

>> REID: I'LL CALL THIS MEETING TO ORDER, GOOD MORNING.

>> GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS.

THE FIRST ITEM IS THE APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA AFTER CONSIDERING ANY ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS OF ANY ITEMS. WE HAVE RECEIVED THE FOLLOWING REQUEST.

ITEM 8,

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD TO THE 6-10-09 TOWN BOARD MEETING AND THE 6-17-09 BCC PER THE APPLICANT.

THE APPLICANT AND THE TOWN BOARD ARE ADVISED THIS IS THE LAST HOLD COMMISSIONER SISOLAK WILL REPORT.

ITEM 13

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD TO THE 6-17-09 BCC MEETING PER COMMISSIONER BRAGER.

ITEM 14

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD TO THE 6-17-09 BCC MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 15

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD TO THE 6-17-09 MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 16

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD TO THE 6-17-09 B.C.C. MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 17

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD TO THE 6-17-09 B.C.C. MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 18

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD TO THE 6-17-09 B.C.C. MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 19

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD TO THE 6-17-09 B.C.C. MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 20

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD TO THE 6-17-09 B.C.C. MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.

>> ITEM 21

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD TO THE 166-17-09 PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 22

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD THE 6-17-09 B.C.C. MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.

IT ITEM 22

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD TO THE 6-17-B.C.C. MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 23

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD TO THE 6-17-09 B.C.C. MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 23

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD TO THE 6-17-09 B.C.C. MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM 27

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD TO THE 6-3-09 B.C.C. MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.

ITEM NUMBER 33

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

HOLD TO THE 6-3-09 B.C.C. MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.

WITH THE ABOVE PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS WILL BE OPENED AS PUBLIC HEARINGS AND IMMEDIATELY RECESSED, UNTIL THE DATES AS PREVIOUSLY STATED.

WITH THESE DELETIONS, WHICH ARE ITEMS NUMBER, 8, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 27, AND 33, IF THERE ARE NO OBJECTIONS FROM THE AUDIENCE, THE MORNING AGENDA STANDS READY FOR YOUR APPROVAL.

>> REID: ANY DISCUSSION ON THE AGENDA?

>> THAT'S 8 AND 13.

[INAUDIBLE QUESTION]

READ A 8--

>> 8, 13, 14.

THIRTEEN WAS ADDED AFTER THE FACT.

>> REID: THERE'S A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AGENDA.

JUST FOR THE AUDIENCE'S ATTENTION COMMISSIONER COLLINS SAID HE WAS GOING TO BE LATE.

HE ASKED ME TO TRAIL ITEMS -- 12 AND 30.

>> HE TALKED BRIEFLY ABOUT IT.

I THINK 30, 12, AND I BELIEVE THERE WAS ANOTHER -- 35.

WHICH IS ON THE AFTERNOON AGENDA TO THE CALL OF THE CHAIR.

>> REID: WE'LL FIND OUT.

THERE'S A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AGENDA.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> NEXT ON THE AGENDA IS THE APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM THE FEBRUARY 18, 2009 B.C.C. MEETING.

>> REID: ANY DISCUSSION ON THE MINUTES?

YOU'VE HEARD THE MOS.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

-- .

>> NEXT IS THE ROUTINE ACTION ITEMS WHICH CONSISTS OF ITEMS 3-37, EXCEPT ANY ITEMS WHICH MAY HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY HELD OR DELETED.

THESE ITEMS MAY BE CONSIDERED TOGETHER IN ONE MOTION AND SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS LISTED WITH EACH AGENDA ITEM.

IN ADDITION, WE HAVE THE FOLLOWING AMENDMENTS AND OR COMMENTS.

ITEM NUMBER 7 DELETE CURRENT PLANNING BULLET NUMBER ONE CONDITION AND ADD APPROVE FOR TWO YEARS UNTIL APRIL 18-2011 TO COMMENCE, PER COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

ITEM NUMBER 24 WT02559 REVISE MAJOR PROJECT ENGINEERING STAFF BULLET NUMBER ONE CONDITION TO READ ONE YEAR TO COMPLETE PER CIVIL ENGINEERING.

ITEM NUMBER 26 ZC 2270409 REVISE STAFF BULLET CONDITIONS TWO TO READ REPLACE THE SLIDING GLASS DOOR THAT PROVIDES ACCESS TO THE BALCONY WITH THE OPAQUE WINDOW, THERE BY PRECLUDING ACCESS TO THE BALCONY. FOR THE COMMISSIONERS INFORMATION.

ITEM 5, DR 249-09 STAFF HAS RECEIVED ONE CARD IN PROTEST.

ITEM 6 ZC-1574-06 CWC 0096-09 STAFF HAS RECEIVED ONE CARD IN PROTEST.

ITEM 7, THE SPRING VALLEY TOWN BOARD AND STAFF RECOMMEND REMOVING THE TIME LIMIT.

COMMISSIONER SISOLAK IS RECOMMENDING TWO YEARS TO COMMENCE, WHICH IS UNTIL APRIL 18, 2011 TO COMMENCE.

ITEM NUMBER 11 UC-0259-09 THE SPRING VALLEY TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDS APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STAFF CONDITIONS AND TWO YEAR REVIEW AND INSTALLED ALONG PATRICK LANE TO MEET WITH ENGINEERING STANDARDS.

STAFF HAS RECEIVED FOUR CARDS FOR APPROVAL AND THREE CARDS IN PROTEST.

ITEM NUMBER 12-UC-0979-08 THE SUNRISE MANOR TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDS APPROVAL WITH THE THREE-YEAR REVIEW FOR THE SITUATION.

SITE CANNOT WAIVER OF CONDITIONS.

THE STAFF HAS RECEIVED TWO CARDS IN PROTEST.

THIS IS ONE OF THE ITEMS COMMISSIONER COLLINS MIGHT WANT TO HEAR SEPARATELY LATER.

>> ITEM 24,

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

THE ENTERPRISE TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDS APPROVAL IN REVISING THE STAFF BULLET NUMBER ONE CONDITION TO READ "TWO YEARS TO COMPLETE PER CLARK COUNTY OUTSIDE INSPECTORS.

MAJOR PROJECT ENGINEERING STAFF REVISED THEIR CONDITIONS TO ONE YEAR TO COMPLETE THEIR CIVIL ENGINEERING.

ITEM 25, UC-159-09 THE ENTERPRISE TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDS APPROVAL IN REVISING THE STAFF BULLET NUMBER TWO CONDITIONS TO 100 FEET, WHICH WAS BASED ON THE M RESORT PROVIDING 100 FEET OF DEDICATION AT THE TIME OF THE RECENT DEVELOPMENT FOR LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD SOUTH.

THE PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED THE RIGHT OF WAY DEDICATION AND OR GRANT, EASEMENT WITH FUTURE DEVELOPMENT FOR LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD SOUTH TO ACCOMMODATE A PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE 150-FOOT HALF STREET TO INCLUDE ANY EASEMENTS AS REQUESTED BY CIVIL ENGINEERING.

THE APPLICANT HAS AGREED TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION CONDITION, AS REQUESTED BY CIVIL ENGINEERING STAFF.

ITEM 26

[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]

THE PARADISE TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDS APPROVAL SUBJECT TO REMOVING SLIDING DOOR AT THE REAR OF BUILDING AND INSTALLING A WINDOW THAT IS OPAQUE AND 24-INCH MONDAIL PINES ON THE WEST AND EAST SIDE OF PROPERTY.

TWO NEIGHBORS PRESENT AT THE TOWN BOARD IN THE POSITION TO THE SLIDING DOOR.

STAFF IS RECOMMENDING REPLACING THE SLIDING DOOR WITH AN OPAQUE WINDOW. STAFF HAS RECEIVED ONE CARD IN PROTEST.

ANY PERSON, WHO DOES NOT AGREE WITH THE CONDITIONS RECOMMENDED BY STAFF, AS LISTED ON YOUR AGENDA SHOULD QUESTION THE ITEM BE REMOVED FROM THIS PORTION OF THE AGENDA AND BE HEARD SEPARATELY, WHEN THE PUBLIC HEARING HAS BEEN OPEN.

WITH THESE AMENDMENTS AND WITH NO OBJECTION FROM THE AUDIENCE.

THE ROUTINE ACTION PORTION OF THE MORNING AGENDA STANDS READY FOR YOUR APPROVAL.

>> REID: GOOD MORNING.

>> GOOD MORNING.

MICHELLE EDMAN.

DEVELOPMENT OF 355 POST ROAD.

I JUST HAD A QUESTION OR CLARIFY THE CONDITION ON ITEM 7 FOR DR-243-07.

IT SOUNDS LIKE IT IS STILL A TWO-YEAR EXTENSION--

>> YES MA'AM.

THE ORIGINAL CONDITION WAS TO REMOVE THE TIME LIMIT SO, YOU DIDN'T HAVE TO COME BACK.

NOW THE CONDITION IS THAT YOU ARE APPROVED FOR TWO YEARS TO COMMENCE APRIL 18, 2011.

>> OKAY.

I CAN STILL COME BACK IN TWO YEARS AND FILE FOR AN EXTENSION?

>> THAT'S IT, THANK YOU.

>> REID: ANY OTHER DISCUSSION ON THE ROUTINE ACTION ITEMS?

>> MR. CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS.

GREG BORGEL, 300 SOUTH FOURTH STREET.

HERE ON ITEM 24, REPRESENTING THE APPLICANT AMERICAN WEST HOMES LAND DEVELOPMENT INC.

WE DON'T THINK IT NEEDS TO COME OFF CONSENT.

WE WOULD ASK YOU FOLLOW AS TO TIME LIMIT.

THEY RECOMMENDED TWO YEARS.

THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH WHAT YOU HAVE GRANTED THROUGHOUT THIS SUBDIVISION, AT LEAST SEVEN TIMES IN THE LAST COUPLE OF MONTHS.

THIS IS PART OF THE PINNACLE PEAKOVER ALL DEVELOPMENT.

THE OFF SITES IN FACT ARE SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLETE.

THERE'S NO GAPS IN THE ROADS OR ANYTHING, HOWEVER, THE BOND CAN'T BE RELEASED UNTIL ALL OF THE HOMES ARE BUILT AND SOLD.

AS YOU KNOW NEW HOME SALES AREN'T GOING THAT QUICKLY.

AND WE DON'T SEE ANY POINT IN COMING BACK MORE OFTEN THAN NECESSARY.

THE TOWN BOARD HAS CONSISTENTLY RECOMMENDED TWO YEARS.

YOU HAVE IS CONSISTENTLY APPROVED IT WITHIN THIS SUBDIVISION.

WE WOULD ASK YOU FOLLOW THE TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDATION IN THIS INSTANCE AS WELL.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER SISOLAK YOU HAVE A COMMENT?

>> SISOLAK: I AM IN SUPPORT OF TWO YEARS.

I DID SPEAK WITH STAFF YESTERDAY.

I WANT TO BE CONSISTENT ON ALL OF THEM.

>> REID: THE RECORD WILL REFLECT THAT.

>> GOOD MORNING CHRIS ARMSTRONG WITH SOUTHERN HIGH LANDS 144 FOUR SOUTHERN PARK LANDS.

ITEM 12, UC 159-09.

WE HAVE A COUPLE OF TIME OR IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO PULL IT OFF CONSENT.

>> REID: WHY DON'T WE PULL IT OFF CONSENT TO MAKE IT CLEANER.

WE WILL HEAR 12 AND 25 SEPARATELY.

>> SCOTT STUART 75 WEST SAHARA ON ITEM 11 THERE ARE A COUPLE OF CONDITIONS THE TOWN BOARD REQUESTED THE GOLF FACILITY.

WE DON'T SEE THAT BALLARDS WOULD BE AESTHETICALLY POLICING THERE WILL BE MATURE LANDSCAPING AND ROD IRON FENCE AND A BIT LANDSCAPING AND PREFER TON HAVE A TWO-YEAR REVIEW.

>> REID: IF IT IS ALREADY WITH COMMISSIONER BRAGER WE WILL HEAR THAT SEPARATELY TO HAVE A DISCUSSION.

ANY OTHERS?

ANYTHING FROM THE BOARD?

THERE'S A MOTION FOR APPROVAL.

HOLDING 12 -- 11, 12, AND 24 SEPARATELY, CORRECT?

-- 25.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> I GUESS WE UNDERSTOOD THAT FOLLOWING COMMISSIONER SISOLAK'S CONDITION ON 24.

>> REID: RIGHT.

>> FOR THE AUDIENCE'S INFORMATION.

ANYONE WHO WISHES TO SPEAK ON AN ITEM SHOULD MOVE TO THE FIRST COUPLE OF ROWS AND BE PREPARED TO SPEAK, AS EACH ITEM IS HEARD.

IF THERE ARE SEVERAL SPEAKERS ON ONE ITEM, IT IS SUGGEST THAT HAD A SINGLE REPRESENTATIVE BE APPOINTED TO PRESENT THE ISSUES.

THE NEXT ITEM IS ITEM NUMBER 11.

ITEM NUMBER 11 UC 259-09 USE PERMIT FOR A RECREATIONAL FACILITY MINI GOLF COURSE.

DESIGN REVIEW IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE EXISTING SHOPPING CENTER ON 3 POINT 9 ACRES IN THE C.2 ZONE GENERALLY LOCATED ON PATRICK LANE AND FORT APACHE ROAD WITHIN SPRING VALLEY, WITH BACKGROUND AS DESCRIBED IN YOUR AGENDA.

STAFF FINDS THE PROPOSED USE TO BE COMPATIBLE WITH THE SURROUNDING AREA.

IT IS CONSISTENT WITH POLICY 8.2 OF THE LAND USE PLAN, WHICH STATES.

ENCOURAGE THE USE OF THE LANDSCAPE DESIGN TECHNIQUES AND NEW DEVELOPMENTS AND RETRO FITTING ALL OF THE AREAS.

LANDSCAPING SHOULD COMPLY WITH THE DROUGHT ORDINANCE AND SCREENING AND LANDSCAPING STANDARDS, OUTLINED IN THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE TITLE 30, THEREFORE STAFF CAN SUPPORT THIS REQUEST AND RECOMMENDS APPROVAL.

THE SPRING VALLEY TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDED APPROVAL INSTALLED ALONG FORT APACHE TO MEET ENGINEERING STANDARDS IN THE TWO-YEAR REVIEW.

THERE WERE FOUR CARDS FOR APPROVAL AND THREE IN PROTEST.

>> SCOTT SAHARA ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT.

WE HAVE SOME OBJECTION TO THE BALLARDS.

I'M NOT SURE WHERE THEY WOULD BE PLACE.

IT IS SOMEWHAT ELEVATED SURROUNDING BY A SIX-FOOT FENCE.
THERE'S INFRASTRUCTURE WITH THE LIGHTING FOR THE STOP LIGHT AND SOME
NEVADA POWER FACILITIES.
THE SITE IS PRETTY WELL PROTECTED AND ALSO BE SET BACK PAST THE SIDEWALK
IN THE ROCK LANDSCAPE AREA.
WE DON'T FEEL THE BALLARDS WOULD BE AESTHETICALLY POLICING AND PREFER NOT
TO COME BACK FOR THE TWO-YEAR REVIEW ALSO.
>> REID: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.
ANYONE HEAR TO SPEAK?
CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.
COMMISSIONER BRAGER, WHAT DO YOU THINK?
>> BRAGER: I THINK I SAW THIS ON THE AGENDA.
I DIDN'T KNOW NO ONE SAID ANYTHING AND THE TOWN BOARD DIDN'T GIVE THE
HEADS UP ON THE BALLARDS.
I KNOW THAT SITE.
I'M NOT SURE WHAT HOW THEY WOULD MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN THAT ONE AREA.
I'M GOING TO MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS, BUT NOT THE
BALLARDS.
I JUST DON'T SEE THE REASON FOR IT.
AND THE TWO YEAR REVIEW.
>> REID: YOU HEARD THE MOTION?
>> EXCUSE ME FOR CLARIFICATION, ARE YOU RECOMMENDING THE TWO-YEAR REVIEW.
>> BRAGER: I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT.
IF EVERYTHING IS GOING WELL, WITH YOU OR THE APPLICANTS.
>> THAT WOULD BE UNTIL MAY 20, 2011.
>> BRAGER: I WOULD LIKE TO SEE WHAT THE MINIATURE GOLF COURSE.
I'M SURE IN THE AREA THE NEIGHBORS WILL THINK IT WILL BE WONDERFUL.
>> IT WILL HAVE SOME FAIR AMOUNT OF MATURE LANDSCAPING, PROBABLY 20 TREES
OR SO.
IT IS SMALL, BASED ON THE SITE SIZE.
THE HOLES WILL BE SHORT, BUT FAMILY-ORIENTATED AND SERIOUS GOLFERS CAN
PLAY.
>> REID: MINIATURE GOLF.
CAST YOUR VOTE ON THE MOTION.
MOTION CARRIES.
>> THANK YOU.
>> THE NEXT ITEM IS 12.
WE'RE GOING TO HOLD TO THE CALL OF CHAIR.
THE NEXT ITEM IS 25.
ITEM 25 UC 151-09 USE PERMIT FOR AN EXISTING HELIPORT AND FACILITY IN THE
H-2 ONE IN THE MUD-1 OVERLAY DISTRICT LOCATED NORTH SIDE OF LARSON 300
FEET EAST OF LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD SOUTH WITHIN ENTERPRISE WITH THE
BACKGROUND AS DESCRIBED IN THE AGENDA.
THE ENTERPRISE LAND USE LAND IN THE PUBLIC SECTION ESTABLISHES GOALS AND
POLICIES AS STRIVE TO PROMOTE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY AND GENERAL WELFARE.
A HELIPORT FACILITY WITH THE EMERGENCY MEDICAL EQUIPMENT TEAM AND PILOT
FOR THE AREA IS PROVIDED FOR THE HEALTH AND WELFARE OF THE RESIDENTS.

APPROVAL OF THE USE PERMIT WILL SLOWLY RESOLVE THE ISSUE OF WHICH THE HELIPORT IS OWNED BY CREATING ONE USE PERMIT FOR THE HELIPORT AND HELICOPTER ARREST AND COMPRISING BOTH PARCELS THE TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDED APPROVAL.

THIS ITEM WAS APPROVED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION AT THE IT'S APRIL 212009 MEETING, SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS LISTED IN YOUR AGENDA.

THE APPROVAL WAS UNANIMOUS.

>> REID: GOOD MORNING.

>> DILLA DONERO.

WE KNOW WHO YOU ARE.

>> MARILYN LARSON.

13,000 LAS VEGAS AVENUE SOUTH.

>> I WOULD SAY THE COPTERS DON'T FLY OVER THE AREA.

THEY'RE MERCY AMBULANCE AND THEY HAVE CONDITIONS AND THEY HAVE AN AGREEMENT TO OVER FLY THE GOLF COURSE TO ELIMINATE AS MUCH SOUND AS POSSIBLE.

>> WE NEVER HAVE FLOWN OVER SOUTHERN HIGH LANDS AND NEVER WOULD. MY HUSBAND AND I ARE THE ONLY TWO PEOPLE THAT FLY OUR COPTERS SO. IT WAS NOT US.

>> REID: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

>> HI CHRIS ARMSTRONG WITH SOUTHERN HIGH LANDS 144 SOUTHERN PARKWAY WE ARE SUPPORTIVE OF THE APPLICATION AND THE SERVICE THAT IS OFFERED.

I THINK IF WE COULD ADD A COUPLE OF CONDITIONS IT WOULD ADDRESS OUR CONCERNS.

MAYBE SOMETHING LIKE NO FLIGHTS OTHER THAN THOSE NEEDED FOR MEDICAL EMERGENCY TO FLY OVER SOUTHERN HIGH LANDS AND I DIDN'T SEE A REVIEW PERIOD.

WE WOULD LIKE TO ADD A REVIEW PERIOD, SUGGESTING SOMETHING LIKE THREE YEARS.

>> YOU MIGHT NOTIFY ALL OF THE HELICOPTER COMPANIES.

>> WE DEFINITELY DO THAT AND WILL CONTINUE TO DO THAT THANKS.

>> REID: CAN YOU REACT TO THE CONDITIONS HE SUGGESTED AND THEN WE'LL TURN IT OVER TO THE BOARD.

>> LET ME HEAR THOSE CONDITIONS AGAIN.

>> SOMETHING LIKE NO FLIGHTS OTHER THAN THOSE NECESSARY FOR MEDICAL EMERGENCY TO FLY OVER SOUTHERN HIGH LANDS.

>> THOSE ARE THE CONDITIONS THAT WE HAVE NOW.

THAT WOULD NOT BE -- BUT WITH AGREE TO THAT.

>> GREAT.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

>> SISOLAK: THANK YOU MR. CHAIR.

I SPENT A LOT OF TIME ON THIS ITEM.

THE INCIDENT CAME UP WITH I THINK THERE WAS ONE INCIDENT ARE MAYBE TWO WHERE SOME PILOT I DON'T THINK IT WAS THE LARSON A RENEGADES MEDIVAC TOOK THE BUZZED THE GOLF COURSE A FEW TIMES.

WE WANT TO MAKE SURE IT IS AVOIDED IN THE FUTURE.

IF YOUR THE TENANT IF YOU COULD NOTIFY THEM.

>> AND WE WILL.
>> SISOLAK: THAT CAN'T BE DONE.
I AGREE WITH THE THREE YEAR REVIEW.
I'M NOT ANTICIPATING ANY PROBLEMS. I DON'T THINK A REVIEW WOULD BE ANY ISSUE.
I DO WANT TO MAKE ONE COMMENT REGARDING THE REPAIR OF THE COPTERS.
IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THE REPAIR IS ONLY ON YOUR COPTERS.
THIS ISN'T A REPAIR FACILITY, WHERE PEOPLE FLY IN TO CHAIR REPAIR THEIR HELICOPTERS AND FLY OUT.
>> NO, SIR.
IT IS ONLY FOR OURSELVES AND THE MERCY COPTERS.
>> SISOLAK: THAT'S GREAT.
I AGREE WITH THE GOLF COURSE AND THE THREE-YEAR EXTENSION.
IF STAFF COULD HELP WITH THE 150 FOOT DEAL.
WE HAVE GONE AROUND AND AROUND.
I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE PUT IT ON THE RECORD.
>> THEY HAVE AGREED TO THE CONDITION.
THAT WAS PLEASED BY CIVIL IS.
CIVIL WOULD BE BETTER EXPLAINING IT.
>> THE TRANSPORTATION ELEMENT REQUIRES A 300 FOOT DEDICATION FOR ALL OF LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD THAT'S SOUTH OF SAINT ROAD.
WE JUST PUT THEM ON NOTICE, IF THEY DO FUTURE DEVELOPMENT THEY MAY BE REQUIRED TO DO THE 150 FOOT.
>> THE DIFFERENCE IS THAT WASN'T US, IT WAS HENDERSON.
>> SISOLAK: THIS IS WITH THE 150 FEET, CORRECT?
>> I THINK M WAS 100.
>> WE ARE 150 FEET.
>> SISOLAK: WITH THOSE CONDITION, MR. CHAIR I MOVE FOR APPROVAL.
>> JUST A QUICK CLARIFICATION ON CHRIS ARMSTRONG.
WHAT YOU SAID WAS NO-FLY OTHER THAN MERCY EMERGENCY FLIGHTS OVER SOUTHERN HIGH LANDS.
>> OTHER THAN THOSE NECESSARY FOR MEDICAL EMERGENCY TO FLY OVER SOUTHERN HIGH LANDS.
>> SISOLAK: THE APPLICANT HAS AGREED TO NOTIFY MERCY.
IT IS ALREADY A CONDITION.
I THINK WHAT HAPPENED IF I HAD A GUESS.
IT IS ONE TIME, ONE GUY GOT IN A HELICOPTER, YOU KNOW, IF WE -- SOMETIMES PEOPLE NEED TO BE NOTIFIED ANOTHER TIME.
>> I WOULD SUGGEST THAT THEY MIGHT HAVE A PICTURE OF WHATEVER HELICOPTER IT MIGHT NOT BE MERCY.
-- .
>> SISOLAK: YOU'RE RIGHT, BUT THEY DIDN'T HAVE A CAMERA TO TAKE A PICTURE, YOU'RE RIGHT.
APPARENTLY THEY FOLLOWED THE LINE WHERE IT WENT TO LAND.
THIS ISN'T A MAJOR ISSUE.
I THINK THIS IS ONE OR TWO-TIME.

IT IS ONE OR TWO, MAYBE INDIVIDUALS THAT DID THIS ON A SMALL NUMBER OF OCCASIONS.

IF THEY COULD JUST BE PUT ON NOTICE TO RESPECT THE NEIGHBORS.

>> ABSOLUTELY I THINK IT IS A MAJOR.

THEY CERTAINLY SHOULDN'T BE DOING THAT.

>> SISOLAK: I DID GET A COMMENT FROM THEM WHO WERE VERY SUPPORTIVE OF YOU AND YOUR HUSBAND AND THE SERVICE YOU'RE PRY PROVIDING THROUGH THE MEDIVAC AND I'M SURE SOUTHERN HIGHLANDS.

I MOVE FOR PROVINCIAL WITH THOSE CONDITION, MR. CHAIR.

>> REID: YOU HEARD THE MOTION.

COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: ON YOUR COPTERS ARE THERE NUMBERS OR CODES? THOSE ARE IDENTIFIERS?

>> YES, EVERY HELICOPTER HAS TO HAVE A REG STATION.

>>.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: I TRIED TO PASS A LAW TO INCREASE TO SEE IT FROM THE GROUND.

COMMISSIONER WEEKLY AND I SHARE THE AREA, WHERE THEY ARE GOING OUT, IT IS NOT THE MEDICAL GROUP.

THEY'RE GOING OUT TO THE GRAND CANYON AND FLYING BACK.

AND THEY HAVE BEEN DOING MUCH BETTER JOB OF STICKING TO THE LINES ON LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD, AND TROPICANA AND THE HOPEFULLY THE HELIPORT WILL DIVERT MOST OF THE PRIVATE SECTOR IT MIGHT BE SOMETHING THAT HELPS.

IT IS PROBABLY JUST A RENEGADES.

THEN YOU CAN CALL IN THAT REPORT.

>> THE NUMBERS ARE PRETTY LARGE.

SEVERAL YEARS AGO THEY DISCONTINUED NUMBERS ON THE BELLY OF THE HELICOPTER AND PUT THEM ON THE SIDE, BUT THEY ARE SUBSTANTIALLY LARGE.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: THANK YOU.

>> REID: YOU'VE HEARD THE MOTION.

THERE'S NO OTHER COMMENTS, CAST YOUR VOTE. MOTION CARRIES.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THE NEXT ITEM IS THEM 28, UC 249-97, ET-97-09 USE PERMIT TO COMMENCE A 43 STORY, 450 FOOT HIGH 2,000 ROOM TIME SHARE HOTEL AND AN INDOOR SHOPPING CENTER AND PARKING GARAGE ON 14.7 ACRES IN THE H-1 ZONE.

AND MUD 1 OVERLAY DISTRICT ON LAS VEGAS SOUTH BETWEEN RENO AVENUE AND MANDALAY ROAD WITHIN PARADISE.

THE BACKGROUND AS DESCRIBED IN YOUR AGENDA.

STAFF CANNOT SUPPORT THIS APPLICATION SINCE IT HAS BEEN APPROVED FOR TOTAL OF 12 YEARS, INCLUDING THREE EXTENSIONS OF TIME TO COMMENCE THE ORIGINAL LAND USE APPLICATION.

STAFF CANNOT SUPPORT THIS APPLICATION BECAUSE THERE HAVE BEEN A NUMBER OF CODE DEVELOPMENTS ON THE STRIP.

THIS PROJECT BASED ON THE NUMBER OF HOTEL, TIME SHARE UNITS MEETS THE THRESHOLD FOR HIGH IMPACT PROJECT.

THEREFORE STAFF IS REQUESTING AS CONDITION OF APPROVAL THAT THE APPLICANT ENTER INTO A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT WITH THE COUNTY.

IF STAFF RECOMMENDED DENIAL IF, APPROVED SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS LISTED IN YOUR AGENDA.

PARADISE TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDS APPROVAL SUBJECT TO THE COMMENCEMENT BY APRIL 162012 AND ALL OTHER STAFF CONDITIONS.

>> MR. CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS.

GREG BOURGEL 300 SOUTH FOURTH STREET.

HERE REPRESENTING THE APPLICANT IN THE MATTER.

WE UNDERSTAND AND REPRESENT STAFF GENERAL PRACTICE OF ENCOURAGING PEOPLE TO PRO WITHIN THE TIME LIMITS GRANTED.

WE THINK THERE ARE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES HERE AND STAFF HAS IN INNOCENCE ACKNOWLEDGED THAT.

WE ACCEPT THE IF APPROVED CONDITIONS ADDRESSED BY STAFF, ADDRESSING SOME OF THE ISSUES IN TERMS OF TIMELINESS.

WE'RE ACCEPTING THOSE AND APPRECIATE THE TOWN BOARD UNANIMOUS RECOMMENDATION IN FAVOR OF THIS EXTENSION OF TIME DEALING WITH THE ISSUE, WHY YOU PUT TIME LIMITS ON APPLICATIONS THE FIRST PRIMARY REASON, YOU WANT TO MAKE SURE THE PROJECT REMAINS APPROPRIATE TO THE AREA.

IN OTHER WORDS IT IS AN OPPORTUNITY, AS TIME PASSES IF THE PROJECT HASN'T BEEN BUILT FOR STAFF AND YOU AND THE TOWN BOARD TO EVALUATE WHETHER THE PROJECT IS STILL APPROPRIATE TO THE SITE IN.

THIS INSTANCE, EVERYONE CONCURS IT IS APPROPRIATE TO THE SITE.

YOUR STAFF WOULD AGREE WITH THAT.

THE TOWN BOARD AGREED.

WE HOPE YOU AGREE THAT A TIME SHARE HOTEL TOWER HERE ON LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD IS ENTIRELY APPROPRIATE AS PART OF THE OVERALL COMPLEX, WHICH THIS APPLICANT IS DEVELOPING ON THE SITE.

SO THE PRIMARY REASON FOR THE REVIEW AND THE NEED FOR US TO BE FOR AN EXTENSION IS MET, BECAUSE EVERYONE CONCURS IT IS APPROPRIATE.

THE SECOND REASON YOU SOMETIMES LIKE TO RE-EVALUATE THESE ITEMS IS TO PREVENT A SPECULATIVE DILATORY DEVELOPER FROM HANGING ON FOR ENTITLEMENT A DEVELOPER WHO ISN'T A DEVELOPER, WHO JUST GET THINGS APPROVED.

THAT WOULD EMPHATICALLY NOT BE TRUE OF THIS APPLICANT.

THIS APPLICANT AND HIS ENTITIES HAVE INVESTED HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS EVERY YEAR IN THE PROPERTIES IN CLARK COUNTY ON THE STRIP AND ARE CONTINUING TO DO SO.

THEY HAVE A NUMBER OF ENTITLEMENTS.

THEY HAVE TO PRIORITIZE THOSE AS A MATTER OF BUSINESS PRACTICE.

THEY'VE BEEN BUILDING CONSTANTLY THROUGHOUT THE AREA.

THIS IS ONE OF ANOTHER ENTITLEMENT THAT THEY WOULD PRO WITH , IN GOOD ORDER IN TERMS OF BUSINESS DECISION, AS TO WHEN THE HIGHEST PRIORITY ON THEIR LIST OF THINGS TO BILL.

THEY HAVE OTHER ENTITLEMENTS YET TO BE BUILT, BUT THEY HAVE BUILT BILLIONS OF DOLLARS WORTH OF PROJECTS IN THE INTERVENING TIME IT IS A BUSINESS JUDGMENT AS WHICH PROJECTS ARE APPROPRIATE TO BE BUILD AND CAN BE FUNDED AT ANY GIVEN TIME.

ANOTHER ISSUE STAFF WOULD RAISE IS THE APPLICANT WOULD HAVE TO CONFORM TO CURRENT CONDITIONS IN THIS CONSTRUCTION AND THE STANDARDS DO CHANGE OVER TIME.

THE APPLICANT FULLY UNDERSTAND THAT.

HE'S BUILDING PROJECTS TODAY, WHERE THE STANDARDS HAVE CHANGED AND ADHERES TO THE NEW STANDARDS.

STAFF HAS REQUIRED A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, WHICH IS ONE OF THE NEW STANDARDS AND AN IMPORTANT WON IN THIS BOARD'S JUDGMENT.

HE'S AGREED TO THAT.

ON THE REMAINING SUBSTANTIATE ISSUES HE AGREES ON THE SUBSTANTIATE POINTS THIS PROJECT REMAINS APPROPRIATE AND IS DESERVING OF ADDITIONAL TIME.

IF I COULD, PERHAPS ADDRESS THE BIG PICTURE A LITTLE ON THIS QUESTION.

THIS IS NOT THE FIRST AND WILL NOT BE THE FIRST TIME I'M HERE BEFORE YOU REPRESENTING THIS APPLICANT OR OTHER APPLICANTS, I DO FOR PLANNING MATTERS REPRESENT THE MAJORITY OF PROPERTIES ON THE STRIP.

YOU HAVE HEARD FROM MY BEFORE AND WILL HEAR FROM ME AGAIN.

IT IS COMMON KNOWLEDGE, PUBLIC, YOU READ IT IN THE NEWSPAPERS EVERY DAY THAT ALL OF OUR MAJOR PROPERTIES ON THE STRIP ARE IN THE PROCESS OF RESTRUCTURING THEIR RELATIONSHIP WAS THEIR LENDERS, RESTRUCTURING THEIR PROJECTS AND TRYING TO MAINTAIN THE SUCCESS OF THIS COMMUNITY AND EMPLOYMENT IN THIS COMMUNITY BY KEEPING -- UP AND GOING PROPERTIES GOING AND BY BUILDING AND FINISHING NEW PROPERTIES AND MOVING ON TO MORE PROPERTIES TO BE DEVELOPED, LIKE THIS.

>> THE LENDERS ARE CAUTIOUS TODAY.

IT IS NOT GOING TO BE A GOOD MESSAGE TO THE LENDING COMMUNITY AND THE PEOPLE WHO SUPPORT OUR MAJOR DEVELOPERS, IF THE BOARD WERE NOT TO CONTINUE EXTENDING TIME, IN WHICH TO BUILD PROJECTS.

IF THE WORD GOES OUT THAT CLARK COUNTY IS WITHDRAWING ENTITLEMENT APPROVALS REALISTICALLY A LENDER CAN FEEL THAT THERE'S AN IMPAIRMENT OF THE VALUE OF THE PROPERTIES, ON WHICH LOANS ARE MADE.

THAT CAN'T BE THE MESSAGE THAT CLARK COUNTY IS READY TO SEND RIGHT NOW TO THE LENDING COMMUNITY AND TO THE COMMUNITY AT LARGE.

THAT YOU HAVE DOUBTS ABOUT THE DESIRABILITY OF BUILDING MORE PROJECTS IN CLARK COUNTY.

WHILE IT MAY OR MAY NOT BE DIRECTLY APPLICABLE HERE.

I WOULD SUGGEST IN THE BIG PICK THAT, A PROJECT THAT IS APPROPRIATE, BY EVERYONE CONCEDING, BY A DEVELOPER WHO REALLY DOES DEVELOP AND HAS BEEN DEVELOPING, WHO IS GOING TO MEET ALL OF YOUR STANDARDS IS DESERVING OF APPROVAL.

IT IS IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE COMMUNITY FOR THESE APPROVALS TO BE MAINTAINED AS A SMALL SUBSIDIARY POINT, SINCE I HAVE BEEN CALLED ON TO TESTIFY AT SOME OF THE TAX HEARINGS HELD OVER THE INTERVENING YEARS. YOUR STAFF IN THAT AREA HAVE TAKEN THE POINT OF VIEW THAT THE USES ATTRIBUTED TO SITES AFFECT EVALUATION, INCLUDING THE CAPS THAT THE STATE STATUTE IMPOSES, WHEN USES CHANGE.

IT IS IN THE COUNTY'S ADVANTAGE TO MAINTAIN THE APPARENT VALUE, WHICH WE HOPE IS THE REAL VALUE OF THESE PROPERTIES BY MAINTAINING THE ENTITLEMENT SO THERE'S NO ARGUMENT ABOUT THE TAXABLE EVALUATION.

THAT'S MY THOUGHT PROCESS.

I THINK WE HAVE RESPONDED TO STAFF'S CONCERNS.

WE HAVE A TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDATION OF APPROVAL.

WE HOPE YOU WOULD GRANT THE ADDITIONAL TIME.

>> REID: THIS IS ANY IN MY DISTRICT.

COUNCIL, LET ME MAKE SURE, I BELIEVE MY FIRM DOES WORK FOR AN AFFILIATE OF THE APPLICANT.

IT IS UNRELATED TO THIS.

I ASSUME I CAN VOTE, HAVING DISCLOSED THAT, .

>> CORRECT.

>> YES, CORRECT.

>> REID: TO STAFF BASED ON THE BRIEFING WE HAD I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I UNDERSTAND THE APPROVED -- THE AGREEMENT OF THE APPLICANT TO DO A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, BASED ON THE HIGH IMPACT ORDINANCE, WHICH DIDN'T EXIST WHEN THIS PROJECT WAS ORIGINALLY APPROVED, MITT GATES ANY CONCERNS YOU HAVE, AS I UNDERSTAND.

READS BASED ON THAT, IF YOU WERE SAYING YOU DIDN'T WANT TO DO THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT I WOULD HAVE A PROBLEM.

>> REID: MY MOTION WOULD BE THAT WE APPROVE THIS, SUBJECT TO IF APPROVED CONDITIONS.

I THINK THIS TAKES IT FROM WHERE IT SHOULDN'T BE TO WHERE IT SHOULD. THAT WOULD BE MY MOTION.

OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: I THINK YOU JUST ASKED THIS.

THAT INCLUDES THE CURRENT PLANNING, THROUGH THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT THEY CAN ADDRESS THE ISSUE, ABOUT THE FACT IT HAS CHANGED OVER THERE.

>> REID: MY UNDERSTANDING IS WHATEVER IMPACTS THE PROJECT, AS PLANNED OR IF THE PLAN CHANGES MITIGATION WOULD OCCUR, AS WE HAVE DONE MORE RECENTLY WITH OTHER SIMILAR SITUATED PROJECTS.

I DIDN'T OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING DI?

ANYONE HERE TO SPEAK ON THIS?

SO I DIDN'T OFFEND ANYONE.

OKAY.

I CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.

COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

>> SISOLAK: I HAVE SEVERAL.

DO WE EVER EXTEND THESE FOR 12 YEARS?

WE'RE GETTING TO A LONG TIME FRAME?

>> IT IS NOT COMMON, BUT WE HAVE EXTENDED FOR LONGER THAN A TYPICAL PERIOD.

>> SISOLAK: WHAT IS LIKE THE LONGEST?

HOW LONG IS THIS EXTENSION GOING TO BE FOR?

>> I THOUGHT THAT WE HAD A BATCH PLAN.

I KNOW IT IS NOT A PROJECT BUT A BATCH PLAN FOR 20 YEARS.
IT IS NOT COMMON, BUT WE DO IT ON OCCASION HAVE LONGER EXTENSION OF TIME.
>> SISOLAK: MR. BORGEL IS THIS PROPERTY PLEDGED AS COLLATERAL WITH THESE ENTITLEMENTS?
>> I DON'T KNOW IT IS.
BECAUSE THEY ARE IN THE PROCESS OF DETERMINING WHICH PROPERTY WOULD BE PLEDGED.
MY EXPECTATION BY THE TIME THEY'RE DONE DOING MOST STRUCTURING THEY WOULD BE PLEDGED WITH THE ENTITLEMENTS.
>> HOPEFULLY, YES SIR.
>> SISOLAK: THE PROBLEM I HAVE.
I WILL BE TOTALLY CANDID.
YOU CAME IN FOR A.
A COUPLE OF MINUTES.
I WOULD HAVE APPRECIATED THE CONSIDERATION OF MGM COMING FORWARD AND EXPLAINING WHY.
I THINK IT IS MAJOR TO THE COMMUNITY OF LAS VEGAS AND TO THE STRIP CORRIDOR.
I WOULD HAVE LIKED TO HAVE SOME HEADS UP.
AND INFORMATION AHEAD OF TIME.
>> APPRECIATE THAT.
I'LL COMMUNICATE THAT TO THEM THEY.
WILL PROBABLY, AND I WON'T SAY UNFAIRLY BLAME ME TELLING ME THAT'S MY JOB TO BRIEF YOU.
IF THE BRIEFING IS INADEQUATE I SHOULD DO A BETTER JOB, BUT I WILL COMMUNITY IT.
>> REID: MAYBE YOU SHOULD COMMUNICATE THAT TO YOURSELF?
>> I WILL GO DEGREE NOT BEYOND MYSELF.
>> REID: I UNDERSTAND COMMISSIONER SISOLAK'S CONCERN.
I THINK OUR JOB IS TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC INTEREST.
IF IT ULTIMATELY IS DEVELOPED AND IF THE IMPACTS ARE MITIGATED AS WE HAVE ON THE STRIP.
THAT'S MY MOTION.
IF THERE'S OTHER COMMENTS.
SEEING NONE.
CAST YOUR VOTE.
MOTION CARRIES.
>> THANK YOU.
GOOD DAY.
>> ITEM 29,
[READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD]
HOLDOVER USE PERMIT SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME TO REVIEW A CONGREGATE CARE FACILITY WAIVER OF OFF SITE FACILITIES IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE CONGREGATE CARRY IN 1.7 ACRES ON THE WEST SIDE OF AMENDEL ROAD, 600 FEET SOUTH WITHIN SPRING VALLEY WITH BACKGROUND DESCRIBED IN YOUR AGENDA THERE.
HAVE BEEN NO VIOLATIONS AGAINST THE SUBJECT PROPERTY FROM CLARK COUNTY FOR THE USE ON THE PROPERTY.

STAFF HAD CONCERNS, DUE TO PAST ISSUES WITH THE FACILITY AND ITS LOCATION, HOWEVER, SINCE THERE HAVE BEEN NO COMPLAINTS OR VIOLATIONS FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED USE PERMIT AT THE TIME OF THE REVIEW OF THIS APPLICATION, STAFF CAN SUPPORT THIS REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL TWO YEARS, TO INSURE THAT THE ADDITIONAL BEDS DON CREATE A NEGATIVE IMPACT.

THERE HAVE BEEN SIGNIFICANT CHANGES IN THE AREA.

AN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL HAS OPENED SINCE IT WAS APPROVED IT HAS HIGH VOLUME OF TRAFFIC DUE TO THE MIDDLE SCHOOL, BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB, PLACE OF WORSHIP AND GENERAL TRAFFIC.

LINDELL IS AN 80-FOOT WIDE COLLECTIVE STREET IMPROVED WITH ALDURA AVENUE, THEREFORE STAFF CAN SUPPORT THIS REQUEST AND RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE USE PERMIT AND DENIAL OF THE WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS IF APPROVE SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS LISTED IN YOUR AGENDA AND AN ADDITIONAL CONDITION FROM CIVIL ENGINEERING FOR DRAINAGE STUDY AND COMPLIANCE. THE SPRING VALLEY TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDED DENIAL.

>> MEGAN WILLIAMS 924 HENDERSON AVENUE, I AM HERE ON BEHALF OF THE OWNER OF THIS FACILITY.

WE ACTUALLY AGREE WITH THE PLANNING STAFF , IN REGARDS TO THIS EXTENSION OF TIME.

INITIALLY IN 2006 THEY RECOMMENDED THE TIME LIMIT BE REMOVED.

BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT THE APPLICANT WAS ACTUALLY ASKING TO GO TO 18 BEDS, THEY PUT A TIME LIMIT AND ONLY ALLOWED 16.

WE ARE STRICTLY TRYING TO GET AN EXTENSION OF TIME FOR TWO YEARS.

I HAVE TALK TO THE APPLICANT.

SHE HAS SINCE WITHDRAWN HER REQUEST TO INCREASE THE AMOUNT OF BEDS IN THE FACILITY.

SHE WOULD LIKE TO MAINTAIN IT AT THE 16 BEDS.

AS FAR AS THE CIVIL ENGINEERING RECOMMENDING DENIAL, WE DON'T AGREE WITH THE CONDITIONS OR WITH THEIR RECOMMENDATION JUST BASED ON THE FACT THAT THE SITE ITSELF IS AMONGST I DON'T KNOW IF YOU CAN SEE THIS.

THE SITE ITSELF THERE ARE APPROXIMATELY EIGHT RESIDENCES THAT RUN DOWN THAT PORTION OF LINDELL THERE.

IS A SIX FOOT DIFFERENCE FROM SAHARA TO WHERE THIS PROPERTY IS.

IF WE ARE REQUIRED TO DO THE OFF SITES AND IMPROVE THE FRONT, LAKE LINDELL, WHICH IS KNOWN YOU'LL HEAR FROM THE NEIGHBOR.

LAKE LINDELL WILL CAUSE HIS HOUSE TO GO UNDER WATER WHAT THERE ARE RAIN.

WE WOULD LIKE TO REQUEST THAT BE REVIEWED AGAIN AT THE TWO-YEAR TIME LIMIT TO INCLUDE ALL OF THE RESIDENCES ALONG THAT PORTION OF LINDELL, JUST SO THAT -- BY THIS PARTICULAR APPLICANT IMPROVING HER SITE, HE'S GOING TO PUT HER NEIGHBORS UNDER WATER, IF WE DO THE WHOLE STREET AT ONCE.

IT WILL BENEFIT EVERYBODY.

BASED ON THAT.

>> REID: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

ANYONE HERE TO SPEAK ON THIS?

GOOD MORNING.

>> GOOD MORNING.

RALPH STEVEN WINGER.

2625 LINDELL.

I'M THE NEXT DOOR NEIGHBOR I WANT TO THANK KIM BUSH THEY HAVE BEEN GOOD AT CLEARING.

THIS MUDDY THING KIM WAS CLEAR WE WERE GOING TO TRY TO MOVE FORWARD ON THIS OKAYING THE SPECIAL USE PERMIT AND STIPULATING THE CONDITIONS OF THE OFF SITE.

WE LIVE AT LAKE LINDELL.

THERE'S A SIX FOOT DIFFERENCE.

I THINK THE INTENTION IS TO MOVE IT FORWARD.

I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR THE MOTION, BEFORE I BRING ANYTHING UP.

I THINK WE'RE CLEAR SHE'S AGREED TO MAKE THE WALL SIX FEET TALL ACROSS HER SOUTH SIDE OF THE PROPERTY TO ISOLATE THE NEIGHBORHOOD FROM THE -- FACILITY.

SHE'S ALSO AGREED TO MOVE THE STRUCTURES THAT ARE AGAINST THE WALL AND HANGING OVER MINE AWAY AND PUT THEM APPROPRIATE.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE THOSE WERE NOTED AS WELL.

OTHER THAN THAT, THE ONLY THING I WOULD LIKE TO BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION IS THE CHANGE OF CIRCUMSTANCES.

WE TALKED ABOUT THIS.

THIS WAS OKAYED WITH THE SPECIAL USE PERMIT FOR AN EIGHT-BED FACILITY.

UNDER YOUR AGREEMENT AND UNDER YOUR RULES, THEY WENT AHEAD AND UPPED THIS TO A 16 BED FACILITY AND INTENDED TO UP IT TO 18.

THEY AGREED TO MOVE IT BACK TO 16.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE THIS SPECIAL USE EXTENSION DOES NOT FACILITATE THEM TO CONTINUE TO EXPAND THEIR FACILITY.

KIM HAS INSURED ME WITH WE HAVE RED TAGGED THE PROPERTY AND ANY TIME ANYTHING COMES UP LICENSING OR ANYTHING ALONG THOSE LINES YOU WILL BE NOTED.

YOUR OFFICE WILL BE NOTED AND WE'LL DEAL WITH IT ACCORDINGLY.

I'M HERE TO INSURE OUR PROPERTY VALUES AND MAKE SURE THIS PROPERTY DOESN'T GET OUT OF HAND, THANK YOU.

>> REID: ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK?

COMMISSIONER BROWN DO YOU WANT TO RESPOND?

>> I DID WANT TO ADD ONE THING.

AS FAR AS THE WALL, THE APPLICANT HAS ACTUALLY LAY GREED TO BRIT WALL HEIGHT UP TO SIX FEET SO, HE'S NOT LOOKING OVER INTO THE FACILITY AND SPECIFICALLY ON THE FRONT OF THE PROPERTY FROM, WHERE HIS -- THE FRONT OF THE HOUSE TO THE DRIVEWAY, HE WOULD LIKE IT INCREASED TO THE SIX FEET SO HIS PERSONAL LIFE AND RESIDENCE IS NOT OVERCOME, BY WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENS AT THE FACILITY.

I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I PUT THAT ON RECORD, THAT THEY HAVE AGREED TO BRING IT SIX FOOT CONCURRENT FROM THE BACK OF THE PROPERTY TO THE FRONT OF THE PROPERTY.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER BROWN.

YOU'RE IN LONGER IN YOUR PROBATIONARY PERIOD.

>> BROWN: MR. CHAIR, IF I COULD -- .

>> REID: THIS MATTER IS NOT ON THE AGENDA FOR DISCUSSION.

COMMISSIONER BROWN GO AHEAD.

>> BROWN: MR. WINGER IF YOU COME BACK UP.

WE WILL APPARENTLY ADD A COUPLE OF NEW CONDITIONS THAT THE APPLICANT AND YOU HAVE AGREED TO.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE THOSE ARE CLEAR.

THE EXTENSION OF THE WALL ON THE SOUTH SIDE--

>> EXCUSE ME ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE BUILDING THEY HAVE A SIX FOOT WALL SEPARATING FROM THE EYE CLINIC.

I SUGGEST IT IS SIMILAR TO INCLUDE THE FRONT HAS A THREE FOOT AND A PARKING LOT ON THEIR SIDE.

EVERYONE COME IN AND OUT OF.

THE BACK HAS A FIVE FOOT.

IF IT COULD BE SOLID AT SIX FEET, IT WOULD ELIMINATE AND KEEP ME OUT OF THE BUSINESS.

>> BROWN: AM I ABLE, WITHIN DISCRETION TO KEEP IT OUT OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME?

>> IT ALSO MENTIONED REMOVING A BUILDING HANG.

>> BROWN: ONE AT A TIME.

THE CONDITION WOULD READ A UNIFORM SIX FOOT WALL ON THE APPLICANT PROPERTY AND MEGAN DO YOU AGREE?

>> WE AGREE TO THAT.

>> IS IT ALL-AROUND OR JUST ON THE SOUTH SIDE?

>> IT IS JUST -- THE PROPERTY WALL BETWEEN THE RESIDENCE AND THE ACTUALLY APPLICANT'S PROPERTY THE SOUTH SIDE.

>> HE'S SOUTH OF YOU, RIGHT?

BASICALLY ON HIS SOUTH PROPERTY LINE HE EXTENDS THE WALL SIX FEET TO THE FRONT OF THE PROPERTY.

THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT -- SOLID.

ALL RIGHT.

>> BROWN: THEN THE REMOVAL OF STRUCTURES ABUTTING THAT SOUTH WALL OR YOUR SOUTH WALL.

>> WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO.

WE DON'T WANT TO PHYSICALLY REMOVE THOSE BUILDINGS, BECAUSE THEY ARE STORAGE BUILDINGS, BUT THERE IS AN OVERHANG WE AGREED TO REMOVE.

>> BROWN: WILL YOU REMOVE THE BUILDING OR THE OVERHANG HANGING OVER THE WALL?

IT IS NOT PAINTED IT IS NOT FINISHED IT IS A DERELICT BUILDING.

IT SHOULD BE MOVED AWAY.

>> WE AGREED TO REMOVE THE OVERHANG.

THAT BUILDING, YOU WERE NOT INVOLVED BEFORE, BUT PREVIOUSLY THAT BUILDING WAS A MUCH LARGER BUILDING THAT BROWN.

>> BROWN: THAT'S NOT THE SAME BUILDING.

THE BUILDING IS IN THE BACK.

IT IS A SHED THEY BUILT LAST YEAR WHEN THEY SAID THEY HAD A SPECIAL USE PERMIT AND PUT A SHACK NEXT TO THE POOL HOUSE HANGING OVER THE WALL AS YOU LOOK DOWN IT HANGS OVER THE OTHER ONE DOESN'T.

IT SHOULD BE FIVE FEET BACK.

AREN'T THERE -- I'LL TALK TO NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES SORRY.

>> BROWN: AGAIN--

>> WE WILL AGREE TO REMOVE THE OVERHANG.

>> BROWN: IT IS INCUMBENT UPON YOU TO BE A GOOD NEIGHBOR.

AS FAR AS THE BIGGEST QUESTION, AS FAR AS THE OFF SITE IMPROVEMENT, IF WE WERE TO GO IN SEPARATE FROM THIS APPLICATION AND LOOK AT THIS BLOCK, THE SIX OWNERS ON THE WEST OF LINDELL.

THERE APPEARS TO BE SIX ON THE EAST SIDE OF LINDELL.

WOULD WE GO IN AND ASK PARTICIPATION FROM ALL OF THE OWNERS TO FULLY IMPROVE THE ENTIRE STRETCH THAT, ONE BLOCK?

>> YES, YOU COULD REQUEST AN S.I.D. AND ALL OF THE OWNERS WOULD PARTICIPATE.

>> BROWN: I WOULD PREFER TO DO THAT.

I WILL SUPPORT THE WAIVER OR THE DEFERRAL OF THAT OFF SITE FOR ANOTHER TWO YEARS.

THEN APPROACH THE NEIGHBORHOOD, BECAUSE I'M NOT GOING TO IMPOSE IT, UNLESS THEY AGREE TO BUY INTO IT.

>> YOU WOULD LIKE PUBLIC WORKS TO SEND OUT REQUEST INFORMATION FOR THAT AREA?

>> BROWN: YEAH.

JUST TO GET A SENSE.

MY CONCERN WOULD BE IF, WE MAKE MISS TONG DO HER OFF SITES IT MIGHT AGGRAVATE THE SITUATION ON LINDELL AND ACTUALLY CREATE A MORE SIGNIFICANT SAW TOOTH AS YOU'RE COMING TOWARDS THE SCHOOL.

I'M NOT SURE IT IS APPROPRIATE TO MOVE FORWARD, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO LOOK AT THE ENTIRE BLOCK.

>> WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE NOT EXECUTED RESTRICTIVE COVENANT FOR THE FUTURE IMPROVEMENT, BECAUSE SHE HAS NEVER DONE THAT.

IF YOU WILL NOT IMPOSE THE OFF SITE IMPROVEMENT WE NEED TO REMOVE THE DRAINAGE STUDY.

>> BROWN: NOT REMOVE, DEFER.

>> ALL THAT WOULD BE DEFERRED FOR TWO YEARS AND THE DRAINAGE STUDY WOULDN'T BE REQUIRED.

>> BROWN: IS THE APPLICANT AGREED TO THOSE?

>> WE AGREE TO THAT.

>> BROWN: WE'RE GOOD.

ARE WE GOOD WITH THE ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS?

>> TWO YEAR REVIEW AS THE PUBLIC HEARING AND REVIEW THE OFF SITES AND DRAINAGE STUDY AT THAT TIME.

>> THEY NEED TO EXECUTE A RESTRICTIVE COVENANT NOW, WITHIN 30 DAYS.

>> EXECUTE A RESTRICTIVE COVENANT AGREEMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.

>> BROWN: THE APPLICANT HAS ACKNOWLEDGED THAT?

>> WE WILL.

>> BROWN: I THANK YOU BOTH FOR GETTING TOGETHER AND KIM FOR FACILITATING. THANK YOU.

>> BROWN: MY MOTION COULD BE FOR APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STAFF CONDITIONS AS A AMENDED.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI HAD A COMMENT.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: ON CONGRESS AGGREGATE CARE.
WHAT IS THE GROUP HOME FOR?
>> CLARK COUNTY ADULT SERVICES.
SOUTHERN NEVADA.
GRIN HOW DID YOU GO FROM THE 8 TO THE 16?
TO BE HONEST THAT WAS SOMETHING PRIOR TO MY INVOLVEMENT.
IT WAS A ACTUALLY REQUEST THROUGH STAFF, THROUGH CLARK COUNTY WHEN THEY
EXTENDED THE USE PERMIT.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: I WANTED THAT NOTED.
STAFF NEEDS TO LET THE COMMISSIONER, OF WHOSE DISTRICT THAT IS IN.
THERE MAY BE SOME OBJECTIONS ARE THEY LICENSED BY THE STATE UP TO THE 16?
>> YES.
>> THEY'RE ACTUALLY LICENSED UP TO THE 18,.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: IT HAS TO DO WITH THE ZONING COMPONENT.
>> BROWN: LET MY MOTION STAND, BUT ALSO LET THE RECORD STATE THAT THE
APPLICANT HAS AGREED TO LIMIT THE NUMBER TO 16.
I THINK THAT WAS PART OF THE PUBLIC TESTIMONY.
>> WOULD YOU WANT THAT AS A CONDITION?
>> BROWN: IF THE APPLICANT OFFERED THAT.
>> WE DO NOT OBJECT TO THAT.
>> BROWN: MY MOTION WOULD STAND FOR APPROVAL WITH STAFF CONDITIONS AS A
AMENDED.
>> REID: ANY COMMENT ON THE MOTION?
CAST YOUR VOTE.
COMMISSIONER COLLINS ROLLED OUT OF BED AND IS GRACING US WITH HIS
PRESENCE.
WHY DON'T WE GO BACK TO ITEM 12.
>> COLLINS: MR. CHAIRMAN.
>> REID: SORRY TO START SO EARLY.
>> COLLINS: LET ME ANNOUNCE IN THE MANY DUTIES AS FULL-TIME COUNTY
COMMISSIONER.
THIS MORNING AS THE MEMBER OF THE ELK LODGE 1468 I WAS ABLE TO ATTEND TO
THE DELIVERY OF AN AWARD AT WESTERN BELT BUCKLE TO ONE OF THE SPONSORS OF
THE LAST WEEK EL DORADO.
FALL ON MY CONSERVANCY MEASURES I DELIVERED A FROG TO SOMEONE WE KNOW FOR
THEIR LILLY POND THAT CAME FROM OUR FIELDS IRRIGATING WE SAVED IT FROM
CATS AND COYOTES AND THEN I ATTENDED PARCEL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL A FINE
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, WHERE WE MET WITH SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS AND ONE OF
THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND DR. LINDA YOUNG EXPRESSING NOT TO BE TOO
ALARMED ABOUT THE LEGISLATURE, WE WILL STILL BE OKAY IN THE SCHOOL DIGIT,
AS WELL AS THE COUNTY AND A LOT OF GOOD CHEER AND BEEN UPSTAIRS AT A
BRIEFING OF EVENTS TAKEN PLACE.
I -- SENT A NOTE TO LET YOU KNOW I WOULD BE LATE MR. CHAIRMAN.
I APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO JOIN YOU AT THIS TIME.
>> REID: THIS TRAVEL LODGE HAS BEEN BROUGHT TO YOU BY COMMISSIONER TOM
COLLINS.

ITEM 12.

>> ITEM 12, UC 97908 WC 9109 WAIVER OF USE PERMIT REQUIRING THE FOLLOWING. WAIVER OF OFF SITE EXCLUDING PAVING IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE COMPOSTING PLANT ON 9.1 ACRES IN AN M 2 AE 70 ZONE GENERALLY LOCATED ON BEASLEY DRIVE AND FISHER AVENUE WITHIN SUNRISE MANNER PLANNING AREA.

WITH BACKGROUND AS DESCRIBED IN YOUR AGENDA.

STAFF CAN SUPPORT THE WAIVER FOR THE DRAINAGE STUDY AND THE OFF SITES INCLUDING PAVING, HOWEVER, IF THE USE OF THIS PROPERTY SHOULD EVER CHANGE TO ANY OTHER USES, BESIDES A COMPOSTING PLAN, THAN THESE CONDITIONS WILL BE REIMPOSED.

STAFF RECOMMENDS APPROVAL.

THE SUNRISE MANOR -- SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS LISTED IN YOUR AGENDA.

THE SUNRISE MANNER TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDS APPROVAL SUBJECT TO THE THREE YEAR TIME LIMIT IF USE REMAINS A COMPOST PLANT.

THE SITE CANNOT CHANGE FROM THE COMPOSTING PLANT AND ALSO RECOMMENDED A THREE-YEAR TIME LIMIT FOR THE SITUATION.

THE APPLICANT HAS A WAIVER OF CONDITIONS THE APPLICANT HAS AGREED TO THE WAIVER BY THE TOWN BOARD.

>> REID: IS THE APPLICANT PRESENT?

GOOD MORNING.

>> GOOD MORNING.

LORI SANDERS 3315 EAST RUSSELL ROAD A 4 NUMBER 210.

WE BROUGHT THIS BEFORE THE BOARD IN NOVEMBER.

THEN WAS APPROVED IN DECEMBER EXCLUSIVE OF THE DRAINAGE STUDY.

THE PROPERTY OWNER WARREN WOOD TRUST AND COMMISSIONER COLLINS AND STAFF ARE WORKING ON AN.

I.D..

-- SID, I WASN'T PART OF THAT, HOWEVER, I WAS INFORMED IF WE REISSUED THIS WAIVER THAT NEVADA FORREST PRODUCTS, WHICH IS THE COMPANY ON THE PROPERTY, NOT THE PROPERTY OWNER COULD GO FORWARD AND GET THEIR BUSINESS LICENSE.

OUR BUSINESS LICENSE CAME BACK BECAUSE OF THE DRAINAGE STUDY REQUIREMENT.

>> REID: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING IS ANYONE HEAR TO SPEAK?

SEEING NO ONE TURN IT OVER TO THE BUSIEST COMMISSIONER TOM COLLINS.

>> COLLINS: THIS MORNING I WAS FEEDING THE CHICKENS AND BULL, AND PIG, AND READING THE NEWSPAPER.

ANYWAY.

THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN.

MORE ALONG THIS LINE WE'RE WORKING ON AN SID IT IS A COUNTY AREA NEXT TO NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE.

WE RUN INTO A POT HOLE OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS.

THE EASIEST WAY TO GET ON WITH BUSINESS AND SERVE OUR CONSTITUENTS IS TO APPROVE THE WAIVER.

APPROVE THE WAIVER, AS STATED BY STAFF.

>> SISOLAK: THANK YOU MADAME NICE CHAIR THE APPLICANT OR COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

THESE COMPOST PLANTS DO THEY SMELL?

IS THERE AN ODD OREGON DOES THE MATERIAL BREAKDOWN?

>> THE MATERIAL IS HANDLED INTERNED SO THE PROCESS REMAINS AEROBIC, THAT MEANS WITH OXYGEN.

THEY SMELL A WHOLE LOT LESS THAN BROWNS FOOD UP THERE ON CRAIG.

[LAUGHTER]

OKAY.

IF WE SMELL THAT BAD SOMETHING IS GOING ON IN THE PROCESS I CALL IT AN EARTHY ODOR, LIKE WHEN YOU OPEN A BAG OF POTTING SOIL.

IT DOESN'T GENERALLY EXTEND MUCH PAST THE PILES, BUT YOU KNOW -- .

>> COLLINS: STEVE, IT SMELLS LIKE MONEY.

YOU'RE A BUSINESS.

THE SMELL OF THAT COMPOST SMELLS LIKE MONEY.

>> IT IS NOT HORRIBLY OFFENSIVE.

>> SISOLAK: I WAS TRYING TO GET EDUCATED A LITTLE.

>> IT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN AN AEROBIC OPERATION THAT MEANS WITH OXYGEN SO, WE HAVE GOOD BACTERIA OR ANAEROBIC WITHOUT OXYGEN.

IN WHICH CASE YOU GET THE HYDROGEN SULFIDE ODOR AND WE'RE COMMITTED NOT TO VET IT HAPPEN.

>> SISOLAK: IN THE FUTURE I LOOK TO AEROBIC AS OPPOSED TO NONE AEROBIC.

>> ABSOLUTELY.

>>.

>> BRAGER: IF THERE ARE NO OTHER QUESTIONS WE HAVE A MOTION ON THE FLOOR AND CAN CAST YOUR VOTE.

>> JUST TO CLARIFY.

STAFF RECOMMENDS APPROVAL WITH NO TIME LIMITS.

THE SUNRISE MANOR RECOMMENDED APPROVAL WITH THE THREE YEAR TIME LIMIT FOR APPROVAL.

>> COLLINS: THE PROPERTY OWNERS WILL COME BACK WITH AN SID OR OTHER APPLICATIONS ON THAT PROPERTY.

THIS IS REALLY ONLY PART OF THE PROPERTY.

THEY WILL COME BACK WITH OTHER TENANTS AND THEN WE WILL BE ABLE TO IMPOSE OTHER CONDITIONS OR REVIEWS.

>> WE'LL GO WITH STAFF.

>> THANK YOU.

>> BRAGER: CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION PASSES.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THE NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 30 ZC 806-00, WC 101-09 WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS -- WAIVER OF ZONE CONDITIONS IN AN M1, AE 80 ZONE.

AND ME AP ZONE GENERALLY LOCATED ON THE FORTH EAST CORNER OF LAMONT AND GEIST WITHIN SUNRISE MONITOR IT IS THE RESULT OF AN ENACTED CLARK COUNTY RESPONSE CASE ZC 277208.

THE APPLICANT APPLIED FOR A WAIVER OF CONDITIONS IN MAY OF 2002.

THE APPLICATION WAS APPROVED WITH THE CONDITION THAT THE APPLICANT COMPLETE OFF SITES WITHIN ONE YEAR.

THE OFF SITE BOND WAS NOT SUBMITTED UNTIL 2004.

THE DRAINAGE STUDY WAS APPROVED IN OCTOBER 2003.

THIS PROJECT WAS CLOSED PER THE APPLICANT IN 2007.

THE APPLICANT HAS YET TO COMMENCE THE CONDITION OF OFF SITES.
THEREFORE STAFF CANNOT SUPPORT THIS WAIVER AND RECOMMENDS DENIAL.
IF APPROVED, SUBJECT TO 90 DAYS TO OBTAIN PERMIT AND REVIEW BEFORE BCC 12
MONTHS TO COMPLETE FROM THE DATE OF APPROVAL, THIS REVISED CONDITIONS PER
COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

THE SUNRISE TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDS APPROVAL, SUBJECT TO SIX MONTHS TO
SUBMIT OFF SITE PLAN.

12 MONTHS TO COMPLETE OFF SITE IMPROVEMENT AS THE CIVIL ENGINEERING AND
STAFF CONDITIONS.

ONE CARD FOR APPROVAL AND ONE CARD IN PROTEST.

>> GOOD MORNING BILL PADDOCK.

355 IMAGINE LANE.

>> I'M HERE TO AGREE THAT IT HAS TAKEN ME ENTIRELY TOO LONG TO APPROVE THE
SITE.

THE SITE IS OWNED BY MY FAMILY.

I AM A GENERAL ENGINEERING CONTRACTOR IN CLARK COUNTY.

I'VE BEEN PART OF MY FAMILY BUSINESS AND STILL AM FOR THE LAST 43 YEARS
HERE IN CLARK COUNTY AND SUNRISE MONITOR.

I FULLY INTEND TO HAVE THIS PROPERTY DONE PER TO COUNTY CODES AND PLANS
AND SPECS IT HAS BEEN A LEARNING PROCESS AND OTHER PROCESSES IN BETWEEN.
I'M HERE TODAY TO ASK YOUR HELP IN SIMPLY ALLOWING ME AN EXTENSION OF TIME
PER SE -- TO GET OUR BUSINESS ROLLING AGAIN PER THIS RECESSION TOMORROW
ACQUIRE AND ESTABLISH FUNDING, BEFORE I ACTUALLY SUBMIT THIS PERMIT.

I ORIGINALLY APPLIED FOR THE WAIVER OF A TOTAL OF FIVE YEARS, WHICH TO ME
MEANT APPLYING FOR PERMIT AND COMPLETION OF PROJECT, WHERE AS I UNDERSTAND
NOW THAT MAY BE TOO FAR AWAY GONE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS GIVEN ME.
SIX MONTHS TO APPLY FOR A PERMIT, WITHOUT HAVING FUNDING OR WAY WORK IS
GOING NOW DOESN'T SEEM VERY FEASIBLE TO ME EITHER.

I'M VERY MUCH ASKING FOR YOUR HELP TO APPLY FOR 12 MONTHS TO GET IT
APPLIED AND THE PERMIT SECURED.

BY THAT TIME, WE ALL HOPE AND PRAY THAT THIS -- THAT OUR COUNTY IS WORKING
A LITTLE MORE AND WE'RE GETTING REVENUE COMING IN AND MOVING AND TURNING
WHEELS.

ALSO, BY THAT TIME MAYBE THE BANKS ARE LOANING SOME MONEY, BECAUSE WE ALL
KNOW THEY'RE NOT LOANING ANY MONEY AT ALL.

MOST OF THIS PROJECT I CAN DO AND WILL DO MYSELF AS BEING THE GENERAL
CONTRACTOR.

I'VE WORKED ON SEVERAL PROJECTS WITH THE CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT,
CLARK COUNTY PARKS AND RECS PAVING AND GRADING.

AND I'VE DONE SEVERAL STATE OF NEVADA JOBS AS A GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND
SUBCONTRACTOR ON MAJOR IMPROVEMENTS IN THIS VALLEY FOR MANY YEARS.

SO, I WILL BE DOING, FINE I DO MOST OF THIS WORK MYSELF, WHICH I PLAN, IT
STILL TAKES MONEY.

IT TAKES NO ONE TURN THE WHEELS AND BUY THE MATERIALS.

AND I FULLY INTEND TO HAVE THE PROJECT DEVELOPED.

I DO HAVE PLANS THAT THEY WILL BE READVISED.

WHEN I SUBMITTED THE PLANS I SUBMITTED AS A 10 ACRE PROJECT ALONG WITH MY NEIGHBOR TO THE EAST OF ME, ALL THE WAY TO NELLIS. THAT PARCEL HAS SENSE BEEN SOLD AND SEPARATED. WE'RE JUST DOING MY PARCEL NOW. I JUST ASK FOR SOME TYPE OF EXTENSION BASICALLY -- SO THAT I HAVE A PROBLEM -- I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH SUBMITTING A PERMIT, WITHOUT HAVING MONEY IN MY POCKET TO DO THIS JOB. I HAVE SEEN TOO MANY JOBS OUT WILL THERE LIKE THAT. IF I COULD ADD A SMALL EXTENSION OF TIME TO BE ABLE TO GET MY PERMITS IN AND THEN HAVE THAT TIME AFTERWARDS TO COMPLETE THIS JOB, I THINK WE CAN GET IT DONE. THANK YOU.

>> REID: THANK YOU. THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING. IS ANYONE HERE TO SPEAK ON THIS. COMMISSIONER COLLINS. THIS IS YOUR DISTRICT.

>> COLLINS: THANK YOU. YOU HAVE A LOVELY PIECE OF PROPERTY THERE. THE WHOLE 10 ACRES, I GUESS I SHOULD DISCLOSE IN 1953 WAS PURCHASED FROM THE SCHOOLTEACHER JOHN KOE BY DENIS AND ANGLE YOUNG PUT IN THE TRUST BY THE NAME OF ME. I SOLD THAT LAND IN DIFFERENT TIMES OVER THE YEARS TO GO TO COLLEGE. THE LAST PARCEL I HAD IN 1970. THERE IS THE DISCLOSURE, SINCE I HAVEN'T OWNED IT IN 39 YEARS. MORE RECENTLY SINCE I GOT ON THE COUNTY COMMISSION, OUR PUBLIC RESPONSE FOLKS AND METRO FOLKS HAVE BEEN OUT THERE. I CAN'T TELL YOU HOW MANY TIMES. YOU HAD OUTSIDE YOUR WALL, MOTOR HOMES BOATS, TRUCKS, BELLY DUMPS WITH THE -- YOU KNOW INOPERABLE EQUIPMENT AND JUNK. THE FACT THAT THE FOLKS STAYING IN THE MOTOR HOME, THERE WAS FIVE OF THEM I BELIEVE. FOUR OR FIVE OF THEM WITH FELONY WARRANTS THAT WERE ARRESTED FOR BURGLARY IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD IN THE AREA. YOU HAVE HAD NUMEROUS COMPLAINTS TO THIS OFFICE FROM YOUR NEIGHBORS. YOU'VE HAD PLENTY OF TIME TO DO WHAT YOU NEED TO DO. I WILL COMPLIMENT YOU THAT YOU DID, OVER THE LAST FOUR YEARS CLEAN UP THE AREA OUTSIDE OF YOUR FENCE. BUT YOU'VE HAD PLENTY OF TIME. WE HAD GOOD TIMES A COUPLE YEARS AGO THAT, YOU COULD HAVE PUT SIDEWALKS AND IMPROVEMENTS IN. IT IS PAST BEING NICE AND LENIENT AND ALL OF THAT STUFF. IT IS TIME TO PUT UP. SO, THE MOTION IS READ BY STAFF. YOU HAVE YOUR PLANS NEARLY READY TO GO. REVISE THEM TURN THEM IN BE BACK IN 90 DAYS SHOW US PERMITS OR WE WILL DENY THE WHOLE THING AND YOU HAVE 12 MONTHS TO GET IT DONE.

>> I WOULD LIKE TO CLARIFY.

I HAVE SINCE CHANGED MY CAMPING LOCATIONS THAT WASN'T ME CAMPING OUTSIDE OF THOSE WALLS.

>> COLLINS: I KNOW.

>> I DID HALL OFF THE TRASH THEY SO NICELY DUMPED ON MY PROPERTY.

I HAULED IT OFF AND REMOVE THE GRAFFITI ON A WEEKLY BASIS AND HAVE BEEN DOING SO FOR QUITE SOME TIME.

I'M NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR OTHER CONTRACTORS THAT PARK THEIR BELLY DUMPS ALL OVER CLARK COUNTY, BECAUSE THEY HAVE NO STORAGE AREA.

THEY DON'T OWN LAND OR THEY WON'T BE -- A CONTRACTOR AND PROVIDE PROPER STORAGE TO THE EQUIPMENT.

BUT I AGREE WITH YOU IT IS A NUISANCE.

IT IS A NUISANCE TO ME AS WELL AS YOU AND THE COUNTY AND THE COMMUNITY, BECAUSE I DO CARE BY MY COMMUNITY.

I HAVE LIVED HERE ALL OF MY LIFE.

I WANT THIS DONE RIGHT.

I'M JUST -- THAT'S ALL I'M SIMPLY ASKING YOU.

YOU'RE GIVING ME SIX MONTHS TO GET A PERMIT.

I'M ASKING IF THERE IS A LITTLE BIT MORE ON THE PERMIT TO ACTUALLY GET A LITTLE BIT OF REVENUE GOING.

RIGHT NOW, AS YOU KNOW I BID MY OWN JOBS, JUST AS YOU KNOW I DON'T HAVE A LOT OF BIDS COMING ACROSS MY DESK RIGHT NOW.

>> COLLINS: I'M A CONTRACTOR TOO.

I KNOW THE SITUATION YOU'RE FACING IF THE COUNTY TOLD ME I NEEDED TO DO THAT AND YOU'VE BEEN THERE EIGHT OR NINE YEARS.

YOU HAVE PLENTY OF TIME.

YOU HAVE COME BEFORE THE FOLKS AND ASKED FOR EXTENSIONS AND EXTENSIONS.

YOU'VE HAD PLENTY OF TIME IN GOOD AND BAD TO TAKE CARE OF IT.

THAT WOULD BE MY MOTION.

THAT YOU GET YOUR PERMITS COME BACK AND SHOW IT TO US IN 90 DAYS OR HOWEVER, STAFF HAS DIRECTED YOU START DOING SOMETHING.

>> IS IT STAFF RECOMMENDS OR TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS.

>> COLLINS: YOU HAVE 90 DAYS TO GET PERMITS PULLED THE PRINTS REVISED FOR YOUR PERMITS AND START CONSTRUCTION.

CONSTRUCTION NEEDS TO BE DONE IN 12 MONTHS.

THAT'S THE MOTION.

>> REID: OTHER QUESTIONS ON THE MOTION?

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 31 WS 181-09 WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS FOR THE FOLLOWING REDUCE PARKING, ELIMINATE LANDSCAPING AND REDUCE DRIVE AISLE WIDTH.

WAIVER OF CONDITIONS ZC 604-02.

REQUIRING A DETAILED TRAFFIC STUDY REDUCING DRIVEWAY AND CURB CUTS TO AN MINIMUM OF ANALYSIS ON SIGHT CIRCULATION.

THE CONVERSION OF EXISTING RESIDENCE TO THE OFFICE BUILDING IN EXISTING CRT ZONE.

GENERALLY LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF DESERT INN ROAD, 370 FEET EAST OF WARNOC ROAD WITHIN PARADISE.

WITH BACKGROUND AS DESCRIBED IN YOUR AGENDA, THIS REQUEST OF REDUCTION IN PARKING AND LANDSCAPING ARE THE RESULT OF THE LIMITED SIDE OF THE LOT, WHICH IS ONE OF THE CHALLENGES THAT I HAVE ROUTINELY SEEN OF RESIDENTIAL TO THE OFFICE CONVERSIONS STAFF CAN SUPPORT THE WAIVER.

PARKING BECAUSE IT HAS BEEN DESIGNED TO PROVIDE THE MAXIMUM PARKING WITH LIMITED SPACE.

STAFF CAN SUPPORT THE ELIMINATION OF LANDSCAPING ALONG THE EAST AND WEST PROPERTY LINES, SINCE THIS -- SINCE THOSE PROPERTIES ARE ZONED CRT AND ARE BEING USED OR MARKETED AS COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES.

STAFF THEREFORE PEOPLES APPROVAL OF THE WAIVERS OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS ONE, 2 A AND 3.

THE WAIVER OF CONDITIONS AND DESIGN REVIEW 2 B AND 2 C.

IF APPROVED, SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS LIFTED IN YOUR AGENDA, THE PARADISE TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDS APPROVAL, SUBJECT TO PLANTING THREE, 15 GALLON LUNDEL PINE TREES ON THE ADJACENT PROPERTY.

THERE WERE THREE CARDS FOR APPROVAL AND FOUR IN PROTEST.

>> GOOD MORNING SHIMON CONNER OWNER OF 4583, THE PROPERTY IN QUESTION.

>> REID: DO YOU HAVE QUESTIONS ON STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION OR COMMENTS?

>> I HAVE ACTUALLY WORK VERY HARD WITH PLANNERS IN RE-ADVISING THESE PLANS.

I THINK WE HAVE REACHED AN AGREEMENT.

ALMOST A FULL AGREEMENT ON WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE.

THIS IS THE LATEST PLAN THAT YOU SEE HERE.

I COME IN FRONT OF THE PARADISE TOWN BOARD.

I OWN THE PROPERTY TO THE SOUTH OF THE PROPERTY.

IT IS ELEVATED ABOUT FIVE FEET ABOVE.

TO PUT THE TREES OVER THERE TO BLOCK THE TWO PROPERTIES.

I THINK THIS IS THEIR ONLY CONCERN.

>> REID: LET ME ASK IF THERE IS ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK ON THIS?

THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

SEEING NO ONE COMMISSIONER BRAGER.

>> BRAGER: THANK YOU TO THE APPLICANTS.

THEY DID A NICE JOB AND DID EVERYTHING THEY NEEDED TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THAT AREA.

I MAKE A MOTION FOR APPROVAL WITH STAFF'S CONDITIONS.

WE DID CALL ABOUT THE FREE TRADE.

IF YOU DIDN'T -- WHICHEVER SITE YOU WANTED THEM ON, SINCE YOU OWN BOTH PROPERTIES, IT IS ABSOLUTELY FINE.

MOTION FOR APPROVAL.

>> THANK YOU.

>> REID: YOU'VE HEARD THE MOTION.

ARE THERE COMMENTS?

CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 32.

ZC IS 1135-08.

HOLDOVER ZONE CHANGE TO RECLASSIFY 5.1 ACRES FROM R-1 R 5 TO H1 FOR RESORT CONDOMINIUM DEVELOPMENTS IN THE M 2 OVERLAY DISTRICT USE PERMIT OF PROJECT OF REGIONAL SIGNIFICANT RESORT CONDOMINIUMS AND INCREASE BUILDING HEIGHT. WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENTS STANDARDS ADJACENT TO A LESS INTENSIVE USE.

DESIGN REVIEW FOR EXISTING AND PROPOSED BUILDINGS AND RESORT CONDOMINIUM DEVELOPMENT GENERALLY LOCATED ON WEST SIDE OF CAM PREJUDICE STREET WITHIN PARADISE WITH THE BACKGROUND AS DESCRIBED IN YOUR AGENDA. THE APPLICANT HAS SINCE REVISED PORTIONS TO REDUCE THE NUMBER OF UNITS AND PARKING.

RESORT CONDOMINIUMS ARE A SPECIAL CATEGORY OF COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT THAT ALLOWS PERMANENT RESIDENCY AND COMMENDATIONS FOR TOURISTS.

THE EXISTING PROJECT HAS A DENSITY OF 45 DWELLING UNITS PER ACRE AND 50 DWELLING UNITS PER ACRE ALLOWED FOR RESIDENTIAL CONDOMINIUMS IN THE R 5, H 1 AND IN THE MUD OVERLAY DISTRICT.

ONE WAY TO INCREASE THE DENSITY BEYOND 50 DWELLING STUDENTS PROPOSE A MIX-USE DEVELOPMENT AND THE REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL DENSITY BONUSES TO REQUIRE THE PROVISION OF SPECIFIC AMENITIES, SUCH AS ADDITIONAL PEDESTRIAN GROCERY STORE, ET CETERA.

STAFF COULD SUPPORT THE PROPOSED PROJECT IF THE DESIGN INCORPORATES MORE OPEN AND PEDESTRIAN FRIENDLY ENVIRONMENT ALONG CAMBRIDGE, OPEN LANDSCAPE BETWEEN THE ELIMINATION OF PARKING SPACES, DRIVEWAYS AND FENCES ALONG THE STREET FRONTAGE.

STAFF THEREFORE RECOMMENDS APPROVAL OF THE ZONE CHANGE AND DENIAL OF THE USE PERMIT.

WAIVER OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS AND THE DESIGN REVIEW.

IF SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS LISTED IN YOUR AGENDA.

ANY ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS FROM CIVIL ENGINEERING TO READ.

ADD TO NUMBER THREE A TURNING TEMPLATE TO SOUTHBOUND TO WESTBOUND RIGHT TURN AS ON REVISED PLAN STATED 5-19-09 AND ADD APPLICANT TO ADD THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE RIGHT TURN LANE.

PARADISE TOWN BOARD RECOMMENDS DENIAL.

TOO MUCH DENSITY TRAFFIC AND IMPACT ON THE EXISTING AREAS.

STAFF HAS RECEIVED ONE CARD IN PROTEST AND ONE CARD FOR APPROVAL.

>> GOOD MORNING MR. CHAIRMAN I'M TABATHA FIEDIMIN WITH CHRIS KEMPER.

THIS APPLICATION WAS HELD SEVERAL TIMES TO ADDRESS THE CONCERNS RAISED BY OUR NEIGHBORS, STAFF, AND COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI OFFICE, AND BY YOUR CIVIL ENGINEERING STAFF.

DURING THE TIME WE HELD THE APPLICATION, WE MET WITH THE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

WE MET ON SEVERAL OCCASION WAS OUR NEIGHBORS, THAT I WOULD TO THANK THEM FOR ALL OF THE TIME AND EFFORT THEY CAME IN INTO PUTTING UP A PROJECT PALATABLE TO THEM AND US.

WE MET WITH OUR TECHNICAL PROFESSIONAL, ARCHITECTS AND CIVIL ENGINEERING STAFF, ALL IN AN EFFORT TO ADDRESS THE CONCERNS RAISED.

THE RESULT OF ALL OF THOSE MEETINGS, AND END UP IN A PROJECT YOUR STAFF HAS REVIEWED AND WE ARE HERE TODAY THAT IS LESS DENSE, IT HAS MORE

RECREATIONAL AND OPEN SPACE AMENITIES MORE CONSISTENT WITH THE MIXED USE OVERLAY DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS.
SUPPORTED BY THE NEIGHBORS AND A PROJECT WE BELIEVE ADDRESSES THE CONCERNS RAISED BY YOUR STAFF.
ALL OF THOSE CHANGES THAT YOUR STAFF HAS HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW, WE WOULD RESPECTFULLY REQUEST YOUR APPROVAL, SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS AND WITH THE ADDITIONAL CONDITION THAT THERE BE NO DAILY AND WEEKLY RENTALS ALLOWED ON SITE.
OUR NEIGHBORS WERE CONCERNED WITH THAT AND WE MADE THE COMMITMENT WE WOULD NOT OPERATE THAT PROJECT IN THAT MANNER.
WE ARE HERE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS, IF YOU HAVE THEM.
>> REID: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.
IS ANYONE HERE THERE SPEAK?
-- I'M SORRY.
>> MY NAME IS FRED CECILIC.
I'M NERVOUS.
I AM THE -- PRESIDENT OF THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION FOR CAMBRIDGE GARDENS. WE ARE THE PROPERTY ADJACENT TO THEIRS.
I UNDERSTAND THERE WAS A NEW PLAN NOTE.
I'M NOT SURE I SAW THE NEW PLAN.
THERE'S NO UNDERGROUND PARKING?
>> RIGHT, THAT WAS THE PLAN WE GAVE YOU.
ALL WE DID WAS ELIMINATE THE UNDER GROUND PARKING BECAUSE WE ELIMINATED SO MANY UNITS, WE DIDN'T NEED THE UNDERGROUND.
>> WANT TO THANK YOU AND THE COMMISSIONERS AND COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI AND SAM VENTURA FOR WORKING WITH US, TO MAKE SURE WE GET OUR PROPERTY VALUES, BECAUSE STAY UP, BECAUSE WE HAVE THE SAME ACCESS TO OUR EASEMENT, AS WE DO.
WE REALLY APPRECIATE THAT.
THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
>> THANK YOU, FRED.
>> REID: I ASSUME YOU DON'T WANT TO RESPOND TO THAT?
COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: THANK YOU.
ACTUALLY THIS IS FUN.
PEOPLE FORGET THERE ARE HONG-TIME RESIDENTS THAT LIVED IN THIS CORRIDOR. THERE'S SEVERAL LOVELY CONDOMINIUM PROJECTS WITHIN THAT CAMBRIDGE AREA. AND I APPRECIATE FRED AND THE H.O.A.
AND THE NEIGHBORS THAT CAME DOWN AND WORKED WITH YOU.
I APPRECIATE YOU AND MR. VENTURA FOR ACCOMMODATING.
I THINK YOUR PROJECT WILL LOOK BEAUTIFUL AND ENHANCE THAT NEIGHBORHOOD, AS WELL AS THEIRS.
THE MAIN THING IS HOW DO WE GET THEM THERE.
YOU CAME UP WITH A CREATIVE DESIGN.
I THINK THE LANDSCAPING AND AMENITIES WILL ENHANCE IT AND MAKE IT.
I WOULD MOVE APPROVAL WITH THE CHANGES NOTED FROM STAFF, AS WELL AS THE CONDITION THAT NO DAILY BE INCLUDED.

>> DO YOU WANT A CONDITION AS PER THE PLANS?
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: AS PER THE PLANS SUBMITTED.
THANK YOU.
>> THANK YOU.
>> REID: YOU HEARD THE MOTION.
CAST YOUR VOTE.
MOTION CARRIES.
>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH COMMISSIONERS.
>> ITEM 33 WAS HELD.
>> REID: THIS MEETING IS RECESSED UNTIL 1:00 PM FOR THE AFTERNOON AGENDA.
>> CALL THE MEETING BACK TO ORDER.
GOOD AFTERNOON.
>> GOOD AFTERNOON, COMMISSIONERS.
THE FIRST ITEM OF BUSINESS IS THE APPROVAL OF THE AFTERNOON AGENDA AFTER
CONSIDERING ANY EDITIONS OR DELETIONS.
WE HAVE ITEM NUMBER 36, UC-163-99.
ITEM 37, UC-114-09.
HOLD TO THE MEETING PER THE APPLICANT.
ITEM 39, AG-646-09 HOLD TO NO DATE SPECIFIC PER THE APPLICANT.
THE APPLICANT IS ADVISED THAT RENOTIFICATION FEES WILL APPLY PRIOR TO
PLACING THE ITEM ON THE AGENDA.
ITEM 46 WITHDRAWAL PER THE APPLICANT.
THE ABOVE PUBLIC HEARING TIMES ARE GOING TO BE OPENED AND IMMEDIATELY
RECESSED UNTIL THE DATE PREVIOUSLY STATED.
WITH THESE DELETIONS ITEM NUMBERS 36, 37, 38 AND 46.
IF THERE ARE NO OBSERVATIONS FORE THE AUDIENCE, THE AFTERNOON AGENDA IS
READY FOR YOUR APPROVAL.
>> ANY DISCUSSION ON THE AGENDA?
YOU HEARD THE MOTION.
CAST YOUR VOTE, PLEASE.
FOR THE AUDIENCE'S INFORMATION --
>> HOLD ON.
>> MA'AM, IF YOU WANT TO SAY SOMETHING, YOU NEED TO COME UP AND SPEAK INTO
THE MICROPHONE.
>> THERE ARE SEVERAL OTHERS HERE.
>> CAN I SPEAK?
>> YEAH, SURE.
>> ON 38, THAT WAS ACTUALLY PULLED AND WE TRIED TO CONTACT ALL THE
NEIGHBORS.
MIKE SHANNON TRIED, NOT PULLED BUT --
>> NO LONGER.
>> WE TRIED OUR BEST SO SORRY FOR ANY INCONVENIENCE.
OKAY.
>> AND FOR CLARIFICATION ON THAT ITEM, NOTICES WILL BE SENT OUT.
>> I'M SORRY, SAY AGAIN?
>> NO NOTICES WILL BE SENT OUT.
HOPEFULLY YOU HEARD THAT.

IF ANYTHING CHANGES ON THAT, NEW NOTICES WOULD BE SENT OUT TO THAT COMMUNITY.

>> THANK YOU.

>> OKAY.

THAT MOTION CARRIED.

[LAUGHTER]

SO ITEM 35 IS NEXT, RIGHT?

>> FOR THE AUDIENCE'S INFORMATION ANYONE WISHING TO SPEAK SHOULD MOVE TO THE FIRST COUPLE OF ROWS.

IT IS SUGGESTED THAT A SINGLE REPRESENTATIVE BE APPOINTED TO PRESENT THE ITEMS. THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA IS ITEM 35.

UC-102-09 APPEAL AMENDED USE PERMITS FOR THE FOLLOWING -- REDUCE SEPARATION FROM TIRE SALES AND INSTALLATION TO A RESIDENTIAL USE. REDUCE THE SEPARATION FROM AN AUDIBLE MAINTENANCE TO A RESIDENTIAL USE AND ALLOW A SUNSCREENED OVERHEAD DOOR TO FACE A PUBLIC STREET PREVIOUSLY NOT NOTIFIED.

WAIVERS FOR THE FOLLOWING -- ALLOW OUTDOOR TIRE INSTALLATION, ALLOW OUTDOOR AUTOMOBILE MAINTENANCE, ELIMINATE LANDSCAPING REQUIREMENT NO LONGER NEEDED AND OFF SITE IMPROVEMENTS EXCLUDING PAVINGS. REVIEW FOR TIRE SALES INSTALLATION AND AUTOMOBILE MAINTENANCE FACILITY ON 0.4 ACRES, GENERALLY LOCATED ON THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LAKE MEAD BOULEVARD AND GATEWAY ROAD.

WITH BACKGROUND AS DESCRIBED IN YOUR AGENDA.

THIS APPLICATION IS A RESULT OF AN ACTIVE PUBLIC RESPONSE OFFICE ZONING VIOLATION.

IN REGARDS TO THE SITE OPERATING AS A TIRE INSTALLATION, TIRE SALES AND INSTALLATION FACILITY WITHOUT A SPECIAL USE PERMIT.

STAFF CANNOT SUPPORT REQUESTED USE PERMITS FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS.

A NUMBER OF POLICIES IN THE LAND USE PLAN ARE NOT BEING MET AND THE PLENTY HAS NOT SHOWN ADEQUATE JUSTIFICATION FOR EACH OF THESE REQUESTS.

STAFF IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF DEVELOPMENT STANDARD 4 DENIAL OF USE PERMIT AND 1, 2 AND 3 DENIED BY THE TOWN BOARD.

PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED THIS ITEM UNANIMOUSLY APRIL 23, 2009 PLANNING COMMITTEE SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS LISTED IN YOUR AGENDA AND THE FOLLOWING NEW CONDITIONS REQUIRED BY COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

NUMBER ONE IS INSTALL FULL SIZE ROLLUP DOORS ALONG COMMERCIAL BUILDING WITHIN 90 DAYS.

TWO YEARS REVIEW AND OBTAIN ALL OTHER FINAL INSPECTIONS AND/OR LICENSES FROM ALL APPROPRIATE AGENCIES IF NECESSARY.

ALL USE SHALL BE CONDUCTED INDOORS.

REMOVE ALL INOPERABLE VEHICLES FROM THE PROPERTY WITHIN 90 DAYS.

MAINTAIN EXISTING TREES ON SOUTH PROPERTY LINE.

REMOVE EXISTING CHAIN-LINK FENCE ON GATEWAY ROAD AND INSTALL A DECORATIVE FENCE NONCLIMBABLE WROUGHT IRON.

PLANT FOUR TREES ALONG GATEWAY ROAD AS A BUFFER BETWEEN ADJACENT RESIDENCE.

SIGNAGE SHOULD BE REVIEWED IN ONE YEAR FOR COMPLIANCE.

ALL -- CHANGES AND CIRCUMSTANCES OR REGULATIONS MAY BE A JUSTIFICATION FOR DENIAL OF THIS EXTENSION.

>> IS THE APPLICANT ON THIS MATTER PRESENT?

>> YES, SIR.

>> GOOD AFTERNOON.

>> MY NAME IS CHRISTINE CALAHAN.

>> WHAT DO YOU HAVE TO TELL US?

>> WELL, WHAT WOULD YOU LIKE TO KNOW?

HERE IS MY SITE PLAN.

>> THERE'S A MICROPHONE YOU CAN USE THERE.

>> THAT'S UPSIDE DOWN.

>> OKAY.

ALL RIGHT SO THIS IS MY LITTLE PIECE OF PROPERTY.

I'VE ALREADY BEEN THROUGH THIS PROCESS A COUPLE OF TIMES.

SO NOW I'M IN FRONT OF YOU GUYS.

I'M NOT QUITE SURE WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW AT THIS POINT IN TIME.

I HAVE EXISTING TREES ALONG THE SOUTH WALL.

AND BACK HERE ON THIS SIDE IS THE ONLY RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBOR NEAR THE PROPERTY.

AND EXISTING TREES UP TO ABOUT HERE.

THEN FROM HERE THE BLOCK WALL GOES OUT ABOUT -- I'M NOT SURE HOW FAR, ANOTHER 20 OR 30 FEET AND CHAIN-LINK FROM THERE TO THE CORNER.

MRS. GREEN BEHIND ME HERE HAS BEEN GRANDFATHERED IN.

HER APART STICKS OUT 30 FEET INTO GATEWAY HERE.

WHEN LAKE MEAD WAS REDONE IN THE 90s, THEY -- I'M NOT SURE WHERE TO GO HERE.

THE COUNTY PUT IN THIS SIDEWALK AND STOPPED IT HERE BECAUSE OF THE INDECISION AND THE DIFFICULTY BETWEEN MRS. GREEN'S PROPERTY THAT STICKS OUT AND WHERE THIS SIDEWALK ENDED.

SO WHERE I'M AT RIGHT NOW IS I APPLIED -- THEY REQUESTED I PUT UP A ROLLUP DOOR ON THE FRONT OF THE SHOP.

THIS IS THE COMMERCIAL PART OF THE PROPERTY, RIGHT HERE THIS BUILDING.

OKAY.

>> IF YOU DO --

>> YEAH.

THE ONLY QUESTION THAT I HAD WAS THE FOUR TREES ALONG GATEWAY.

THAT WAS A MISUNDERSTANDING ON MY PART.

AND I'M NOT SURE WHERE THEY WOULD BE PLACED.

I HAVE TO HAVE A GATE HERE.

I THOUGHT THOSE FOUR TREES WENT HERE.

YEAH, SURE.

I'LL AGREE.

>> COMMISSIONER -- LET'S SEE IF THERE'S ANYBODY ELSE HERE TO SPEAK.

THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

ANYBODY ELSE HERE TO SPEAK?

I'LL CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.

COMMISSIONER COLLINS, THIS IS YOUR DISTRICT.

>> WITH ALL DUE RESPECT TO THE COMMISSIONER, I'M SURE THEY'VE DONE DUE DILIGENCE IN THE AREA.

MY QUESTION IS WHAT ARE THE HOURS OF OPERATION?

>> GOOD QUESTION.

I'M NOT SURE PROBABLY -- I WOULD SAY 8:00 TO 5:00 OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. I'M NOT REALLY SURE.

>> OKAY.

I APPRECIATE THAT.

WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE SEPARATION BETWEEN YOUR PROPERTY LINE AND THE NEAREST RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES, I HAVE SOMETHING THAT WAS APPROVED OVER IN NOT TOO FAR FROM HERE THAT IS A TOTAL NIGHTMARE TO THE RESIDENTS.

I WOULD NEVER WISH THAT AGAIN ABUTTING RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES THAT ANYBODY OF THIS NATURE BE GRANTED 24 HOURS TO DO ANY TYPE OF AUTO MECHANIC, VARIOUS AUTOMOBILES THAT YOU WON'T HAVE TRUCKS THAT SIT THERE AND IDLING AND HAVE TIRES STACKED 50' HIGH.

>> NO.

ACTUALLY, I HAVE A LETTER OF AGREEMENT FROM MRS. GREEN.

I HAD MY VOTE FOR 25 YEARS AND LIVED IN THAT HOUSE.

SHE'S A FRIEND OF MINE.

THE PREVIOUS TENANT WHO DID TIRES, SHE HAD NO OBSERVATION TO HIM AND I GOT A LETTER FROM HER ABOUT THIS NEW TENANT AND SHE HAS NO OBSERVATIONS.

>> I KNOW COMMISSIONER COLLINS LOOKS OUT FOR HIS CONSTITUENTS AND I WANTED THOSE ON THE RECORD.

>> IT'S A VIABLE CONCERN.

I AGREE.

>> COMMISSIONER, DID YOU MAKE A MOTION?

>> I WAS GETTING READY TO.

THE REASON THIS GOT APPEALED.

I SAW HER AT THE TOWN BOARD MEETING AND WATCHED AGAIN AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING.

APPEAL THIS TO CLARIFY THE GARAGE DOOR HAS TO BE A 12' DOOR OR LARGER BECAUSE WE HAVE TO SPECIFY THE SIZE OF THE DOOR AND SHE UNDERSTANDS THAT. THE LANDSCAPING THAT CAN BE FOR DROUGHT TOLERANT TREES OR LOW WATER USE TREES ON THE GATEWAY SIDE.

AND ALSO PUBLIC WORKS NEEDS TO, I BELIEVE, EVACUATE 10' BACK TO HER AND THAT WASN'T DEALT WITH AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

YOU CAN TOUCH ON THAT.

>> RIGHT.

ADDITIONAL 10' OF DEDICATION DONE IN ERROR.

SO YOU WANT THE COUNTY TO INITIATE THE VACATION OF THE 10'?

>> COUNTY WILL GIVE BACK THE LAND BEFORE THE FENCE.

>> WE'LL INITIATE THAT.

>> AND WORKING WITH JEANIE AND NANCY AND OUR STAFF IN THE OFFICE.

THE BUSINESSES THERE, THE GUY ROLLS THE TIRES OUT AROUND 8:00 IN THE MORNING.

THEY SHUT IT DOWN BEFORE DARK.

I'VE BEEN OUT THERE FOUR OR FIVE TIMES VISITING.

WE HAVE A BIG ISSUE WITH THE TRAILER PARK OTHER SIDE OF GATEWAY.
HEAVY ISSUES.
CLEANING THAT UP AND GETTING RID OF THE DRUG DEALERS IN THAT AREA.
ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THAT SINGLE FAMILY HOME IS A LARGE PARKING LOT AND A
CHURCH.
IT'S LIKE AN ISLAND.
THIS ONE HOME IS LIKE AN ISLAND THERE AND SHE'S BEEN VERY GOOD TO JUST BE
WILLING TO STAY LIVING THERE WITH ALL THAT'S GOING ON IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD
ALL THESE YEARS.
THE MOTION FOR APPROVAL SUBJECT TO THESE CONDITIONS ADDING THAT THE PUBLIC
WORKS WILL GET THIS DONE.
SHE'S GOT 90 DAYS.
AND THE FOLKS SHE'S WRITTEN TO ARE WILLING TO MOVE THE BUSINESS INDOORS
AND NOT HAVE AN OUTSIDE TIRE STORE GOING ON.
SHE'S GOT 90 DAYS TO GET THAT GARAGE DOOR UP AND GET THEM INSIDE THERE.
GIVE HER UP TO TWO YEARS TO GET THE VACATION TAKEN CARE OF AND THE
DEDICATIONS AND TAKE DOWN A RATTY TEMPORARY PLANT, 6' CHAIN-LINK FENCE AND
NICE DECORATIVE IRON AND FOUR OR FIVE TREES AND DESERT MULCH WHERE SHE
DOESN'T HAVE PAVING.
THAT'S MY MOTION TO APPROVE.
>> YOU HEARD THE MOTION.
>> COMMISSIONER?
THE PLANNING COMMISSION DENIED DEVELOPMENT OF NUMBER 1 AND 2 AND WITHDRAWN
STANDARD 3 WHICH DOES NOT ALLOW ALL THE OUTSIDE USERS SO THAT'S STANDARD,
RIGHT?
>> THEY'RE NOT DOING ANY WORK OUTSIDE.
THEY'RE GOING TO ROLL THEM INSIDE.
THEY'RE GETTING AFTER ALL THAT.
>> THANK YOU.
>> COMMISSIONER HAS A QUESTION.
>> ALL OUTSIDE OR INSIDE.
>> WE'RE HELPING THEM GET INSIDE.
THEY WERE EVERYWHERE BEFORE BUT NOW HELPING THEM JUST INSIDE.
>> OKAY.
IF YOU SAY SO.
>> YOU'VE HEARD THE MOTION.
CAST YOUR VOTE.
MOTION CARRIES.
>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.
>> ITEM 36, 37 AND 38 HAVE BEEN HELD.
39 IS A RECOMMENDATION THAT THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS DISCUSS
PROCEDURES REGARDING CONTINUANCE OF APPLICATION AND DIRECT STAFF
ACCORDINGLY.
THE APPROVAL SHALL NOT GRANT MORE THAN TWO CONTINUANCE AS ON THE SAME
MATTER UNLESS WARRANTED BY THE DETERMINATION OF GOOD CAUTION BY THE
APPLICANT.

THERE WAS A CONCERN THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION MAY HOLD APPLICATIONS TOO MANY TIMES TO THE DETRIMENT OF CONCERNED CITIZENS.

>> COMMISSIONER SISOLAK ASKED THIS BE ON THE AGENDA, I BELIEVE.

>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR.

AND I'M LOOKING FOR GUIDANCE, NOT JUST STAFF.

I'M IN MY PROBATION PERIOD STILL SINCE WE GOT THAT EXTENSION APPROVED.

WHAT'S HAPPENED IS I'VE HAD TWO OR THREE OR FOUR ITEMS AND 30 NEIGHBORS SHOW UP IN OPPOSITION AND THE APPLICANT SAYS I WANT IT HELD AND THEY GO HOME AND COME BACK AGAIN WHEN THERE'S ONLY 15 NEIGHBORS THERE.

>> YOU AND YOUR PROCEDURE AND I APPRECIATE GIVING A CHANCE TO SPEAK.

THESE PEOPLE GO ALL THE WAY DOWN THERE AND GO HOME ANGRY.

THEY HAVE NO WAY TO MAKE THEIR POINT HEARD.

THE COMMISSIONER WHO SAYS, WAIT A MINUTE, PEOPLE WHO WANT TO SPEAK AND THE APPLICANT KNOWING THEY'RE GOING TO OBJECT SAYS LET ME TAKE A BETTER SHOT IN A MONTH AND GRINDS THE FOLKS DOWN WHERE THEY GET DISGUSTED WITH THE PROCESS, THE COMMISSION AND I DON'T KNOW HOW TO DEAL WITH IT.

I DON'T KNOW IF I'M THE ONLY ONE WHO HAS THIS PROBLEM.

>> COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> YOU'RE NOT THE ONLY ONE.

IT'S GOTTEN BETTER.

WE'VE HAD WORSE PROBLEMS AND DISCUSSED IT INDIVIDUALLY AS BOARD MEMBERS FROM TIME TO TIME.

I MENTIONED TO YOU THAT I PULLED STAFF HAD GIVEN ME THE COPY OF THE BYLAWS OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

THEY DO CRAFT THEIR OWN BUT HAVEN'T BEEN UPDATED SINCE THE 90s AND A LOT OF LANGUAGE MISSING IN THERE FIRST OF ALL.

PART OF THE DIRECTION FOR SOME OF THE COMMISSION --ERS TO WORK WITH OUR STAFF AND THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS AVAILABLE I PULLED TO TAKE A LOOK AT TO UPDATE.

BUT ONE SECTION IN THE BYLAW THAT SAYS IT'S UP TO THE CALL OF THE CHAIR SOLELY.

>> YOU COULD USE THAT HERE.

>> I DON'T WANT TO GIVE YOU IDEAS.

MY THOUGHT MIGHT BE AS THEY LOOK AT THEIR UPDATE, IF INDIVIDUALS COME TO TESTIFY THAT THEY BE PERMITTED EVEN IF THAT ITEM IS HELD SO THEY CAN MAKE THEIR PUBLIC RECORD IF THAT'S THE CASE.

IT SEEMS TO ME THE BYLAWS HAVE TO BE AMENDED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION ITSELF.

THAT MIGHT BE AN ALTERNATIVE ROUTE AND MAKE THE REQUEST SOME LANGUAGE ALONG THE LINES INCLUDED SO THAT PEOPLE ARE NOT DISENFRANCHISED THAT SHOW UP BECAUSE SOMETHING HAS BEEN ROLLED OR TABLED.

COMMISSIONER BRAGER AND THE SAME WITH COLLINS.

WORSE FOR THOSE OF US NOT IN AN URBAN AREA.

THAT'S A THOUGHT.

>> COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

>> MR. CHAIRMAN, IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT EVERYONE THAT'S THERE SHOULD BE TOLD TO COME DOWN AND SIGN UP AND BE ON THE RECORD OR WALK UP AND PUT THEIR NAME AND ADDRESS ON THE RECORD.

WHEN I WAS A PLANNING COMMISSION YEARS AGO THAT'S WHAT I WOULD DO. A BUNCH OF PEOPLE HERE IN PROTEST AND NOT GOING TO LET THIS APPLICANT WALK OUT OF HERE AND MAKE THEM ALL MAD BECAUSE I KNOW HOW THEY ACTED. THAT'S WHERE I STARTED.

THEY SHOULD ALL BE ABLE TO WALK UP, GIVE THEIR NAME AND ADDRESS OR HAVE A PETITION SIGNED OF WHO IS THERE AND NOTIFIED OF THE REHEARING AND THERE SHOULD BE BETTER REASON GIVEN WHY THEY WANT TO HOLD IT.

IF THEY SAY THEY'RE HAVING A NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING AND DON'T HAVE A MEETING WITH THE FOLKS INVITED, YOU OUGHT TO BE DENYING THEM.

WE CAN GET STRONG ENOUGH TO DENY THE APPLICATION.

YOU'RE RIGHT.

THEY WAIT FOR MONTHS PUTTING IT OFF LIKE WE HAD AN ITEM HERE HELD FIVE TIMES BEFORE IT GOT HERE.

TWO TIMES.

>> DID I INTERRUPT YOU?

I THINK THAT A COUPLE OF THINGS.

SOMETIMES IT'S IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST TO HAVE THE ITEM HELD.

OFTEN TIMES MORE RESPONSIBLE APPLICANT WILL SEE THERE'S A NUMBER OF PROTESTS AND WILL UNDERSTAND THAT BY THE TIME IT GETS TO THIS BOARD, IT'S GOING TO MATTER AND THEY ASK TO MEET WITH THE APPLICANTS AND IT'S HELD FOR THAT PURPOSE SO THAT THERE CAN BE A POTENTIAL COMPROMISE.

I DON'T THINK WE WANT TO GET IN THE WAY OF THAT.

HOWEVER I THINK SOMETHING IT'S ABUSED.

AND I GUESS WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST BEFORE WE TAKE ACTION.

WE HAVE TO HAVE SOME RESPECT FOR THE PLANNING COMMISSIONERS AND MAYBE AND I THINK THIS IS CONSISTENT WITH WHAT WAS SAID MAYBE WE SHOULD SIMPLY SAY WE HAVE A CONCERN AND ASK THEM TO REVIEW THEIR POLICIES OR PROCEDURES AND TELL US WHAT THEY THINK SHOULD BE DONE, IF ANYTHING.

AND THEN WE CAN REVIEW THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS AND ACT.

I THINK WE OUGHT TO AT LEAST ASK THEIR OPINION BECAUSE THEY AS FAR AS I CAN TELL ARE DEDICATED FOLKS AND WORK REAL HARD AND I THINK THEY SHOULD HAVE SOME ECONOMY EVEN CAN -- IF WE APPOINT THEM.

>> I HAVE A MEETING TONIGHT AT 6:00 WITH PLANNING COMMISSIONERS AND TOWN BOARD.

WE HAVE BEEN HEARING BACK AND FORTH OF ISSUES AMONG SOMETIMES NOT ALWAYS NEGATIVELY SO, THEMSELVES.

CHIP MAXFIELD IS GOING TO CHAIR IT.

>> WHO'S THAT?

>> I DON'T KNOW.

IT SOUNDED LIKE A GOOD NAME.

HE SAT AN A BOARD AND FOUND HE WOULD BE GOOD REPRESENTATION TO TAKE -- I DIDN'T WANT TO CONTROL IT BECAUSE IT'S EVERYBODY'S MEMBERS.

BUT I THOUGHT IT WAS A GOOD TIME TO GET TOGETHER AND YOU SHOULD HAVE RECEIVED INFORMATION IN REGARDS TO THAT.

>> I'M SURE THIS WILL BE A TOP IDEA AND MAYBE WE CAN HEAR THAT BEFORE WE TAKE ANY ACTION.
I DON'T KNOW IF YOU HAD SOMETHING SPECIFIC IN MIND, COMMISSIONER.
>> I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING SPECIFIC.
>> ON THE COMMISSION HERE WE GIVE DIFFERENCE TO THE DISTRICT IT IS. YOU KNOW THE DISTRICT BETTER.
DON'T LOOK AT ME LIKE THAT, TOM.
BUT ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION, I DON'T THINK THE PERSON WHOSE DISTRICT IT IS, MAYBE THEY PAY ATTENTION.
ONE THAT WAS A SCHOOL THAT'S GOTTEN HELD THREE TIMES NOW, I THINK. TRYING TO DELAY IT LONG ENOUGH.
METRO HAS BEEN OUT THERE.
EVERYBODY'S BEEN OUT THERE BUT WANTED TO GET THROUGH THE SCHOOL YEAR. AND SAFETY ISSUES AND EVERYTHING ELSE.
PLANNING COMMISSIONERS SAYING HOLD, HOLD, HOLD.
FINALLY YESTERDAY SAID KNOW.
THEY ACCOMPLISHED WHAT THEY WANTED BY DELAYING THIS THING SIX MONTHS. IT DOESN'T SEEM FAIR TO THE NEIGHBORS.
AND YOU'RE RIGHT, AN AUTONOMOUS BODY.
WHEN WE HAVE CONSTITUENTS THAT SHOW UP TO SPEAK AND TURNED AWAY. AND NOT JUST TURNED AWAY BUT TURNED AWAY ANGRY AND WE FEEL WE'RE NOT DOING A GOOD JOB REPRESENTING THEM.
OFTEN TIMES PEOPLE DON'T TREAT THEM THE WAY YOU DO.
>> I'M TELLING THEM WE KNOW THEY ARE CONCERNED AND ASK THEIR VIEW.
>> I'M GOOD WITH THAT.
>> COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.
>> AND COMMISSIONER BRAGER HAS THE OPPORTUNITY BUT BYLAWS NEED TO BE UPDATED.
THEY DON'T HAVE THE RIGHT REFERENCES THAT DON'T COMPLY WITH THE UPDATE. MY COMMISSIONERS HERE BUFFY AND THAT'S SOMETHING THEY CAN WORK THROUGH AS A PLANNING COMMISSION.
>> COMMISSIONER BUFFY TO YOU.
>> COMMISSIONER BUFFY.
SHE CALLS THE STRIPPINGS, LET ME -- CALLS THE STRINGS.
LET ME TELL YOU.
THAT IS IN ADDITION.
YOU CAN COME BACK WITH RECOMMENDATIONS AND THE STAFF STILL RUNS INTO CONCERNS IT CAN COME BACK TO US AS A BOARD IN THE FINAL PART OF THIS. I THINK IT'S MARIO THAT GETS STUCK WITH THAT.
>> SO DO YOU WANT THEM TO REVISE THEIR BYLAWS AND BRING A REPORT BACK TO YOU TO SAY -- SUGGESTIONS?
>> INCLUDING HOW THEY MIGHT SUGGEST HANDLING CIRCUMSTANCES SUCH AS WHAT COMMISSIONER SISOLAK IS ALLEGING OR TALKING ABOUT VERSUS THE CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE IT'S IN THE BEST INTEREST TO HOLD THEM AND BIFURCATE THAT LANGUAGE TO DEAL WITH THAT.
YES, THEY CAN COME BACK.
I'M HAPPY TO GIVE MY NOTES OVER TO WHAT I WAS WORKING ON.

THANK YOU.

>> COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

>> KRIS HELPED PASS SOME OF THESE STATUTES ON THE TOWN BOARDS AND LEGISLATURE AND WE ARE BOUND BY THE N.R.S.

TO DO THESE.

THE LIAISON TOWN BOARD HAVE MEETINGS AND TRAININGS HOW TO CONDUCT THE MEETINGS.

AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION FOLKS, THEY NEED TO KNOW WHY THEY ARE FOLLOWING THE THINGS AND WHEN THEY CAN LEGITIMATELY HOLD SOMETHING AND WHEN THEY CAN ADDRESS IT AND SEND IT FORWARD.

THEY CAN HOLD IT FOR A LONG TIME OR RECOGNIZE WHATEVER THE OUTCOME IS, IT WILL APPEAL TO THE COMMISSION AND LET IT COME FORWARD AND BE DONE WITH IT. THEY NEED TO RECOGNIZE THE OPTIONS AND TAKE CARE OF BUSINESS IN A TIMELY MATTER SO WE CAN GET IT DONE.

I APPRECIATE BRINGING THIS UP TO RECOGNIZE IT.

I COULD ASK ROB, IS THIS A TIME TO DISCUSS TOWN BOARD AREAS VERSUS TERRITORY AND POPULATION?

>> NO, I DON'T THINK IT'S RIGHT.

>> GOOD.

GOOD, ROB.

[LAUGHTER]

>> COMMISSIONER, DO YOU WANT TO HOLD THIS FOR SOME PERIOD OF TIME?

[LAUGHTER]

>> THANK YOU.

I'D LIKE TO HOLD THIS, MR. CHAIR.

AND I TOTALLY APPRECIATE WHEN IT'S HELD FOR A NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING, THAT'S TERRIFIC.

THAT'S GREAT AND EVERYBODY GETS TOGETHER.

I DON'T WANT TO INCONVENIENCE AND YOU ARE GRACIOUS ALLOWING EVERYBODY THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK AND THEY SHOULD BE AFFORDED THE SAME OPPORTUNITY.

SOME OF THESE FOLKS DON'T UNDERSTAND THE DIFFERENCE.

THEY THINK THEY COME AND SPEAK AND BE NOTICES AND THEY COME AND THAT'S IT AND NOT UNDERSTANDING THE INTRICACIES BECAUSE THEY DON'T DO THIS AS OFTEN AS WE DO.

I'D LIKE THOSE SENTIMENTS CONVEYED TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND THEY DO A GREAT JOB, COMMISSIONER.

I DON'T MEAN TO IMPLY YOU'RE NOT DOING A GREAT JOB.

I'M CONCERNED ABOUT OUR CONSTITUENTS AS YOU ARE AND I WANT THEM TO HAVE THEIR SAY SO AND MAKE THEIR POINTS.

>> GIVE HER THE MICROPHONE.

>> IF I MAY, MR. CHAIR, COMMISSIONERS.

I'M VIVIAN COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI'S REPRESENTATIVE.

WE DO, WE TAKE THAT INTO ACCOUNT.

FOR THE MOST PART IF SOMEONE DOES COME UP, AN APPLICANT, WE DON'T FIND OUT THERE'S GOING TO BE A HOLD UNTIL MONDAY FOR OUR TUESDAY AND THURSDAY MEETINGS.

DON'T NORMALLY SEE IT THE DAY OF THE MEETING.

SOMETIMES THAT DOES HAPPEN AND WE GET A LOT OF PEOPLE SOMETIMES.

BUT WE HAVE TYPICALLY IN THE PAST FOR THE LAST SIX YEARS I'VE BEEN ON THE COMMISSION GRANTED THAT FIRST HOLD.

AND AFTER THAT WE DON'T NORMALLY HOLD AGAIN AND WE HAVE A NO-SHOW.

WE WILL HAVE STAFF CALL THE APPLICANT AND SAY SHOW.

AND IF YOU DON'T, WE'LL GO AHEAD AND HEAR IT ANYWAY.

WE TRY AND GIVE THAT CONSIDERATION, TOO, TO EVERYBODY.

WE TRY AND BE CONSISTENT.

FOR THE PAST SIX YEARS WE HAVE BEEN.

I CAN THINK OF OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD ONE THAT THERE WAS A LOT OF HOLDS.

WE HAD NO NEIGHBORS OPPOSING.

A PROBLEM WITH THEM.

AND WE HAD A PROBLEM BECAUSE THE APPLICANT PASSED AWAY.

THAT WAS A YEAR HOLD MONTH AFTER MONTH AFTER MONTH.

WE TYPICALLY DO NOT HOLD MORE THAN ONCE AND I CAN SAY THAT VERY HONESTLY IF WE GO BACK AND LOOK AT OUR RECORDS.

ESPECIALLY IF THERE IS OPPOSITION TO THE APPLICATION, WE DON'T WANT TO HOLD IT LONGER THAN WE HAVE TO.

>> RIGHT.

MR. CHAIR, IF I COULD ASK YOU A QUESTION.

DO YOU GET TO HOLD THE FIRST TIME FOR ANY REASON?

I'D LIKE IT HELD.

IS IT AUTOMATICALLY HELD?

>> NO.

IF STAFF TELLS US ON MONDAY AT OUR BRIEFING, WE'LL ASK WHAT'S GOING ON OR STAFF WILL GIVE US AN ANSWER.

IF IT'S DONE AT THE MEETING, WE TRY AND LOOK AT IT, ARE YOU GOING TO BE TALKING TO THE NEIGHBORS?

ARE YOU GOING TO REDESIGN?

SOMETIMES BETWEEN TOWN BOARD AND OUR MEETING WHICH IN SOME CASES IS LESS THAN A WEEK, THEY'VE DECIDED BECAUSE OF ALL THE OPPOSITION THAT THEY WANT TO REDESIGN AND DO ANOTHER LOOK AT THIS.

SO ASK FOR A HOLD.

BECAUSE WE WANT TO MITIGATE AS MUCH AS WE CAN WITH THE NEIGHBORS, IF THAT'S WHAT WE DO, WHAT WE WANT THEM TO DO IS GET WITH THE NEIGHBORS AND SHOW THEM WHAT THEY ARE DOING SO THE NEIGHBORS CAN SAY THAT WASN'T GOOD ENOUGH FOR US AND GO AHEAD AND HEAR IT.

>> THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR.

>> THANK YOU.

>> SO WHAT'S REASONABLE FOR THIS INFORMATION TO BE GATHERED AND GET THIS BACK TO US?

THE STAFF?

>> COMMISSIONERS, I'LL BE WORKING WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION.
WE'LL PLACE AN ITEM ON THE NEXT BRIEFING.
I'M NOT SURE WHAT DATE THAT IS.
DISCUSS THAT WITH THEM AND GO OVER THEIR RULES AND PROCEDURES.
I'M SURE ROB WILL BE INVOLVED.
I'LL DRAG HIM INTO IT.
CAN'T SAY FOR SURE HOW LONG IT WILL TAKE BUT WE'LL WORK ON IT AS QUICKLY
AS POSSIBLE.
>> COMMISSIONER SISOLAK, WHAT'S YOUR PLEASURE?
>> ABOUT 60 DAYS?
>> WE CAN HOLD THIS FOR 60 DAYS.
THAT'S FINE.
>> NO, IF YOU WOULD JUST EXPRESS OUR SENTIMENT IN YOUR MEETING.
COMMISSIONER, IT'S A GREAT IDEA AND THE FIRST TO AGREE IF IT'S FOR A
REDESIGN NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING IN TOTAL SUPPORT OF THOSE.
WHEN IT'S FOR NO REASON AND THE RESIDENTS ARE JUST FRUSTRATED THAT'S WHEN
I HEAR ABOUT IT.
MY LIAISON AND PLANNING COMMISSIONER CALL ME GOING YOU GOT A BUNCH OF
UPSET NEIGHBORS AND THE NEXT DAY MY PHONE IS RINGING OFF THE HOOK AND WHAT
I TRY TO AVOID AND PAY DEFERENCE TO THE COMMISSIONER WHOSE DISTRICT IT IS
BECAUSE THEY KNOW IT BETTER.
IF YOU COULD GET THE POINTS ACROSS, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.
>> WE WILL.
AND JUST BE SURE NOT SPECIFICALLY HOLD THIS ITEM, A DIFFERENT AGENDA ITEM
WITH DIFFERENT WORDING ON YOUR AGENDA.
>> SO WE'VE DONE EVERYTHING YOU NEED TO DO.
>> YES.
>> NEXT ITEM, PLEASE.
>> ITEM 40 THROUGH 44 REQUEST PUBLIC HEARING JUNE 3.
ITEM 40, ORD-627-09 REQUEST THE COMMISSIONERS INTRODUCE AN ORDINANCE TO
NOTIFY REGISTERED PROPERTY OR HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION OF LAND USE
APPLICATIONS WITHIN A SPECIFIED RADIUS OF THE ASSOCIATION AND DIRECT STAFF
ACCORDINGLY.
>> I'LL INTRODUCE THE ORDINANCE AND SET FOR THE DATE AND TIME REQUESTED.
>> ITEMS 41 THROUGH 44 ARE ORDINANCES TO REZONE THE ZONING MAP AS APPROVED
BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS THROUGH ZONE APPLICATIONS THROUGH ASSESSOR'S
BOOKS 140, 161, 162, 163, 176, 177 AND 204.
ASSESSOR'S BOOKS 125, 140, AND 161.
ASSESSOR'S BOOKS 162, 163 AND 176, AND 177, 191, 243 AND 264.
>> I'LL INTRODUCE THE ORDINANCE AND SET THE HEARING FOR THE DATE AND TIME
REQUESTED.
>> ITEM 45 IS AN ORDINANCE FOR PUBLIC HEARING.
ORD-563-09 TO CONSIDER AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND TITLES 10 AND 30 TO ESTABLISH
PROVISIONS TO INCREASE NUMBER OF DOGS OR CATS ALLOWED WITHIN
UNINCORPORATED CLARK COUNTY.
>> THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.
>> OKAY.

THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.
ANYONE HERE TO SPEAK.
I'LL CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.
>> MOTION FOR APPROVAL.
>> CAST YOUR VOTE.
MOTION CARRIES.
>> ITEM 46 HAS BEEN HELD.
>> ITEM 47 ORDINANCE FOR PUBLIC HEARING.
ORD-574-09, AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP RECLASSIFYING
CERTAIN PROPERTIES AS APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
THROUGH VARIOUS ZONE CHANGE APPLICATION IN ASSESSOR'S BOOKS 41, 70, 140,
161 AND 176 AND 177.
THIS WAS LAST VOTED IN ERROR.
>> THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING?
I'LL CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.
I'LL MAKE A MOTION.
CAST YOUR VOTES.
MOTION CARRIES.
IT'S TIME SET ASIDE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.
ANYONE HERE TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS COME FORWARD AND
STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD.
BEFORE WE GET TO THAT, IF I COULD POINT OUT THAT CENTER RAIL THERE, IT'S
SHAKING.
I KNOW YOU'RE NOT GOING TO CLOSE DOWN THE BUILDING.
BUT IT'S GOING TO FALL DOWN.
IT WASN'T LIKE THAT BEFORE HE STARTED COMING TO MEETINGS, WAS IT, BILL?
>> I'M NEW HERE, TOO.
COMMISSIONER, I'LL SEE YOU AT THE MEETING TONIGHT.
I'VE GOT ONE PROBLEM -- NOT A PROBLEM BUT GOES UNDER THE SAME THING.
WHEN A TOWN BOARD AND THE STAFFS RECOMMENDATION DON'T MATCH.
THE ITEM IS ON A CONSENT AGENDA AND IT PASSES AND WHAT HAPPENS IS THE TOWN
BOARDS RECOMMENDATION JUST GO AWAY.
I LIKE GET THAT STRAIGHTENED OUT.
IT'S 60-MILES TO COME DOWN HERE AND FILE AN APPEAL AND SHOULDN'T BE
NECESSARY.
>> I'M GLAD YOU'RE COMING TONIGHT.
>> I'LL SEE YOU THERE.
I'LL MISS SAM'S TOWN JUST FOR THAT MEETING.
>> ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK?
>> MR. CHAIRMAN, UPDATING THE BYLAWS AT SOME OF THESE TOWN BOARDS GETTING
THEIR MINUTES AND BYLAWS AND RULES AND REGULATIONS UPDATED AND IN
COMPLIANCE WITH HOW WE DO WHAT THE LAW SAYS THEY HAVE TO DO AND THE COUNTY
POLICY ON THINGS.
IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO COVER, TOO, I WONDER?
OKAY.

>> WE'RE GOING TO LOOK AT ANYTHING THEY'D ELK TO BRING UP AND CONTINUE A COHESIVE TIME ALONG WITH STAFF SO THERE'S NOT CONFLICTING WHAT ISN'T AND WHY DON'T WE KNOW AND I THINK IT WILL BE HELPFUL.

>> COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> DOWN THE ROAD I'M AMAZED HOW MANY WATCH CHANNEL 4.

WE COULD TAKE GROUPS THAT HAVE FORMED AND ARE ACTIVE AND HAVE THEM DO INTERVIEWS OUR TELEVISION STATION.

HOW DO YOU FIND OUT THE PROCESS?

WHAT'S THE FIRST STEP WHEN YOU GET THIS CARD IN THE MAIL?

JUST ONE MORE WAY TO EDUCATE THE PUBLIC.

SO THAT MIGHT BE SOMETHING TO TALK TO FOLKS ABOUT FOR INTEREST ON THAT PART.

>> MR. CHAIRMAN?

CHANNEL 96.

A GREAT RODEO AND ON AGAIN FRIDAY.

>> DID YOU HEAR THE COLOR COMMENTATOR?

>> ON THE RODEO.

>> THIS MEETING MERCIFULLY IS ADJOURNED.