
>> TELEPHONES WERE NEGOTIATED I ASSUME THIS WOULD BE PART OF THE NEGOTIATION ONCE THE COMPANY IS SELECTED.
AGAIN, I WASN'T PART OF THE COMMITTEE.
>> WOULD YOU LIKE THEM TO RESPOND TO THAT?
>> IF THEY COULD.
>> J PAY AND EASY.
>> WITH WHAT WE DO, WE ALSO HANDLE THE STATE OF NEVADA FOR A FEW YEARS.
I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE FEE IS FOR THEM, BUT IT'S PRETTY MUCH STANDARD WITH US.
WE DO FROM ZERO TO \$25 THERE'S A FEE.
I DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT THE CONTRACT LOOKS LIKE BUT IT WOULD BE SOMEBODY LIKE \$4.95.
I JUST WANT TO LET YOU KNOW HOW THE SCHEDULE IS, I WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT THE ACTUAL CONTRACT.
AND THEN FROM \$26 TO \$50 IT'S A CERTAIN FEE, ABOUT A DOLLAR MORE.
IT KEEPS GOING UP A DOLLAR AS YOU GO UP THE BRACKET, UP TO \$300 THEN IT STOPS THERE.
EVERY TEN DAYS WE STOP IT, THEY COULD SPEND UP TO \$300 AND WE KEEP IT AT THAT.
>> IS THERE SOME TYPE OF MAINTENANCE FEE FOR HAVING THE ACCOUNT?
>> NO, WE DON'T CHARGE THAT.
>> LIKE CREDIT CARD COMPANIES DEDUCT \$2 A MONTH.
>> WE DON'T CHARGE THE COUNTY ANYTHING.
THIS IS FREE TO THE COUNTY.
>> DO YOU CHARGE THE INMATES?

>> NO, IT'S ACTUALLY THE FAMILY MEMBER.
THEY ARE THE ONES GOING ON OUR WEBSITE OR CALLING THE CALL CENTER OR GOING
TO THE KIOSK.
WHEN THEY SEND WE CHARGE THEM.
IT'S NOT A FLAT FEE.
THE KIOSK IS A FLAT FEE.
>> DO YOU DEBIT THE ACCOUNT FOR INACTIVITY?
>> NO.
ONLY FOR SENDING MONEY.
>> IS THAT IT?
>> YOU ANSWERED MY QUESTION.
>> DO YOU HAVE A DIFFERENT QUESTION?
>> I WANTED TO SEE WHAT THE OTHER ONE HAD TO SAY.
>> MY NAME IS RON HODGE WITH EASY CARD AND KIOSK.
I COULD PROBABLY EXPLAIN A LITTLE BETTER.
WHEN AN INMATE'S FAMILY MEMBER COMES IN TO LOAD MONEY VIA KIOSK USUALLY
IT'S A CASH TRANSACTION INSTEAD OF IT BEING BROUGHT IN VIA CHECK, MONEY
ORDER, ETC..
THERE'S VARYING FEES FOR THE LOWER PEOPLE THAT DON'T HAVE AS MUCH MONEY
AND LOADING A LOWER AMOUNT UNDER \$20.
WE HAVE A SCHEDULE WE CHARGE.
SOME ARE \$500, SOME ARE \$200 BECAUSE THEY DON'T WANT AS MUCH MONEY LOADED
THEY WANT TO TRACK IT.
IT DEPENDS WHAT THE FACILITY WANTS.
IF A FAMILY MEMBER WANTS TO USE A CREDIT CARD THERE'S MORE OF A
CONVENIENCE THERE, SINCE WE HAVE CREDIT CARD FEES WITH THE BANKS WE CHARGE
MORE.
AND THAT'S AN ESCALATED RATE WHICH IS NEGOTIABLE WITH THE COUNTY.
IT IS MORE THAN THE CASH TRANSACTION.
BUT THE MONEY WE SAVE THE COUNTY WHICH IS A HUGE AMOUNT OF MONEY BECAUSE
OF THE OTHER SERVICES WE OFFER NOT ACTUALLY IN THIS CONTRACT, AND THE
REVENUE FEES WE CAN GENERATE FOR THE COUNTY VIA A PIECE OF THE TRANSACTION
FEES MOST LIKELY THE FAMILY MEMBERS OR FRIENDS PAY.
THE INMATE DOESN'T GET DEDUCTED FOR NOT USING THE MONEY.
ONCE IT HITS THE ACCOUNT IT STAYS THERE, UNTIL HE USES IT OR MORE MONEY IS
LOADED AND IT'S JUST A TRANSACTION FEE.
>> COMMISSIONER SISOLAK, WERE YOU ASKING, AND IF YOU WEREN'T, LET'S ASK.
AS TO THE COUNTY, ARE YOUR PROPOSALS SIMILAR IN TERMS OF WHAT THE COUNTY.
I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE COUNTY OR THE DETENTION OR METRO OR WHOEVER YOUR
LEASE IS WITH, WHO MAKES MORE MONEY IN TERMS OF -- COULD MAKE WITH YOUR
PROPOSAL.
ARE THEY MUTUAL?
>> I'M NOT SURE ABOUT THE OTHER COMPANIES.
>> THAT SHOULD BE NEGOTIATED?
>> YES, ONCE A COMPANY IS PICKED YOU GO OVER.
WE HAVE A SET FEE IF THE COUNTY WANTS TO MAKE 20 CENTS.
OR \$1.

IT CAN CHANGE FROM OUR OTHER SERVICES.
WE HAVE BAIL SERVICES.
DEBIT CARD SERVICES WHEN THE INMATE IS RELEASED INSTEAD OF A CHECK.
COULD PROVIDE THOSE SERVICES MAYBE SOMEPLACE DOWN THE ROAD.
INITIALLY KIOSKS IN THE LOBBIES TO LOAD MONEY, CASH BUT ALSO TAKES CREDIT
CARDS.
ONLINE TO DO AT HOME TO RELIEVE TRAFFIC AND THE CONVENIENCE FOR PEOPLE TO
DO IT WHICH IS A BIG FACTOR.
OUR ACCOUNTS USE A LOT OF CREDIT CARDS RELIEVES A HUGE AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC
INTO THE FACILITIES WHICH IS BENEFICIAL FOR MANY REASONS.
AND WE DESIGN THE COMPENSATION PACKAGE WITH THE COUNTY ON A COUNTY-BY-
COUNTY BASIS.
SO IT DEPENDS ON THE NEEDS OF THE COUNTY.
BUT THE SAVINGS GENERATED IS A HUGE AMOUNT.
A LOT OF OUR COUNTIES DON'T WANT ANYTHING.
GETTING EVERYTHING FOR FREE.
NO COST TO THE FACILITY EXCEPT FOR GIVING US AN INTERNET LINE FOR THE
KIOSK IN THE LOBBY AND A PLUG, SO THAT'S IT.
>> COMMISSIONER WEEKLY HAD A QUESTION.
I DON'T KNOW WHO IT'S FOR.
COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI?
>> THANK YOU.
THIS IS JUST MORE OVER ALL COMMENT.
I UNDERSTAND HAVING TO CHARGE FEES.
I DON'T WANT TO BECOME LIKE A MINI PAY DAY LOAN WHERE IT EATS UP WHAT THE
ACCOUNTS WILL BE IN THE FIRST PLACE.
WE HAD PROBLEMS WITH PHONING.
I UNDERSTAND IT'S NOT THE INMATE IT'S THEIR FAMILY THAT WE WERE HITTING
UP.
PEOPLE DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT THE PUBLIC TAX PAYER IS NOT PAYING FOR THEM
IF THEY CHOSE TO BUY TELEVISION OR CIGARETTE OR CANDY BARS, THEY HAVE TO
PAY FOR THAT PART.
THAT'S THE WHOLE POINT OF THE INMATE STORE.
>> AS OF RIGHT NOW THROUGH THE INDUSTRY OUR COMPANY IS BY FAR THE CHEAPEST
FEES.
I BELIEVE THE OTHER COMPANY SAID THEIR FEE WAS \$4.95.
WE ARE \$2.99 AND ACTUALLY DISCOUNTED FOR PEOPLE WHO PUT UNDER \$20 ON THE
ACCOUNT.
WHEN YOU ARE CHARGING A LOWER FEE TO THE FAMILY MEMBERS THE COMMISSION TO
THE FACILITY ON THE LOWER TRANSACTION WOULD BE LOWER THAN WHEN THEY HAVE
\$20 AND ABOVE.
ALL THE COMPETITION WE HAVE ACROSS THE UNITED STATES WE HAVEN'T FOUND
ANYBODY CHEAPER THAN US AT THIS POINT.
THAT'S ALL NEGOTIABLE IN THE CONTRACT.
>> AND THAT'S SOMETHING OUR NEGOTIATOR WILL DO A FINE JOB ON.
THANK YOU.
>> MAKE A MOTION?

>> OTHER QUESTIONS?

COMMISSIONER BROWN.

>> UNDER THIS PROPOSAL DOES IT ELIMINATE ALL TRANSACTIONS AS THEY CURRENTLY OCCUR?

OR IF SOMEONE WANTS TO DRIVE DOWN AND GET IN THE CONGESTED LINE ARE THEY STILL ABLE TO DO IT THE OLD FASHIONED WAY?

>> OUR PLAN RIGHT NOW IS STILL PEOPLE WHO COME DOWN, LIKE FOR EXAMPLE WHEN THEY COME DOWN TO VISIT THEY CAN STILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO PUT MONEY ON THE BOOKS.

RIGHT NOW IT'S A VERY LIMITED TIME.

4:30 IN THE AFTERNOON UNTIL 8:00 AT NIGHT IS THE ONLY TIME THEY CAN PUT MONEY ON THE BOOKS AND IT GETS VERY CONGESTED.

>> QUESTION FOR STAFF.

WHO WAS ON THE REVIEW COMMITTEE?

>> WE HAD MEMBERS FROM CCDC.

AND I BELIEVE THERE WAS A REPRESENTATIVE FROM METRO AS WELL AND FINANCE.

>> AND IT'S THE COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION THESE TWO FIRMS ARE BOTH ABLE TO MEET THE RFP.

>> THAT'S CORRECT, SIR.

THEY BOTH FELT BOTH COMPANIES MET THE QUALIFICATIONS OF THE PROPOSAL.

>> THANK YOU.

>> QUESTIONS?

COMMENTS?

COMMISSIONER SISOLAK?

>> THE ONLY QUESTION I HAVE, HOW LONG DOES IT TAKE IF THE INMATE IS RELEASED TO GET THE BALANCE ON THE ACCOUNT?

ARE THEY GIVEN IT IMMEDIATELY WHEN THEY WALK OUT THE DOOR OR SEND A CHECK YOU GET IT IN 14 DAYS?

DO YOU KNOW WHAT I MEAN?

>> CURRENTLY WHEN AN INMATE IS RELEASED THEY GET IT RIGHT AWAY.

>> IN CASH?

>> IN CASH OR CHECK DEPENDING ON THE AMOUNT.

>> PRESENTLY THE DETENTION CENTER DOES THAT.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

>> IF THERE'S JUST A KIOSK WHO WILL GIVE CASH?

>> WE WILL STILL MAINTAIN THE RECORD.

SO ONCE THE INMATE IS RELEASED.

MY UNDERSTANDING THEY WILL EITHER GET A DEBIT CARD OR PAID AS NORMAL AS THEY ARE GOING OUT THE DOOR.

>> IS THERE A MAXIMUM THEY COULD HAVE ON THIS ACCOUNT?

>> WE HAVEN'T NEGOTIATED THAT.

AS FAR AS MONEY ON THEIR BOOKS, NO THERE'S NOT.

>> YOU JUST INDICATED YOU ARE ABLE TO TRACK IT.

>> THIS IS FOR EASE OF PEOPLE PUTTING MONEY ON THEIR BOOKS.

>> IF THERE ARE ANYMORE QUESTIONS I GUESS WE ARE FACED WITH --

>> COMMISSIONER COLLINS?

>> THEY ARE BOTH IDENTICAL SERVICES OFFERED, POTENTIALLY, CORRECT.

THAT WILL BE NEGOTIATED.

SO THEY ARE BOTH IDENTICAL, ONE IS FROM MIAMI AND ONE IS FROM LAS VEGAS.

>> THAT'S CORRECT, SIR.

THE PROPOSAL, THEIR KIOSK FUNCTIONS ARE VERY SIMILAR.

J PAY ON THE DEBIT CARD MIGHT HAVE OTHER OPPORTUNITIES BUT ON THE ORIGINAL CONCEPT OF THE KIOSK, YES THEY ARE BOTH BALANCED WHAT THEY CAN PROVIDE.

MOST OF THE CORRESPONDENCE WITH EASY PAY HAS BEEN WITH A FIRM OUT OF CALIFORNIA, NOT LAS VEGAS.

THE DISCLOSURE OF OWNERSHIP DOES SHOW LAS VEGAS ADDRESS.

IT'S DIFFICULT TO ASCERTAIN EXACTLY WHERE THE COMPANY IS LOCATED.

>> OTHER QUESTIONS?

A MOTION?

>> OK, MR. CHAIR.

I APPRECIATE THE GENTLEMAN FROM FLORIDA AND THEIR EXPERIENCE BUT I WOULD LIKE TO FOLLOW CHIEF'S RECOMMENDATION AND GO WITH EASY CARD.

THAT WOULD BE OUR MOTION.

>> ARE THERE COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS ON THE MOTION?

>> SECOND PRESENTATION?

THE LOCAL.

>> CAST YOUR VOTE ON THE MOTION.

THE MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 92 APPROVE RECOMMENDATION OF AWARD BID OF 601207-08 SUNSET REGIONAL PARK PHASE ONE IMPROVEMENT.

RICHARDSON CONSTRUCTION INTENDED UPON SUBMISSION OF BONDED INSURANCE OR TAKE OTHER ACTION DEEMED APPROPRIATE.

>> GOOD MORNING, COMMISSIONERS.

MS. JONES.

WE RECEIVED TWO BID PROTESTS ON THIS PROJECT AND FOR THE RECORD BOTH PROTESTS HAVE BEEN WITHDRAWN.

WITH THAT SAID STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION IS TO AWARD TO THE LOWER RESPONSIVE RESPONSIBLE BIDDER.

>> ANY DISCUSSION FROM THE BOARD?

>> I'VE GOT A QUESTION.

IS THERE A PROTEST OR NOT A PROTEST?

>> THE TWO PROTESTS WE RECEIVED IN PURCHASING FROM ROCK DALE AND WISER CONSTRUCTION HAS BOTH BEEN WITHDRAWN.

>> WHAT ABOUT THIS PROTEST?

>> THAT WAS NOT SUBMITTED TO PURCHASING.

I WILL DEFER TO THE D.A.

>> WHY DON'T WE HEAR.

YOU ARE STANDING UP TO PROTEST, I IMAGINE.

>> YES, I THINK I CAN CLARIFY.

KATIE FELLOWS.

HERE ON BEHALF OF DIAMOND CONSTRUCTION.

WE ARE SUBMITTING A PROTEST THAT IS DIFFERENT FROM THE TWO PROTESTS THAT WERE JUST DISCUSSED FROM RAFAEL AND WISER.

I HAVE COPIES TO DISTRIBUTE TO THE CLERK AND THE BOARD.

>> PRIMARILY WHAT DIAMOND WOULD LIKE TO OBJECT TO AND PROTEST IS THAT AFTER REVIEWING THE AGENDA BACK UP MATERIALS WE RELATION TO THIS BID AND THIS CONTRACT, WE BELIEVE THAT RICHARDSON WHO IS THE LOWEST BIDDER HAS BEEN NON-RESPONSIVE AND NOT IRRESPONSIBLE BIDDER AS REQUIRED FOR THE CONTRACT.

138-3185.

AS STAFF DETAILED, RAFAEL CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTED A PROTEST REGARDING THE LANDSCAPE REVEGETATION AND SUBCONTRACTOR, AS A RESULT OF THAT PROTEST, THE COUNTY REQUESTED ADDITIONAL SPECIFIC INFORMATION REGARDING THE REVEGETATION SUBCONTRACTOR.

THE REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE CONTRACT FOR THIS SUBCONTRACTOR WERE VERY, VERY SPECIFIC AND REQUIRED THE SUBCONTRACTOR HAVE A PROVEN TRACK RECORD REGARDING REVEGETATION MATTERS.

THE COUNTY HAS SENT A LETTER ON JANUARY 28th TO THE THREE LOW BIDDERS AT THE TIME, DIAMOND, RICHARDSON AND RAFAEL.

AND REQUESTED WITHIN FIVE DAYS THEY SUBMIT SPECIFIC INFORMATION REGARDING THE REVEGETATION SUBCONTRACTOR INCLUDING COMPANIES, FINANCIAL INFORMATION, STAFF RESUMES, PROJECT DESCRIPTION THE SUBCONTRACTOR ENGAGED IN PREVIOUSLY AND LETTERS OF RECOMMENDATION FROM PRIOR PROJECT OWNERS DETAILING THE SUCCESSFULNESS.

RAFAEL AND DIAMOND COMPLIED WITH THIS REQUEST.

THEY SUBMITTED DETAILED INFORMATION, AS YOU WILL SEE, DIAMOND SUBMITTAL IS ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT B TO THE PROTEST.

YOU CAN GET AN IDEA WHAT WAS REQUESTED BY THE COUNTY AND THE LETTER ATTACHED TO EXHIBIT A TO SEE EXACTLY WHAT WAS REQUESTED.

WHEN RICHARDSON RESPONDED AND THE LETTER FROM THE COUNTY SPECIFICALLY STATED THIS INFORMATION HAD TO BE SUBMITTED TO THEM IN A SEALED ENVELOPE BY A CERTAIN TIME ON A CERTAIN DAY, VERY SIMILAR TO WHEN YOU ACTUALLY SUBMIT THE ORIGINAL BID OR YOU HAVE TO SUBMIT YOUR 1% SUBCONTRACTORS. WHAT RICHARDSON APPARENTLY SUBMITTED, DEVELOPMENT REPORT WAS SIMPLY PRINTOUTS FROM THE WEBSITE OF THE SUBCONTRACTOR NATIVE RESOURCES.

WE HAVE ATTACHED SOME COPIES OF THE WEBSITE AS EXHIBIT C SO YOU CAN KIND OF GET AN IDEA OF THE DIFFERENCE WHAT RICHARDSON SUBMITTED COMPARED TO DIAMOND AND RAFAEL SUBMITTED.

AND THE DETAILS ON THE WEBSITE ARE VERY VAGUE.

GENERAL INFORMATION THAT THEY DO VEGETATION WORK BUT DOESN'T GIVE FINANCIAL INFORMATION, DOESN'T GIVE STAFF RESUMES OR PROJECT INFORMATION REGARDING PREVIOUS PROJECTS AND NO LETTERS OF RECOMMENDATION ARE FOUND ON THEIR WEBSITE.

RATHER THAN DEEMING RICHARDSON NON-RESPONSIVE WHICH IS WHAT WE ARE ASSERTING SHOULD HAVE HAPPENED SINCE THEY FAILED TO COMPLY WITH THE SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS REQUESTED BY THE COUNTY, STAFF GAVE THEM A SECOND OPPORTUNITY TO SUBMIT THE DOCUMENTS.

THIS DELAYED THE BID PROCESS.

AND AGAIN THIS IS AKIN TO SOMEONE SUBMITTING A BID AFTER THE BID DATE. IT WOULDN'T BE CONSIDERED.

WHAT WE ARE SAYING THIS IS THE SAME TYPE OF SITUATION AND RICHARDSON SHOULD BE DEEMED NON-RESPONSIVE BECAUSE THEY FAILED TO SPECIFICALLY COMPLY WITH THE REQUESTED INFORMATION.

I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS WITH REGARD TO THIS PROTEST.

>> CAN WE HAVE A COMMENT FROM THE D.A.

SO WE KNOW WHERE WE WANT TO GO.

>> YES, COMMISSIONER, THANK YOU.

THIS BID HAS BEEN FILED TODAY AND YOU JUST RECEIVED IT.

I JUST WANT TO SAY IT'S NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE STATUTES OR PROCEDURES FOR THE FILING OF A BID PROTEST WHICH MUST BE DONE WITHIN FIVE DAYS, THIS BID WAS OPEN JANUARY 8th, CLEARLY WELL BEYOND THAT PERIOD OF TIME.

ADDITIONALLY IT'S TO BE ACCOMPANIED BY A BID BOND AS PART OF THIS PROCESS AND WE DON'T HAVE THAT HERE.

TURNING TO THE SUBSTANCE OF WHAT'S BEEN SAID.

IN SHORT, THE MATERIALS THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT, I DON'T BELIEVE ARE AKIN TO A BID OR THE ONE PERCENT OR FIVE PERCENT REQUIRED BY STATUTE.

THERE ARE THINGS THAT ARE REQUIRED UP FRONT.

AND THEN THERE ARE SUBMISSIONS THAT COME IN AFTERWARDS.

THE DOCUMENTS THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT WERE MATERIALS THAT WERE REQUIRED AFTER THE BID OPENING, AFTER WE HAD THE THREE LOW AND IT WAS JUST SEEKING TO INSURE THAT THE ENTITY HAD THE APPROPRIATE QUALIFICATIONS FOR THAT WORK.

THAT WAS PROVIDED BY RICHARDSON, IF NOT INITIALLY, THEN ON THE SECOND TIME.

ANY CLAIMS, I THINK OF FAVORITISM WOULD DEPEND ON A FINDING THAT OTHER PARTIES WEREN'T ALLOWED TO PROVIDE SIMILAR INFORMATION.

I DON'T THINK WE HAVE THAT HERE.

THERE WAS NOTHING MAGICAL ABOUT THE FEBRUARY 4th DATE.

AND THEREFORE I DON'T BELIEVE THEIR BID PROTEST HAS MERIT.

>> COMMISSIONER SISOLAK?

>> OK.

IF I UNDERSTAND, THEY COULDN'T -- YOU ARE SAYING IT DIDN'T MAKE THE FIVE-DAY PERIOD.

THEY COULDN'T MAKE THE FIVE-DAY PERIOD.

BECAUSE WHAT THEY ARE PROTESTING WAS NOT THE BID IT WAS THE SUBSEQUENT THING.

SO THEN YOU DON'T CALL IT A PROTEST, IT'S AN OBJECTION OR WHATEVER YOU CALL IT.

I GUESS WHAT I'M SAYING THEN, WHEN YOU MADE THIS REQUEST FOR THE FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION, OK, FOR THIS SPECIALTY CONTRACTOR, DID YOU GIVE THEM A TIME THEY HAD TO PROVIDE THESE THINGS?

>> YES, PURCHASING DID IN FACT GIVE --

>> HOW LONG WAS THAT?

>> I BELIEVE FEBRUARY FOURTH WAS THE RETURN DATE.

I FORGET WHEN THE LETTER CAME OUT.

>> THEY HAD TO PROVIDE THESE ITEMS?

>> THAT THEY WERE ASKED TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION.
>> AND YOU ARE SAYING THEY DIDN'T PROVIDE THE ITEMS SO YOU CALLED TO SAY THEY WOULD GIVE THEM TO US?
>> WHAT THEY PROVIDED WAS NOT AS SPECIFIC AS WHAT WAS PROVIDED BY THE OTHER BIDDERS.
>> DID YOU SATISFY THE REQUEST OF WHAT YOU ASKED THEM TO PROVIDE?
>> THEIR INITIAL, DID NOT.
THEIR SUBSEQUENT DID.
IN EFFECT, WHEN WE POINTED OUT THERE'S WAS PRETTY MUCH TAKEN OFF THE WEBSITE AND DIDN'T HAVE ALL OF THE INFORMATION, THEY THEN PROVIDED THE SAME DOCUMENTATION THAT WERE PROVIDED BY THE OTHER BIDDERS.
>> OK.
AND I UNDERSTAND YOUR ARGUMENT FOR LACK OF FAVORITISM.
BUT COULDN'T BE BECAUSE THE OTHER TWO PROVIDED WHAT YOU ASKED THEM TO PROVIDE, CORRECT?
>> YES, THEY PROVIDED ON THE INITIAL.
IT WAS PROVIDED ON THE SECOND GO-AROUND BY RICHARDSON.
AND I DO WANT TO CLARIFY THAT WHILE MAYBE WE DON'T WANT TO CALL THIS A BID PROTEST, IN EFFECT THEY ARE FILING A PROTEST AGAINST THE BID AND CLAIMING THAT RICHARDSON IS UNRESPONSIVE AND IRRESPONSIBLE IN THEIR BID SUBMISSION. THIS ITEM WASN'T PART OF THE BID SUBMISSION.
>> I UNDERSTAND THAT.
AND I UNDERSTAND THE FIVE-DAY RULE FROM THE LAST MEETING THAT WE HAD. THE FIVE-DAY RULE COULDN'T APPLY BECAUSE WHAT THEY ARE PROTESTING OR OBJECTING TO WASN'T INCLUDED AS PART OF THE BID, IT WAS A SUBSEQUENT REQUEST, RIGHT?
>> THAT'S RIGHT, WHAT THEY ARE PROTESTING WAS NOT PART OF THE BID.
>> OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD?
COMMISSIONER COLLINS?
>> THANK YOU.
WE ARE CUTTING BACK MEDICAL SERVICES AND CONSIDER LAYING PEOPLE OFF WHY ARE WE SPENDING \$10 MILLION ON A PARK?
>> THAT'S FUNDED BY PUBLIC LANDS.
THAT HAS TO BE USED FOR CAPITAL, NOT OPERATING, UNFORTUNATELY.
>> IF WE COULD GET IT IN OUR GENERAL FUND, WE WOULD HAVE ALREADY.
>> I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE COVER THAT.
>> YOU KNEW THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION.
>> COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.
>> WHO ARE THE TOP FIVE BIDDERS?
DIAMOND WAS SECOND IN BID.
IS THAT SO CORRECT?
>> NOT ORIGINALLY.
AFTER WE EVALUATED THEIR BID WE DISCOVERED THEY HAD AN ERROR IN THEIR BID DOCUMENT.
ONCE WE CORRECTED THEIR TOTALS THEN THEY JUMPED UP TO SECOND LOW BIDDER. THEY WERE ORIGINALLY IN FIFTH PLACE.
>> WHAT WAS ENTAILED IN CORRECTING THEIR BID?

BECAUSE THERE'S 11 DIFFERENT CORRECTIONS THAT HAD TO BE DONE.

>> THERE WAS MATHEMATICAL, MULTIPLICATION ERROR.

>> AND DOES THAT THEN NOT RISE TO WHETHER THE BID WAS COMPLETE OR NOT? IF WE REJECT SOMEONE WHO DIDN'T SIGN, WHY WOULDN'T WE IF THEY CAN'T ADD, SUBTRACT, MULTIPLY OR DIVIDE?

>> WHETHER THEY SIGN OR NOT GOES TO WHETHER THEY ARE WILLING TO BE BOUND BY THEIR BID SUBMITTAL.

THE LAW PROVIDES IF AN ERROR IS CLEAR AND A MATHEMATICAL CALCULATION NOT SUBJECT TO REALLY REINTERPRETATION THAT IT CAN BE RECALCULATED.

>> SO MOVING THEM FROM FIFTH TO SIXTH OR ANY OF THESE, DID ANYBODY ELSE MOVE UP?

>> NO MA'AM.

THEY JUST MOVED UP TO SECOND.

>> THAT'S KIND OF SCARY.

11 OUT OF THE 15 COULDN'T ADD, SUBTRACT, MULTIPLY OR DIVIDE.

TO ME THEY SHOULDN'T BE DOING THE BUSINESS.

THEY SHOULD BE ABLE TO FIGURE SQUARE FOOTAGE.

>> I'M GOING TO STEP OUT HERE AND MAKE A MOTION.

I APPRECIATE WHAT WAS SAID BY COUNCIL BUT HAVING HEARD OUR COUNCIL, I JUST DON'T THINK WE WANT TO GET IN THE BUSINESS OF SAYING THAT FIVE-DAY RULE DOESN'T EXIST.

FURTHER, THE REASON THAT DIAMOND CONSTRUCTION WAS INITIALLY FIFTH AND MOVED TO SECOND, THEY AREN'T OURS.

BASED ON THAT AND THE ADVICE WE RECEIVED AND RECOMMENDATION FROM STAFF, I WILL MAKE A MOTION TO AWARD TO RICHARDSON CONSTRUCTION.

>> MR. CHAIRMAN?

>> COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

>> I CAN PARTIALLY AGREE ON THE OTHER HAND I DON'T BECAUSE I THINK, JUST MY OWN OPINION, FROM PROBABLY BEING MORE INVOLVED AS COMMISSION AND THE BIDDING PROCESS THAT WE ARE BEING MORE ATTENTIVE BECAUSE OF THE TIMES WE ARE IN, I THINK WE NEED TO ADDRESS MAYBE SOME OF THE PROCESSES WE ARE GOING THROUGH.

WHEN THE BID IS OPENED AND THEN YOU SUBMIT OUT TO THE QUALIFIED BIDDER THAT YOU NEED MORE INFORMATION FOR X, Y AND Z.

THAT LEAVES ROOM IN MY OPINION JUST SUSPICIOUSLY WHETHER IT'S TRUE OR NOT JUST THE OPPORTUNITY FOR BID SHOPPING.

AND FOR CLARIFICATION.

I UNDERSTAND YOU SAY YOU HAVE THE BID SO GO BY YOUR BOND.

THAT'S ROUTINE BUT SOME OF THESE THINGS I'M NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND THEM TO BE ROUTINE OR NOT.

HOW CAN YOU PROTEST A BID WITHIN THE FIVE-DAY LIMIT IF ALL OF THE INFORMATION IS NOT PRESENTED AT THE BID OPENING THAT WOULD ALLOW YOU TO PROTEST WITHIN THE FIVE DAYS OR NOT.

AND THIS DOESN'T MEAN JUST FOR THIS CONTRACT, I JUST MEAN IN GENERAL.

OF THE PROCESSES THAT WHEN WE GET AN ANSWER, THEY DON'T HAVE TO SUBMIT THAT UNTIL LATER, THAT'S WHY WE HAVE REQUIREMENTS TO NOT SUBMIT IT FOR LATER.

I JUST WANT TO MAKE THAT COMMENT BEFORE I VOTE NO.

>> OTHER COMMENTS?

CAST YOUR VOTE ON THE MOTION.

THE MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 93, RECEIVE A PRESENTATION

[READING]

>> GOOD AFTERNOON, JOHN WARDLAW WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING.

CLARK COUNTY HAS A VERY LONG HISTORY OF WORKING VERY COOPERATIVELY WITH THE U.S.

CENSUS BUREAU TO GET A HANDLE ON OUR POPULATION AND DEMOGRAPHICS.

TO THAT END, STAFF HAS BEEN WORKING SINCE ABOUT MID LAST YEAR ON A VARIETY OF TECHNICAL ISSUES MAPPING AND DATA, ETC.

WITH THE CENSUS IN SUPPORT OF THEIR EFFORTS.

HOWEVER, AS WE GET CLOSER TO CENSUS DAY, APRIL 1, 2010, IT BECOMES MORE AND MORE IMPORTANT THAT WE INVOLVE THE PUBLIC.

AND TO THAT END, DAVID BUYERMAN, THE CHIEF GOVERNMENT LIAISON FOR NEVADA WITH THE U.S.

CENSUS BUREAU HAS OFFERED TO GIVE YOU A PRESENTATION ABOUT JUST HOW IMPORTANT THE CENSUS IS TO SOUTHERN NEVADA.

>> THANK YOU, JOHN.

AND GOOD AFTERNOON EVERYBODY.

IT'S A PLEASURE TO BE BACK HERE AGAIN.

I'M IN THE SAME JOB I HAD TEN YEARS AGO IN THIS ROLE WHICH IS A COINCIDENCE OF TIMING.

I HAD TO BE DRAWN BACK, IT'S SOMETHING I BELIEVE VERY STRONGLY IN.

I KNOW MOST OF THIS IS REVIEW FOR ALL OF YOU BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT ALL OF YOU HAVE THE TALKING POINTS.

THE CENSUS IS PROBABLY MORE IMPORTANT TO NEVADA THAN ANY OTHER STATE IN THE COUNTRY.

IT'S IMPORTANT FOR THREE REASONS.

POWER, JOBS AND METABOLIC.

-- MONEY.

POWER IN TERMS OF REPRESENTATION AND CONGRESS.

THAT'S WHY THE CENSUS WAS ORIGINALLY ESTABLISHED.

THE FIRST TOOK PLACE IN 1790, THIS IS IN THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES.

ESPECIALLY HERE IN NEVADA, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU ARE SEEING THE SAME REPORTS I'M SEEING BUT IF YOU LOOK AT THE THINK TANKS, NEVADA MAY BE ON THE CUSP GETTING A FOURTH AND THIRD CONGRESSIONAL SEAT.

HOW MUCH WE WORK COLLABORATIVELY MAY MAKE A DIFFERENCE.

NEVADA COULD MOVE FROM FIVE TO SIX.

MATTERS OF REDISTRICTING OF THE STATE LEGISLATURE.

THE ASSEMBLY AND SENATE ARE STILL REDISTRICTED BASED ON 2000 NUMBERS EVEN THOUGH THE POPULATION OF THIS STATE HAS GROWN FROM 2 MILLION TO 2.8 MILLION SO THAT'S A GREAT CHANGE.

THE SECOND MAIN RATIONALE IS JOBS.

WE WILL HIRE ABOUT 4,000 PEOPLE ACROSS THE STATE.

WE HAVE ALREADY BROUGHT OVER 1300 ON BOARD OVER THE LAST COUPLE OF WEEKS AND WE ARE JUST WRAPPING UP OUR FIRST MAJOR OPERATION OUT THERE IN THE FIELD WELL AHEAD OF SCHEDULE.

FINALLY, MONEY.

AND THIS IS THE ONE THAT I THINK SPEAKS TO ALL OF YOU AND SPEAKS TO EVERYBODY ACROSS THE STATE IN THESE TROUBLED FISCAL TIMES.

WE DID WORK A FEW MONTHS AGO.

WE DETERMINED THAT FOR EVERY MAN, WOMAN AND CHILD WE MISS IN THE CENSUS WE ARE TALKING ABOUT \$917 PER PERSON, PER YEAR.

KEEP IN MIND WE ONLY DO THIS ONCE EVERY TEN YEARS, SO WE ARE TALKING OVER \$9,000 IN FEDERAL FUNDING THAT COMES BACK TO THIS STATE IF WE MISS ONE FAMILY OF FOUR NEXT YEAR WE WILL LOSE \$36,000 FOR LOCAL COMMUNITY SERVICES.

I WOULDN'T USE THAT NUMBER UNLESS I HAD EVERY OUNCE OF DETAIL YOU COULD WANT FOR ALL OF THESE DIFFERENT PROGRAMS. IF YOU WOULD LIKE A LIST OF THOSE PROGRAMS, HAPPY TO PROVIDE THAT.

THIS IS A LIST OVER THE LAST 100 YEARS.

IF YOU LOOK IN 1940 ON THIS CHART, NEVADA WAS STILL THE SMALLEST STATE IN THE UNITED STATES.

IT SHOWS JUST HOW MUCH TREMENDOUSLY WE HAVE GROWN.

THE CENSUS IN 1990 IN NEVADA WAS REALLY CONSIDERED TO BE A FAILURE. EVEN BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

WE HAD A VERY LARGE UNDER COUNT OF THE POPULATION, 2.3%.

IT WAS BECAUSE I THINK WE DID THE CENSUS THE WRONG WAY.

WE DID IT AS A TOP-DOWN EXERCISE.

THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT COMING IN AND SAYING YOU HAVE TO DO THIS, IT'S THE LAW.

IN 2000, I WAS ON BOB MILLER'S STAFF IN CARSON CITY AND RECRUIT TODAY SERVE IN THIS ROLE AND GIVEN A LOT OF LATITUDE BY THE DENVER REGIONAL CENSUS CENTER WHO SAID THEY WANTED TO GIVE US MORE LATITUDE, MORE FLEXIBILITY, TO MAKE IT MORE LOCALLY BASED GRASSROOTS CAMPAIGN.

IT'S THE ONLY WAY I WOULD DO IT.

I THINK THAT'S THE ONLY WAY IT WILL WORK FOR OUR STATE.

NEVADA HAD THE FIFTH WORSE CENSUS RESPONSE RATE.

IN 2000 BECAUSE WE WENT IN A DIFFERENT DIRECTION, NEVADA HAD THE BEST IMPROVEMENT IN CENSUS RESPONSE OF ANY STATE IN THE COUNTRY, BAR NONE.

I'M VERY PROUD OF THAT.

AND I THINK IT HAS REALLY HELPED OUR QUALITY OF LIFE EVERY YEAR FOR THE LAST TEN.

SO REALLY OUR SUCCESSFUL APPROACH BOILS DOWN TO THREE THINGS, ONE, ANSWER THE QUESTION FOR PEOPLE, WHAT'S IN IT FOR ME.

TELL THEM WHY THEY WOULD WANT TO DO IT.

SECOND, USE NEVADA LEADERS IMAGERY AND RESPECT THE SKEPTICISM OUT THERE.

THERE'S A SIMPLE DEEP-SEEDED WESTERN SKEPTICISM OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT I THINK WE NEED TO RECOGNIZE.

AND THAT IS IMPORTANT AS WE PREPARE PEOPLE FOR THIS AND AS WE GO OUT AND ADVOCATE FOR THIS ACROSS THE STATE.

AND FINALLY WE NEED TO RECAST THIS WHOLE EFFORT AWAY FROM BEING A FEDERAL UNDERTAKING AND MORE FOR BEING A LOCAL ISSUE.

NEVADANS COUNTING NEVADANS FOR THE SAKE OF NEVADA.

IF WE DO THAT WE WILL CONTINUE THAT TRACK RECORD OF SUCCESS FROM 2000.

I WANT TO TAKE YOU THROUGH A COUPLE CALCULATIONS TO SHOW HOW MUCH OF A DIFFERENCE THE APPROACH WE TAKE MIGHT MATTER.

IN 1990 OUR POPULATION WAS 1.2 MILLION PEOPLE, THEREABOUTS.

UNDER COUNT WAS 2.3%.

WE MISSED ABOUT 28,000 ACROSS THE STATE.

2000 POPULATION WENT TO ALMOST 2 MILLION.

AND UNDER COUNT, 1.6% WE MISSED ABOUT THE SAME NUMBER BUT DID THAT WITH A MUCH LARGER POPULATION.

HOW DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY YOU MISSED?

WE ACTUALLY HAVE A STRICT QUALITY CONTROL PROCESS WE DO AS WE DO THE CENSUS.

THAT GIVES US INFORMATION ON HOW MANY PEOPLE WE ARE MISSING.

WE DO THAT IN CENSUS TRACKS ACROSS THE COUNTRY.

IF WE DID THE WHOLE CENSUS LIKE THAT IT WOULD COST A TRILLION AND WOULD TAKE ALL TEN YEARS, SO WE DO IT IN A SMARTER WAY BUT WE ARE ABLE TO GO BACK AND CHECK OUR WORK AND SAY HOW MANY DID WE MISS.

BECAUSE OF THE APPROACH IN 2000, BECAUSE WE HAD THESE PARTNERSHIPS WITH LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, BECAUSE WE RECAST THIS AS BEING A LOCAL UNDERTAKING WE BROUGHT DOWN THAT UNDER COUNT.

I WANT TO TAKE YOU THROUGH TWO SCENARIOS, THE FIRST IS WHAT HAPPENS IF WE KEEP THE UNDER COUNT AT 1.68%?

WELL THE STATE DEMOGRAPHER BELIEVES WE WILL HAVE A POPULATION OF ABOUT 2.8 MILLION NEXT YEAR.

IF UNDER COUNT IS 1.68% WE WILL MISS ABOUT 47,000.

THAT'S BAD.

IT'S INEVITABLE IN ANY KIND OF COUNT LIKE THIS.

WE WILL MISS OUT ON A LOT OF FUNDING FOR MISSING THOSE PEOPLE.

BUT LOOK AT SCENARIO B.

THIS IS WHAT HAPPENS IF THE UNDER COUNT REVERTS BACK TO WHAT HAPPENED IN 1990 IF WE DON'T HAVE THIS PARTNERSHIP, IF WE DON'T TAKE THIS NEW DIRECTION.

THAT CASE THE UNDER COUNT OF 2.3%, WE MISS 46,000.

THAT'S 59.1 MILLION ANNUALLY.

THAT'S MUCH WORSE.

THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SCENARIO A AND B, I BELIEVE IS THE DIFFERENCE IN THE STRATEGY WE USE IN NEVADA HERE IN 2000 THAT LEAD US TO HAVE THAT BEST IMPROVEMENT IN CENSUS RESPONSE AND IT'S THE STRATEGY THAT WILL HELP US IN THE NEXT CENSUS NEXT YEAR.

THE PROCESS GOING FORWARD, CENSUS DAY IS APRIL 1st OF NEXT YEAR.

I DON'T KNOW WHO STUCK US WITH APRIL FOOL'S DAY BUT WE ARE STUCK WITH IT.

THAT'S ALWAYS BEEN CENSUS DAY THE LAST 70 YEARS BUT WE WILL SEND OUT A CENSUS FORM TO ALL YOUR CONSTITUENTS THE THIRD WEEK OF MARCH. THE CENSUS DAY IS APRIL 1st, THE CENSUS IS INTENDED TO BE A SNAP SHOT OF THAT DAY.

IF SOMEONE MOVE TO NEVADA ON MARCH 29th THEY COUNT AS NEVADANS BECAUSE THEY WERE HERE BEFORE APRIL 1st, IF THEY MOVE APRIL 3rd THEY COUNT FROM WHERE EVER THEY CAME FROM.

CERTAIN ZIP CODES WE HAD A BAD RESPONSE RATE.

AND THEN IN EARLY MAY WE WILL START SENDING CENSUS TAKERS TO GO KNOCKING ON DOORS FROM FOLKS WE DIDN'T HEAR BACK FROM.

IF THEY RETURN THEIR FORM IN THE MAIL THEY WON'T RECEIVE A VISIT.

THIS WILL CULMINATE MAY OF NEXT YEAR.

WE EXPECT TO WRAP UP NEXT SUMMER PROBABLY MID TO LATE JUNE.

WE ARE ASKING FOR TWO THINGS.

FIRST OF ALL, EVERY SINGLE ONE OF YOU HAVE MANY CONSTITUENCIES YOU TALK TO ON A REGULAR BASIS.

I KNOW I'M GOING OUT TO EVERY RUBBER CHICKEN CIRCUIT STOP I CAN THINK OF. I WILL BE OUT THERE AS AN ADVOCATE FOR THIS.

AND I WOULD ASK ALL OF YOU TO JOIN ME, HONESTLY SPEAKING 15.9 MILLION A YEAR CAN BE A PART OF THE SOLUTION TO OUR PROBLEM HERE IN OUR STATE OF THE TERRIBLE FISCAL CRISIS WE FIND OURSELVES IN.

SECONDLY AND MORE TO THE POINT FOR YOUR AGENDA TODAY WE ARE ASKING YOU TO PASS A RESOLUTION OF SUPPORT TO WORK COLLABORATIVELY WITHIN THE AUSPICES OF THE SOUTHERN REGIONAL NEVADA COMMISSION TO FORM A CAMPAIGN AND CONTINUE THIS TRACK RECORD WE BUILT OF COLLABORATION AMONG GOVERNMENTS, COMMUNITY GROUPS AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

WE LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH ALL OF YOU.

OUR MOTTO IT'S IN OUR HANDS AND TRULY IN THIS CASE WE SHOWED IN 2000 THAT IT'S IN NEVADANS HANDS WHETHER OR NOT WE DO THIS WELL, WE DO IT POORLY. THE BENEFITS OF DOING IT WELL WILL RESOUND FOR US EVERY YEAR FROM NOW UNTIL 2020.

HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COMMISSIONER BRAGER?

>> NOT REALLY A QUESTION IN THAT GOOD REPORT.

IT'S IMPORTANT THAT EVERY CITIZEN IN OUR STATE AND ESPECIALLY WE COULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OUR COUNTY BUT COUNTY STAFF.

IN JANUARY, WE DO SOME SPOTS ON CHANNEL 4 AND ANY OF US WHO DO COUNTY HALL, TOWN HALL MEETINGS.

EVERYTHING WE ARE DOING CAN COME BACK MILLIONS OF DOLLARS.

GO AHEAD AND THEN I WILL ASK ONE LITTLE QUESTION.

>> WE WILL DO THAT.

WE WILL WORK TO GIVE YOU THE INFORMATION WHEN YOU SPEAK AND INCLUDE YOUR NEWS LETTERS AND OUTSIDE ACTIVITIES.

>> YOU NEED TO BUILDUP THE MOMENTUM WHAT PEOPLE NEED, NOT JUST LET THAT COME IN THE MAIL AND THINK IT'S NOT IMPORTANT BUT YOU NEED TO GET IT BACK.

A FEW PEOPLE CALLED AND WERE TOLD THEY HAD TO KNOCK ON DOORS THEY SAID NEVER MIND.

THEY WERE FEARFUL.

DO YOU TRY TO KEEP PEOPLE WITHIN THEIR OWN BOUNDARIES?

>> YEAH.

AND ACTUALLY THAT'S PART OF THE APPROACH NATIONALLY.

OFTEN TIMES, ESPECIALLY IN SOME COMMUNITIES AND SOME NEIGHBORHOODS WE FIND THAT, IF SOMEONE LITERALLY SPEAKS THE LANGUAGE, WHETHER SPANISH OR RUSSIAN, WHATEVER THE NEIGHBORHOOD IS, IF PEOPLE ARE WORKING IN THEIR OWN NEIGHBORHOODS I THINK IT REALLY DOES HELP TO GIVE THE CREDIBILITY TO THE PROCESS.

AND YES, I THINK IT'S AN ISSUE FOR SOME, IT'S INTIMIDATING ESPECIALLY THIS DAY AND AGE TO KNOCK ON YOUR NEIGHBOR'S DOORS.

I WOULD ARGUE THERE'S AN INTRINSIC BENEFIT TO GETTING PEOPLE TO MEET THEIR NEIGHBORS AND BE MORE CONNECTED TO THEIR NEIGHBORHOODS.

I SEE A REAL SOCIETAL BENEFIT GOING THROUGH THIS PROCESS IN THE FIRST PLACE N.

NEVADA WE HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO DON'T REALLY CONSIDER THEMSELVES NEVADANS BUT THIS IS PART OF A CIVIC PROCESS I THINK BRINGS A CLOSER CONNECTION.

>> IN OUR COMMUNITY WITH SUCH A HIGH FORECLOSURE RATE.

WHAT YOU SEND OUT, DOES IT COME BACK SOMEWHERE SO YOU ARE ABLE TO FOLLOW-UP MAYBE THEY ARE SOMEWHERE ELSE?

>> I MENTIONED RIGHT NOW WE ARE WRAPPING UP FIRST OPERATION, ADDRESS CANVASSING.

WE HAVE BEEN SENDING OUT TO GO WITH HANDHELD GPS DEVICES TO GET AN ACCURATE RECORD OF ALL OF THE HOUSING UNITS OUT THERE.

SOMETIMES IT'S FORECLOSURES WE ARE LOOKING AT.

OFTEN TIMES, ESPECIALLY IN THIS ECONOMY, UNUSUAL LIVING CONDITIONS.

MAYBE SOMEBODY MOVED INTO A ROOM OVER THE GARAGE THEY WEREN'T LIVING THERE IN 2000.

MAYBE A HOUSE ABANDONED OR JUST CONSTRUCTED.

RIGHT NOW WE ARE UPDATING OUR ADDRESS LIST.

WE HOPE TO HAVE AN ACCURATE LIST, WE WORKED WITH COUNTY AND CITY GOVERNMENTS IN LAS VEGAS AND THROUGHOUT THE STATE TO DO THAT.

BUT THAT FIRST PROCESS IS KEY TO PREVENT GARBAGE IN, GARBAGE OUT.

>> I THINK WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE NUMBERS IT'S SO IMPORTANT WE CAPTURE ALL WE CAN AND ANYTHING WE CAN DO AS A COMMISSION I THINK WOULD BE IMPORTANT. THANK YOU.

>> COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI?

>> THANK YOU.

GOOD TO SEE YOU.

WE SHOULD HAVE A STANDARD THING TO PUT IN OUR EMAIL NEWS LETTERS TO START P.R.ING THE DEPARTMENT AND STAFF CAN GET THAT OFF THE GROUND.

WE HIRED 1514 FOR THE ADDRESS CANVASSING, WHAT YOU WERE JUST SPEAKING ABOUT.

>> THAT'S RIGHT.

>> WE MAY NEED -- I WAS TALKING TO STAFF ABOUT INVENTORY IN OUR HOUSING, WE COULD MATCH SOME OF THAT INFORMATION AS WE TRY TO BUILD THAT LIST. BUT WILL THERE BE TAUNT -- AN OPPORTUNITY TO GO BACK AND VERIFY. WE ARE FINDING FOUR AND FIVE FAMILIES LIVING IN ONE HOUSE. WE WANT TO CAPTURE THOSE NUMBERS IN THE LONG RUN. DO WE WORK WITH EITHER OF THE PARTIES? I'M THINKING THE CAUCUS PROCESS, WE HAD A LOT OF PEOPLE ENGAGED, IT WOULD BE A WONDERFUL WAY TO KEEP THEM ENGAGED IF THEY HAD A TARGETED UNIVERSE TO GO DOOR TO DOOR TO TARGET THAT INFORMATION. THAT MAY BE ANOTHER PLACE.

>> ABSOLUTELY, YEAH. WE ACTUALLY TRY TO KEEP THIS NON-PARTISAN, OBVIOUSLY. BUT WE ALSO TRY TO ENGAGE IN A VARIETY OF DIFFERENT COMMUNITY GROUPS TO TAP INTO EXISTING KNOWLEDGE OUT THERE. ONE OF THE CONCERNS, DRAWBACKS IS THE CENSUS BUREAU CAN'T BE A FULL EQUAL PARTNER BECAUSE IT'S CONFIDENTIAL. SO IT'S A LITTLE BIT OF A ONE-WAY PARTNERSHIP IN THAT WE ARE ASKING THEM FOR INFORMATION. AND YET WHEN YOU LOOK AT THESE ARGUMENTS, ESPECIALLY AT THE NUMBERS. I THINK IT'S A PRETTY EASY CASE TO MAKE. ALL THANKING NEVADANS FOR NOT FILLING THEIR CENSUS FORMS, IF YOU DON'T DO THIS, OTHER PLACES GAIN. YOU MENTIONED, IS THERE A WAY TO KEEP TABS ON WHAT'S HAPPENING? I WOULD ENCOURAGE ALL OF YOU. WE STARTED A TWITTER FEED, MOVING INTO THE 21st CENTURY. I'M POSTING EVERYDAY NEW MESSAGES ABOUT JOB OPPORTUNITIES. LITTLE FACTOIDS ABOUT THE CENSUS. I WANT TO KEEP THAT FRESH. www.twitter.com/nvcensus.

>> DO YOU USE STUDENTS? I'M THINKING WITH THE SUMMER MONTHS IT MAY NOT BE A BAD MONTH TO TRAIN TO GET SUMMER EMPLOYMENT IF THAT'S AVAILABLE AT THIS TIME.

>> NOT SO TO EMPLOY THEM BUT WE HAVE CENSUS IN THE SCHOOLS PROGRAM. OFTEN TIMES, ESPECIALLY WITH AT-RISK COMMUNITIES STUDENTS ARE A GREAT CONDUIT OF INFORMATION BACK TO THEIR PARENTS. WE ARE WORKING RIGHT NOW WITH CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT WITH INDIVIDUAL SCHOOLS TO PROVIDE LESSON PLAN INFORMATION SO THE CENSUS COULD BE USED TO TEACH OTHER SUBJECTS, WHETHER READING COMPREHENSION, MATH, YOU NAME IT. NEXT SPRING THAT COULD BE PART OF THE CURRICULUM AND GET THE INFORMATION BACK TO PARENTS THROUGH THEIR STUDENTS.

>> WHAT'S THE ACTUAL KICKOFF? IT SAYS 4,000 FOLKS WILL HAVE TO BE HIRED ALL TOGETHER IN 2010. SO JANUARY 1?

>> YEAH, WE DON'T WANT TO PEEK TOO EARLY. IT'S ALMOST LIKE GETTING THE OLD GANG BACK TOGETHER AGAIN IT'S A LOT OF THE SAME PEOPLE WE ARE WORKING WITH.

>> IT WORKED WELL.

>> IT'S A GOOD TEAM.
WE ARE GETTING ORGANIZED RIGHT NOW.
WE ARE WORKING WITH DIFFERENT COMMUNITY GROUPS.
IF ANYONE WOULD LIKE TO PARTNER WITH US, ANYONE WATCHING ON TELEVISION CAN
EMAIL ME.
David.a.byerman@census.gov.
IT'S ALSO ON THE SCREEN, I THINK.
WE ARE HOPING TO BUILD THESE PARTNERSHIPS OVER THE NEXT FEW MONTHS BUT
EARLY NEXT YEAR WE WILL HAVE A KICKOFF EVENT AND WITH OUR MESSAGE WIDE.
RIGHT NOW WE ARE IN A LITTLE GO-SLOW MODE, WE DON'T WANT TO PEAK TOO EARLY
AND OUR MESSAGE GET LOST.
>> COMMISSIONER BROWN?
>> THANK YOU.
THE NEVADA UNDER COUNT OF 1.68%.
WHERE DOES THAT RANK NATIONALLY?
>> THE UNDER COUNT IN 2000?
>> YES.
>> IT MOVED UP FROM I BELIEVE 45th TO 23rd.
RIGHT IN THE MIDDLE OF THE PACK.
BUT THAT WAS A BIG IMPROVEMENT OVER BEING ONE OF THE WORST.
>> DO WE, IN NEVADA, DO WE HAVE OBSTACLES OTHER STATES THAT HAVE BETTER
PERCENTAGES --
>> I WOULD ARGUE WE DO.
I FORMALLY SERVED AS THE CHAIRMAN OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE
SECRETARY OF STATE THAT ADVOCATES FOR CIVIC EDUCATION IN SCHOOLS, VOTER
TURN OUT AND THINGS LIKE THAT.
I WOULD SAY THIS IS ANALOGOUS TO VOTING AND TO BEING INVOLVED IN COMMUNITY
GOVERNANCE.
I THINK WE HAVE A VERY TRANSIENT POPULATION, THAT'S A HINDRANCE TO AN
ACCURATE CENSUS COUNT.
WE HAVE A VERY DISENGAGED POPULATION STATE WIDE.
AND A VERY TURBULENT, DYNAMIC POPULATION.
A LOT OF NEW RESIDENTS WHO MOVED OUT.
A LOT OF RESIDENTS WHO MOVED WITHIN THE STATE, IT'S HARD TO HIT A MOVING
TARGET RATHER THAN STATIONARY TARGET.
I WOULD SAY THERE ARE BUILT IN DEFICIENCIES.
THAT'S WHY ALL OF US INVOLVED IN 2000 WERE PROUD OF THE FACT WE HAD THE
BEST IMPROVEMENT ONLY BECAUSE WE HAD A LOT OF HEAD WIND IN FRONT OF US.
BUT WE WERE ABLE TO DO THAT, HAVE A MESSAGE THAT RESONATED WITH THE
RESIDENTS OF THE STATE.
WHEN THE CENSUS ARRIVED IN THE MAIL, IT WILL HAVE ON THE COVER OF THE
ENVELOPE IT WILL SAY YOUR RESPONSE IS REQUIRED BY LAW.
I DON'T KNOW ABOUT YOU, I CAN'T THINK OF A SINGLE PHRASE MORE LIKELY TO
TURN OFF NEVADANS LIKE THAT.
>> I THINK WE ARE TRYING TO DO THIS IN A DIFFERENT WAY.
WE ARE HOPING TO CONTINUE THE SUCCESS WE HAD IN 2000.
>> THANK YOU.

>> ALL RIGHT.
WE NEED A MOTION TO --
>> SO MOVED.
>> YOU HEARD THE MOTION.
CAST YOUR VOTE.
THE MOTION CARRIES.
THANK YOU, DAVE.
>> IN ADDITION TO HIS EXPERIENCE, JOHN WARDLOCK TELLS ME THIS IS HIS THIRD
CENSUS EFFORT.
>> HE DOESN'T EVEN LOOK 30.
>> I CERTAINLY FEEL LIKE IT.
>> THANKS, JOHN.
VIRGINIA IF WE CAN TAKE AN ITEM OUT OF ORDER.
WE HAVE A COMMISSIONER WHO NEEDS TO LEAVE PRETTY QUICK.
IF WE CAN TAKE ITEM 97.
>> ITEM 97
[READING]
>> I WOULD ASK THIS ITEM BE PLACED ON OUR AGENDA.
I THINK IT'S EVIDENT WHY WE HAVE GONE TO OUR EMPLOYEES AND ASKED THEM TO
MAKE CONCESSIONS SO WE CAN GET THROUGH THIS DIFFICULT TIME AND I THINK
THAT WE NEED TO DO THE SAME THING AND THAT'S WHY THIS IS BEFORE US.
I'LL MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE THIS ITEM.
IF THERE'S COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD.
COMMISSIONER COLLINS?
>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
THERE'S A COUPLE THINGS.
I JUST HAVE QUESTIONS, PROBABLY MARIANNE CAN LOOK UP 245.
ONE IN THERE PROVISIONS ALLOW PERCENTAGE INCREASE EACH YEAR BASED ON THE
2003 SALARY THAT HAS DIFFERENT CALCULATIONS BY PERCENTAGE BUT THEN ALSO ON
THE OTHER SIDE IN THE NOTES THERE'S COMMENTS THAT, I WANT TO MAKE SURE
YOUR MOTION IS MADE PROPERLY THAT ALLOWS THIS TO BE DONE.
FIRST OF ALL, WHEN WE DID THIS A LONG TIME AGO AS WELL THE COMMISSIONERS
WERE GIVEN AN OPPORTUNITY BECAUSE TIMES WERE TOUGH THEY COULD WAIVE THEIR
PAY INCREASE, THEY WEREN'T FORCED TO TAKE IT.
IT SAYS IF YOU WAIVE IT, YOU CAN -- YEARS LATER YOU CAN ACCEPT IT BUT IF
YOU DON'T WAIVE IT THE FIRST YEAR, YEARS LATER YOU CAN'T WAIVE IT.
I WANT TO MAKE SURE YOU ARE MAKING YOUR MOTION AS A DEFERRAL.
I WANT A CLARIFICATION OF THAT.
>> THE AGENDA SAYS FOREGO.
I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S THE RIGHT WORD.
IF DEFER IS THE BETTER WORD WE WILL USE THAT.
>> I THINK YOU MADE A CLEAR RECORD OF THE INTENT OF THE BOARD.
I DON'T SEE ANY PROBLEM WITH -- IF THINGS GOT BETTER LATER REINSTATING IT.
>> I GUESS THE MOTION IS IF WE PASS THIS MOTION WE AREN'T GOING TO ACCEPT
A 4% THIS JULY SO JULY IN TWO YEARS DO WE GET 8% OR JULY IN THREE YEARS DO
WE GET -- HOW DO YOU NOT?
JULY A YEAR FROM NOW IS IT STILL 4%.

HOW ARE WE DOING THIS TO APPLY 245, OR WHATEVER IT IS?
>> I'M NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION, COMMISSIONER, I'M SORRY.
>> LET ME ASK AGAIN.
BY LAW DO WE HAVE TO WRITE YOU BACK A CHECK TO THE COUNTY?
>> NO.
YOU DO NOT HAVE TO DO THAT.
THE RAISE IS NOT MANDATORY.
YOU COULD HAVE DEFERRED PART OF IT, OR NOT.
I THINK BY TAKING THIS ACTION YOU ARE NOT GETTING ANY ADDITIONAL TAX
CONSEQUENCES.
AND IT DOESN'T FOREGO YOUR ABILITY TO ACCEPT INCREASES.
>> THE STATUTE USES THE WORD WAIVING VERSUS FOREGOING.
I DON'T WANT TO HAVE SOME TECHNICAL THING.
>> I THINK YOU MADE AN ADEQUATE RECORD.
>> THE OTHER PART IS LONGEVITY PAY, IS A STATE LAW COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
HAVE TO ACCEPT LONGEVITY PAY.
AT 2% AND MAXIMUM OF 20%.
I THINK WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE MAKER OF THE MOTION, I TRIED TO
ADDRESS THIS.
WE NEED TO FIND A WAY.
RIGHT NOW THERE'S ONLY ONE COMMISSIONER STARTING TO GET THAT AND THE REST
OF US DON'T.
I THINK NOW IS A TIME TO MAKE IT A FLAT RATE LIKE STATE EMPLOYEES.
FOR EXAMPLE HEALTH DISTRICT, ON A FLAT RATE.
WATER DISTRICT FOLKS ON A FLAT RATE.
I THINK IF WE SET AN EXAMPLE THAT FINDING A WAY TO AMEND SOMETHING TO
ADDRESS IN THE LEGISLATURE THAT, OF COURSE IT'S UP TO THE REST OF THE
COMMISSIONERS, WE WOULD NOT BE GETTING THIS PERCENTAGE LONGEVITY PAY BUT
REALIZE IT SHOULD BE THE SAME FOR EVERYONE WHETHER THEY ARE MAKING \$2 OR
\$40 AN HOUR.
THAT IT SHOULD BE A FLAT RATE RATHER THAN A PERCENTAGE.
I THOUGHT TODAY WOULD BE THE TIME TO COMMENT ON THAT.
WHEN YOU ARE FOREGOING PAY, VERSUS WAIVING PAY.
I THINK LONGEVITY SHOULD BE ADDRESSED AND PROBABLY A BETTER TIME THAN I'VE
HAD IN THE LAST FOUR YEARS BECAUSE LESS BOARD MEMBERS ARE RECEIVING IT.
>> UNLIKE THE SALARY PROVISION, THE LONGEVITY PROVISION SAYS 2%.
IT DOESN'T GIVE THAT DISCRETION THAT YOU HAVE ON YOUR SALARY.
>> COULD YOUR MOTION INCLUDE WE ASK THE LEGISLATURE TO CHANGE THAT TO A
FLAT RATE?
>> I DON'T KNOW THAT'S ON OUR AGENDA.
>> I JUST WANT TO GET IT ON THE RECORD, THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN.
>> OTHER QUESTIONS, COMMISSIONER SISOLAK?
>> I WANT TO COMMEND YOU FOR BRINGING THIS ITEM FORWARD.
I NEVER BELIEVED IN ASKING ONE OF MY FELLOW EMPLOYEES SOMETHING THAT I'M
NOT WILLING TO DO, I THINK THIS SENDS AN IMPORTANT MESSAGE TO ALL OF OUR
EMPLOYEES AND JUDICIAL DISTRICTS AND EVERYBODY ELSE IN OUR AREA WE ARE
WILLING TO MAKE A SACRIFICE, HOPEFULLY THEY WILL AS WELL.

>> COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> THANK YOU.

I WOULD NOT ONLY ECHO THAT BUT IT NEEDS TO BE CLEAR FOR THE RECORD, OUR EMPLOYEES GAVE UP C.O.L.A.

WE ARE GIVING UP A SALARY INCREASE.

I DO AGREE, WE ARE SENDING A MESSAGE BUT WE ARE IN PARTNERSHIP HERE IN THE WORLD OF HURT OUT THERE.

I JUST WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT'S WHY IT DOESN'T EVEN SPEAK TO A C.O.L.A.

BECAUSE THE BOARD DOESN'T GET ONE.

>> COMMISSIONER COLLINS?

>> YEAH.

THIS IS A COST OF LIVING INCREASE PASSED EVERY SIX YEARS.

IN THE PAST IT WAS A 40-50% BUMP.

2003 WE HELD IT IN GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS AND CUT IT BACK AND MADE IT MATCH. THE REQUEST ORIGINALLY IN 2003 FROM THE COUNTIES WAS LIKE 54.SOMETHING PERCENT.

WE SAID WE AGREE TO MATCH WHAT THE COUNTY EMPLOYEES HAD RECEIVED OVER THE LAST EIGHT YEARS.

SO WE ENDED UP GETTING, THE COUNTY COMMISSION AT THAT TIME GOT APPROVED FOR 26 POINT SOMETHING PERCENT PAY RAISE BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT THE COUNTY EMPLOYEES GOT.

IT WAS MATCHING THAT.

SO WHAT THEY DID CHANGE THIS LAST TIME INSTEAD OF GIVING A LUMP SUM, TO GO WITH A PERCENTAGE EACH YEAR SO IT'S REALLY A 4% COST OF LIVING INCREASE FROM 2007 TO 2011.

IT'S NOT A MERIT STEP.

ALTHOUGH EVERYBODY HERE MAKES A DIFFERENT SALARY WHICH IS RIDICULOUS AS WELL.

THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

>> THANK YOU.

OTHER COMMENTS?

>> DO WE HAVE TO CHANGE THE WORD FOREGO OR IS THAT UNDERSTOOD?

>> I THINK IT'S UNDERSTOOD FOR THE RECORD.

>> CAST YOUR VOTE ON THE MOTION.

THE MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 94

[READING]

>> YOU WERE HERE TWO WEEKS AGO SO TELL US WHAT'S NEW.

>> GOOD AFTERNOON CHAIRMAN.

MIKE POLLOCK, COMMUNITY RESOURCES MANAGEMENT.

I HAVE BRIEFED THE BOARD ON THIS ITEM.

ONE OF THE CONDITIONS IS THAT OUR J.A.G.

STIMULUS FUNDING THROUGH THE FORMULA ALLOCATION, THE FUNDING IS GOING TO BE ADMINISTERED BY THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS RATHER THAN BY CLARK COUNTY AS A RESULT WE ARE NOT USING OUR NORMAL PROCESS WHERE WE WOULD TAKE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM OUR CITIZEN ADVISORY BOARD.

THAT PUT US IN A POSITION OF HAVING TO DO A COUPLE OF THINGS.
NEGOTIATE A SHARE.

ALLOCATION SHARE FROM THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS FROM THIS FUNDING.
AND TO DEVELOP PROJECTS THAT WE WANTED TO SUBMIT TO THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS
FOR THE FUNDING.

SO IN THROUGH THAT PROCESS WE HAD SIX PROJECTS GO FORTH FROM THE COUNTY.
FIVE WERE SUBMITTED THROUGH COMMUNITY RESOURCES THROUGH \$1 MILLION AND ONE
WAS SUBMITTED THROUGH THE D.A.'S OFFICE FOR \$476,000.

THE NEGOTIATED AMOUNT IS \$1 MILLION SO WE HAVE SIX PROJECTS WE HAVE
FUNDING FOR APPROXIMATELY AS 1 MILLION.

WHEN WE LEFT THIS MEETING LAST TIME SAID ONE OF THE PROPOSALS ON THE TABLE
WAS TO FUND THE D.A.

PROSECUTOR POSITION FOR ONLY ONE YEAR, GO OUT AND SEE IF WE COULD GET
SUPPORT FROM THE CITY AND METRO OR OTHER TO TRY TO SPLIT THAT COST.
IT WAS DETERMINED, IN CONCERT WITH THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS A ONE-YEAR
POSITION WAS NOT VIABLE.

THERE WAS SOME AGREEMENT TO SHARE COSTS.

THE CITY AGREED IF WE WOULD DO A TWO-YEAR POSITION THEY WOULD SHARE ONE
YEAR OF THAT POSITION.

AND IT WOULD BE FOR A TWO-YEAR POSITION AND THE D.A.'S OFFICE WOULD NEED
TO JUSTIFY THE SUSTAINABILITY OF THAT PROJECT AND WE WOULD HAVE TO CUT
ABOUT \$116,000 FROM THE LIST ORIGINALLY SUBMITTED FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.
I THINK THAT'S WHY WE ARE BACK HERE TODAY FOR THAT CONSIDERATION WHETHER
WE WANT TO SUPPORT THE LIST ORIGINALLY SUBMITTED, THE FIVE PROJECTS FOR \$1
MILLION OR TO TAKE SOME OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS.

>> MR. CHAIR?

>> COMMISSIONER WEEKLY.

>> OK, HAVING SERVED AS A CHAIR OF THE J.A.G.

AS WELL AS REPRESENTATIVE FOR CLARK COUNTY I WANT TO SAY I'M TRULY NOT
HAPPY ABOUT HOW THIS PROCESS WENT, IN TERMS OF CLARK COUNTY NOT BEING THE
ACTUAL ADMINISTRATOR BUT THAT'S BEYOND OUR CONTROL.

IT WAS JUST A WONDERFUL PROCESS WHERE ALL THOSE INVOLVED HAD AN
OPPORTUNITY TO SIT AROUND THE TABLE AND WERE GIVEN A DOLLAR FIGURE AND
ABLE TO MAKE IT WORK FOR EVERYONE AND UNFORTUNATELY THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN
THIS TIME.

BUT HAVING SAID THAT, I DO WANT TO IN ALL SINCERITY AND APPRECIATION
TODAY, THANK YOU TO DEPUTY CITY MANAGER SANCHEZ AND ENTIRE CITY OF LAS
VEGAS STAFF FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION FOR US.

HAVING SPOKEN WITH REPRESENTATIVES FROM EACH ONE OF THESE RECOMMENDATIONS
HERE ON OUR LIST, WE ARE GOING TO MOVE TO GO WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT
IS BEFORE YOU.

AND THAT'S GOING TO BE OUR RECOMMENDATION.

AND IT'S OUR HOPE THAT IF IN FACT ADDITIONAL J.A.G.

MONEYS ARE AVAILABLE THAT WE HAVE AMPLE TIME TO GET TOGETHER AND TALK
ABOUT WHAT IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THOSE FUNDS OPPOSED TO HOW IT WAS
DONE THIS TIME.

I THINK THERE WAS A LOT OF MISCOMMUNICATION HOW THIS WAS HANDLED AND WHAT ADDITIONAL FUNDS WERE SUPPOSED TO BE ALLOCATED FOR.

SO IF THERE WOULD BE NO MORE QUESTIONS I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU TO FOLLOW THAT RECOMMENDATION TO SUPPORT THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT ARE BEFORE YOU. THAT'S MY MOTION.

>> THERE'S A MOTION ON THE FLOOR.

ARE THERE QUESTIONS?

COMMISSIONER COLLINS?

>> COMMISSIONER WEEKLY, YOU SAID YOU CHAIRED PUTTING THIS TOGETHER.

AND I'M JUST CURIOUS, I THOUGHT THERE WAS SOMETHING IN THERE FOR THE D.A.'S OFFICE FOR SOMETHING AND I'M CURIOUS.

WOULD YOU CLEAR THAT UP FOR ME?

>> AS MR. POLLOCK POINTED OUT, THAT'S WHERE WE DIDN'T UNDERSTAND IN TERMS OF HOW WE GOT TO A MILLION DOLLARS.

THERE WAS AN UNDERSTAND I THINK FROM THE D.A.'S OFFICE THAT'S HOW WE GOT TO THE MILLION.

BECAUSE PORTIONS OF THAT WERE TO BE ALLOCATED FOR THAT POSITION BUT GOING BACK MEETING WITH THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS THAT WAS NOT THEIR INTERPRETATION OF THE ADDITIONAL FUNDS.

BEING THAT ALL THESE ENTITIES HAVE BEEN INFORMED THIS IS WHAT'S ABOUT HE RECOMMENDED.

I WENT YESTERDAY AND MET WITH CHILD SEEKERS AND DUE TO THE FINANCIAL CRISIS WE ARE ALL IN THEY SAID THEY DEFINITELY NEEDED THE FUNDS.

>> DOES THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS EVER CONTRIBUTE TO THE D.A.'S OFFICE FOR ANYTHING?

>> I DON'T KNOW.

I CAN'T ANSWER THAT QUESTION.

IN THE PAST, IT HADN'T BEEN --

>> LIKE THE JAILS AND THE COURTS AND EVERYTHING ELSE, THEY DON'T CONTRIBUTE SO WHY WOULD THEY WANT TO?

I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW.

>> AT ANY RATE, THERE WE ARE.

THAT'S THE MOTION.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS?

WE HAVE A MOTION.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

THE MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 95

[READING]

>> LET ME START BY APOLOGIZING TO VIRGINIA FOR THAT LONG INTRODUCTION. MY NAME IS SHAWNA BRODY, I WORK IN THE COMMUNITY RESOURCES MANAGEMENT DIVISION.

I'M HERE TO REPORT ON A NEW FUNDING STREAM THE COUNTY WILL BE GETTING IN SOUTHERN NEVADA RESIDENTS WILL BE BENEFITING FROM THE STIMULUS ACT.

ALSO AFTER I DO MY PRESENTATION, MIKE POLLOCK, AS WELL AS SHANNON WEST, REGIONAL HOMELESS COORDINATOR ARE HERE AND AVAILABLE FOR ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.

TO START WITH, THE ACT OF 2009, ENACTED A NEW ONE TIME FUNDING STREAM THAT COULD BE USED OVER THE NEXT THREE YEARS TO HELP PREVENT UNNECESSARILY HOMELESSNESS IN SOUTHERN NEVADA, ACTUALLY THEY DID IT ACROSS THE NATION. HOMELESS PREVENTION AND RAPID REHOUSING FUNDS.

HPRP FUNDS.

TO BE SPENT OR RETURNED TO THE FEDERAL TREASURY WITHIN THE NEXT THREE YEARS.

CLARK COUNTY WILL BE GETTING 2.5 MILLION STATE OF NEVADA SHARING SOME OF THEIR ALLOCATION WITH SOUTHERN NEVADA, NORTH LAS VEGAS IS GETTING SOME AS WELL AND CITY OF LAS VEGAS.

THESE FUNDS ARE TO BE USED TO ASSIST HOUSEHOLDS EXPERIENCING HARDSHIP IN THIS ECONOMIC DOWN TURN AND IT'S A GRAND TOTAL OF A LITTLE OVER \$6 MILLION FOR SOUTHERN NEVADA.

A LITTLE OVER \$4 MILLION WILL BE ADMINISTERED THROUGH CLARK COUNTY.

THE STATE OF NEVADA OPTED TO GIVE IT'S PORTION FOR SOUTHERN NEVADA TO CLARK COUNTY FOR REGIONAL IMPLEMENTATION.

THE ELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES THINGS WE CAN SPEND THESE FUNDS ARE FLEXIBLE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR HOUSEHOLDS THAT EARN 50% OF AREA INCOME OR LESS. FAMILY OF THREE, EARNS NO MORE THAN \$29,450.

OR \$2400 A MONTH FOR A FAMILY OF THREE.

CAN ALSO USE FUND TO HELP WITH HOUSING RELOCATION AND STABILIZATION SERVICES, DATA COLLECTION AND PROGRAM EVALUATION AND THE DREADED BUT EVER IMPORTANT ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS, LIMITED TO 5%.

THE FLEXIBLE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE ARE THINGS BASICALLY DIRECT PAYMENTS ON BEHALF OF CLIENTS.

USUALLY FOR RENT AND UTILITY ASSISTANCE.

IT CAN BE RENT ASSISTANCE FOR UP TO 18 MONTHS.

RELOCATION AND STABILIZATION SERVICES ARE TYPICALLY CASE MANAGEMENT BUT IT'S A LITTLE BIT MORE.

IT'S SPECIFICALLY A LOT MORE ON TENANT RELOCATION, CREDIT REPAIR. RELOCATION.

MANAGEMENT STAFF MAKES ALL THAT HAPPEN.

CONGRESS REQUIRES ALL ASSISTED HOUSEHOLDS BE MANAGED THROUGH H.M.I.S.

WE WERE HEADED IN THAT DIRECTION ANYWAYS NOW WE CAN USE THESE FUNDS TO MAKE SOME OF THOSE ONE-TIME ADJUSTMENTS AND ENHANCEMENTS TO THE H.M.I.S. SYSTEM.

BEFORE WE GO FURTHER THOUGH I WANT TO GIVE YOU A SENSE HOW HOMELESS PREVENTION IS CURRENTLY DONE IN SOUTHERN NEVADA.

WE HAVE ABOUT EIGHT DIFFERENT FUNDING SOURCES FROM THE SIX JURISDICTIONS THAT CAN HELP PREVENT HOMELESSNESS WHEN NECESSARY, MOVE A PERSON OUT OF SHELTERS AND INTO AFFORDABLE HOUSING WHEN THEY CAN.

CURRENTLY RUN THROUGH 15 AGENCIES.

USING THOSE EIGHT DIFFERENT FUNDING SOURCES.

SOME OF THE FUNDING STREAMS CAN ONLY BE USED FOR THREE MONTHS OR ONE MONTH WORTH OF RENTAL ASSISTANCE.

SOME 4 MONTHS, SOME AGENCIES RESTRICT WHO THEY USE FOR, THEY WILL ONLY SERVE SENIORS OR SERVE FAMILIES WITH MINOR CHILDREN.

INCOME AT OR BELOW 80%.

OTHERS YOU HAVE TO HAVE ANNUAL INCOME OF 60% OR LOW, NOW WITH THIS IS 50% OR BELOW.

YOU HAVE WIDE VARIETY OF REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE PLACED ON THE SYSTEM.

AND AS THE SYSTEM IS ALMOST INCUMBENT UPON THE CLIENT OR HOUSEHOLD IN NEED TO BE ABLE TO FIND THE LOCATION OR FUNDING SOURCE THEY'VE GOT.

NOT ALL AGENCIES RECEIVE ALL TYPES OF SERVICES.

SAY YOU HAVE A CLIENT, RECENTLY LAID OFF IN THIS ECONOMY, DOESN'T HAVE A NEW JOB YET, HAS A COUPLE KIDS FACING EVICTION.

SHE HEARS THERE'S HOMELESS PREVENTION.

IS SHE ASSISTED?

NO, SHE NEEDS MORE THAN ONE MONTH OF RENT.

THIS IS PARTICULARLY SERVICE SAVVY AND SHE KNOWS THERE'S ANOTHER AGENCY, CAN SHE BE SERVED BY THAT ORGANIZATION?

NO BECAUSE THAT ORGANIZATION DOESN'T SERVE HER TYPE OF CLIENT.

THEY SERVE CHRONICS OR SENIORS ONLY.

IT'S FRUSTRATING FOR CLIENTS AS WELL AS CASEWORKERS AND THE COMMUNITY ORGANIZERS TRYING TO MAKE CHANGE IN HOMELESS SERVICES AND REDUCE THE INFUX OF HOMELESS PERSONS.

SO WHAT WE ARE PROPOSING TO DO IS CREATE AN APPROACH, STARTED BY THE SOUTHERN NEVADA COMMITTEE ON HOMELESSNESS.

THE JOB OF MAKING SENSE OUT OF THIS DISCONTINUING DISJOINTED SYSTEM. ORGANIZE IT, COME UP WITH A SYSTEM THAT WILL OCCUR.

SINCE SOME ADVOCATES, NON-PROFIT AGENCIES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS HAVE BEEN MEETING SINCE OCTOBER 2008 AND WE CAME UP WITH THIS PLAN JUST IN TIME FOR THIS HOMELESS PREVENTION AND RAPID REHOUSING FUNDS.

THE NO WRONG DOOR PROPOSAL TAKES ALL OF THESE VARIED FUNDING SERVICES WITH VARYING REQUIREMENTS AND BOUNDARIES AND SUGGEST THAT WE PUT THEM ALL INTO ONE POT THAT IS ALLOCATED TO A CONSORTIA OF NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS.

ONE FISCAL AGENT, 20 DIFFERENT OR 10 DIFFERENT NON-PROFIT PARTNERS WHO WOULD ACT AS THOSE FRONT DOORS WHERE CLIENTS IN NEED WOULD REACH IN.

THEY WOULD HAVE ACCESS TO ALL OF THOSE.

ACCESS TO THE FUNDING ONLY USED FOR ONE MONTH FOR THOSE WHO MAKE 60% OR BELOW.

THEY WOULD ONLY HAVE ONE DOOR TO HAVE TO GO TO.

A CRITICAL COMPONENT IS H.M.I.S.

SYSTEM, HOMELESS MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM IS CAPABLE OF BEING A CRITICAL COMPONENT WHERE WE INPUT THE DATA FOR THE CLIENT AND THEIR NEEDS, INPUT THE INFORMATION FOR FUNDING STREAMS AND THEIR REQUIREMENTS, WE PRESS A BUTTON AND IT MAGICALLY TELLS YOU WHICH THEY COULD BE ASSISTED WITH AND FOR HOW LONG.

THEY CAN NOW FOCUS ON THE REQUIREMENTS AND THE NEEDS OF THE CLIENT AND NOT WORRY SO MUCH ABOUT THE ONE OR TWO FUNDING SOURCES THEY ARE IN RECEIPT OF.

THIS WOULD CREATE WHAT WE ARE HOPING A PLACE WHERE IT'S NO LONGER A -- WE ARE TRYING TO FORCE A SQUARE PEG INTO A ROUND HOLE.

ALL THE FRONT DOORS ARE ALSO SQUARE PEGS.

EMPHASIS WOULD BE ON THE CLIENTS' NEEDS AND NOT THE FUNDING SOURCES REQUIREMENTS.

SO THE CATALYST FOR THIS CHANGE, WE ARE GOING TO USE THE H.P.R.P. FUNDS ABOUT \$4 MILLION COMING THROUGH THE COUNTY, \$6 MILLION INTO SOUTHERN NEVADA.

THESE ARE CHANGES THE PREVENTION WORK GROUP HAD BEEN WORKING OUT SINCE OCTOBER.

NOW WE HAVE THE RESOURCES TO ACTUALLY IMPLEMENT IT.

A CRITICAL CHANGE AS I MENTIONED, SOME ENHANCEMENTS TO THE H.M.I.S. SYSTEM, USE NO MORE THAN 7.5% FOR THE H.M.I.S.

ENHANCEMENTS THAT WILL BE THE NEXUS OF THE CHANGE.

AND THIS WILL BE SOMETHING THAT WILL BE CONTINUED INTO THE FUTURE, LONG AFTER THE H.P.R.P. FUNDS EXPIRE IN THE NEXT THREE YEARS.

WE ALSO PROPOSE TO RESERVE FIVE PERCENT OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE FUNDS TO SHARE WITH OUR NON-PROFIT PARTNERS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS BUT IF WE DO NOT USE IT ALL WE WILL PUT THAT TOWARDS THE FLEXIBLE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE AMOUNTS.

70% OF THESE FUNDS WILL BE THE FLEXIBLE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE, THEY WILL BE COMBINED WITH E.F.S., EMERGENCY FOOD AND SHELTER FUNDS ALSO MADE AVAILABLE FOR ONE TIME RENTAL ASSISTANCE, ONE MONTH AND RECEIVING R.S.

STIMULUS BONUS DOLLARS.

COMBINED WITH WELFARE SET ASIDE.

WE HAVE E.S.G. AND HOME T.B.R.A. WHICH COULD BE MULTIPLE MONTHS OF ASSISTANCE AND 17% OF THE FUNDS WE ARE SUGGESTING GO TOWARD THAT CASE MANAGEMENT, HOUSING RELOCATION STABILIZATION SERVICES TO MAKE SURE ONCE WE MOVE A HOUSE HOLD INTO AN AFFORDABLE PLACE IT'S HABITABLE AND THEY ARE STABLE.

DOLLAR WISE THAT'S WHAT THE PERCENTAGES LOOK LIKE.

THE H.P.R.P. FUNDS ARE NEW FUNDS NOT PREVIOUSLY CONSIDERED FROM 2005 TO 2009.

THE CLARK COUNTY MUST SUBMIT AN AMENDMENT DESCRIBING HOW WE PLAN TO USE THESE FUNDS AND THAT'S WHAT IS BEFORE YOU TODAY FOR ADOPTION.

IT'S BEEN OUT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT SINCE APRIL 22nd AND THAT ENDED LAST NIGHT.

WE RECEIVED TEN COMMENTS FROM OUR NON-PROFIT PARTNERS WE WILL BE LOOKING FORWARD TO.

THEY ALL COMMENDED HOW WONDERFUL THE NEW PROCESS LOOKS AND WILL ACTUALLY SERVE NEEDS IN THE COMMUNITY, THE ONE COMPLAINT IS COUNTY POLICY OF REQUIRING AGENCIES SPEND THEIR MONEY FIRST AND GET REIMBURSED FROM THE COUNTY RATHER THAN FRONTING MONEY UP FRONT.

THE REASON THEY DO THAT THESE ARE FEDERAL FUNDS WITH ABOUT THREE INCH BINDERS FRONT AND BACK REGULATIONS GOVERNING HOW YOU CAN USE THE FUNDS. IF H.U.D. COMES AND DETERMINES THEY WERE MISSPENT THE COUNTY IS RESPONSIBLE FOR REIMBURSING H.U.D. FOR THOSE FUNDS.

WE REQUIRE AGENCIES TO SPEND THE MONEY FIRST, SUBMIT RECEIPTS TO THE JURISDICTIONS, WE REVIEW IT AND ONLY PAY WHAT'S ELIGIBLE. THE CONCERN IS USUALLY THE TIME FRAME BETWEEN THE AGENCY SPENDING IT, COUNTY PAYING THE AGENCY AND H.U.D. DETERMINING THERE WAS A MISUSE OF FUNDS MAY BE 3-4 YEARS THE COUNTY THEN HAS TO COME UP WITH REIMBURSEMENT FUNDS. SO THAT'S THAT. THE PUBLIC COMMENTS, OR SUBSTANTIAL AMENDMENT IS DUE ON MAY 18th. YOU HAVE THE SUBSTANTIAL AMENDMENT FOR REVIEW. WE WILL BE ADDING THE PUBLIC COMMENTS MAKING SOME OTHER TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS AND SUBMITTING THAT WHEN IT'S DUE. WE HOPE TO HEAR FROM H.U.D. IT'S ACCEPTED AND ACCEPTABLE BEFORE JULY 2nd. ONCE WE RECEIVE H.U.D. APPROVAL WE WILL COMMENCE WITH ISSUING SOLICITATION FOR THIS CONSORTIA. MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ONE OR THREE CONSORTIA ON THE GROUND IMPLEMENTING THIS FUNDING. S THE COORDINATING BODY WE WOULD ASK THIS COMMITTEE ON HOMELESSNESS TO ISSUE REQUESTS FOR QUALIFICATIONS THROUGH THE REGIONAL COORDINATOR'S OFFICE. MAKE THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS. IT WOULD STILL BE ON YOU, THEY ARE JUST RECOMMENDATIONS BUT WE ARE USING THEM AS THE REGIONAL PLANNING TOOL. IF THIS PROCESS IS APPROVED WE EXPECT TO BRING THE COMMITTEE ON HOMELESSNESS RECOMMENDATION TO YOU FOR APPROVAL OR ADJUSTMENTS AT THE SEPTEMBER 1st MEETING AND BRING THE RESOLUTIONS TO COMMIT THESE FUNDS TO - - SELECT ORGANIZATIONS BY SEPTEMBER 15th BCC MEETING WHICH ALL LINES US UP INTO THE REQUIREMENTS BY H.U.D. TO HAVE THESE COMMITTED BY THE SEPTEMBER 30th DEADLINE. OTHERWISE WE RELEASE ANY FUNDS AND REQUEST FOR FUNDS. THE H.R.P.R. FUNDS WOULD BE AVAILABLE IN OCTOBER. WE NEED TO SPEND 60% BY JUNE 2011 AND 100% BY JUNE 2012 OR RETURN THESE FUNDS. BY USING LESS THAN 8% NOW TO MAKE THE ENHANCEMENTS TO THE H.M.I.S. SYSTEM AND CREATE THE PLAY, FINE TUNING THE APPROACH TO HOMELESS PREVENTION SERVICES WE SUSPECT THIS IS SUSTAINABLE BY COMMITTEE FUTURE ALLOCATIONS OF EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT FUNDS. HOME T.B.R.A., WELFARE SET ASIDE, EMERGENCY FOOD AND SHELTER. IT WOULD BE USED MORE EFFICIENT ORGANIZED SYSTEM THAT WILL BE EFFECTIVE WITH THESE H.P.R.P. CHANGES. THAT'S IT. ANY QUESTIONS? >> COMMISSIONER WEEKLY HAD A QUESTION. >> YES, MA'AM. THANK YOU MS. BRODY FOR THE PRESENTATION. JUST A COUPLE QUESTIONS.

I SERVE AS AN ALTERNATE FOR COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI AND BRAGER, I HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO GO TO A MEETING IN A WHILE.

IN TERMS OF THESE FUNDS HERE, FIRST QUESTION I WANT TO KNOW IS WOULD SOME OF THE DATA THAT WE ARE LOOKING TO OBTAIN, WHAT DO WE DO WITH THAT DATA ONCE WE HAVE LOST TRACK OF THAT CLIENT.

I KNOW BETWEEN YOU AND SHANNON WEST YOU CAN ANSWER THAT.

I WANT TO COMMEND SHANNON FOR HER HARD WORK SHE PUTS IN WITH THE C.O.H. THAT'S QUESTION NUMBER ONE.

AND THE OTHER ONE I WANT TO KNOW, SO MANY OF THE PROVIDERS INTERESTED IN THIS, I SAW A SLIDE WHERE YOU SPOKE IN TERMS OF RECOMMENDATION YOU WILL RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD OR COMMITTEE BETWEEN ONE TO THREE.

I GUESS THAT COMMITTEE WILL RECOMMEND TO THE COMMISSION, MAYBE ONE?

>> WE ARE LOOKING FOR A CONSORTIA, ONE TO 25.

BUT ONE FISCAL AGENT THAT RECEIVES THE FUNDING FROM THE JURISDICTIONS AND THEY WOULD WORK WITH 20 OR 12 DIFFERENT ORGANIZATIONS THAT WOULD ACTUALLY ADMINISTER.

>> HOW MUCH INFLUENCE WILL YOU HAVE IN HOW THAT WORKS?

WHAT CONCERNS ME AND I SAW A SLIDE THAT POPPED UP AND YOU WENT PAST IT, YOU DIDN'T GO INTO IT MUCH.

IT TALKED ABOUT SPENDING YOUR FUNDS FIRST AND BEING REIMBURSED LATER WHERE MANY OF THE SMALLER NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS AND AGENCIES HAD AN ISSUE WITH THAT.

I KIND OF HAVE AN ISSUE WITH THAT AS WELL.

A LOT OF THE SMALLER GROUPS ARE OUT THERE IN THE TRENCHES DOING A LOT OF WORK AND THEY DON'T HAVE THE RESOURCES SOME OF THESE BIG AGENCIES THAT WE SEE WE CONTINUE TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR OVER AND OVER AND OVER AGAIN. HOW DO WE ENSURE THAT THOSE SMALL GROUPS LIKE THAT, WHO ARE REALLY DOWN IN THE TRENCHES ARE ELIGIBLE AND ABLE TO QUALIFY AND PARTICIPATE IN THIS PROCESS HERE?

>> THE SLIDE YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT, WE WILL GET IT BACK UP.

WHAT WE ENVISION AS OUR CONSORTIA.

THE CIRCLES ON THE OUTER RIM WILL BE THE SMALLER AGENCIES STILL DOING ALL THE GOOD HARD WORK OUT THERE.

THEY ARE THE ONES TOUCHING THE LIVES OF HOUSEHOLDS HAVING HOUSING CRISIS. BUT CURRENTLY THEY MAY BE RECEIVING EMERGENCY FOOD SHELTER.

THEY DON'T GET ANY ADMINISTRATIVE DOLLARS FOR THE STAFF SALARIES TO IMPLEMENT IT.

WHAT WE ENVISION THEY WON'T HAVE TO HAVE THE ADMINISTRATIVE BURDEN OR THE FINANCIAL BURDEN OF FRONTING THE MONEY FOR THE RENTAL ASSISTANCE.

THEY WOULD DO THE INTAKE, THE ASSESSMENT ON THE CLIENT, DO WHAT THEY HAVE BEEN DOING, FAX OR EMAIL OR BRING IT TO THE FISCAL AGENT WHO WOULD CUT THE CHECK FOR THE RENTAL ASSISTANCE.

SO THE AGENCY OUT THERE, THE FRONT DOOR AGENCY IS STILL THE ONE IN CONNECTION WITH THAT PERSON, THE ASSISTED HOUSEHOLD.

THEY DON'T HAVE TO GO TO THE FISCAL AGENT THEY ARE GETTING THE ASSISTANCE THROUGH THAT.

>> ONE MORE, MR. CHAIR.

WITH THE RENTAL ASSISTANCE, IS IT A ONE-TIME SHOT OR DO WE HELP UNTIL THEY ARE ABLE TO GET ON THEIR FEET.

I WANT TO BE CLEAR, I DON'T WANT SERVICE PROVIDERS TO FEEL I HAVE AN ISSUE WITH ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS BUT I THINK WE HAVE SEEN OVER THE YEARS WE ALLOCATE MILLIONS AND A LARGE PERCENTAGE OF MONEY GO TOWARD ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS AND YOU HAVE FAMILIES ON THE STREET, THEY QUALIFY FOR THE PROGRAMS, IT'S A ONE-TIME SHOT AND THEY FIND THEMSELVES GOING BACK THROUGH THE VICIOUS CYCLE AGAIN.

>> RIGHT.

THAT'S THE RESULT OF THE BROKEN SYSTEM WE HAVE RIGHT NOW.

SO MUCH OF THE MONEY IS A ONE-TIME SHOT.

ONE SHOT IN A 12-MONTH PERIOD, THAT'S WHAT MOST OF THOSE 15 AGENCIES RECEIVE.

REGARDLESS IF THE HOUSEHOLD NEEDS THREE MONTHS AFTER ASSISTANCE TO STABILIZE, ALL THEY HAVE IS ONE MONTH OF ASSISTANCE TO PROVIDE.

WHAT WE ARE HOPING TO DO IS MAKE ACCESSIBLE, SOME FUNDS WILL GO TO 24 MONTHS.

THESE FUNDS SPECIFICALLY AVAILABLE UP TO 18 MONTHS OF CONTINUED BACK-TO-BACK MONTHLY ASSISTANCE OR 18 MONTHS IN A THREE YEAR PERIOD.

BY MAKING THOSE FUNDING STREAMS AVAILABLE TO THE FRONT DOOR PEOPLE NOW THEY CAN ASSESS HOW MUCH DOES THAT CLIENT NEED AND FOR HOW LONG.

NOT ANYMORE CAPS, YOU ONLY GET \$350 A MONTH, YOU HAVE TO COME UP WITH THE REST AND STILL BE STRUGGLING FOR NEXT MONTH'S RENT.

WE WILL NOW BE FOCUSING ON WHAT THE HOUSEHOLD NEEDS, HOW LONG THEY NEED IT.

IT'S A MUCH MORE STREAMLINED SCENARIO.

>> SHANNON, YOU WERE GOING TO ANSWER ABOUT THE I.T. QUESTION.

THANK YOU MR. CHAIR.

>> WITH THE H.M.I.S.

SYSTEM, THE WAY IT WORKS NOW OVER THE LAST TWO YEARS WE BUILT RELATIONSHIPS WITH PROVIDERS WHERE THEY SHARE DATA, HOW CLIENTS MOVED THROUGH THE SYSTEM.

THINGS THAT HAVEN'T WORKED IN THE PAST SO THE THEY CAN DESIGN A MORE APPROPRIATE CASE PLAN FOR EACH CLIENT COMING THROUGH.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COMMISSIONER SISOLAK?

>> THANK YOU.

I HAD TWO.

THE FIRST ONE, I SEE THAT, HOW DO THEY DECIDE, MONEY GOT ALLOCATED TO NORTH LAS VEGAS BUT DIDN'T GET ALLOCATED TO HENDERSON AND BOULDER CITY BUT WE CAN SPEND THIS MONEY THERE?

>> THE FUNDS ARE ALLOCATED ON THE EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT FORMULA, BASED ON THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FORMULA.

WHICH TAKES INTO CONSIDERATION AGE OF HOUSING, POVERTY RATES, SIZE POPULATION, ETC.

SO IN THAT SCENARIO, CLARK COUNTY AND CITY OF LAS VEGAS REGULARLY RISE TO THE LEVEL FOR FUNDING FOR EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT FUNDING.

NORTH LAS VEGAS AND HENDERSON NEVER HAVE BUT BECAUSE OF THE VOLUME OF MONEY, NORTH LAS VEGAS FOR THE FIRST TIME HAS RISEN TO THE LEVEL OF FUNDING.

AND SO, AND CLARK COUNTY WE TRADITIONALLY LOOKED AT LOOKING AT THE WHOLE COMMUNITY REGARDLESS OF JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARIES.

>> WE SEEK INPUT FROM THOSE JURISDICTIONS WHAT THE NEEDS ARE, THE AGENCIES WE MAY NOT BE AWARE OF?

>> CORRECT.

>> MY LAST QUESTION, ON YOUR SLIDE, YOU SAID WE HAD TO SPEND 60% BY 2011. BUT THE SLIDE SAYS 60% BY 2010.

>> OOPS.

SORRY.

>> 18 MONTHS.

IT'S 18 MONTHS FROM THE TIME.

THIS WAS A LONG PROJECT, I'M SORRY, I TYPED IN THE WRONG NUMBER.

NOBODY ELSE CAUGHT IT, IT'S JUNE 2011.

BECAUSE A YEAR FROM NOW, EXPEND 60% MIGHT BE PRETTY --

>> DIFFICULT.

>> AGGRESSIVE.

YEAH.

OK.

THANK YOU.

>> COMMISSIONER SISOLAK WILL READ ALL YOUR CHILDRENS' RESEARCH PAPERS.

>> THANK YOU.

>> COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI?

>> THANK YOU.

I THINK THIS IS A GOOD WAY TO TRY TO DEAL WITH SOME OF THE PREVENTION OF SOME OF THE HOMELESS.

WE AREN'T FOCUSING ON WHO WE IDENTIFIED THROUGH US IN OUR P.C.

THESE ARE FOLKS WHO WILL BE AT RISK OF LOSING THEIR APARTMENTS BECAUSE THEY HAVE LOST THEIR JOBS OR HOMES OR SO ON AND SO FORTH.

I WOULD LOVE TO SEE 18 MONTHS BECAUSE I THINK NO WRONG DOOR SHOULD BE A COUNTY POLICY FOR ALL OUR SOCIAL SERVICES AGENCY.

MAYBE WE CAN USE THIS AS A MODEL TO WORK OUT BUGS AND SEE WHAT WE CAN DO DOWN THE ROAD.

I KNOW THAT'S ALWAYS THE INTENT BUT I DON'T THINK WE HAVE QUITE GOTTEN THERE YET.

IN THE LONG RUN, HOW DO WE OUTREACH PEOPLE?

I HAVE CONSTITUENTS NOW AND I'M SURE COMMISSIONERS ARE, I'M ON MY LAST EVICTION.

LEGISLATION DOESN'T APPEAR TO BE MOVING TO PROTECT RENTERS OR FORECLOSURES WHERE THEY HAVE BEEN PAYING RENT THE LAST YEAR.

WHAT KIND OF OUTREACH WILL WE HAVE TO MAKE SURE FOLKS KNOW THIS WILL BE AVAILABLE?

>> ONCE THE REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS GOES OUT AND THERE'S, JUST EVEN AMONG THE SOCIAL SERVICES PROVIDERS, WORD OF MOUTH WILL ASSIST A LOT. BY HAVING THESE CONSORTIA AND WE ENVISION MORE THAN 15 AGENCIES BEING ABLE TO PROVIDE RENTAL ASSISTANCE AND STABILIZATION SERVICES. HOPEFULLY ANY HOUSEHOLD FACING A HOUSING CRISIS WITHIN A ONE OR TWO MILE RADIUS THERE'S A PROGRAM TO ASSIST AND THEY DON'T HAVE TO TRAVEL ALL OVER TOWN TO FIND THE ONE ORGANIZATION THAT WOULD HAVE FUNDS LEFT.

>> WE MAKE CERTAIN.

I DON'T WANT PEOPLE TO GET RIPPED OFF WHO SAYS I HAVE A HOUSE TO RENT YOU AND THEY WIND UP WITH FIVE FAMILIES IN A HOME.

OR AGAINST OUR COUNTY OR CITY ORDINANCES WHICH SAYS THERE CAN BE ONLY SO MANY UNRELATED WITHIN A HOUSE HOLD.

WHAT KIND OF PROTECTION WILL WE HAVE?

>> HPRP REQUIRES THE UNIT IS INSPECTED BEFORE THEY MOVE IN.

AND WE WILL, BECAUSE WE ARE TRYING TO CENTRALIZE AND STANDARDIZE ADD THAT TO E.S.G. FUNDS.

>> WE HAVE A WAY TO CHECK TO MAKE SURE THEY WON'T BECOME VICTIMS AGAIN.

>> CORRECT.

>> DOES THE MONEY GO TO THEM, OR THE LANDLORD?

>> IT GOES STRAIGHT TO THE LANDLORD.

>> THANK YOU.

>> WE NEED A MOTION.

YOU HEARD THE MOTION.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

>> THE MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 96, UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER

[READING]

>> GOOD AFTERNOON.

CATHY SILVA.

WE ARE GOING TO HAVE STEPHANIE MERRIL FROM OUR STAFF, OUR BUDGET DIRECTOR GIVE THE FINANCIAL OVERVIEW.

>> GOOD AFTERNOON COMMISSIONERS, I'M STEPHANIE MERRIL AND I'VE BEEN ASKED TO GIVE A BRIEF UPDATE ON OUR FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE SO FAR THIS FISCAL YEAR.

FOR THE FIRST NINE MONTHS OF THE FISCAL YEAR WE ARE CONTINUING TO SEE THE DECREASES IN VOLUME FROM PREVIOUS.

ON THE OTHER HAND A 1% DECREASE IN TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES DUE TO DECLINING VOLUMES AS WELL AS ALL EFFORT EMPLOYEES TO REDUCE UNNECESSARY EXPENDITURES.

DEFICIT 26.6% HIGHER THAN THE PREVIOUS YEAR.

THIS IS A DETAIL BY CATEGORY OF THE 1% DECREASE IN OPERATING EXPENSES, PAYROLL EXPENSES DECLINED DUE TO LOWER VOLUMES AS WELL AS TIGHTER CONTROLS ON OVERTIME AND OTHER PAYS.

THOUGH STILL AN INCREASE, PROFESSIONAL FEES SEEING A LOWER INCREASE, THIS SHOULD CONTINUE TO DECREASE AS WE REALIZE NEGOTIATED CONTRACTS.

CONTINUING THE DECLINE IN INPATIENT VOLUME WE HAVE BEEN EXPERIENCING, DISCHARGES DOWN, PATIENT DAYS DOWN 8.7%.

ADJUSTED PATIENT DAYS DOWN 4.4% ATTRIBUTABLE TO DECLINES IN PATIENT VOLUMES.

REVENUE REMAINS RELATIVELY FLAT.

A LOSS OF \$303.

INCREASE OF 29.8% FROM THE SAME PERIOD LAST FISCAL YEAR.

THERE HAS BEEN A REDUCTION IN ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE BUT NOT ENOUGH TO REDUCE TO PRIOR YEARS LEVELS.

APPROXIMATELY \$116.6 MILLION COMPARED TO 72.5 MILLION.

THE REDUCTION IN PATIENT CASH REFLECTS 8.6% DECREASE.

WE ARE SEEING INCREASE IN MEDICARE CASH BUT NOT ENOUGH TO MAKE UP DECREASE.

21.7 MILLION SO FAR THIS YEAR.

THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION, I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

>> COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI?

>> THANK YOU, MEDICAID VERSUS MEDICARE, THIS IS WITHOUT ANY CUTS YET OR WHAT WILL HAPPEN IN CARSON CITY, CORRECT?

>> WITH NOTHING NEW COMING FROM CARSON CITY, IT IS STARTING TO INCLUDE CUTS PREVIOUSLY.

>> BUT NOT WHAT MIGHT STILL POTENTIALLY.

THANK YOU.

>> ON THE OPERATING EXPENSES PAGE, THERE'S A CATEGORY OF "OTHER". WHAT'S "OTHER"?

>> IT HAS THINGS LIKE A LOT OF OUR DISCRETIONARY, LIKE TRAVEL.

ONE OF THE REASONS IT'S DOWN SO FAR, THERE ARE CATEGORIES THAT ARE DIFFERENT THAN THEY ARE IN THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR.

BUT IT'S DOWN SO MUCH BECAUSE OF THE DISCRETIONARY SPENDING DECREASE. HOLD ON TRAVEL.

>> ALL THE COST CONTAINMENT.

IF YOU TOLD US WHERE WE WERE FOR NINE MONTHS, IS THIS WHAT IS EXPECTED.

ARE WE ON THE RUN RATE WE EXPECT FOR THE YEAR?

>> ACTUALLY IT HASN'T CHANGED MUCH FROM THE FIRST SIX MONTHS, IT'S LOOKING ABOUT \$68 MILLION IF WE ANNUALIZE THE NINE MONTHS NUMBER.

\$68 MILLION THE LOSS.

>> IF THERE'S NO OTHER QUESTIONS, THANK YOU.

>> DO WE NEED A MOTION?

>> NO.

DO WE NEED TO ACCEPT THESE?

>> I DON'T KNOW.

>> IT SAYS ACCEPT AND APPROVE.

>> MOVE APPROVAL.

>> YOU HEARD THE MOTION, CAST YOUR VOTE.

>> WE WILL HAVE STAFF RESPOND TO QUESTIONS ABOUT THOSE BILLS.

>> GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS.

JUST GIVE YOU AN IDEA WHERE WE ARE AT IN PROT SES.

AS OF YESTERDAY STAFF WAS TRACKING 347 BILLS IN THE COMMITTEE IN THE SECOND HOUSE.

ALL THESE BILLS, AS YOU KNOW, HAVE CLEARED THE FIRST HOUSE.

65 BILLS ARE OUT OF COMMITTEE IN THE SECOND HOUSE AND ARE ON THE FLOOR AWAITING VOTE.
AND OUR NEXT DEADLINE IS MAY 15th WHERE ALL BILLS WILL BE REQUIRED TO PASS OUT OF COMMITTEE IN THE SECOND HOUSE.
SO WE HAVE ABOUT TWO WEEKS.
AND A LOT OF WORK ON THOSE 400 PLUS BILL TO SEE THEM GET THROUGH THE ENTIRE PROCESS.
OF THE FOUR COUNTY BILLS YOU ALL KNOW ONE OF OUR BILLS DIED IN THE HOUSE OF ORIGIN.
THAT'S THE PUBLIC WORKS BILL REGARDING ROAD CLOSURE WHICH FAILED TO GET OUT OF THE ASSEMBLY.
HAPPY TO REPORT THE REMAINING THREE ARE STILL MOVING ALONG, AB49 STILL MOVING ALONG.
AUTHORIZES THE BOARD TO PROVIDE CIVIL PENALTIES IN LIEU OF CRIMINAL PENALTIES.
THIS IS OUR BUSINESS LICENSE BILL.
THE BILL WAS PASSED OUT OF SENATE GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE ON APRIL 29th AND IS AVAILING A FINAL VOTE ON THE SENATE FLOOR.
AB52 IS THE HOSPITAL EMERGENCY ROOM BILL.
THE BILL WAS AMENDED TO REQUIRE SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION TO THE LEGISLATIVE HEALTH CARE COMMITTEE CONCERNING THE TRANSFER OF PATIENTS FROM HOSPITALS.
THIS IS AWAITING A HEARING SCHEDULED MAY 6th.
AB 24, MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY BILL, AMENDED TO PROVIDE PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION BE DETERMINED WITHIN THE LIMITS OF AVAILABLE MONEY.
THE BILL WAS PASSED OUT OF THE SENATE ON A 20-0 VOTE AND AWAITING INTRODUCTION IN THE ASSEMBLY.
WITH THAT, VIRGINIA WILL TALK ABOUT SOME OF THE OTHER BILLS WE ARE TRACKING.
AND I BELIEVE WE HAVE A HANDOUT FOR THE BOARD AS WELL.
>> I BELIEVE YOU HAVE RECEIVED THAT HANDOUT.
I WILL GO THROUGH THESE BILLS, AT ANY TIME YOU WANT TO STOP AND DISCUSS ONE IN MORE DETAIL PLEASE JUST LET ME KNOW.
AB64, IS A BILL THAT INCREASES THE NUMBER OF JUDGES.
FISCAL IMPACT ABOUT \$28.2 MILLION.
AB279 MAKES CHANGES TO THE TIME, I BELIEVE THAT WE DETAIN CONVICTED PERSONS.
FISCAL IMPACT OF ABOUT \$23.6 MILLION.
AB433 REQUIRES THE COUNTY TO PROVIDE OUTPATIENT ONCOLOGY PATIENT.
FISCAL IMPACT ABOUT 4.3 MILLION FOR THAT BILL.
AB543.
TEMPORARILY REDIRECTS ADD VALOREM TAX.
FISCAL IMPACT IS ABOUT 30.4 MILLION.
SB71 REVISES PROVISIONS RELATING TO VETERANS.
TAX ABATEMENT, BROADENS THE DEFINITION OF VETERANS OR WHO WILL BE ELIGIBLE.
THAT BILL IS ESTIMATED TO HAVE A \$9.5 MILLION IMPACT TO CLARK COUNTY.

SB382 PROVIDES, THAT IS THE BILL THAT CHANGES DISPROPORTIONATE SHARE TO U.M.C.

THAT IS ABOUT \$46 MILLION.

THIS IS NOT A COMPREHENSIVE LIST, NOW THAT WE ARE COMING UP WITH ANOTHER DEADLINE WE MAY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH A MORE LENGTHY LIST.

THESE BILLS CAN CHANGE PRETTY QUICK.

WE CAN GIVE YOU A LIST, STILL A SNAP SHOT IN TIME.

THOSE SIX BILLS TOTAL FISCAL IMPACT OF ABOUT \$142 MILLION ANNUALLY TO CLARK COUNTY.

>> QUESTIONS?

COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI?

>> THAT'S NOT INCLUDING THE PROPERTY TAX.

THE 30..

543, I APOLOGIZE.

IT'S ONLY THE ONE YEAR.

YOU WOULD HAVE TO DOUBLE THAT IF THAT'S THE CASE.

AND THE DISPROPORTIONATE SHARE BILL HOPEFULLY HAS GONE BY THE WAY SIDE. BUT WE ARE MONITORING IT.

>> SENATORS IN WASHINGTON PROPOSED AN AMENDMENT THAT WOULD TAKE IT INTO THE INTERIM SESSION.

AS IT STANDS NOW THERE WILL BE NO FISCAL IMPACT TO U.M.C.

FOR THIS YEAR BUT THEN WHATEVER WILL HAPPEN TO IT DURING THIS INTERIM SESSION WHERE THEY WILL BE LOOKING AT POTENTIALLY CHANGING THE

ALLOCATIONS, CHANGING THE ELIGIBILITY MECHANISMS. SO WE ARE CERTAINLY NOT OUT OF DANGER HERE.

>> WILL THAT BE A LEGISLATIVELY RUN TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE OR INCLUDE SOME TECHNICAL FOLKS OR FOLKS FROM A INFECTED HOSPITALS?

-- AFFECTED HOSPITALS, DO YOU KNOW YET?

>> MY UNDERSTANDING IT WILL REPORT BACK TO COMMISSION.

DO YOU KNOW DIFFERENTLY?

>> DO YOU KNOW IF THEY DECIDED IF IT WILL BE RUN BY AND SHARED BY LEGISLATORS OR ARE THEY GOING TO HAVE LEGISLATORS AND THOSE FROM THE COMMUNITY OR DO A TECHNICAL COMMITTEE?

>> BASED ON WHAT I KNOW NOW JUST LEGISLATIVELY, IF YOU WILL, NO ONE FROM A TECHNICAL SIDE HAS BEEN MENTIONED.

I WAS THERE LAST WEEK, STILL IN THE INCUBATOR STAGE.

HOPEFULLY IF YOU ALL HAVE INFLUENCE THERE, I THINK THAT WOULD BE WISE TO HAVE SOME TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FROM THERE.

>> SOMETIMES THEY CAN CREATE A TECHNICAL COMMITTEE.

SO WE MIGHT WANT TO HAVE OUR LOBBY TEAM MAKE THAT RECOMMENDATION SO AT LEAST WE KNOW WHAT PRESENCE WE WOULD HAVE.

AND.

PRESUMPTIVE ELIGIBILITY, STILL ALIVE?

>> IT'S MOVED OUT OF THE SENATE, ON ITS WAY TO THE ASSEMBLY.

>> WE PROBABLY OUGHT TO ADD THAT TO THE LIST.

THAT COULD BE BENEFICIAL.

GOSH, WHAT NUMBER?

I'M JUST LOOKING AT THE SHORT SHEET, ALL RIGHT.
DON, I WANT TO THANK STAFF FOR THE UPDATES.
IT'S UNFORTUNATE IN MY OPINION, THAT OUR ACTUAL PAID LOBBYIST DOESN'T DO
REPORT TO US IN SOME WAY SHAPE OR FORM, BUT I APPRECIATE THE JOB OF JEFF
AND GEORGE EVERYBODY HAS BEEN DOING TO WORK THIS THROUGH.
THANK YOU.
>> MR. CHAIRMAN, IF I MIGHT -- ?
>> COMMISSIONER SISOLAK HAD A QUESTION.
>> THAT COULD WAIT.
I JUST HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THE JUDGES.
AB64.
>> THAT'S WHERE HE WAS GOING TO GO.
>> THAT'S EXACTLY WHERE I WAS GOING TO GO.
64 AND 65 ARE COMPANION PIECES.
64 THE NEW JUDGES, 65 THE PROPOSAL THAT WE HAD ADOPTED IN BROAD PRINCIPLE
BACK IN OCTOBER THAT SAID THERE WOULD BE AN INCREASE IN CIVIL FILING FEES,
THAT THEY WOULD PAY FOR THE STAFF.
IT WOULD ULTIMATELY REIMBURSE US FOR THE CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR THE NEW
JUDGES.
INTERESTINGLY ENOUGH AND I APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO TALK ABOUT IT, I
WANTED TO, TO SEEK A LITTLE DIRECTION FROM THE COMMISSION.
ON FRIDAY AFTERNOON AND THEN AGAIN ON MONDAY, WE RECEIVED, ACTUALLY I GOT
THE EMAIL FROM JUSTICE HIMSELF ASKING US TO CONSIDER SOMETHING A LITTLE
DIFFERENT.
AS YOU KNOW, WHEN NEW JUDGES ARE APPOINTED AT THE DISTRICT COURT LEVEL THE
STATE PAYS THE SALARY OF THE DISTRICT COURT JUDGE.
WE THE COUNTY PICK UP THE SALARY OF ALL OF THE STAFF.
WE SET UP THIS BILL SO IT WOULD PROVIDE FOR THE FEES TO COVER THE STAFFS'
SALARY.
THE REQUEST NOW IS FOR US TO PICK UP HALF A YEAR OR THE FIRST SIX MONTHS,
IF YOU WILL, OF THE DISTRICT COURT JUDGES SALARIES THEMSELVES NORMALLY
WOULD BE A STATE BURDEN AND IT'S ABOUT \$800,000-900,000.
THAT JUST OCCURRED.
AND WE NEED TO GET BACK TO THEM FAIRLY QUICKLY.
THIS BILL WAS IN ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS.
BOTH OF THEM ARE.
I WAS SEEKING SOME DIRECTION ON THAT.
>> COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI?
>> THANK YOU.
MAYBE FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES.
I THINK IT'S PREMATURE.
IT'S NOT OUR RESPONSIBILITY ANYWAY, THAT IS A STATE RESPONSIBILITY AND WE
ARE ALREADY PICKING UP ALL THE ADDITIONAL COSTS.
I WOULD SAY AS A FORMER LEGISLATOR BECAUSE IT'S TO TAKE EFFECT IN 2011
ANYWAY WE THANK THEM VERY KINDLY BUT NOT OFFER TO PAY FOR THAT SIX MONTHS
AND DEPENDING WHAT HAPPENS DOWN THE ROAD, NEXT SESSION THEY CAN EXTEND IT
SIX MONTHS OR BACK DATE IT.

THAT'S ALL AVAILABLE.

BUT TO ME I'M MORE WORRIED ABOUT THE PRECEDENCE IT WOULD SET IF WE START TO PAY FOR THEIR ACTUAL RESPONSIBILITY, BECAUSE ONCE YOU DO, I KNOW WHAT I WAS LIKE, THEN IT BECOMES OUR OBLIGATION AND IT REALLY ISN'T CONSTITUTION ALLEY OR OTHERWISE.

>> ANYONE DISAGREE WITH THAT?

>> SO THERE'S YOUR DIRECTION.

>> ONE LAST LEGISLATIVE MATTER.

NOW THAT THEY ARE BEGINNING TO CLOSE OUT THE BUDGETS, IT'S MY UNDERSTAND THE STATE POSITION THAT CHILD WELFARE WILL BE UNDER FUNDED OR CUT.

SO WE WILL ALSO HAVE TO ABSORB OR SOMEHOW MAKE UP THAT SALARY CUT.

THAT'S BACK IN CHILD WELFARE.

ON THE FRONT END AS MANY OF YOU KNOW, WE HAVE BEEN USING THE TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE TO NEEDY FAMILIES, EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE, THEY WERE HANDED OUT. ON AN ADD-BACK LIST.

MY UNDERSTANDING THEY WILL REMAIN OUT AND THAT HAS AN IMPACT OF SEVERAL MILLION DOLLARS.

>> SO THE COMBINED EFFECT OF WHAT YOU JUST DESCRIBED IS HOW MUCH MONEY AND I THINK THAT PAYS FOR, IF I REMEMBER RIGHT, ABOUT A THIRD OF ALL THE INVESTIGATORS, CORRECT?

ON THE BACK END?

>> I WOULD HAVE TO VERIFY THAT, BUT I BELIEVE YOU ARE IN THE BALLPARK, COMMISSIONER.

>> WHICH WOULD HAVE AN OBVIOUS DETRIMENTAL EFFECT ON THEIR ABILITY TO SUPERVISE AND --

>> TO DELIVER SERVICES, SINCE I DON'T KNOW WE CAN ELIMINATE OR CUT STATE-FUNDED POSITIONS THOUGH WE GET LESS MONEY FOR THEM, WE WOULD PROBABLY HAVE TO MAKE UP IN VACANCIES IN OTHER DEPARTMENTS OR COUNTIES.

WE ARE STILL ASSESSING THE IMPACT.

THIS IS JUST SOMETHING THAT HAPPENED THIS MORNING.

BUT IT IS GOING TO AN IMPACT.

>> DO WE KNOW WHAT THAT WOULD DO TO CASE LOADS?

>> NO.

WE ARE JUST FINDING OUT ABOUT THIS MORNING, COMMISSIONER.

>> IT WON'T HELP.

>> THAT YOU CAN SAY CONCLUSIVELY.

>> IS WASHO COUNTY HAVING THE SAME THING DONE?

>> I CAN'T ANSWER THAT QUESTION, BUT I CAN --

>> WE KNOW IT'S MOVING QUICKLY AND WHAT YOU TOLD US MIGHT BE DIFFERENT NOW.

ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS?

COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI?

>> STRATEGY-WISE?

SIMILAR TO WHEN THEY TOOK SOME OF OUR TRANSPORTATION DOLLARS WE WERE ABLE TO GET MONEY ALLOCATED BACK TO CLARK COUNTY.

AS THE DISCUSSION ON THE \$32 MILLION IS THERE A WAY TO MAKE SURE THAT WHATEVER IS TAKEN AT LEAST COULD BE RESPENT, FOR EXAMPLE FOR THAT PROGRAM?

THAT MIGHT BE SOMETHING WE MIGHT WANT TO FLUSH OUT.

AT LEAST WE ARE STILL SERVING OUR CONSTITUENCY.

>> THAT'S A GOOD POINT.

WE WILL LOOK FOR AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT, COMMISSIONER.

>> COMMISSIONER BROWN?

>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

THE SHERIFF WHEN HE APPEARED BEFORE US BEFORE TALKED ABOUT A RESOLUTION ON THE MORE COPS INITIATIVE BILL GOING UP THERE.

IS THAT SOMETHING WE COULD PLACE ON OUR NEXT AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION?

>> I SPOKE TO THE SHERIFF YESTERDAY, AND YOU CAN ALWAYS MAKE A REQUEST REGARDING THAT BUT I SPOKE TO THE SHERIFF YESTERDAY AND HE TOLD ME HE DIDN'T THINK THAT WAS NECESSARY AT THIS POINT.

BUT I'M HAPPY TO HAVE YOU TALK TO HIM AGAIN, IF THAT'S SOMETHING YOU WANT ON OUR AGENDA, WE WILL PUT IT ON.

BUT I THINK YOU SHOULD SEE IF THAT'S STILL HIS DESIRE.

THIS IS THE TIME SET ASIDE FOR PUBLIC.

-- COMMENT.

ANYONE HERE TO ADDRESS THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS?

IF SO COME FORWARD AND STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

>> GOOD AFTERNOON.

>> MY NAME IS BILLY CLARK.

I LIVE AT 3260 FOUNTAIN FALLS.

I'M CURRENTLY EMPLOYED --

>> COULD YOU PULL THAT MIC CLOSER?

>> GOOD AFTERNOON, MY NAME IS BILLY CLARK, RESIDE, AT 3260 FOUNTAIN FALLS, EMPLOYED AT THE WATER RECLAMATION DISTRICT.

I JUST WANTED TO PRESENT DOCUMENTATION I HAVE.

I KNOW JULY 1st COMING UP, WE ARE GOING TO BE GOING THROUGH SOME CHANGES.

I WANT TO BRING ATTENTION PAST PRACTICES THAT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED.

I'VE BEEN BRINGING TO PEOPLE'S ATTENTION FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME.

THERE'S FOUR COPIES FOR EACH COMMISSIONER.

I WOULD LIKE TO START BY READING AND SAYING THIS IS A LETTER FROM AT THE TIME THE CHIEF STEWARD AT THE WATER RECLAMATION DISTRICT.

SEAN WILCOX.

AND STATES, TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN, MY NAME IS SEAN WILCOX, CHIEF STEWARD AT CLARK COUNTY WATER RECLAMATION DISTRICT.

IT WAS DURING MY TERM THE COUNTY SOUGHT PAY FOR ANNUAL REVIEW.

THE ISSUE WAS THERE WAS INCONSISTENT PERFORMANCE STANDARDS ACROSS SOME OF THE CLASSIFICATIONS.

IT WAS AGREED JOINT LABOR MANAGEMENT TEAMS WOULD BE PUT TOGETHER TO DEVELOP UNIFORM STANDARDS.

IT WAS ALSO DURING THIS TIME PERIOD THEY TRIED TO IMPOSE A LESSER SALARY INCREASE FOR ACCEPTABLE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS.

IT WAS EXPLAINED MOST EMPLOYEES WOULD RECEIVE 3% FOR THE SAME WORK EMPLOYEES GOT 4% FOR.

WHEN IT CAME TIME FOR THE JOINT LABOR MANAGEMENT TEAM TO DEVELOP STANDARDS, THE MANAGEMENT TEAM AT THE WATER RECLAMATION DISTRICT WAS RELUCTANT TO DEVELOP PERFORMANCE STANDARDS.

THE TEAM WAS PREPARED BUT WE ONLY HAD ONE MANAGEMENT REPRESENTATIVE, THAT PERSON WAS JULIE RICH.

SO EMPLOYEES OF THE SAME CLASSIFICATION WOULD BE MEETING THE SAME PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND PERFORMANCE INITIATIVES.

THIS IS WHERE THE PROBLEM BEGAN.

SINCE 4% PERFORMANCE STANDARDS, THERE'S A POINT OF REFERENCE TO DEVELOP PERFORMANCE STANDARD FOR THE HIGHER POSSIBLE MERIT INCREASES.

THE REAL ISSUE APPEARED TO BE WHAT IF WE DEVELOP A PERFORMANCE STANDARD SO DIFFERENT EMPLOYEE MET THE STANDARD THEY WOULD RECEIVE THE PAY.

IT WOULD SPECIFICALLY ADDRESS WHAT AN EMPLOYEE HAD TO DO TO GET A 3% MERIT INCREASE.

THE RECLAMATION MANAGEMENT TEAM WAS VERY RELUCTANT TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROCESS.

EVENTUALLY THEY DEVELOPED STANDARDS FOR EACH CLASSIFICATION AT THE DISTRICT WITHOUT PARTICIPATION FROM THE MANAGEMENT TEAM.

THIS EFFORT WAS ALL FOR NOT BECAUSE IT WAS NEGOTIATED EMPLOYEES WOULD RECEIVE 4% MERIT INCREASE IF THEIR PERFORMANCE WAS DEEMED ACCEPTABLE.

THE NEW LEVEL --

[BELL]

NO CRITERIA EXISTED TO AWARD THESE AMOUNTS.

IT WAS ALSO DURING THIS TIME, DOCUMENT THAT DEMONSTRATE THE GOAL WAS TO REDUCE THAT AMOUNT OF MONEY, RECEIVE FROM THE ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW. IT STATES THE DISTRICT WAS GOING TO KEEP MERIT INCREASES AT 3% IRRELEVANT OF PERFORMANCE STANDARDS, MANAGEMENT, STRUCTURE, (INAUDIBLE) ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REVIEWS WOULD BE AWARDED AS MANAGERS BONUSES.

THIS APPLICATION WORKING HARDER FOR LESS MONEY MAKING IT WORTHWHILE (INAUDIBLE) MONEY BEING AVAILABLE.

THIS WAS COST CUTTING AT THE EXPENSE OF THE EMPLOYEE.

IN RETROSPECT THEY GOT IT'S PAY FOR THE SYSTEM AND THREATENING TO TAKE S.I.U.

TO NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD FOR BAD FAITH NEGOTIATIONS.

THE UNION FAILED TO ABIDE BY SIDE LETTER AGREEMENT TO LOOK AT DEVELOP PERFORMANCE BY THE COUNTY.

THE UNION WAS LACKING IN ITS REPRESENTATION OF EMPLOYEES TO ALLOW THIS TO OCCUR.

THE SECOND STATEMENT, WASN'T IN COMPLIANCE WITH POLICY --

>> EXCUSE ME, MR. CLARK.

WE HAVE A POLICY TO LIMIT STATEMENTS TO THREE MINUTES.

I'M GOING TO MAKE SURE THIS IS PROVIDED TO THE RECORD, RATHER THAN YOU READ IT TO US, I WILL SUBMIT IT TO THE CLERK, AND WE ALL HAVE COPIES.

>> IT'S THERE FOR YOU TO READ.

BUT WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO, LAST TIME I CAME HERE WAS JANUARY 20th AND I ASKED AT THAT TIME FOR THE CIVIL DIVISION, U.S.

ATTORNEY GENERAL TO LOOK AT THIS BECAUSE THERE'S DOCUMENTATION SUPPORT
WHAT WE THE MINORITY EMPLOYEES HAVE BEEN SAYING FOR A LONG TIME.
I WOULD STILL REQUEST THAT WE HAVE A CIVIL DIVISION LOOK AT THIS AND
ADDRESS IT, BECAUSE AGAIN THOSE EMPLOYEES HAVE BEEN THERE A LONG TIME ARE
ABOUT TO BE HURT AGAIN BY THE C.O.L.A.

SYSTEM.

IT WILL COST YOU A WHOLE LOT OF MONEY TO DEFEND THIS ILLEGAL PRACTICE IN
COURT AND ALL THE PEOPLE WHO ARE HELD ACCOUNTABLE.

>> ALL RIGHT.

ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK TO US?

SEEING NO ONE, THIS MEETING IS IN RECESS UNTIL TOMORROW AT 9:00 A.M.
FOR OUR ZONING HEARING.

THANK YOU ALL.