

>> GOOD MORNING MR. CHAIRMAN.
>> REID: WE'LL CALL THIS MEETING TO ORDER.
PLEASE RISE WITH ME BY THE INVOCATION BY REVEREND PAULLY FROM CHRIST.
AFTER WHICH WE WILL HAVE THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.
>> OUR HEAVENLY FATHER.
GIVE TO EACH ONE OF THESE COMMISSIONERS THE SPIRIT OF WISDOM, CHARITY AND
JUSTICE THAT WITH STEAD-FAST PURPOSE THEY MAY FAITHFULLY SERVE IN THEIR
OFFICES.
TO PROMOTE THE WELL-BEING OF ALL PEOPLE.
STRENGTHEN THEIR EFFORTS TO BLOT OUT IGNORANCE AND PREJUDICE AND ABOLISH
POVERTY AND CRIME.
AND HASTEN THE DAY WHEN ALL PEOPLE ARE UNITED WITH DIGNITY AND IN PEACE,
AMEN.
>> I PLEDGE ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
AND TO THE REPUBLIC, FOR WHICH IT STANDS, ONE NATION, UNDER GOD,
INDIVISIBLE WITH LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL.
>> REID: GOOD MORNING.
>> GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS.
ITEM ONE IS APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING ON FEBRUARY 17,
2009.
>> REID: ANY DISCUSSION ON THE MINUTES?
>> BRAGER: MOTION FOR APPROVAL.
>> REID: THERE'S A MOTION FOR APPROVAL.
MY COMPUTER IS DOWN, SO THAT'S A PROBLEM.
IF I COULD -- .
>> REID: WHILE WE GO GET HELP.
ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE.
>> AYE.
>> REID: ANYONE OPPOSED?
>> MOTION CARRIES.
THE MOTION WAS TO APPROVE THE MINUTES.
IT HAS PASSED.
>> ITEM 2 IS APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA WITH AN ADDENDUM OF EMERGENCY OR
DELETION.
WITH INCLUSION OF ONE ITEM WE HAVE 117 ITEMS ON THE AGENDA.
STAFF IS REQUESTING ITEM 55 BE DELETED FROM YOUR AGENDA.
STAFF IS REQUESTING ITEM 36 BE DELETED.
ITEM 34 IN THE CONSENT AGENDA.
AND ITEM 115 UNDER THE BUSINESS SECTION MAY BE HELD UNTIL -- SHOULD BE
HELD UNTIL THE MAY 5, 2009 BOARD MEETING.
I WOULD LIKE TO READ A CORRECTION ON THE BACKUP OF 117 UNDER THE BUSINESS
SECTION.
UNDER THE SUMMARY SECOND SENTENCE THE DATE DECEMBER 12, 2009 SHOULD BE
CHANGED TO DECEMBER 12, 2008.
>> REID: ANY DISCUSSION ON THE AGENDA?
>> I DIDN'T HEAR A WORD OF THAT.
>>.

>> BRAGER: MOTION FOR APPROVAL AS STATED WITH DELETIONS AND HOLDS.
>> REID: ANY DISCUSSION?
>> YEAH.
>> REID: COMMISSIONER COLLINS.
>> COLLINS: IT IS JUST APPROVING THE AGENDA?
>> REID: WE'LL GET TO THE CONSENT.
>>.
>> COLLINS: I MAKE A MOTION.
>> REID: WE WILL VOTE ON SUSAN'S MOTION.
CAST YOUR VOTE.
>> REID: MOTION CARRIES.
>> SECTION TWO IS THE CONSENT AGENDA.
ITEM 3 THROUGH 99 COMPRISE THE CONSENT AGENDA.
ITEM 34 HAS BEEN HELD FOR TWO WEEKS.
ITEMS 35 AND 55 HAVE BEEN DELETED.
>> REID: ANY DISCUSSION ON THE CONSENT AGENDA.
COMMISSIONER COLLINS?
>> COLLINS: YEAH I WOULD LIKE TO PULL ITEM 37.
>> REID: 37?
OKAY.
OTHERS?
ANY DISCLOSURES?
THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT I'LL ABSTAIN ON ITEMS 62 AND 63 BECAUSE OF WORK
THAT MY FIRM DOES FOR AN EFFECTED PARTY.
OTHER DISCUSSION?
>> BRAGER: MOTION FOR APPROVAL HAVE ITEM 37 TAKEN SEPARATE.
>> REID: YOU'VE HEARD THE MOTION.
CAST YOUR VOTE.
>> MR. CHAIRMAN.
>> REID: COMMISSIONER BROWN.
>> BROWN: ON ITEM 9 I WOULD LIKE TO DISCLOSE I'M A BOARD MEMBER FOR
CATHOLIC CHARITY.
I WILL EXCUSE MYSELF ON NUMBER 9.
>> REID: THE RECORD WILL REFLECT THAT.
OTHERS?
COMMISSIONER WEEKLY.
>> WEEKLY: FOR THE RECORD, YOUR HONOR I SERVE IS AS THE SOUTHERN NEVADA
HILL FOR DISCLOSURE.
>> REID: MOTION CARRIES.
>> SECTION 3 OF THE AGENDA IS COMMISSIONER RECOGNITION.
APPROVE, ADOPT, AND AUTHORIZE TO SIGNING APRIL 222009 AS EARTH DAY IN
CLARK COUNTY AND CLARK COUNTY EARTH DAY RENDEZVOUS ON APRIL 25, 2009.
>> REID: COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: THANK YOU.
THE CELEBRATION OF EARTH DAY IS ON APRIL 22ND.
IT BEGAN IN THE UNITED STATES BACK IN 1970.

IT WAS THE BRAINCHILD OF SENATOR GAY LORD NELSON, WHO WANTED TO FIND THE WAY TO PUT ENVIRONMENT IN THE POLITICAL LIMELIGHT.

THERE ARE TWO EARTH DAY CELEBRATIONS THAT ARE DONE.

THE FIRST IS USUALLY MARCH ON THE EQUINOX AND TODAY IS THE FORMAL ONE ON APRIL 22ND.

IT IS THE PERFECT TIME TO REFLECT WHAT WE ARE DOING TO HELP PROTECT OUR ENVIRONMENT.

IN THE LEGISLATURE THERE ARE MANY BILLS PASSING.

IN CONGRESS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE NEW GREEN BUILDING.

THEY ARE ALL IMPACTS ON EARTH DAY.

THERE ARE MANY WAYS WE COULD CELEBRATE.

IT WE COULD PLANT TREES AND COMMISSIONER COLLINS INFORMED ME MAKE SURE WE WATER THE ONE TO THE OUTSIDE OF THE DOOR.

WE COULD RIDE OUR BIKE ONE DAY OF THE WEEK AND VISIT THE SPRING PRESERVE OR YOUR HORSE-- THANK YOU.

YOU COULD HIKE MOUNT CHARLESTON.

WE COULD ACTUALLY RECYCLE.

AND WE COULD HAVE KIDS RECYCLE OLD TOYS AND GAMES.

TEACHERS COULD GO TO LESSON PLANS.

YOU CAN BUY A CARBON OFF SET.

WHILE WE RECOGNIZE APRIL 22ND AS EARTH DAY.

THE REALITY SEVERAL DAY IS EARTH DAY.

ANTHROPOLOGIST MARGARET IMMEDIATE JUST HANDED THIS TO ME.

IT IS THE COMPLETELY INTERNATIONAL AND UNIVERSAL HOLIDAY THE WORLD HAS EVER KNOWN EVERY HOLIDAY WAS TIED TO ONE PLACE OR POLITICAL OR SPECIAL EVENT.

THIS IS TIED TO THE EARTH ITSELF.

AND THE PLACE OF EARTH IN OUR WHOLE SOLAR SYSTEM.

GREEN IS THE NEW BUZZWORD, BUT IT CAN ALSO BE THE NEW JOB MARKET FOR ALL OF US, AS WELL AS NEW MORING.

BUT EARTH, IT IS TIME TO REFLECT AND RESPECT WHAT WE HAVE AND HOPEFULLY PROTECT IT.

IT IS MY PRIVILEGE, TURNS OUT RESOLUTION -- TO PRESENT TO THE HEAD OF OUR AIR QUALITY LOU WALL MIRE AND THE STAFF THE PROCLAMATION DECLARING TODAY APRIL 22ND EARTH DAY.

THERE WILL BE MANY EVENTS GOING AROUND, PROBABLY FOR THE NEXT WEEK OR SO. MAYBE THERE IS FIELD DAY AND A VARIETY OF OTHER ACTIVITIES.

THE COUNTY WILL CELEBRATE ONE ON SATURDAY.

>> THANK YOU COMMISSIONER.

CLARK COUNTY WILL CELEBRATE EARTH DAY THIS SATURDAY.

IN PARTICULAR AT THE STARK NURSERY FIELD WITH THE EARTH DAY RENDEZVOUS.

WE'LL HAVE A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT GROUPS HELPING PEOPLE UNDERSTAND HOW THEY CAN IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT.

STEPS THEY CAN TAKE TO REDUCE THE CARBON FOOTPRINT.

IT IS ALSO A DAY OF RECREATION VOLUNTEERS.

IT SHOULD BE A GREAT TIME.

A LOT OF FUN ACTIVITIES AND ENCOURAGE FOLKS TO SHOW UP.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: IN RECOGNITION OF EARTH DAY.
LET'S APPLAUD.
THANK YOU.
[APPLAUSE]
>> THANK YOU.
>> COMMISSIONERS YOU NEED TO TAKE ACTION TO ACCEPT THE DONATION.
>> WE HAVE A MOTION.
>> A MOTION TO ACCEPT, WE CAN CAST YOUR VOTE.
>> MOTION PASSES.
>> ITEM 101 PRESENT A PROCLAMATION TO THE GANG TEAM RECOGNIZING THEM OF
BEING INDUCTED IN THE NEVADA HALL OF FAME.
>> ONCE AGAIN.
COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: NORMALLY COMMISSIONER WEEKLY DOES THIS AND HE DOES A FAR
BETTER JOB.
WE HAVE SOME PHENOMENAL EMPLOYEES AND STAFF PEOPLE WHO WORK FOR THE
COUNTY.
WE DON'T ALWAYS TAKE THE TIME TO RECOGNIZE THEM.
AS THEY GENTLEMEN ARE COMING DOWN ON THURSDAY, MARCH 26.
THIS GROUP WERE AWARD THE NEVADA HALL OF FAME HELD IN CARSON CITY IN THE
LEGISLATION BUILDING.
IT WAS CREATED IN 2003 TO HONOR AND PAY TRIBUTE TO EXCEPTIONAL INDIVIDUALS
AND ORGANIZATIONS MAKING A SIGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTION IN IMPACTING NEVADA
THROUGH LASTING CONTRIBUTION TO PUBLIC CONTRIBUTION.
THE HONOREES THEY WILL BE JOINING.
DON DELER.
SENATOR RAGGIO.
KENNY GYWNN.
MARTHA JONES.
AND MANY OTHER GROUPS.
BUT IT IS MY PRIVILEGE, BECAUSE MANY OF THESE WERE OUT OF CAMBRIDGE.
THEY HELP ME WITH MY WEEKEND CLEANUP AND GRAFFITI CLEAN-UPS.
THEY REALLY DEAL WITH OUR YOUTH.
THEY PUT UP A PERSPECTIVE ON IT.
YOU MESSED UP, BUT HERE ARE SOME WAYS YOU CAN GIVE BACK.
THROUGH THEIR INTERVENTION PROGRAM.
THEY HAVE DONE A PHENOMENAL JOB.
IT IS MY PLEASURE AND HONOR TO WORK WITH THEM.
BUT -- LET ME -- WHO WANTS TO BE THE PERSON THAT SPEAKS UP HERE?
KEVIN WILL DO THIS!
WE WILL START WITH KEVIN.
>> ACTUALLY I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SAY THANKS TO THE COMMISSIONERS FOR
SUPPORTING US.
OUR ADMINISTRATION, A LOT OF DIFFERENT P.O.S ARE HERE TODAY TO MAKE SURE
THAT WE GET OUR CLIENTELE.
IT IS A TEAM EFFORT AND A LOT OF WORK.
A SPECIAL THANKS TO THE COUNTY PAINTERS, BECAUSE WE'RE OUT EVERY SATURDAY.

>> ONCE AGAIN I WOULD LIKE TO THANK, FIRST I WANT TO JANE PIKE.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR SUPPORT.
>> YOU'RE SO WELL.
THEY DO A PHENOMENAL JOB.
>> WE GOT HER FROM THE BLOODS.
BUT I WANT TO ESPECIALLY THANK COMMISSIONER WEEKLY AND COMMISSIONER G
SITTING ON THE LOCAL BOARD TO MAKE SURE WE ARE HAVE THE FUNDING.
LOOKING AT THE SUCCESS RATE OF 80% OF OUR KIDS GETTING BACK IN SCHOOLS AND
DOING SOMETHING CREATIVE IS HUGE.
I WANT TO THANK THOSE KIDS THAT WANTED TO MAKE THAT CHANGE AND.
JUST GOT BECOME FROM CARSON CITY.
WE RECEIVED THE EDUCATION AWARD.
FIRST I DIDN'T THINK WE FIT IT.
ALL I HEARD WAS COST CONTAINMENT, TOUGH TIMES.
ONE OF THE THINGS I THOUGHT ABOUT.
CREATIVITY.
WE'RE NOT IN COST CONTAINMENT IN THAT.
WE START TALKING ABOUT BEING INNOVATIVE WE'RE NOT COST AND TALKING ABOUT
USING OUR RESOURCES AND CREATIVITY WE CAN KICK THE INTENSITY UP.
THAT'S WHAT GANG INTERVENTION IS DOING WORKING WITH OUR TEACHERS IN THE
EDUCATION SYSTEM.
THANK YOU SO MUCH.
[APPLAUSE]
>> I WOULD LIKE TO THANK SHANNON WEST FOR BEING A BIG SUPPORTER ALSO.
THANK YOU.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: YOU CAN SEE IT IS AN ABSOLUTE TEAM EFFORT.
GANG INTERVENTION IS NOT JUST A ONE-TIME.
WE HAVE KIDS WOULD AWAY INTO THE WRONG STEPS.
THESE GENTLEMEN AND LADIES HELP BRING THEM BACK.
NOT ONLY DO THEY LEARN ABOUT DOING COMMUNITY SERVICE.
THEY LEARN ABOUT TEAM WORK IN A POSITIVE WAY, RATHER THAN DEALING WITH
GANG ACTIVITY BEING THE ONE REINFORCER.
IT IS MY PRIVILEGE TO GIVE YOU THIS RECOGNITION ON THE HEELS OF THE CARSON
CITY RECOGNITION.
YOU HAVE ALL DONE A PHENOMENAL JOB.
KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK.
YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT.
THERE'S NO BUDGET CUTS THAT WILL COME FROM GANG INTERVENTION.
WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY AND RESPONSIBILITY TO DEAL WITH THESE YOUNG PEOPLE.
KEVIN NIGHTLY.
>> ALEX BERNEL.
>> ANDREW DINNAS.
>> MELIN INVISOR AND THANKS TO HIS STAFF AT JUVENILE JUSTICE.
[APPLAUSE]
>> AND ALSO SENATOR REID SENT AN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND CALLED ME AND ALEX
AND RORY THANK YOU AND YOUR FAMILY FOR SUPPORT.

I KNOW HE HAS GREAT DEEDS HE HAS TO DO ON THAT LEVEL TOMORROW THINK ABOUT US AND SEND IT OVER DIRECTLY WAS HUGE.

THANK YOU SO MUCH.

>> ITEM 102 RECOGNIZE APRIL 25, 2009 AS MARCH OF DIMES MARCH FOR BABY'S DAY.

>> WEEKLY: THANK YOU MADAME VALENTINE TODAY I'M EXTREMELY HONORED SINCE I'VE BEEN ON THE COMMISSION I'VE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO NOT ONLY WORK WITH AMAZING MEN AND WOMEN AND MEET A LOT OF WONDERFUL MEN AND WOMEN IN THE COMMUNITY THAT MAKE SUCH A POSITIVE IMPACT.

AND TODAY I'M SO EXTREMELY HONORED TO BE, WHEN I WAS ASKED TO PRESENT THIS.

I THOUGHT OKAY, WOW.

WHY NOT.

THIS IS SUCH A WONDERFUL CAUSE.

WHEN WE TALK ABOUT MARCH OF DIMES AND WE LOOK AT HOW DO WE WORK TO PREVENT BIRTH DEFECTS NEVADA STATISTICS JUST CAME IN RANKED NUMBER 41 IN PRE-TERM BIRTHS.

TODAY I HAVE MICHELLE GORLGIO.

MICHELLE IS GOING TO TELL US A LITTLE BIT ABOUT MARCH OF DIME AND WE ACTUALLY HAVE ONE OF OUR FAMILIES THAT ARE HERE WITH US TODAY.

I WANT TO WELCOME THEM TO THE COMMISSION CHAMBERS.

>> IT IS GET TO GIVE ME THE MICROPHONE ONCE I START, SOMETIMES I DON'T SHUT UP, SO I'LL TRY TO KEEP IT IN MIND IT IS WAS FOUNDED IN 1938.

THE FIRST SUCCESS WAS DEFEATING POLIO.

SINCE THEN OUR MISSION HAS TURNED TO IMPROVING THE LIVES OF BABY BY PREVENTING PRE-TERM BIRTH AND INFANT MORTALITY WE ARE FOCUSING ON PRE-TERM BIRTH.

NEVADA RANKS NUMBER 41 IN PRE-TERM BIRTH.

I RECEIVED OUR STATISTICS FOR 2006.

WE HAVE 14.4 PRE-TERM BIRTH IN NEVADA WHICH MEANS ONE IN SEVEN ARE BEING BORN PRE-TERM.

THIS IS OUR AMBASSADOR FAMILY.

I'LL GIVE THEM A MOMENT TO SPEAK.

>> THANK YOU FOR HAVING US HERE AND SUPPORTING SUCH A WONDERFUL ORGANIZATION.

WE HAVE, OBVIOUSLY A VERY PERSONAL EFFECT AND MARCH OF DIMES HAS CHANGED OUR LIVES.

TONY IS THE YOUNG EVIDENT OF OUR CHILDREN ALL OF WHICH WAS BORN PREMATURELY.

WITHOUT THE EFFORTS AND RESEARCH AND ALL MARCH OF DIMES DOES, OUR CHILDREN WOULDN'T BE HERE TODAY.

SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

[APPLAUSE]

>> SATURDAY IS THE MARCH FOR BABIES EVERYONE.

WE ASKED FAMILIES AND COMPANIES TO COME OUT AND SUPPORT THE MARCH OF DIMES.

ANYONE INTERESTED IN WALKING CAN JUST GO TO MARCH FOR BABIES.ORG AND REGISTER YOUR TEEN OR AN INDIVIDUAL WALKER IT WILL BE AT U.N.L.V.. REGISTRATION STARTS AT 7:00 A.M.

WITH THE WALK STARTING AT 9:00 A.M.

>> WEEKLY: WE ARE TAKING TO THE STREETS AND MARCHING FOR OUR BABIES WE WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT YOU THIS PROCLAMATION AND TONY THANK YOU AND YOUR FAMILY FOR BEING HERE.

AND THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE.

YOU'RE A BLESSING, YOU WANT TO SAY THANK YOU?

>> THANK YOU.

[APPLAUSE]

>> WEEKLY: THANK YOU EVERYBODY.

>> ITEM 103 IS PROCLAIM APRIL 19-25 2009 AS OUR TOWN 2009 CELEBRATE FAMILY WEEK AND PRESENT A PROCLAMATION TO OLIVE CREST.

>> THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN.

DAY 107 -- .

>> BROWN: WE GET TO DO THESE NOW.

IT IS CERTAINLY MY PLEASURE TO TALK ABOUT OLIVE CREST SINCE ITS ESTABLISHMENT IN 1997 OLIVE CREST HAS BEEN COMMITTED TO ENHANCING THROUGH EDUCATION IN CLARK COUNTY BY OFFERING SERVICES SUCH A FOSTER CARE. FAMILY CRISIS INTERVENTION, TUTORING AND RECREATIONAL PROGRAMS AND FAMILY TO FAMILY CONNECTIONS.

>> CARING FOR MORE THAN 60 CHILDREN FROM BIRTH TO 18 WITHIN THE FOSTER FAMILY AGENCY.

ALL HAVE BEEN DEEPLY INVOLVED WITH THE PRESERVATION OF FAMILY.

WITH OVER 30,000 CASES OF CHILD ABUSE IN NEVADA LAST YEAR AND THE INCREASE IN POPULATION, THESE INCIDENTS OF CHILD ABUSE ARE ON THE RISE.

IN ADDITION TO THE FOSTER PROGRAMS OLIVE CREST PROVIDES SEVERAL PROGRAMS TO HELP PRESERVE THE FAMILY.

THE GOAL IS TO MINIMIZE THE NUMBER OF ABUSED CHILDREN WORKING INSIDE THE HOME AND WITH THE HOPE OF KEEPING THE FAMILY INTACT IT OFFERS FREE PARENTING CLASSES PROVIDING SUPPORT GIVING THEM A MUCH-NEEDED BREAK.

AS PART OF THE CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION MONTH DURING APRIL 19-25.

OLIVE CREST WILL BE CELEBRATING THE FIRST ANNUAL OUR TOWN 2009 CELEBRATE FAMILY WEEK.

IN FAMILY RELATED ACTIVITIES AND VISIT THE WEBSITE WWW.OURTOWN2009.ORG.

FOR INFORMATION, FAMILY EXPERIENCES.

FAMILY DISCOUNT AND COUPONS.

WIN FAMILY PRICES AND HELP BUILD THE VIRTUAL OUR TOWN 2009 THROUGH VITAL DONATIONS.

ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD, IT IS OUR PLEASURE TO PROCLAIM APRIL 19 THROUGH 25 AS OUR TOWN CELEBRATE FAMILY WEEK.

[APPLAUSE]

>> BROWN: IS LOUISE HERE?

KEN LANG.

>> THANK YOU COMMISSIONER AND THANK YOU TO THE COMMISSION FOR THIS PROCLAMATION OUR CREST SERVES OVER 200 FOSTER KIDS EACH YEAR.

THIS YEAR IT WILL SERVE OVER 1,000 FAMILIES IN OUR VARIOUS FAMILY PROGRAMS.

>> WE HAVE LEARNED A COUPLE OF THINGS ALONG THE WAY.

JUST AS KIDS ARE GIFTS TO THE FAMILY.

FAMILIES ARE A GIFT TO OUR COMMUNITY.

IT BEHOOVES US TO PROVIDE THE SERVICES AND SUPPORT TO THOSE FAMILIES TO KEEP THEM STRONG.

THAT'S WHY WE CAME UP WITH CELEBRATE FAMILY WEEK.

BASICALLY IT IS A SMALL IDEAS WE THINK WILL YIELD BIG RESULTS IF EVERY FAMILY TAKES AN HOUR OR TWO TO SPEND SOME QUALITY TIME TOGETHER DOING AN ACTIVITY.

PEOPLE HAVE SUGGESTED EVERYTHING FROM WALKING THE DOG TO GOING TO SPRINGS PRESERVE WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO TIE IT IN WITH EARTH DAY.

THERE'S LOTS OF THINGS YOU CAN DO.

VISIT THE WEBSITE AT OUR TOWN 2009.ORG.

CELEBRATE THE FAMILY THIS WEEK.

LET'S STICK TOGETHER.

THESE ARE TOUGH TIMES.

THERE'S NO BETTER TIME TO GET TO KNOW YOUR FAMILY A LITTLE BIT BETTER.

THANK YOU.

EVERYBODY.

[APPLAUSE]

>> REID: NEXT ITEM.

>> SECTION FOUR OF YOUR AGENDA IS ITEMS TAKEN SEPARATELY FROM YOUR CONSENT AGENDA.

ITEM NUMBER 37 IS PROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE DIRECTOR OF AVIATION TO SIGN THE AVIATION PERMIT BETWEEN CLARK COUNTY AND LAWRENCE BLACK STONE SECRETARY TO PROVIDE AIR BATIC FLIGHT RIGHTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH PART ONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAS VEGAS AIRPORT.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER COLLINS YOU ASK THAT THIS BE HEARD?

>> COLLINS: I WANTED TO MAKE SURE IT IS NOT CONNECTED TO ANOTHER GROUP IN IRAQ AND IT IS NOT, SO I MOVE FOR APPROVAL.

>> REID: THERE'S A MOTION FOR APPROVAL.

ANY OTHER DISCUSSION?

CAST YOUR VOTE ON THE MOTION.

>> REID: MOTION CARRIES.

>> SINCE IT IS NOT JET 10:00 A.M.

WE CAN MOVE TO SECTION SIX INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCE ITEM 5 AMEND THE JURISDICTIONAL BOUNDARY OF BUNKERVILLE AND THE AREAS SERVED BY THE BUNKERVILLE TOWN ADVISORY BOARD AND SET A PUBLIC HEARING.

STAFF RECOMMENDED THE PUBLIC HEARING BE SCHEDULED FOR TUESDAY MAY 52009 AT 10:00 A.M.

>> REID: I'LL INTRODUCE THE ORDINANCE AND SET PUBLIC HEARING FOR AT 10:00 A.M.

>> TRUSS AN ORDINANCE TO THE TOWN OF MOAPA AND SERVED BY THE MOAPA TOWN BOARD SERVED FOR THE MATTERS AND SET A PUBLIC HEARING.

STAFF RECOMMENDS TUESDAY MAY 52009 AT 10:00 A.M.

>> REID: I'LL INTRODUCE THE HEARING AND SET THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR THAT DATE AND TIME.

>> ITEM 7 AND INTRODUCE THE ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE INCORPORATED TOWN OF MOAPA VALLEY AND SERVED BY THE MOON TOWN ADVISORY BOARD AND SET A PUBLIC HEARING.

STAFF RECOMMENDS THE PUBLIC HEARING BE SCHEDULED FOR TUESDAY MAY 10, 2009 AT 10:00 A.M.

>> REID: I INTRODUCE THE ORDINANCE AND SET THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR THAT DATE AND TIME.

>> WE CAN MOVE TO YOUR BUSINESS AGENDA.

ITEM 108 APPOINT TWO QUALIFIED INDIVIDUALS TO SERVE ON THE PLANNING COUNCIL AND REAPPOINT TWO INDIVIDUALS TO THE COUNCIL ACCEPT THE RESIGNATION OF THREE MEMBERS FROM THE COUNCIL AND APPROVE THE TERMINATION OF SEVEN MEMBERS AS PART OF THE COUNCIL.

THEY ARE ON THE BACKGROUND OF THE YOUR AGENDA ITEM.

>> REID: ANY DISCUSSION.

>> WEEKLY: I HAVE A QUESTION.

I HAVE A QUESTION.

NANCY I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE BEING RECOMMENDED FOR APPOINTMENT HERE -- DO WE EVER HAVE ANY BACKUP ON THESE INDIVIDUALS, IN TERMS OF WHETHER THEY'RE -- WHAT'S THEIR EXPERTISE AND HOW INVOLVED ARE THEY IN THE COMMUNITY?

BECAUSE THAT'S BEEN A BIG ISSUE WE'VE BEEN DEALING WITH IN TERM OF MAKING SURE THAT SEGMENTS OF VARIOUS COMMUNITIES HAVE WHATEVER AVAILABLE INFORMATION FOR ROW SOURCES PRESENTED TO THEM.

>> FOR THE RECORD.

>> NANCY MCCLAIN DIRECTOR OF CLARK COUNTY SOCIAL SERVICES THE SELECTION OF INDIVIDUALS PUT FORWARD IS DONE THROUGH A PROCESS THAT'S INCORPORATED IN THE PLANNING COUNCIL BOYLES AND THE MAKEUP OF THE BOARD IS DICTATED IN THE BOYLES AS WELL AS THE BACKGROUND OF THE INDIVIDUALS.

I CAN CERTAINLY PROVIDE YOU THAT INFORMATION.

>> WEEKLY: I WOULD LIKE NOT CREATE ANOTHER TASK BUT JUST SEE SOME FORM OF A BACKUP BEFORE WE APPOINT THE INDIVIDUALS, JUST TO MAKE SURE THEY'RE REACHING OUT TO ALL OF OUR DISTRICTS AND THAT WE HAVE ALL OF THE AVAILABLE RESOURCES WE NEED.

>> THANK YOU MA'AM.

>> WITH THAT BEING SAID, I MOVE TO FOLLOW STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR THESE APPOINTMENTS PLEASE.

>> REID: YOU HEAR THE MOTION COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

>> SISOLAK: NANCY I NOTICE SIX ARE BEING TERMINATED BECAUSE OF THE ATTENDANCE POLICY.

WHAT IS THE ATTENDANCE POLICY THEY'RE VIOLATE SOMETHING.

>> I BELIEVE IT IS THREE MEETINGS WITHOUT AN EXCUSE.

I THINK IT IS THE UNEXCUSED.

>> SISOLAK: THREE UNEXCUSED ABSENCES.

>> SISOLAK: HOW OFTEN DO THEY MEET?

>> THEY MEET MONTHLY AND THEN THERE ARE COMMITTEE MEETINGS ON A MORE REGULARLY BASIS THANK YOU.

>> REID: OTHER QUESTIONS.

YOU HEARD THE MOTION.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

>> REID: MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 109 AND 110 CAN BE TAKEN TOGETHER AS THE WATER RECLAMATION DISTRICT FOR THE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER.

ITEM 109 SITTING AS THE UNIVERSITY OF MEDICAL CENTER SOUTHERN NEVADA BOARD OF TRUSTEE APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN THE COLLECTIVE WHERE GAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL STERN SOUTHERN NEVADA AND THE SERVICE UNION LOCAL 1107 EFFECTIVE THROUGH JULY 1, EXTEND BENEFIT TO NONE MANAGEMENT EMPLOYEES NOT COVERED BY THE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT AND INCREASE SALARY RANGES FOR CLASSIFICATIONS NOT COVERED BY 1% EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2009.

ITEM 110.

APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO APPROVE THE SUPERVISORY AND NON-COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE CLARK COUNTY, AND THE WATER RECLAMATION DISTRICT AND THE LOCAL 1107 EFFECTIVELY JUNE 30, 2010.

AND EXTEND THE SAME SALARY AND BENEFIT CHANGES TO NONE MANAGEMENT NOT COVERED BY COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT AND INCREASE FOR ALL CLASSIFICATIONS NOT COVERED BY 1% EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2009.

COMMISSIONERS I AS YOU KNOW THE RESULT OF THESE -- NEITHER CASE WERE OUR CONTRACT OPEN.

THESE WERE VOLUNTARY BOTH ON THE PART OF THE UNION TO COME FORWARD, BOTH UNIONS.

THE AGREEMENT OR THE TOTAL FISCAL IMPACT OF THE AGREEMENT WITH UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER HAS A BUDGET SAVINGS OF ABOUT \$6 MILLION AND FOR THE CLARK COUNTY GENERAL FUND AND MARGINAL FUND THE ESTIMATED SAVINGS OF THE NEGOTIATED AGREEMENT IS A LITTLE OVER \$10 MILLION, SO IN ADDITIONAL TO \$10 MILLION IN MANAGEMENT -- I GUESS THE LACK OF INCREASES TO MANAGEMENT SALARIES YOU HAVE ABOUT \$18 MILLION TOTAL SAVINGS OFF OF THE LABOR COSTS. WE WANT TO THANK EVERYONE WHO WORKED ON BRINGING THIS TOGETHER, THE CONTINUED HARD WORK OF ALL OF OUR EMPLOYEES AND THE LEADERSHIP OF SCIU.

>> REID: ANY DISCUSSION FROM THE BOARD?

MOTION FOR APPROVAL.

>> BRAGER: OF 109 AND 110.

>> REID: YOU HEARD THE MOTION.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: I THINK ALL OF US APPRECIATE THE EMPLOYEE GROUPS VOLUNTARILY AMENDING THEIR COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT.

IT IS RARE TO SEE THAT EVERYONE WILL BE SHEARING IN THE PAIN.

I THINK WE KNOW HOW HARD EVERYONE WORKS AND WE WANT TO STRESS THAT THE COLLECTIVE AGREEMENTS OR AT LEAST I DO ARE THERE.

THAT THEY DIDN'T HAVE TO DO THAT.

SO -- I THINK IT SPEAKS WELL OF OUR COUNTY EMPLOYEES AND MANAGEMENT THAT WORKED WITH THEM ON THAT.

>> REID: I AGREE WITH THAT.

IF THEY HAD SAID NO, -- THE DISCUSSION WOULD HAVE BEEN OVER AND WE APPRECIATE THE TIME AND THE EFFORT AND THE PARTNERSHIP THE NEW RENEWED PARTNERSHIP WE HAVE WITH OUR EMPLOYEES AS WE GET THROUGH THIS DIFFICULT TIME.

I ALSO BELIEVE THAT -- THIS CAME UP DURING THE COURSE OF THE DISCUSSIONS. AS COMMISSIONERS WE HAVE A RIGHT TO A CULL OF OUR OWN HERE SOON. I THINK THAT IF WE'RE ASKING OUR EMPLOYEES TO FOREGO THAT, WE SHOULD OURSELVES.

I'VE ALREADY MADE THAT COMMITMENT TO OUR EMPLOYEES DURING THE COURSE OF OUR DISCUSSIONS.

I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THAT WE HAVE AN AGENDA ITEM IN OUR NEXT MEETING TO DISCUSS THAT AS A BOARD.

AGAIN, THANK YOU TO OUR EMPLOYEES.

CAST YOUR VOTE ON THE MOTION.

MOTION CARS ARE -- CARRIES.

>> ITEM 11 RECEIVE A REPORT REGARDING THE CURRENT SUSTAINABILITY EFFORT AND DISCUSS THE FUTURE EFFORT.

>> GOOD MORNING ALAN PINKERTON WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF AIR QUALITY AND MANAGEMENT.

WITH ME IS ROBERT TECHNICKY THE PROJECT MANAGER FOR THE LATEST UNDERTAKING THAT FOR US STARTED AROUND THE FIRST OF THE YEAR.

WE HAVE HAD A OF MEETINGS WITH DEPARTMENTS REPRESENTATIVES FROM DEPARTMENTS AS WELL AS -- LET'S SEE IF I CAN DO THIS RIGHT NOW.

AS WELL AS -- AS OTHER SERVICE PROVIDERS AND AGENCIES WITHIN THE -- WITHIN THE LAS VEGAS VALLEY.

AND WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO TODAY IS PRESENT THE FINDINGS OF THOSE MEETINGS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR THE FUTURE.

>> ONE OF THE THINGS IS THAT WE FOUND IS AND WE DISCOVERED WAS THAT THERE'S THREE DIFFERENT POINTS OF VIEW YOU CAN LOOK AT A SUSTAINABILITY FROM.

ONE IS SOCIETAL INITIATIVES ONE IS ENVIRONMENTAL INITIATIVES AND THE OTHER ONE IS ECONOMIC.

AND THE DEGREE TO WHICH THOSE CAN COMBINE ANDOVER LAP EACH OTHER DICTATES THE DEGEOTO WHICH YOUR COMMUNITY IS SUSTAINABLE.

THE MORE THEY CAN OVERLAP AND THE MORE THEY CAN WORK TOGETHER-- THE GREENER, IF YOU WILL YOUR COMMUNITY CAN BE.

OR N THIS CASE CLARK COUNTY AS AN ENTITY CAN BE.

AGAIN, THERE WAS 11 DEPARTMENTS THAT WERE INVOLVED IN THESE MEETINGS. AND UP TO FIVE GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES.

AND WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IS TURN THE PRESENTATION OVER TO ROBERT AND LET HIM TELL YOU MORE OF THE SPECIFICS.

>> THANK YOU ALLEN.

>> AS YOU REMEMBER BACK IN OCTOBER OF 2008 AN INITIAL PRESENTATION OF THE ECOCOUNTY INITIATIVE AS WAS REQUIRED BY THE BOARD DIRECTION IN DECEMBER OF 2007 WAS PRESENTED.

AND AT THAT TIME, WE WERE ASKED TO LOOK AT THAT DOCUMENT AND DO SOME RETAINMENT OF THE INITIATIVES THAT WERE CONTAINED IN THAT DOCUMENT.

AS SUCH THAT IS WHAT WE ARE PRESENTING TO THE BOARD TODAY.
THE REPORT THAT YOU SEE IN FRONT OF YOU IS -- IT IS A COLLABORATIVE EFFORT BETWEEN THE DEPARTMENTS THAT ALLEN HAVE SPOKEN OF AND EFFORTS FROM MANY INDIVIDUALS FROM BEHIND THE SCENE, WHICH I WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE.
FIRST OFF IN SECTION FOUR OF THE REPORT YOU'LL SEE THAT WE VERY V REVIEWED AND REFINED THE CURRENT SUSTAINABILITY INITIATIVES THAT WAS OUR FIRST ACTIONS -- THE SO-CALLED OFFICE OF SUSTAINABILITY AND WITH THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE SUSTAINABILITY TASK FORCE.
IN THOSE CURRENT INITIATIVES WHAT WE FOUND WAS THAT THERE WAS 16 ECONOMIC INITIATIVE THERE'S WERE 32 ENVIRONMENTAL AND 15 SOCIETAL INITIATIVES THAT ARE CURRENTLY TAKING PLACE IN THE COUNTY.
SECOND OF ALL, WE WERE ASKED TO PERFORM A COST EFFECTIVE ANALYSIS ON THE SHORT-TERM INITIATIVES CONSIDERING THE ECONOMIC CONDITIONS THAT NOT ONLY THE COUNTY IS IN, BUT MANY OTHER MUNICIPALITIES AND WE IN FACT DID THAT. THERE WERE TWO SHORT-TERM INITIATIVES.
ONE OF THEM DIDN'T OF PHASING OUT A PRINTERS DESKTOP PRINTERS AND REPLACING THEM WITH WORK CENTERS.
WHAT WE FOUND WAS THAT IN FACT THERE WAS NO COST ASSOCIATED WITH THIS INITIATIVE.
THERE WAS ACTUALLY A COST SAVINGS AND THOSE SAVINGS APPEAR TO BE SOMEWHERE IN THE AREA OF \$600,000 A YEAR.
SECOND OF ALL, WE WERE ASKED TO LOOK AT THE COST TO INCREASE OUT REACH ACTIVITIES AND WITH REGARDS TO SUSTAINABILITY AND WE DID DO THAT.
THERE WERE VIRTUALLY NO COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THAT INITIATIVE EITHER.
WE HAVE A WEBSITE SET UP NOW THAT IS LINKED TO ACCESS CLARK COUNTY THAT THE RESIDENTS OF VALLEY CAN ACCESS.
AND -- IT ALSO INCLUDES DIRECTIONS AND ENCOURAGEMENT TO LOOK AT THE MONITORING WEBSITE, WHICH CONTAINS A LOT OF VALUABLE INFORMATION WITH REGARDS TO THE SUSTAINABILITY AND WE ARE ALSO PARTICIPATING IN MANY WAYS WITH THE SOUTHERN REGIONAL PLANNING COALITION IN SUSTAINABILITY WITH THE VARIOUS MUNICIPALITIES.
ON THE THIRD AREA THAT WE LOOKED AT WAS TRYING TO COME UP WITH ADDITIONAL TAKEN INITIATIVES THAT MIGHT NOT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED IN OCTOBER AND THROUGHOUT THE SUMMER OF LAST YEAR.
WE DID FIND QUITE A FEW MORE AND WE DOCUMENTED THOSE.
FOR EXAMPLE PARKS AND REC IS ADJUSTING THE LIGHTING SCHEDULES FOR PLAYING FIELDS.
THAT IS ACTUALLY TURNING THE LIGHTS ON LATER PRIOR TO THE GAMES. THEN SHUTTING THEM OFF SOONER.
THAT IS REALIZING IN THE AREA OF \$241,000 A YEAR IN SAVINGS AND PARKS AND REC IS ALSO UTILIZED THEIR WATER WELL RIGHTS IN LOU OF USING WATER FROM LAS VEGAS WATER VALLEY DISTRICT.
THAT IS ALSO SAVING ABOUT THE SAME.
THERE IS ALSO ENERGY INCENTIVES THAT REAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT HAS APPLIED THROUGH NEVADA ENERGY.
THESE ARE COMING FROM SOLO PROJECT AND ENERGY-EFFICIENT LIGHTING SYSTEMS THESE ARE TOLLING AROUND \$250,000 SO FAR SINCE THE PROGRAM BEGAN.

THE DEPARTMENT OF AIR QUALITY AND ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT.
IF YOU JUST RECENTLY REMEMBER.

THEY RECEIVED AND SECURED A GRANT FROM NEVADA ENERGY FOR USE IN THE LAWN MOWER EXCHANGE PROGRAM THAT WILL KICK OFF THIS SATURDAY AT EARTH DAY. IN ALL WE'VE DOCUMENTED ABOUT \$1.7 MILLION IN SAVINGS THROUGH THESE ACTIONS, SINCE OCTOBER.

AS YOU TURN TO SECTION 7 OF YOUR REPORT, WHAT WE'VE ALSO INCLUDED IN OUR ANALYSIS, ALTHOUGH NOT ASKED WAS TO REFINE THE MID-AND THE LONG-TERM SUSTAINABILITY INITIATIVES WHICH WE HAVE.

THOSE WERE CONTAINED IN TABLE TWO.

THERE ARE 38 MID-TERM INITIATIVES.

THEY RANGE FROM SIX MONTHS TO IT THREE YEARS, REGARDS TO IMPLEMENTATION. LONG-TERM INITIATIVE THERE'S ARE 15 THAT ARE IDENTIFIED.

THOSE ARE INITIATIVES THAT CAN TAKE UP TO THREE YEARS OR LONGER TO INITIATE.

WHAT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE IS THAT WE DID NOT DO COST EFFECTIVE ANALYSES ON THE MID-AND LONG-TERM INITIATIVES THEREFORE IT IS SOMETHING THAT MUST BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT, BEFORE ANY DECISION TO IMPLEMENT THOSE INITIATIVES ARE UNDERTAKEN.

WE SEE THAT AS AN FUTURE ACTION OF AN ENTITY WITHIN CLARK COUNTY. YOU HAVE TO BE DETERMINED, IF THE BOARD WISH THE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS.

WITH THAT I WOULD LIKE TO TURN IT OVER TO ALLEN PINKERTON.

HE HAD SOME SUGGESTIONS HE WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT TO THE BOARD FOR CONSIDERATION, WHICH IS CONTAINED IN CHAPTER OR SECTION 8 OF THE REPORT.

>> JUST DROPPING BACK TO THE EARLIER STATEMENT WE MADE.

ONE OF THE THINGS WE REALIZE THE MORE WE CAN OVERLAP.

THE MORE THAT WE CAN PUSH, IF YOU WILL PROGRAMS THAT ARE ONGOING WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT AND SPREAD THAT AGAINST -- OR OUT TO OTHER DEPARTMENTS THE GREATER THE SUCCESS THAT THE COUNTY IS GOING TO REALIZE.

AND SO FROM THAT POINT OF VIEW AT SOME POINT IN TIME IN THE FUTURE THERE WILL BE A NEED TO LOOK AT THIS PROSECUTE A PROGRAMMATIC POINT OF VIEW AND HAVE SOMEONE RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT PROGRAM.

AND -- AND TO DRIVE OR TO SHEPHERD, IF YOU WILL THE SUSTAINABILITY INITIATIVE WITHIN THE COUNTY.

AT THE SAME TIME WE RECOGNIZE, IN ORDER TO DO THAT, ESPECIALLY AS IT RELATES TO MID-TERM AND LONG-TERM INITIATIVES OR SUGGESTIONS, WE NEED TO ENCOURAGE AND GATHER THE SUPPORT OF THE COMMUNITY AT LARGE AS WELL.

AND THEY NEED TO BE AN ACTIVE PARTICIPANT AND PART OF OUR TASK FORCE.

WITH THAT IN MIND, THAT'S WHERE WE LEAVE IT WITH YOU.

IF THERE'S ANY QUESTIONS ROBERT AND I ARE HERE, AS WELL AS OTHER MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE HERE TO ANSWER ANYTHING.

>> REID: QUESTIONS.

COMMISSIONER GIN.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: IT IS SOMETHING WE CAN BRING BACK AS A BOARD OF POTENTIAL ITEMS SOME LOOK AT THE SUSTAINABILITY OF THE TASK FORCE BRINGING IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR BRINGING IN ARCHITECT AND ENGINEERS WORKING WITH THE

BUILDING CODE FOLKS TO SEE WHERE WE HAVE BARRIERS TO DOING THINGS EFFICIENTLY AND BRINGING THE RECOMMENDATION BACK TO US.

>> I THINK WE SHOULD AT SOME POINT WITHIN THE CONFINES OF THE BUDGET, PROBABLY NOT THIS YEAR, BUT AN ACTUALLY, TRUE.

YOU GUYS HAVE BEEN DOING DOUBLE DUTY, UNFORTUNATELY, BUT WE APPRECIATE THAT.

BUT TO HAVE A FOCAL POINT AND CONTINUE TO WORK WITH THE REGIONAL PLANNING AUTHORITY.

WE START TO LOOK AT THE LAND USE AND MORE ACTIVE PARTICIPATION, I THINK WE WILL TRY TO PUSH FOR SOME OF THAT AS WELL.

BUT BEFORE WE END THIS.

I THINK ALLEN IT IS YOUR LAST MEETING.

YOU'RE RETIRING MAY 1ST I BELIEVE.

>> YES, I AM.

10 WONDERFUL YEARS.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: SAD TO LOSE YOU, BUT HAPPY FOR YOU AND JEALOUS ALL AT THE SAME TIME.

I WANT TO THANK YOU AND ROBERT FOR TAKING ON -- THE COMMISSION ADOPTED THE ECOINITIATIVE A YEAR AND A HALF AGO.

SOMETIMES BUREAUCRACY SLOUGH IT OFF, BUT YOU TOOK IT TO TASK AND THANK VIRGINIA FOR MAKING SURE IT DIDN'T GET LOST IN THE SHUFFLE.

I WANTED TO RECOGNIZE ALLEN'S LAST MEETING.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> REID: OTHER DISCUSSION.

I ALSO WANT TO THANK ALLEN FOR HIS HARD WORK AND WISDOM OVER THE YEARS. WE'LL MISS YOU.

AND -- JUST NOT TO LEAVE SOME THINGS UNDONE.

VIRGINIA, IF WE CAN AT THE ADVANCE, I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A DISCUSSION ABOUT THE OPERATION OF THE SUSTAINABILITY.

WE ALL UNDERSTAND THE PRESSURE OUR BUDGET IS UNDER.

RATHER THAN CREATE NEW POSITIONS WHICH WE SAID WE WOULD NOT DO.

I'M SURE SOMEWHERE IN COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING OR AIR QUALITY THERE ARE PEOPLE THAT AREN'T AS OCCUPIED AS THEY WERE, WHEN WE WERE GROWING AS QUICKLY AS WE WERE.

AND I -- THE QUESTION I HAVE IS IF THERE, ARE EXISTING RESOURCES WE CAN REALLOCATE FOR THIS OFFICE SO THAT SOMEONE OR SOME INDIVIDUALS HAVE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS.

I ALSO -- NOT NECESSARILY BEFORE THE ADVANCE.

I THINK WE SHOULD HAVE A FUTURE AGENDA ITEM AT WHATEVER TIME IS APPROPRIATE TO HAVE THE STAFF SUGGEST TO US WHO THE PEOPLE ARE THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO INCLUDE IN THE LARGER COMMUNITY DISCUSSION.

I THINK THE MODEL -- MAY BE THE GROWTH TASK FORCE, BECAUSE WE HAD A VERY DIVERSE GROUP OF FOLKS AT THE BEGINNING OF THE DISCUSSION.

THEY DISAGREED ON ALMOST EVERYTHING.

I THINK THIS COULD BE A PLACE WHERE WE HAVE ANOTHER COMMUNITY-WIDE DISCUSSION OF WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO TO BE MORE SUSTAINABLE AS A COMMUNITY.

I THINK WE NEED TO DO THOSE THINGS, BEFORE WE CAN REALLY FOCUS ON COSTS EFFECT AND ANALYSIS OF THE MID-TERM AND LONG-TERM SOLUTIONS THAT YOU DESCRIBED.

AND SO I WOULD ASK THAT STAFF DO THAT.

AND FINALLY, QUESTIONS FOR THE GENTLEMEN HERE.

THESE ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROJECTS THAT WE'VE IDENTIFIED.

HAVE WE DONE WHATEVER WE NEED TO DO TO MAKE THEM -- QUALIFIED FOR STIMULUS MONEY?

I'LL GIVE YOU A LITTLE MORE TIME TO THINK.

MY EXPERIENCE WITH THESE STIMULUS PROGRAMS MOST PEOPLE DON'T SEEM TO KNOW WHAT THE RULES ARE YET.

WE'RE FIGURING THAT AS WE GO.

IF THAT'S THE CASE I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE YOU HAVE IDENTIFIED 10 OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS OF THE PROJECT IF WE DON'T HAVE THE MONEY THAT ARE READY TO GO.

I KNOW THAT A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF STIMULUS MONEY WAS AVAILABLE FOR JUST THAT.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE ARE DOING WHATEVER WE CAN TO QUALIFY OR TO HELP SOMEONE FIGURE OUT WHAT THE RULES ARE, SO WE CAN QUALIFY AND GET THOSE PROJECTS DONE AND BE AN EXAMPLE TO THE PRIVATE SECTOR ABOUT HOW ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS CAN BE DONE.

>> COMMISSIONER REID TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, THERE ARE A LOT OF EFFORTS THAT ARE BEING UNDERTAKEN WITHIN THE VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS.

I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT REAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT AT THIS POINT IN TIME THAT ARE IN THE PROCESS OF ATTEMPTING TO ACCESS THE MONEYS FOR THE.

FOR THE STIMULUS PACKAGE IN AIR QUALITY WE ARE LOOKING AT.

THAT THERE ARE OTHER DEPARTMENTS THAT ARE ALSO DOING THAT.

THERE IS ACTIVE DISCUSSION UNDER THE SURFACE WITHIN MEMBERS OF THE SUSTAINABILITY TAX FORCE WE ARE SUSTAINING PROCESSES TO ACCESS FUNDS.

>> REID: I WANT TO THANK YOU AND MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE FOR ALL OF YOUR WORK.

WE'RE NOT DONE AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING FROM YOU SOON.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THANK YOU.

>> SINCE IT IS NOW 10:00 A.M. WE CAN HEAR YOUR PUBLIC HEARING ITEM.

104 CONDUCT A PUBLIC HEARING ON THE 2009 ACTION PLAN FOR HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT TO THE SUBMITTED TO THE U.S.

DEPARTMENT OF URBAN HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT.

>> GOOD MORNING MR. CHAIRMAN, COMMISSIONERS.

I'M KRISTEN COOPER FROM COMMUNITY RESOURCES MANAGEMENT DIVISION.

THIS IS FOR A PUBLIC HEARING THAT IS REQUIRED BY THE U.S.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT TO RECEIVE -- ACTUALLY AS PART OF OUR FISCAL YEAR 2009 ACTION PLAN PLANNING.

THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

NO OTHER ACTION IS NECESSARY.

>> REID: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

ANYONE HERE TO SPEAK ON THE FISCAL YEAR 2009 ACTION PLAN FOR HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT?

>> WE ALL KNOW THIS IS A CRITICAL YEAR.

WHEN YOU'RE DEALING WITH H.

U.D. AND TEAMS ARE TOUGH AND IT IS CONCERNING HOUSING YOU WILL HAVE TO MEET IT.

SEE WHAT YOU CAN DO, THE GOVERNMENT HAS ALWAYS BEEN A LITTLE ROUGH WITH H. U.D. ESPECIALLY IN NEVADA.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE DO -- WHATEVER IS COMING THROUGH AND THE MONEY THAT THE GOVERNMENT WILL GIVE TO THE STATE OF NEVADA, HOUSING IS VERY IMPORTANT, ESPECIALLY FOR THE SENIOR CITIZEN AND THE LOW INCOME. LET'S MAKE SURE THOSE THINGS WORK THAT.

WE MAKE SURE THESE THINGS ARE PUT ON THE FRONT BURNER.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> REID: THANK YOU.

ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK ON THIS?

SEEING NO ONE I WILL CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.

THANK YOU.

QUESTIONS FROM COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI?

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: KRISTEN I WAS TRYING TO MAKE SURE PART WHAT OF WE ARE GOING TO DO WITH THE FUNDS IS THIS WHERE WE ARE GOING TO USE THE DOLLARS TO TRAIN OR CERTIFICATE INDIVIDUALS TO BECOME ENERGY AUDITORS AND LOOK AT FORECLOSED HOMES PRIOR TO PLACING FAMILIES IN THEM?

>> THAT'S IN THE OTHER.

>> REID: NEXT ITEM.

WE CAN RETURN TO YOUR BUSINESS AGENDA.

ITEM 112 ACCEPT A SEALED BID BY WIRELESS ALMOST P.

AYODELE WIRELESS PARTNERSHIP DOING BUSINESS AS VERIZON WIRELESS OPENED DURING THE PUBLIC AUCTION HELD ON MARCH 172009 FOR THE RELEASE OF CLARK COUNTY OWNED REAL PROPERTY CONSISTING OF 2500 SQUARE FEET FOR THE USE AS THE CELLULAR TOWER SIGHT ON PARCEL NUMBER 128-01-000-001.

MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS ONE ANGLE PEAK FOR THE APPRAISED VALUE OF \$21,600.

APPROVE AND ADOPT AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN THE LEASE AND AUTHORIZE NEGOTIATING A LEASE WITH VERIZON WIRELESS SIGN AND SUBMIT THE APPROPRIATE APPLICATION TO THE CLARK COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING OR TAKE OTHER ACTIONS APPROPRIATE.

>> GOOD MORNING ROWEN WILLIAMS DEPARTMENT OF CLARK COUNTY PROPERTY MANAGEMENT AS COMMISSIONER BROWN REQUEST IT WAS PUT ON THE MOUNT CHARLTON TOWN BOARD MEETING, WHICH WAS HEARD LAST NIGHT AND WAS APPROVED BY THEIR TOWN BOARD 4-0 FOR APPROVAL.

THEY DID HAVE A STIPULATION THEY PUT AN ADDITIONAL SAFETY LIGHT ON THE TOP OF THE TOWER THEY WERE HAPPY WITH THE POSSIBILITY THAT TOWER WILL BE BUILT.

>> REID: ANY DISCUSSION FROM THE BOARD?

>> SISOLAK: NOT ON -- ON THE CELL TOWER SITES DO WE HAVE ANYTHING ABOUT THE REMOVAL OF THE TOWER.

I KNOW SOME GENIUS WILL COME UP WITH A SIMPLER WAY THAN HAVE THE HUGE CELL TOWERS.

I DON'T WANT TO SEE HUNDREDS AROUND WITHOUT HAVING TO TAKE THEM DOWN.

>> REID: IF I CAN THERE'S AN ORDINANCE ON OUR AGENDA TOMORROW.

SO YOU'RE AHEAD OF YOUR TIME.

>> WE'RE REVAMPING PORTIONS OF THAT AS WELL.

COMMISSIONER BROWN REQUESTED THE ITEMS.

>> ANY DISCUSSION?

>> COMMISSIONER BROWN?

>> AS FAR AS THE PROCESS TODAY THE APPLICANT HAS AGREED TO PUT THE SAFETY LIGHT?

>> I HAVE NOT HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO THE PUBLIC BUT I'M SURE THEY WILL BE A -- APPLICANT.

BUT I AM SURE THEY WILL BE AGREEABLE TO THAT.

>> SISOLAK: WOULD WE PUT THAT ON THE RECORD TODAY SOME.

>> I THINK IT IS APPROPRIATE TO INCLUDE IT IN THE LEASE IF, IT IS SOMETHING WE CAN NEGOTIATE WITH THEM.

>> SISOLAK: NO OTHER COMMENTS MR. CHAIRMAN MOVE FOR APPROVAL.

>> REID: WITH THAT CONDITION--

>> YOU HEARD THE MOTION.

CAST YOUR VOTE.

>> REID: MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 113 SITTING AS THE UNIVERSITY OF MEDICAL CENTER AS THE BOARD OF HOSPITAL TRUSTEE AS PROVE AND AWARD RFT 2009-03 NEO-NATAL SERVICES TO SHARON AIR BOOK CHILDREN SERVICES OF NEVADA SHARE TON HEALTHCARE SERVICES OF NEVADA PC AND AUTHORIZE THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO SIGN THE AGREEMENT FOR MEDICAL DIRECTORSHIP AND PROFESSIONAL SERVICES.

>> GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS.

CATHY SILVER ON BEHALF OF THE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER.

BEFORE YOU IS THE RESULT OF THE R.F.P. FOR NEONATAL R.F.P. SERVICE THERE'S WERE THREE RESPONDENTS THE PATIENT CAR CONTRACT CHOSE SHERIDAN AS THE BEST QUALIFIED RESPONDENT.

ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE COMMISSIONERS?

>> A MOTION?

>> MOVE FOR APPROVAL.

EVERYONE CAN CAST THEIR VOTES.

HAS EVERYONE VOTED?

>> THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES APPROVE THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR PC DOING BUSINESS AS SOUTHERN NEVADA KIDNEY CENTER AND CLINIC AND AUTHORIZE THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO SIGN THE AGREEMENT.

>> COMMISSIONERS CATHY SILVER ON BEHALF OF THE UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER. THIS CONTRACT WILL PROVIDE US WITH THE SECOND TRANSPLANT METHOLOGIST FOR THE KIDNEY TRANSPLANT PROGRAM.

WE DIDN'T R.F.P. THIS.

IT IS BECAUSE WE HAVE BEEN IN A COLLECTIVE RECRUITMENT PROCESS.

NOW DR. LEARNER'S GROUP WHO IS PROVIDING THE OTHER IS RECRUITING AS WELL.

YOU WILL SEE IN HIS CONTRACT IF THERE IS A PHYSICIAN THAT'S COME FORWARD IT WILL BE REDUCED ACCORDINGLY.

>> COMMISSIONER WEEKLY.

>> YES.

COMMISSIONERS.

>> WEEKLY: THANK YOU.

IF THERE ARE NO QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS I MOVE FOR APPROVAL.

>> BRAGER: WE HAVE A MOTION ON THE FLOOR.

>> ITEM 115 HAS BEEN HELD UNTIL THE MAY 52009 MEETING RECEIVE AN UPDATE ON THE LEGISLATIVE SESSION AND TAKE ANY ACTION DEEMED APPROPRIATE.

>> GOOD MORNING MR. CHAIRMAN, COMMISSIONERS HERE TO REPORT THAT WE HAVE REACHED THE FIRST SIGNIFICANT DEADLINE.

THE FIRST DEADLINE WOULD HAVE BEEN TO GET OUT OF COMMITTEE AND HOUSE OF ORIGIN.

THE SECOND DEADLINE IS FOR A BILL TO GET OUT OF THE HOUSE OF ORIGIN AS OF YESTERDAY WE HAD 957 BILLS INTRODUCED.

242 FAILED TO GET OUT OF COMMITTEE, WHICH LEAVES US A BALANCE OF 663 BILLS THAT WE'RE STILL TRACKING.

AS OF YESTERDAY 247 OF THOSE BILLS REMAIN IN THE HOUSE OF ORIGIN.

SO WE EXPECT TODAY TO BE A VERY BUSY DAY.

WE WILL LET YOU KNOW HOW MANY BILLS HAVE REACHED THE SECOND STEP IN THE PROCESS.

WE WILL MOVE ON TO THE NEXT HOUSE.

WE GAVE YOU A COMPREHENSIVE LIST OF ALL OF THE BILLS TRACKING AND DISTRIBUTED A COPY OF A MUCH SHORTER REPORT OUTLINING THE BILL THAT MAY BE OF INTEREST TO THE BOARD.

THAT REPORT HAS BEEN CIRCULATED JUST A SECOND AGO.

AND OBVIOUSLY THERE'S A LOT OF BILLS THAT WE ARE MONITORING.

AT THIS POINT I THINK WE'LL OPEN UP FOR QUESTIONS FROM THE COMMISSIONERS.

>> REID: ANY DISCUSSION FROM THE BOARD.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: 64 IN THE WAYS AND MEANS.

THAT'S THE WHOLE JUDICIAL COMPONENT.

>> 64 AND 65 ARE BOTH WAYS AND MEANS.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: PEGGY'S BILL ON ONCOLOGY.

IT IS IN THE SENATE NOW, BUT -- IT DID NOT GO TO FINANCE.

IT ONLY WENT TO HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES HOPEFULLY THE TEAM IS TRYING TO EXPLAIN WE NEED FUNDING TO OFF SET THE COST.

IT DOESN'T HAVE A STATE IMPACT ON FISCAL, BUT IT DOES HAVE A LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

CATHY IS NODDING HER HEAD.

IT AIN'T OVER UNTIL IT IS OVER.

WE CAN EXPLAIN WE ABSOLUTELY WANT THE ONCOLOGY OPEN THERE SHOULD BE SOME FUNDING RESOURCES THAT COME ALONG WITH THAT.

>> REID: OTHER DISCUSSION FROM THE BOARD?

THANK YOU.

>> ITEM 117 APPROVE THE AWARD OF BID NUMBER 601-301-08 NORTHERN CLARK COUNTY ROUTE 215.

BRUCE WOODBURY BURE BELTWAY TO DECATEURE BOULEVARD TO THE LOW RESPONSIBLE BIDDER CONTINGENT ON BONDS AND OR TAKE ACTIONS APPROPRIATE. STAFF WILL PRESENT THE RECOMMENDATION.

>> REID: WE RECOGNIZE THERE'S A DISPUTE HERE WHY.

DON'T WE HEAR FROM OUR STAFF.

THEN WE'LL -- THEN WE'LL HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE FROM EACH OF THE COMBATANTS SAY WHATEVER THEY WOULD LIKE AND THEN WE'LL TRY TO MAKE A DECISION.

>> GOOD MORNING MR. CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONERS.

THIS IS JOLLI JONES FINANCE PURCHASING AND CONTRACTS.

BID NUMBER 601-309-08 NORTHERN CLARK COUNTY BRUCE WOODBURY BURE BELTWAY FROM DECATEURE BOULEVARD WAS OPENED ON JANUARY 29, 2009.

AND SEVEN BIDS WERE RECEIVED.

AS NOTED IN THE AGENDA FISHER SAND AND GRAVEL COMPANY IS THE APPARENT LOW RESPONSIVE BIDDER.

SEVERAL PROTESTS HAVE BEEN FILED AGAINST THIS BID.

THE PROTEST BEFORE YOU TODAY WAS SUBMITTED ON FEBRUARY 132009.

THE PLACING AN EMPHASIS ON SUBATORS FOR FLAT WORK AND PIPE AND PIPES PAVING FOR PARTIAL EXCAVATION STATING NEITHER SUBCONTRACTOR MAINTAINS A REQUISITE A-2 LICENSE IN ACCORDANCE WITH 51624115.

ATTORNEY HAVE EXTENSIVELY REVIEWED THE PROTEST AND SUBMITTED THE LETTERS GOING BACK AND FORTH BETWEEN THE TWO CONTRACTORS.

WE REVIEWED THE STATUTES AS WELL.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REVIEW, WE STAFF STILL RECOMMEND FISHER AS THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE BIDDER BASED ON OUR REVIEW.

WE DID NOT SEE THERE WAS ANY REPRESENTATION OR VIOLATION OF THE STATE LAW. THEREFORE, THE LOW BIDDER IS BEING RECOMMENDED.

WHICH THEIR THE BID IS \$4,587,369 LOWER THAN THE SECOND LOW BIDDER.

IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE COMPETING CONTRACTORS ARE IN ATTENDANCE TO ADDRESS THE COMMISSION.

>> REID: I HAVE A CARD FROM BILL CURRAN.

>> I'M BILL CURRAN BALLARD SPA 100 CITY PARK WAY ON BEHALF OF FISHER SAND AND GRAVEL.

WE'RE NOT THE PROTESTER, IT MIGHT BE MORE APPROPRIATE TO HEAR THEIR PROTEST AND ALLOW ME TO RESPOND TO IT.

>> REID: THAT'S NORMALLY OUR PRACTICE.

WHO IS HERE ON BEHALF OF THE PROTEST?

>> GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONER, AND COMMISSION MY NAME IS WADE GAWKINER 3800 PARKWAY ON BEHALF OF HOWARD THE ON BEHALF OF THE PROTESTERS IN THIS ACTION.

STAT STATED THAT OUR PROTEST RELATES TO TWO OF THE SUBCONTRACTORS LISTED BY FISHER INDUSTRY IN THE 1% LISTING OF CONTRACTORS WHO CONTRACT IS TO EXCEED 1% OF THE TOTAL CONTRACT BID PIKES PAVING AND BRAVO UNDERGROUND. BOTH OF THESE CONTRACTORS DO NOT HAVE THE REQUISITE A-2 SUBCONTRACTING LICENSE REVIEW OF THE NEVADA REQUIREMENTS A 2 IS A SUB HIGHWAY CLASSIFICATION, THEY DO HAVE LICENSE WITH THE ABILITY TO PERFORM

EXCAVATION WORK ON OTHER TYPES OF PROJECT ON PIPES AND FLAT WORKS AND OTHER TYPES OF PROJECTS.

BUT RELATED TO THE HIGHWAY PROJECT THEY ARE REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN THE NECESSARY A-2 LICENSE, IN ORDER TO PERFORM, HIGHWAY PROJECT WORK. THAT'S THE BASIS OF OUR PROTEST.

LOOKING BACK AT THE INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDER I THINK WE HAVE SET IT OUT. I JUST WANT TO HIT SOME HIGHLIGHTS.

IN 6 B 2.

THIS IS THE BIDDERS REPRESENTATION.

THEY ARE A LEGAL PROMISE TO THE COUNTY.

THAT THEY'RE GOING TO COMPLY WITH ALL OF THE STATEMENT SET FORTH IN THE REPRESENTATION.

IT INCLUDES THE REPRESENTATION THAT'S THE BIDDER, FISHER IN THIS CASE AND THE SUCCESSFUL CONTRACTORS AND THE SUBCONTRACTORS THAT INCLUDE BRAVO AND PIKES PAVING AND COMPLIES WITH ALL REVISED STATUTES 624 AMONG THE CONTRACT LICENSING STATUTE WHICH REQUIRES CONTRACTORS TO BE PROPERLY LICENSED TO PERFORM THE WORK, IN WHICH THEY'RE BIDDING AND PERFORMING.

AGAIN COMMISSIONERS I THINK IF YOU LOOK AT N.A.C., YOU WILL SEE AGAIN THERE, IS A SPECIFIC SUBCONTRACT A SPECIFIC SUBCONTRACT TO PERFORM UNDER AN A 2, WHICH NEITHER OF THESE SUBCONTRACTORS LIST.

>> ADDITIONALLY IF, WE LOOK AT SECTION 7 OF THE INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDER, 7 A, 2 AND SUBSECTION A OF THAT.

IT IS FISHER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO VERIFY PRIOR TO SUBMITTING IT TO BIDS I'M READING DIRECTLY FROM THE INSTRUCTION VERIFY PRIOR TO SUBMITTING BIDS THAT ALL SUBCONTRACTORS ARE PROPERLY LICENSED.

IN SOME OF THE LETTERS THEY TRY TO SHIFT UNDER A DIFFERENT SUBSECTION TO LAS VEGAS PAVING.

LAS VEGAS PAVING HAS UNDERTAKEN A HERCULEAN EFFORT IN TRYING TO GET A DECISION SO, THIS COMMISSION COULD KNOW THAT WE ARE IN FACT CORRECT WE KNOW WE'RE CORRECT BECAUSE A SISTER COMPANY OF LAS VEGAS PAVING LOST A BID ON THE SAME ISSUE IN FRONT OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS.

WE HAVE IS DEALT WITH IT BEFORE.

WE KNOW THIS IS WHAT'S REQUIRED ON THAT BASIS THAT'S WHY WE MADE THE PROTEST.

WHENEVER THERE'S A PROTEST IT.

THEY CLAIM THEY HAVE BEEN SUED ONCE BEFORE AND DON'T WANT TO GET INVOLVED. THEY HAVE DONE EVERYTHING TO STALL THIS ACTION.

WE HAVE TRIED OUR MIGHT YET TO GET THEM INVOLVED, BUT THEY REFUSE TO PARTICIPATE.

WE ARE ON AN AGENDA ITEM ON APRIL 30TH MEETING OF THE CONTRACTORS BOARD, WHERE WE HAVE BEEN TOLD BY BOARD COUNCIL HE WOULD RECOMMEND WHETHER THEY WILL CONSIDER WHETHER THEY WANT TO MAKE AN OPINION ON THE PARTICULAR ISSUE AND THEY WOULD RECOMMEND THEY DO NOT MAKE A OPINION UNTIL IT IS COMPLETELY RESOLVED THROUGH THE COMMISSION AND ANY FURTHER ACTION AFTER THAT.

UNFORTUNATELY WE HAVE TRIED.

WE HAVE DONE WHAT WE CAN.

THE POINT IS TO PREVENT BID SHOPPING AND PROVIDE WITH THE QUALITY PROJECT WITH COMPETITION BUT NOT SO MUCH SO THAT IT PROVIDES SUBSTANDARD MATERIALS I WANT TO POINT OUT ONE LAST THING.

IN 7 A THERE ARE TWO SECTIONS.

IN SUBSECTION B IT REQUIRES THAT EACH OF THE BIDDERS LIST ALL OF THE SUBCONTRACTORS PURSUANT TO NRS 338-1 THE 5% AND THE 1%.

SAYING IF YOU DON'T DO THAT.

YOU HAVE TO PROVIDE THE DESCRIPTION OF THE LABOR OR PORTION OF THE WORK WHICH EACH SUBCONTRACTOR WILL PROVIDE TO THE BIDDER.

IF YOU LOOK AT FISHERS BID ON THE TWO PARTICULAR SUBCONTRACTORS, AGAIN WITH THE BACKDROP THAT THE IDEAS IS TO PREVENT BID SHOPPING THE DESCRIPTION IS FOR PIKES PAVING PARTIAL EXCAVATION.

THERE'S NO WAY TO DETERMINE WHAT WORK OR WORK IT WILL PROVIDE WITH THAT DESCRIPTION.

THIS IS A PRIME WAY FOR FISHER TO THEN SHOP THESE BIDS.

THE SAME THING FOR PRAVO UNDERGROUND.

IT WILL PROVIDE IN THE WORK AND SOME TYPE OTHER OTHERWISE ARE SPECIFIC AS TO WHAT WILL WORK SPENT FOR THESE TWO.

THEY'RE UNLICENSED.

THEY'RE NOT PROPERLY NAMED THIS BID IS NONRESPONSIVE AND NEVER GET ARGUMENTS FISHER HAS TRIED TO MAKE.

IT ALL COMES BACK TO THE INSTRUCTION THE BID IS NONRESPONSIVE.

AT THE THANK YOU.

>> ANY QUESTIONS?

>> REID: COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

>> SISOLAK: YOU KEEP CITING THESE INSTRUCTIONS TO BID.

THEY IN ANY OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT WE HAVE BEEN HANDED?

>> I BELIEVE THEY WERE.

THEY WERE INCLUDED BY FISHER IN THE ORIGINAL RESPONSE LETTER.

>> SISOLAK: IN THIS PART?

>> WE DID NOT GET THAT ENTIRE PART.

>> THIS ONE?

>> I BELIEVE -- I'M GENERAL COUNCIL FOR LAS VEGAS PAVING.

>> I BELIEVE IT IS SELECTIVE IT DEALT WITH 6 A, BUT DID NOT DEAL WITH 6 A 2,

>> WHAT WE'RE RELYING ON REQUIRING TO HAVE THE SUBCONTRACTORS VERIFIED BY THE CONTRACTOR AT THE TIME OF THE COMMISSION.

>> SO IF I COULD ASK STAFF.

>> SISOLAK: 6 A IS IN HERE, BUT 6 A D IS NOT IN HERE.

I JUST GOT IT SIX MINUTES BEFORE THE MEETING.

WITH DUE RESPECT I COULDN'T READ THIS.

>> I DO HAVE A COP OF THE INVITATION.

>> SISOLAK: WAS IT IN YOUR THING?

>> IT DID NOT COME IN THE ORIGINAL, NO, IT DID NOT?

>> COMMISSIONER I BELIEVE IT IS INCLUDED IT LOOKS LIKE IN ET CETERA ENTIRETY.

>> OKAY, SO CAN YOU DIRECT ME TO A PAGE.

SPEAKING TO PAGE 2-4.

>> SPECIFICALLY COMMISSIONER WHAT WE ARE LOOKING AT IS ON PAGE 2-3 THE INSTRUCTION TO BIDDERS UNDER 6.

{OOPS}

>> IT WOULD CONTINUE ON, EXCUSE ME COMMISSIONER FOR ITEM 7, WHICH IS ON PAGE 2-4.

IT IS ITEM 6, WHICH IS THE REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRACTOR AND ITEM 7 RELATED TO THE REQUIRED DOCUMENTS FOR THE SUBMITTAL OF THE BID.

>> SISOLAK: OKAY.

>> REID: OTHER QUESTIONS?

THANK YOU.

>> THANK YOU COMMISSIONERS.

>> REID: MR. CURRAN, THIS IS YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND.

>> THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION.

I APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE BEFORE YOU TODAY.

I'M GOING TO TRY TO BE VERY RESPECTFUL TO THE ARGUMENT MY CO-COUNCIL HAS MADE.

YOU NEED TO UNDERSTAND FROM THE BEGINNING THAT THIS ARGUMENT IS SO OVER THE TOP IT IS ALMOST HARD TO GET YOUR ARMS AROUND IT.

WHAT THEY ARE URGING IS SOMETHING THAT IS IN TOTAL CONTRADICTION OF EXISTING PRACTICE OF THE AWARD OF CONTRACTS I'VE BEEN PRIVATELY TO IN THESE CHAMBERS FOR 30 YEARS.

I'VE BEEN HEAVILY INVOLVE IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY.

THIS IS OVER THE TOP.

I'M GOING TO RESTRAIN MYSELF THOUGH AND SAY ON BEHALF OF FISHER WE'RE HERE TODAY TO ACCEPT THIS CONTRACT, THE AWARD OF THIS CONTRACT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATION OF YOUR STAFF.

YOU SHOULD KNOW THAT YOUR ENGINEERS DID MAKE AN ESTIMATE OF THE COST OF CONSTRUCTING THIS PROJECT.

PERHAPS IT IS A FUNCTION OF THE CURRENT ECONOMY, BUT BIDS CAME IN LOW AND WELL BELOW, IN FACT IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF \$14 MILLION LOWER THAN THE ENGINEERS ESTIMATE.

THAT'S SIGNIFICANT, BECAUSE IT MEANS THAT YOU HAVE SET ASIDE THE FUNDS. YOU HAVE THE PROPER BUDGET.

THERE'S NO REASON NOT TO GO FORWARD TODAY FROM THAT STANDPOINT, WHICH SOMETIMES DOES COME UP AS BEING THE PROBLEM THAT THE BIDS ARE ABOVE THE ESTIMATE.

THAT'S NOT TRUE TODAY.

WE'RE \$14 MILLION BELOW THE ENGINEER SUBMIT AND \$14.5 MILLION BELOW LAS VEGAS PAVE'S ESTIMATE.

FISHER SAND AND GRAVEL IS PART OF A MAJOR MULTIPLE-STATE HIGHWAY AND GENERAL ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION FIRM.

IT IS LICENSED TO DO THIS JOB IN THIS STATE.

THERE'S NO CONTEST ABOUT THAT.

THEY WILL PERFORM THE JOB WITH A HELP OF A NUMBER OF SPECIALTY SUBCONTRACTORS.

ALL OF WHOM ARE PROPERLY LICENSED TO DO THE WORK THAT FISHER HAS ALLOCATED TO THEM, BUT THEY WILL WORK FOR FISHER BE ADVISED BY FISHER.

YOUR CONTRACT TODAY IS WITH FISHER.

THE SUBCONTRACTORS WORK UNDER THE SUPERVISION AND CONTROL OF THE GENERAL OR PRIME CONTRACTOR.

NOT ONLY HAS FISHER BEEN INVOLVED IN ROUGHLY 20 JOBS IN OUR STATE, BUT THE PROJECT MANAGER ON THIS JOB IS THE SAME PROJECT MANAGER, WHO CAN INSTRUCTED THE PORTION OF THE BELTWAY THAT THIS NEW SEGMENT IS GOING TO CONNECT TO.

JUST A LITTLE MORE INFORMATION ABOUT FISHER.

I'LL TELL YOU THAT THEY'VE DONE VERY LARGE PROJECTS IN THIS STATE. THEY'RE INVOLVED IN THE LARGEST CIVIL PROJECT IN THE HISTORY OF THIS STATE, WHICH IS THE \$400 MILLION FREEWAY CONNECTION BETWEEN RENO AND CARSON CITY.

I'M SURE YOU'VE ALL SEEN THAT HUGE PREJUDICE THAT SPANS PART OF THAT ROADWAY.

THEY'VE BEEN INVOLVED IN THE ESSKIA PROJECT CALLED CRYSTAL RIDGE IN HENDERSON.

THAT'S A \$200 MILLION PLUS PROJECT.

THAT'S THE LARGEST CIVIL ENGINEERING PROJECT IN THE HISTORY OF THE STATE ALSO.

FISHER IS QUALIFIED TO THE BY THE REFERENCE IN THE STATE BECAUSE OF THE EXTENSIVE AMOUNT OF WORK THEY HAVE DONE HERE.

THEY HAVE ABOUT 120 EMPLOYEES IN OUR COMMUNITY.

I WANT TO RESPOND TO THE PROTEST ON TWO GROUNDS.

BOTH OF WHICH ARE EQUALLY IMPORTANT.

THE FIRST ONE IS PROBABLY THE MOST IMPORTANT, BECAUSE -- WE DON'T EVEN HAVE TO GET TO THE SECOND HALF OF THE ARGUMENT.

BUT IF YOU READ THE STATUTE 338.142, IT SAYS, AND I'VE INCLUDED THAT IN THE TAP.

I SHOULD MAKE NOTE, BY THE WAY THAT I DID SUBMIT TO YOUR CLERK AND TO EACH OF COUNTRY TODAY BEFORE STARTING TODAY'S HEARING A COPY OF DOCUMENTS, WHICH I THOUGHT WAS NECESSARY TO HAVE COMPILED IN ONE PLACE AND HAVE SORT OF REFERENCED BY TAB NUMBERS SO, THAT WE COULD REFERENCE THEM IF NEED BE HERE OR SUBSEQUENTLY BECAUSE YOU HAVE BEEN TOLD AND WE HAVE LEARNED THROUGH THE WRITINGS OF LAV PAVING THEY'RE CONTEMPLATING LITIGATION IF, IT DOESN'T GO THEIR WAY.

WE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE IMPORTANT TO HAVE ALL OF THE DUMES ASSEMBLED IN ONE PLACE.

WE ADDED SOME EXPERT TEST TO SEE IT IS WHERE IT PROPERLY GOODS FROM HERE. ANYWAY THEY FILED A CLAIM.

THE BIDS WERE OPEN IN LATE JANUARY.

THEY HAD FIVE DAYS TO FILE THEIR PROTEST.

IN FACT THEY DID.

THEY PROTESTED ON GROUNDS THAT ARE NO LONGER RELEVANT TODAY, BECAUSE NOT ONLY WERE THEY FOUND NOT TOGETHER WITH MERIT BY THE COUNTY STAFF BUT LAS VEGAS PAVING THEN WITHDREW THAT ORIGINAL PROTEST.

AT THE SAME TIME IT SOUGHT TO FILE A NEW PROTEST ON SEPARATE GROUNDS.
AT THAT POINT IT WAS 11 DAYS AFTER THE BID OPENED.
THE STATUTE SAYS YOU HAVE TO DO IT WITHIN FIVE DAYS.
THE NEW GROUNDS WERE OFFERED IN THE EFFORT TO SUPPLEMENT WHAT WAS ALREADY
RAISED.
TO THE CONTRARY THEY RAISED NEW UNRELATED GROUNDS.
WE DON'T CONCEDE THIS ONE MINUTE.
IF THE NEW ALLEGATIONS WERE WELL FOUNDED THEY'RE TOO LATE.
THEY'RE IN VIOLATION OF THE STATUTE.
THEY CAN'T PROVIDE ANY BASES WHATEVER FOR THE COMMISSION TO EVEN CONSIDER
LAS VEGAS PAVEMENT'S PROTEST.
IT IS SIMPLE.
TOO LATE.
THE GAME IS OVER.
>> LAS VEGAS -- I WANT TO NOTE THAT 47.
WE HAVE SUBMITTED EXPERT TESTIMONY FROM A VERY QUALIFIED PURCHASING EXPERT
WHO SPECIALIZES IN THE AREA OF GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING.
HE HAS ANALYZED THIS.
HE WORKED FOR THIS COMMISSION FOR A PERIOD IN A RESPONSIBLE POSITION AND
HAS CONTINUED HIS CAREER SINCE THEN.
HE KNOWS THIS IS SIMPLY TOO LATE.
>> SO -- THEY'VE THREATENED TO BRING SUIT, IF THEY WON'T GET THEIR WAY.
I CAN TELL YOU THAT WILL BE A VERY QUICK LAWSUIT IF THEY DO.
A JUDGE JUST LIKE YOU CAN COUNT TO FIVE.
FIVE DAYS.
11 DAYS IS NOT FIVE DAYS.
LET ME JUST GIVE A COUPLE OF EXAMPLES A DRUNK DRIVER RUNS THROUGH A SCHOOL
ZONE AGAINST THE RED LIGHT AND KARINES OUT OF CONTROL AND HITS ME IN THE
CROSSWALK.
I HAVE TWO YEARS TO FILE MY CLAIM.
IF I DON'T FILE IN TWO YEARS -- IT IS TOO LATE.
GAME IS OVER.
STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS HAS RUN.
IF I FILE IT THREE YEARS IT IS TOO LATE.
TWO YEARS AND ONE DAY.
IT IS TOO LATE.
THERE'S A TIME PERIOD SET THAT IS SET FOR A REASON.
AND -- THIS IS JUST TOO LATE.
GAME IS OVER.
IF I SHOOT A FULL-COURT BASKET I HIT NOTHING BUT NET, AND -- BUT I LEFT MY
HANDS A FRACTION OF A SECOND AFTER THE BUZZER WENT OFF, TOO LATE.
GAME IS OVER.
THIS IS PROTEST IS SIMPLY FILED TOO LATE.
SO WHILE I THINK THAT REALLY IS DISPOSITIVE.
THAT'S REALLY THE END OF THE DISCUSSION HERE.
I KNOW THAT YOU'RE GOING TO WANT ME TO ADDRESS THE MERITS.
I'M PREPARED TO DO SO.

BUT I WANT TO DO SO WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT -- THE FACT THAT THE PROTEST IS FILED UNTIMELY ABSOLUTELY DISQUALIFIES IT FROM HAVING ANY STANDING WHATEVER TODAY.

IT IS WELL ESTABLISHED UNDER NEVADA LAW AND INDUSTRY PRACTICE THAT A SUBCONTRACTOR NEED ONLY BE LICENSED FOR THE SPECIFIC SCOPE OF WORK IN WHICH IT HAS BEEN HIRED.

IN THIS CASE THERE'S NO QUESTION.

FISHER SAND AND GRAVEL, PART OF THE LARGER PARENT COMPANY HAS DONE THIS KIND OF WORK ALL OVER THE COUNTRY.

I'M TOLD THEY WORK IN 14 STATES.

THEY'RE IN GOOD STANDING IN ALL OF THOSE 14 STATES.

BUT NOT WITHSTANDING THAT AND THEY'RE SECOND IN TWO LATE PROTESTS.

LAS VEGAS PAVING ALLEGES CONTRARY TO LAW AND CONTRARY TO PAST POSITIONS BY THE CONTRACTORS BOARD, CONTRARY TO THE PAST ACTIONS OF THIS BODY CONTRARY TO LAS VEGAS PAVING'S OWN ACTIONS -- ANOTHER -- PAVING OWN ACTIONS AND SIMILAR BIDS IT IS NOT SUFFICIENT FOR THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR WHO BIDS ON THE PUBLIC WORKS JOB RELATED TO CONSTRUCTION OF THE ROADWAY TO HOLD THE SPECIALTY SUBCONTRACTOR LICENSE.

EVERY SUBCONTRACTOR TO BE UTILIZED MUST HAVE THE FULL AB-A 2 LICENSURE.

IF THIS IS TO BE THE LAW THE ABILITY OF SUBCONTRACTORS TO WORK ON ANY MAJOR PROJECT WOULD BE SUBSTANTIALLY, IF NOT ALMOST TOTALLY ELIMINATED.

AND FEW, IF ANY MINORITY-OWNED SUBIS CONTRACTORS.

THEY WOULD EVER HAVE THE CHANCE TO WORK ON THINGS STRICTLY LIMITED TO THEIR SPECIALTY.

>> THIS IS ON ITS FACE AND RECOGNIZED BY SUCH BY YOUR PURCHASING STAFF AND BY THE D.A.

OFFICE.

I HAVE NEVER HEARD OF SUCH AN ARGUMENT IN MY 30 YEARS OF WORK IN THE CONSTRUCTION FIELD AND -- IN FRONT OF GOVERNMENT BODIES.

I KNOW YOU'RE PURCHASING EXPERTS AND THE OFFICE HAS REVIEWED THIS.

KNOW THAT THEY HAVE INDEPENDENTLY REACHED THE SAME CONCLUSIONS.

I WILL TRY TO SAVE MY WIND ON ALL OF THIS, BUT SAY THAT IT IS JUST ABSOLUTELY UNFOUNDED FOR THEM TO URGE YOU TO WASTE \$4.5 AND MORE CLOSELY \$4.6 MILLION ON SOMETHING THAT IS SO TOTALLY UNPRECEDENTED AND UNWARRANTED.

>> I'VE INCLUDED THE CHART ANALYZING THE BIDS AND THE BIDDERS ON ALL SEVEN BIDDERS ON THIS JOB, THAT'S ON TAB 35.

>> I THINK YOU'LL NOTE THAT IT IS INTERESTING THAT ALL SEVEN BIDDERS INCLUDED LICENSE SUBCONTRACTORS TO DO THIS SPECIFIC WORK FOR WHICH THEY HOLD THE APPROPRIATE CATEGORICAL LICENSE AND THAT INCLUDES LAS VEGAS PAVING.

SOMEHOW, IT WAS WRONG FOR US TO DO IT, BUT THEY DID IT THEMSELVES.

I'VE ALSO INCLUDED IN THE MATERIALS DISTRIBUTED TAB 42 OF THE LAS VEGAS FILLAT AND CURRENT JOB FOR INDOT ON I15 SHOWING THE INCLUSION OF TRIPLE J TRENCHING BEING UTILIZED ON THAT JOB.

IT HOLDS AN A 12, 15, AND 19 LICENSE.

THE APPROPRIATE LICENSURE FOR THE WORK IT IS PERFORMING.

IT DOESN'T HOLD AN A AND AN A B OR AN A 2.

>> I SHOULD NOTE THAT CONTRACT HOLDER IS NORTH CORRIDOR CONSTRUCTION A JOIN VENTURE WE LAS VEGAS PAVING AND CH2 M HEALTH.

>> THE SAME JOB LAS VEGAS PAVING FILLAT IS LOSING AT LEAST ONE OTHER SUBCONTRACTOR WHO DOES NOT HOLD AN AAB OR A 2 LICENSE WILL AM CONTRACTING. INCLUDED IN THE MATERIALS SUBMITTED IN TAB 43.

THIS WOULD BE A CLEAR VIOLATION OF THE RULE THAT LAS VEGAS PAVING IS TRYING TO URGE TODAY.

ALSO IN THE MATERIALS I HAVE SUBMITTED UNTAB 36 IS A DOCUMENT FROM THE STATE CONTRACTORS BOARD GIVING NOTICE OF HAVING INCREASED THE MONETARY LICENSE LIMIT FOR PRAVO UNDERGROUND TO WORK AS A SUBCONTRACTOR ON THE BELTWAY CONTRACT WE ARE DISCUSSING TODAY.

BY THE WAY, SINCE ISSUING THIS ONE-TIME INCREASE THE CONTRACTORS BOARD IN A SUBSEQUENT ACTION HAS INCREASED PRAVO'S BONDING LIMIT TO AN UNLIMITED LEVEL AND DONE SO ON A PERMANENT BASIS.

THE CONTRACTOR BOARD WOULDN'T HAVE INCREASES BRAVO MONETARY LICENSE LIMIT TO PERFORM ON THIS JOB, IF IT IS BELIEVED THAT BRAVO DID NOT HOLD THE PROPER LICENSURE.

YOU LOOK AT THE DOCUMENTS IT REFERS TO THIS SPECIFIC JOB.

BY THE WAY, THIS IS ONE OF THE TWO MINORITY-OWNED SUBCONTRACTORS WHO WILL BE WORKING ON THIS JOB, THIS SUBCONTRACTORS FOR US.

LAS VEGAS PAVING DOES NOT HAVE ANY MINORITY-OWNED SUBCONTRACTORS WHICH -- FAILS TO CONFORM WITH THE GOALS SET BY THE COUNTRY FOR PEOPLE WHO DO WORK ON IMPORTANT PUBLIC WORKS CONTRACTS.

ALSO INCLUDED TAB 46 IS A LETTER FROM FORMER PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR MARTY MANNING REPORTING ON THE COUNTY'S PRACTICE AS PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR.

AS YOU SEE FROM HIS LETTER.

THE COUNTY HAS ALWAYS ACCEPTED SUBCONTRACTORS WITH SPECIALTY LICENSES TO WORK UNDER GENERAL CONTRACTORS WHO HOLD HERE GENERALIZED LICENSES REQUIRED TO DO THE WORK IN QUESTION.

I DON'T WANT TO OVER STAY MY WELCOME.

I JUST WANT TO WRAP-UP AND SAY THE BIDS ON THIS JOB CAME IN MILLIONS UNDER THE CONSTRUCTION.

YOU HAVE ALL OF THE FUNDS SET ASIDE AND YOU CAN GET IT DONE AT THE SUBSTANTIAL SAVINGS AND MAYBE EVEN HELP IN FUTURE SEGMENTS OF THE BELTWAY FISHER'S BID IS \$4.5 MILLION, CLOSER TO \$4.6 MILLION NOT ONLY IS IT DESPERATELY NEEDED PROJECT READY TO GO FORWARD.

ANYTHING OTHER THAN THE WORK TODAY WOULD LEAD TO A SUBSTANTIAL DELAY.

I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY HOW LONG THAT WOULD BE.

I'M TOLD IT WOULD BE AT LEAST 90 DAYS.

IT COULD BE LONGER, BUT WHO KNOWS WHAT POSITION WE WOULD BE IN AT THAT POINT WHETHER WE WOULD BE ABLE TO GO FORWARD.

>> FISHER HAS IN THE FINAL PHASES OF WRAPPING UP ITS LARGE CRYSTAL RIDGE HIS SKIA PROJECT IN HENDERSON.

IT HAS A CREW READY TO COME FORWARD AND GET TO WORK ON THIS JOB.

THEY WON THE BID FAIR AND SQUARE.

WHEN YOU DON'T HAVE THE LAW AND YOU DON'T HAVE YOUR FACTS ON YOUR SIDE, I GUESS WHAT YOU'RE TRYING TO DO IS CREATE AS MUCH CONFUSION ON MANY SIDE ISSUES AS POSSIBLE, BUT I'M NOT GOING TO TRY TO BEAT UP THEIR ARGUMENT. YOU HAVE HEARD FROM YOUR EXPERT PLANNING STAFF, YOUR DISTRICT ATTORNEY OFFICE IS HERE TO ADD ANY FURTHER INPUT.

WE HAVE SUBMITTED STATEMENTS FROM VERY SENIOR, EXPERIENCED PEOPLE IN THE WORLD OF PUBLIC CONTRACTING THAT SUPPORT OUR POSITION.

WITH ALL RESPECT WE ASK THAT YOU GO FORWARD AND AWARD THE CONTRACT TODAY.

>> REID: THANK YOU I TURN IT OVER TO THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

>> COLLINS: I WILL HAVE A FEW, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF YOU WILL BEAR WITH ME.

>> FIRST QUESTION, BILL -- I'M SORRY.

I WAS GOING TO ASK YOU A QUESTION BEFORE YOU GOT AWAY.

I WANT YOU TO EXPLAIN BID SHOPPING TO ME.

>> WHAT IS BID SHOP SOMETHING.

>> THERE'S A RULE THAT PROHIBIT BID SHOPPING BY CONTRACTORS SAYING WHEN YOU SUBMIT A PROPOSAL TO THE COUNTY OR ANY PUBLIC OWNER, THAT YOU ARE GOING TO UTILIZE CERTAIN SUBCONTRACTORS TO PERFORM THE WORK.

YOU KNOW CAN THERE EVER BE A DEVIATION FROM IT?

>> YEAH THERE CAN BE AND THERE HAS BEEN ON A NUMBER OF OCCASIONS IF A SUBCONTRACTOR IN THE COURSE OF THE PROJECT WENT BROKE.

THEN IT WOULDN'T MEAN THE END, BUT WE WOULD HAVE TO COME BACK AND REPLACE THAT SUBCONTRACTOR BECAUSE OF THESE EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES.

BUT -- BUT -- SO THERE CAN BE CHANGES, BUT BASICALLY A SUBCONTRACTOR SUBMITS A BID IN GOOD FAITH.

AND THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR ACCEPTS THAT BID AND -- AND REPRESENTS TO THE SUBCONTRACTOR THAT THEY INTEND TO USE THEM TO PERFORM THE WORK.

AND THAT'S EXACTLY WHERE WE ARE TODAY.

WE HAVE ACCEPTED BIDS FROM SUBCONTRACTORS.

WE'RE GOING TO USE THOSE SUBCONTRACTORS.

>> REQUIRED US TO SUBMIT THOSE THAT ARE GOING TO DO MORE THAN 5% OR MORE THAN 1% OF THE JOB.

WE INTENT -- INTEND TO COMPLY.

>> COLLINS: THANK YOU.

COULD LEGAL GIVE US THE BID SHOPPING DEFINITION?

>> CERTAINLY UNDER THE STATUTE THAT REQUIRES THAT THE BIDDERS LIST THEIR 5% -- LIST THEIR 5% AND 1%.

TO LIST THEIR SUBCONTRACTORS AND CAN'T GO BACK AFTER BEING AWARDED LOW BIDDER AND TRY TO UNDER SHOP THOSE SUBCONTRACTORS AND GAIN A GREATER PROFIT FOR THEMSELVES.

THE STATUTE STIPULATES ONCE THEY SUBMIT THE SUBCONTRACTORS IN GENERAL THEY CAN'T REPLACE THE SUBCONTRACT BUT IT DOES ANTICIPATE IN CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES THAT THE SUBCONTRACTORS CAN BE REPLACED.

ONE OF THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES IS IN FACT THAT THE SUBCONTRACTOR ISN'T PROPERLY LICENSED.

IN THIS CASE, WE DON'T CLEARLY HAVE THAT AT THIS POINT.

WE DON'T HAVE ANY REALLY SOLID LAW THAT WOULD TELL US THAT THEY'RE IMPROPERLY LICENSED TO DO SOME WORK ON THIS VERY LARGE PROJECT.

>> COLLINS: THE NEXT QUESTION FOR YOU -- WHEN CLARK COUNTY PUTS A BID OUT AND THE MINIMUM IS SEVEN DAYS, I BELIEVE OR WHATEVER THE TIME PERIOD IS -- DOES ALL OF THE DOCUMENT -- DO ALL DOCUMENTS FOR THAT BID HAVE TO BE SUBMITTED BY THE CLOSE OF THE BID DATE?

>> THE 5% LIST NEEDS TO BE SUBMITTED I BELIEVE AT THE CLOSE OF THE BID. THE 1% WITHIN TWO HOURS.

>> COLLINS: MAKE THAT EASIER FOR ME TO UNDERSTAND.

IF WE PUT OUT A BID -- FOR A BRIDGE A ROAD, A BUILDING, A PARK AT THE CLOSE OF THE BID WHAT HAS TO BE TURNED IN AND WHAT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE TURNED IN?

>> IN TERMS OF SUBCONTRACTORS WE MUST LIST THE SUBCONTRACTORS THEY INTEND TO USE IF IT EXCEEDS GREATER THAN 5%.

>> COLLINS: HOW DO YOU DETERMINE THE 1% AND 5%?

>> THE TOTAL BID.

>> COLLINS: JUST BY THE DOLLAR AMOUNT OR BY THE DESCRIPTION OF THE WORK?

>> NO, BY THE DOLLAR AMOUNT.

>> COLLINS: JUST BY THE DOLLAR AMOUNT THAT SUB NUMBER IS PLACED.

>> COLLINS: THAT'S STATUTE OR IS THAT COUNTY REQUIREMENT?

>> NO, IT IS BASED ON THE TOTAL BID AMOUNT IN THE STATUTE.

>> COLLINS: OKAY.

SO EVERYTHING HAS TO BE TURNED IN THE DAY OF THE BID OR WITHIN TWO HOURS OF THE CLOSE OF THE BID IS THE COUNTY'S RULE?

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

>> COLLINS: MISS JONES, WILL YOU COME UP HERE PLEASE?

>> JOLLI JONES.

IT IS A REQUIREMENT BY STATE LAW.

>> COLLINS: YESTERDAY I ASKED YOU IN OUR BRIEFING IT ALL OF THE CONTRACTORS HAVE EVERYTHING TURNED IN ON TIME BY THE CLOSE OF BID OR WERE SOME DOCUMENTS RECEIVED LATER?

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

>> COLLINS: YES I DID.

I CORRECTED IT.

>> YOU ASKED ME THAT QUESTION, YES.

I WAS NOT ABLE TO FINISH MY EXPLANATION AT THAT POINT IN TIME.

>> COLLINS: SOME THINGS WERE TURNED IN LATER?

>> NOT -- NOT -- JUST THE LISTING OF THE SUBCONTRACTORS WERE TURNED IN TWO HOURS AFTER THE BID OPENING.

IN I REQUIREMENT REQUIRED IN THE BID, FOR INSTANCE IT REQUIRED THAT THE ELECTRICAL BE SUBMITTED AFTER A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF TIME AND THAT WAS SUBMITTED BY FISHER.

>> COLLINS: DO YOU FEEL YOU TOLD ME THE SAME THING AS YESTERDAY?

>> NO, I DID NOT.

>> AGAIN I DIDN'T FINISH THE EXPLANATION YESTERDAY.

>> COLLINS: SO YOU DID NOT TELL ME THE SAME THING YESTERDAY AS TODAY, IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE SAY SOMETHING.

>> NO, IF I MAY COMMISSIONER.
WITH DUE RESPECT I DID NOT FINISH MY DEFINITION OR MY RESPONSE TO YOU,
BECAUSE YOUR STATEMENT IS YOU DIDN'T WANT TO HEAR ABOUT IT ANY MORE AND I
STOPPED -- .

>> COLLINS: I ASKED YOU THREE TIMES YESTERDAY -- WAS EVERYTHING TURNED IN
ON TIME OR SOME THINGS LATER.

>> YOU SAID LATER TWO OR THREE TIMES THAT SEEMED TO BE OBVIOUS ENOUGH.
>> THE 2% -- THE 1%, EXCUSE ME WAS TURNED IN TWO HOURS AFTERWARDS, YES,
SIR.

>> COLLINS: I GUESS -- BOTH IN INTEREST 335143 AND IN NRS 332175 THE
LANGUAGE IS PRETTY CLEAR THAT ANY BIDS IN RESPONSE TO AN ADVERTISEMENT FOR
BIDS MAY BE REJECTED OR AUTHORIZED "RESPONSIBILITY TO PROTECT" FOR
AWARDING THE BIDS DETERMINES ONE THE BIDDER IS NOT RESPONSIVE OR
RESPONSIBLE, B THE QUALITY OF THE MATERIAL, EQUIPMENT OR LABOR DOES NOT
CONFORM IN THE APPROVED PLANS OR SPECIFICATIONS OR C THE PUBLIC INTEREST
WOULD BE SERVED BY SUCH A REJECTION.
IT IS STATED IN TWO DIFFERENT PLACES IN THE LAW.
I THINK WHAT I FOUND OUT IN THE LAST FOUR YEARS AS A COUNTY COMMISSIONER,
VERSUS BEING IN THE NEVADA STATE LEGISLATURE, WHERE WE ACTUALLY DRAFTED
THESE LAWS AND BACK IN 2003, WHEN I SUB CHAIRED THE COMMITTEE FOR
GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS IN THE LEGISLATURE, WHERE WE DETERMINED WHAT
QUALIFICATIONS WERE FOR SUBCONTRACTORS AND FOR BIDDING PROCESSES FOR LOCAL
GOVERNMENT.
BECAUSE-- I THINK PART OF THE REASON WE ADDRESSED THAT ISSUE WAS THE
REGIONAL JUSTICE CENTER THE COUNTY WAS UNDER CONTRACT.
AT LEAST THAT'S WHAT I'M TOLD.
IF CLARK COUNTY DRAWS A STANDARD UP AND MISS JONES I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU
TO COME UP AGAIN.
I THINK I USE THIS EXAMPLE ABOUT A MONTH AGO, BUT I'LL TRY TO MAKE IT
SIMPLER.
POLICE CHIEFS AND SHERIFF'S DIDN'T LIKE THE CLUNK ON THE HARLEY DAVIDSON
SO THEY WROTE STANDARDS THE GEAR SHIFTS HAD TO BE ON THE HANDLES IT ENDED
UP WITH LOCAL GOVERNMENTS BUYING KAWASAKI, TO BE EASIER ON THE OLD GUYS
KIDNEYS OR SOMETHING.
I MAKE IT SIMPLE.
IF WE WROTE UP A STANDARD THAT SAID WE WANTED FORD MADE IN DETROIT AND
SOMEONE BOUGHT A CHEVY WOULD WE BUY THE CHEVY?
>> THE SPECIFICATIONS ARE SPECIFIC TO THAT AND SUBSTITUTE NO, SIR THAT BID
WOULD BE NONRESPONSIVE.
>> COLLINS: OKAY.
THAT WAS A SIMPLE ONE.
NOW -- I CALL -- I'VE TALKED TO STATE CONTRACTORS BOARD OVER THIS ISSUE.
I THINK THE NEXT THING I WOULD LIKE TO SAY IS CLARK COUNTY HAS BEEN AROUND
100 YEARS.
CLARK COUNTY BY NOW SHOULD BE ABLE TO KNOW HOW TO PUT TOGETHER A CONTRACT
THAT DOESN'T HAVE THIS MUCH CONFLICT THAT I'VE BEEN HEARING ABOUT OVER

THIS ISSUE OR THE ISSUES THAT WE'VE HAD AT THE AIRPORT OR OTHER CONTRACT BIDS THAT WE'VE HAD.

SOMEBODY NEEDS TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO DO A CONTRACT THAT COMPLIES WITH OUR COUNTY REGULATIONS THAT SEEM TO BE PRETTY -- FROM WHAT I GOT TO LOOK AT. THERE MUST BE MORE OF THEM, AS I'M A LICENSED STATE CONTRACTOR, I HAVE A COUPLE OF LICENSES AT THE STATE CONTRACTORS AT THE STATE OF NEVADA.

THE NEVADA STATE CONTRACTORS BOARD.

I'VE BEEN IN FRONT OF THE STATE CONTRACTORS BOARD.

WORKED ON THEIR LEGISLATION SINCE 1993.

AND -- THEY SERVE A PURPOSE IN CLASSIFICATION OF SUB CLASSIFICATIONS, FOR EXAMPLE IN THE ELECTRICAL AREA OF IT, THAT WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON A LOT HERE AT THE COUNTY.

JUST BECAUSE THEY HAVE AN ELECTRICAL LICENSE TO INSTALL TELEPHONE PIPE, DOESN'T MEAN THEY CAN DO POWER LINE.

THAT THEY CANNOT NECESSARILY DO SOUND EQUIPMENT, ET CETERA, ET CETERA, ET CETERA.

I THINK THAT SAME CONSIDERATION WOULD APPLY HERE.

THAT YOU KNOW A CERTAIN CLASSIFICATION CAN BUILD A ROAD ON STREETS.

AND ANOTHER CAN BUILD ROADS ON HIGHWAYS AND STRUCTURES.

FOR EXAMPLE, I ALSO HAVE AN A 17 LICENSE THAT LET'S ME DO TRANSMISSION TOWERS FOR HIGH VOLTAGE ELECTRICITY THAT MOST ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS DON'T.

SO THERE'S SPECIALTIES THAT WE NEED TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO ADDRESS.

WE HAVE 500 DISTRICT ATTORNEYS, 300 DISTRICT ATTORNEY AS I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY WE HAVE.

WE'RE ONLY DEALING WITH A SMALL PORTIONS OF THE CHAPTER OF THE N.R.S.

FROM LIKE 332 TO 339 FOR A LOT OF THIS BIDDING AND CONTRACT.

WITH HAVE HAD LOTS OF HELP, AS WELL AS INTERFERENCE FROM THE STATE IN TRYING TO MAKE SURE WE DO THIS PROPERLY.

I HOPE THAT CAN BE SOME DIRECTION TO DO SOME MORE ABOUT THAT IN THE ADVANCE.

I THINK THE DECISION TO MAKE IS PRETTY SIMPLE HERE, CONSIDERING ALL -- WHAT WE HAD TO WADE THROUGH.

THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN.

>> THANK YOU.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: JOLLI I APOLOGIZE WALK THROUGH THE PROCESS WITH ME.

IT LOOKS LIKE WE WERE ORIGINALLY GOING TO CLOSE THE BID ON DECEMBER 12.

WE EXTENDED TO DECEMBER 15, 19, AND JANUARY 29 WAS THE FINAL CLOSING.

>> THAT'S CORRECT?

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: IS THAT COMMON THAT WE'RE AMENDING OUR OWN SCOPE OF WORK?

>> YES, MA'AM WE TYPICALLY WHEN WE ISSUE A BID SOMETIMES THERE'S ADDITIONAL WORK OR ADDENDUMS AS WE CALL THEM THAT ARE REQUIRED BY THE ENGINEERS OR BY PUBLIC WORKS.

DEPENDING ON WHO THAT PROJECT BELONGS TO.

IF THERE'S SOMETHING THAT WE SEE IN THE BID ITSELF THAT THEY NEED TO AMEND WE ISSUE AN ADDENDUM.

SOMETIMES WE WILL HAVE OUR PRE-BID CONFERENCES AT THAT TIME.

SOMETIMES CONTRACTORS WILL BRING UP ISSUES OF CONCERN.

WE GO BACK AND TAKE IT A LOOK AT THOSE AND THEY ARE REVIEWED BY THE ENGINEER.

IF WE NEED TO WE ISSUE AN ADDENDUM TO CLARIFY ANY OF THE QUESTIONS THEY MAY HAVE.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: AND IN EACH OF THE BID PROPOSALS THAT'S WHAT THE ADDENDUM AND THE DATE IS WHEN YOU RECEIVE THOSE ADDENDUMS.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: I RECOGNIZE THE BIDS -- IT IS PROBABLY SEPARATE FROM THIS BID.

IT IS BOTHERSOME.

THEY HAVEN'T FLUSHED OUT WHAT WE ARE ASKING PEOPLE TO FLUSH OUT.

WE HAVE FIVE ADDENDUMS IN THIS CASE.

THAT'S FOR DOWN THE ROAD, WE SHOULD TALK ABOUT HOW TO MAKE SURE OUR BID -- IN THE FIRST PLACE -- THEN IF WE GO BACK TO THIS IF WE HAVE A SECONDARY THING THAT SAYS WE MUST ON ELECTRICAL WORK, THEY HAVE TWO DAYS IN ORDER SUBMIT THAT.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

AND THE 1% IS WITHIN THE TWO-HOUR TIME LIMIT.

>> THE LOWEST BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED.

THE 5% IS ALREADY INCLUDED IN THE ACTUALLY BID RESPONSE.

THE 5% JUST ASKS THEM SUBMIT A LISTING OF ALL OF THE BIDDERS THAT WE WILL BE USING.

THAT CONTRACT THAT TOTALLED 5% OF THE TOTAL BASE BID.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: IS IT ASSUMED BY US THAT A GENERAL WILL PERFORM WORK, IF THEY DON'T KNOW THAT THEY ARE THE ONES PERFORMING THE WORK?

>> YOU MEAN IF, THEY DON'T SPECIFICALLY STATE THAT THEY'RE SELF-IS PERFORMING WORK, THAT'S CORRECT.

BECAUSE WE ARE REQUESTING FOR A AN A LICENSE.

IN THIS CASE UNLIMITED THAT CAN OVERSEE THE COMPLETE PROJECT AND ACTUALLY PERFORM THE THEMSELVES.

>> THE DOCUMENT SHOULD INCLUDE THAT IN END?

>> YES, IN THE BID PROPOSAL IT SPECIFICALLY REQUEST THE BIDDER TO STATE THEIR LICENSE AND THEIR LICENSE LIMIT AND THAT THEY'RE RESPONSIBLE, BASED ON THAT LICENSE THAT WAS ISSUED THAT THEY PERFORM IN ACCORDANCE TO THAT SCOPE.

>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: I GUESS IT IS MR. FISHER'S LETTER, IF THEY NEED TO MAKE A FIRM COMMITMENT THAT THEY INTEND.

>> IT IS OUR UNDERSTANDING BY NOT LISTING THE SUBCONTRACTOR OF THE ITEMS IN QUESTION IT WAS UNDERSTOOD THAT WE PLAN ON PERFORMING THIS WORK.

SO YOU'RE JUST SAYING NOW THAT THAT'S NOT AN UNDERSTANDING THAT THEY HAVE TO PUT IT IN WRITING?

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

>> THEY DON'T HAVE TO SPECIFICALLY -- .

>> THAT'S CORRECT.
>> IT IS SELF-PERFORMED IS THAT CORRECT?
>> THAT'S CORRECT.
THEY DO NOT HAVE TO SPECIFICALLY LIST THEM.
THEY HAVE THE LICENSE THEY'RE NOT REQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW TO SUBCONTRACT ANYTHING ELSE.
THEY HAVE THE LICENSE TO DO THE JOB.
IT IS PRESUMED THEY INTEND TO DO THE JOB.
>>.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: BUT '5' % THRESHOLD REQUIRES THEM TO LIST SUBCONTRACTORS THAT MEANS THOSE ARE THE SUBCONTRACTORS PERFORMING.
>> IF IN FACT THEY INTEND TO USE SUBCONTRACTORS THEY NEED TO LIST THAT ARE GREATER THAN 5%.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: THEN IF THOSE SUBS ARE INTENDED TO DO THAT PERFORMED WORK, THEN THEY WOULD BE REQUIRED TO HAVE THE LICENSE?
>> THAT'S CORRECT.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: TO ME THAT IS THE LOOP PIECE OF CLOSING THAT BACK UP. ANY CONTRACT OR THE GENERAL THAT HAS THE A IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO DO HIGHWAYS, BRIDGES AND SO FORTH WOULD HAVE TO BE THE ONE FISHER AND BEHAVING -- PAVING THEY WOULD BE REQUIRED TO LIFT THE PARTICULAR WORK AND THOSE IS NOT SUBCONTRACTORS WOULD HAVE TO BE PROPERLY LICENSED FOR THE WORK WE'RE ASKING THEM TO PERFORM.
>> THAT'S CORRECT.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: I THINK THAT'S THE CRUX OF THE ISSUE TO DEAL WITH TODAY. DID THOSE SUBCONTRACTORS HAVE THE LICENSE TO PERFORM HIGHWAY, BRIDGEWORK AND SO ON AND SO FORTH WHICH REQUIRES A HIGHER STANDARDS. THAT'S WHAT I WAS TRYING TO GET NOT CONFUSED ABOUT.
>> REID: COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.
>> SISOLAK: OKAY YOU HAVE TO WALK ME THROUGH.
THE BID WHAT TIME DID THE BID HAVE TO BE TURNED IN?
>> 3:00.
>> SISOLAK: EXCEPT 1% PEOPLE THEY HAVE UNTIL 5:00.
>> THEY HAVE TWO HOURS AFTER THE BID OPENED.
>> SISOLAK: AFTER THE BID OPENING.
>> SISOLAK: NOT WHEN IT HAND TO BE TURNED IN.
>> CORRECT.
>> SISOLAK: WHEN DID THEY HAVE TO BE TURNED IN.
>> THE 1% LIST?
>> SISOLAK: NO THE BIDS.
>> THE ACTUALLY BIDS THEMSELVES ARE DUE AT 3:00 P.M.
>> WHAT DAY.
>> JANUARY 29 AT 5:00 P.M.
>> SISOLAK: AT 5:00 P.M.
>> I MEAN 3:00.
I'M SORRY.
>> SISOLAK: JANUARY 29 AT 3:00 P.M.
WHEN DID THEY HAVE TO SUBMIT THE 1%?

>> THE 1% THEY'RE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT IT TWO HOURS AFTER THE BID OPENING.
WHICH IS WHEN?
>> BY 5:00.
>> SISOLAK: JANUARY 29 AT 5:00 P.M.
>> THAT'S CORRECT.
>> SISOLAK: THIS IS IN STATUTE THEY GET AN EXTRA TWO HOURS?
>> THAT'S CORRECT.
>> THAT'S BECAUSE ONLY THE THREE LOWEST BIDDERS HAVE TO SUBMIT THE 1%.
YOU DON'T KNOW IF YOU'RE A THREE LOWEST BIDDER UNTIL AFTER THE BIDS ARE
OPENED.
THAT'S WHY THERE'S A DIFFERENT RULE.
>> SISOLAK: DID THEY GET THEM SUBMITTED BY 5:00?
>> YES, SIR THEY DID.
>> SISOLAK: WHEN THEY WERE ORIGINALLY HAVE TO BE TURNED IN.
YOU SAID YOU KEPT EXTENDING IT?
>> LET ME CHECK REAL QUICK.
LET ME CHECK REAL QUICK WITH STAFF.
>> DECEMBER 12.
>> SISOLAK: THEN YOU AMENDED THAT?
>> THAT'S CORRECT.
>> SISOLAK: TO WHEN?
>> DECEMBER 29.
>> THERE WERE SEVERAL ADDENDUMS AND I BELIEVE EACH EXTENDED THE DATE.
>> SISOLAK: I GUESS I HAVE A LEGAL QUESTION HERE.
>> I WOULD LIKE TO SAY I BELIEVE TIMING OF THE SUBMITTAL OF THE BID IS AN
ISSUE BEFORE YOU TODAY.
ACCESS OKAY.
-- .
>> SISOLAK: OKAY.
WHEN THEY SUBMIT THE BIDS ALL OF THE CONTRACTORS DOING 5% OR MORE BASED ON
DOLLAR VALUE HAVE TO HAVE THE APPROPRIATE LICENSE FOR THE WORK THEY
REPRESENTED AS DOING, IS THAT CORRECT?
>> THAT'S CORRECT.
>> SISOLAK: BUT THAT SEEMS TO GO TOTALLY CONTRARY TO WHAT MR. CURRAN IS
SAYING?
>> I BELIEVE WHAT HE IS SAYING IS THE SUBCONTRACTORS LISTED AS 5% OR
GREATER DID IN FACT HAVE THE CORRECT LICENSE FOR THE WORK THAT WAS
DESCRIBED WEEKLY.
THAT COULD BE ACCURATE.
>> SISOLAK: I'M NOT UNDERSTANDING THAT -- ANYWAY.
>> GIUNCHIGLIANI: MAY I CLARIFY.
IT IS DECEMBER 12 AT 2:15 THEN DECEMBER 19 AT 2:15, THEN IT WENT TO
JANUARY 15 AND -- IT WENT TO JANUARY 15, AND JANUARY 29.
CLEAR FOR THE RECORD.
>> REID: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS.
>> SISOLAK: COULD I HAVE ONE FOLLOW-UP.
ARE YOU SAYING THEY DID OR DIDN'T NEED THE A 2 LICENSE.

>> THE SUBCONTRACTORS LISTED BY FISHER WE DO NOT BELIEVE THEY NEED AN A 2 LICENSE TO CAN DO THE WORK.

>> SISOLAK: WHY DO YOU FEEL THEY ARE NOT REQUIRED.

>> MY READING OF THE REGULATIONS THERE ARE SEVERAL LICENSES SOME MORE BROAD SOME MORE SPECIFIC.

I DO NOT BELIEVE IT IS AN ACCURATE READING OF THE REGULATION TO SAY AN EXCAVATOR CAN ONLY DO WORK ON ANYTHING BUT EITHER HIGHWAYS OR AIRPORTS. IF YOU BELIEVE THE CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTED BY LAS VEGAS PAVING IT WOULD REQUIRE YOU TO PUT MORE WORDS IN THOSE REGULATIONS.

>> SISOLAK: CAN YOU POINT ME TO ANYTHING IN ALL OF THESE DUMES I WOULD HAVE APPRECIATED MR. CURRAN GETTING THIS PRIOR TO HALF AN HOUR BEFORE THE MEETING TO AT LEAST SPEND SOME TIME LOOKING AT IT.

CAN YOU POINT ME TO SOME PLACE WHERE IT SAYS THAT?

>> I WOULD DEFER TO MR. CURRAN AS TO WHERE IT IS.

IF YOU PULL THE REGULATION AND LOOK AT THE DESCRIPTION, FOR EXAMPLE THE EXCAVATOR LICENSE, IF YOU READ THAT USING PLAIN SENSE READING THAT IT APPEARS TO COVER THE WORK THAT THE SUBCONTRACTORS SUBMITTED THEY WILL DO. THERE'S NOTHING IN THE REGULATIONS OR THE CASE LAW OF NEVADA THAT WE COULD FIND THAT SAYS THAT USING SUCH A STRICT READING OF THE REGULATIONS AS TO PRECLUDE VARIOUS SUBCONTRACTORS IN THESE CONTRACTS.

IT WOULD MEAN THE ONLY PEOPLE WHO CAN DO WORK ON A AIRPORT, NO HOW EVER SPECIALIZED WOULD BE SOMEONE WITH AN AIRPORT LICENSE.

WE DON'T FIND ANY PUBLIC POLICY SUPPORTING THAT OR ARGUMENT OR LEGISLATIVE INTENT FOR THAT.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER BROWN.
COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

>> BROWN: I JUST WANT TO PUT ON THE RECORD.

I WAS THE ONE THAT REQUESTED THE AGENDA ITEM.

CERTAINLY BECAUSE IT IS IN DISTRICT C BUT IMPACTS MY COLLEAGUE TO MY RIGHT.

IT IS A CRITICALLY IMPORTANT PART OF THE BELTWAY.

I WAS JUST UNCLEAR ON THE ROLL OF THE STATE CONTRACTORS BOARD UNDER PROTEST.

I WANTED TO GET HERE AND THERE'S A GREAT PUBLIC RECORD AND PERHAPS LITIGATION THAT MAY ULTIMATELY COME OUT OF IT.

MORE IMPORTANTLY I THINK IT IS THE FACT THAT THIS IS THE TYPE OF PROJECT WE HAVE TO GET ON THE STREET.

REGARDLESS OF WHAT COMPANY WINS ULTIMATELY, JUST CREATING THE JOBS AND GETTING IT ON THE STREET IS THE DRIVING FORCE BEHIND THIS AGENDA ITEM.

I DO HAVE A COUPLE VERY SPECIFIC QUESTIONS.

FIRST AND FOREMOST IS I THINK MR. CURRAN INDICATED JUST THE PROTEST ITSELF, SO I UNDERSTAND THE FIVE-DAY RULE AND THE 11-DAY RULE.

DOES THAT HAVE ANY MERIT?

>> I THINK IT DOES HAVE MERIT.

IT IS A PROCEDURAL ARGUMENT THAT IS -- IN THE STATUTE.

IF YOU WANTED TO MAKE THE DETERMINATION THAT THE PROTEST WAS UNTIMELY YOU WOULD BE ON SOLID GROUNDS FOR DOING THAT.

I BELIEVE EVEN IF YOU WISH TO WAIVE THAT PROCEDURAL DEFECT THAT THE PROTEST HAS UNDERLINING MERITS SHOULD BE DENIED.

>> OKAY, SO -- SO THE BOARD HAS DISCRETION OF WAIVING THE FIVE-DAY, 11-DAY RULE?

>> I'M NOT SURE THAT YOU DO, BECAUSE THE CASE LAW HAS NOT DRESSED IT. THOSE RULES ARE IN STATUTE.

THEY'RE NOT OUR OWN INTERNAL RULES.

IF THEY WERE OUR INTERNAL RULES I WOULD ARGUE YOU HAVE SOME DISCRETION, BECAUSE IT DOESN'T GIVE A COMPETITIVE BIDDING ADVANTAGE, BUT THEY ARE STATUTORY RULES.

ARGUABLY YOU MAY NOT HAVE THAT DISCRETION.

>> BROWN: OBVIOUSLY THE COURT WOULD TERM.

BUT WE DON'T KNOW UNLESS WE MOVE FORWARD AND TELL US WE SHOULDN'T MOVE FORWARD.

THEY MAY SAY THE PUBLIC INTEREST IS SERVED IF WE HAVE THE TILLAGE AND ACCEPT LAS VEGAS PAVING INDICATIONS OF THE PROTEST?

>> THAT'S A FAIR STATEMENT.

>> BROWN: A FAIR STATEMENT.

A COUPLE OF SPECIFIC QUESTIONS.

MR. CURRAN MADE A STATEMENT AND I DON'T WANT TO PUT WORDS IN HIS MOUTH. MY SENSE WAS THAT -- IN THE PRACTICE OF CLARK COUNTY WHAT'S BEFORE US TODAY IS NOT SOMETHING THAT IS UNIQUE.

THAT WE HAVE EXPERIENCED THESE TYPES OF BID DOCUMENTS, AWARD OF BIDS AND EVEN PROTESTS AS FAR AS THE SPECIFIC ISSUE.

>> I THINK IT IS NOT UNUSUAL AT ALL TO HAVE THIS TYPE OF PROTEST.

THIS IS A CLEAN BID.

THERE WERE NO ARGUMENTS THAT THERE WAS ANYTHING DONE WRONG IN THE WAY THE COUNTY SUBMITS THE BIDDING DOCUMENTS IN THE BEST OF SITUATIONS THERE'S NO WAY TO AVOID WHAT IS BEFORE YOU.

IT IS ESSENTIALLY A LEGAL ARGUMENT NOT A FACTUAL ARGUMENT.

IT IS NOT UNCOMMON TO HAVE A PROTEST.

>> BROWN: IF IT'S MOVE FORWARD FOR LITIGATION ONE WAY OR ANOTHER, DOES THIS IMPACT THE CONTRACT ITSELF?

OR WILL THE COURT DECIDE WHETHER OUR ULTIMATE DECISION TODAY IS APPROPRIATE OR JUST GET REMANDED BACK TO US?

IT IS A GOOD BID, WHOEVER WINS CAN MOVE FORWARD POTENTIALLY RIGHT AFTER LITIGATION IS RESOLVED.

WE DON'T HAVE TO GO BACK AND REBID EVERYTHING.

>> YOU'RE CORRECT.

>> BROWN: THIS, I CERTAINLY DON'T UNDERSTAND ALL OF THE LICENSES.

AS ONE OF MY COLLEAGUES SAID I DON'T HAVE THE TIME TO READ THIS QUICKLY.

I THINK THERE'S A STATEMENT SOMEWHERE IN HERE THAT FROM MY CENTER CAPTURES WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT.

I THINK IT IS THE CRUX OF LAS VEGAS PAVEMENT'S ARGUMENT.

JUST FOR MY COLLEAGUES IT IS TAB 46 IT IS TAB 46 A LETTER FROM MARTY MANNING PE 7299.

THE SECOND PAGE SECOND TO THE LAST PARAGRAPH I WOULD LIKE TO READ IT INTO RECORD.

UNDER NEVADA LAW THE COUNTY CAN ONLY AWARD HIGHWAY WORK TO CONTRACTORS HOLDING EITHER AN A, AB OR A 2 LICENSE FROM THE STATE CONTRACTORS BOARD THE CONTRACTOR HOLDING ONE OF THE LICENSE DESIGNATION IS FREE TO UTILIZE SUBCONTRACTORS THAT HOLD THE APPROPRIATE SPECIALTY DESIGNATIONS FOR THE TYPE OF WORK THEY ARE GOING TO PERFORM.

THESE SUBCONTRACTORS WORK UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF THE LICENSED GENERAL CONTRACTOR.

THEY NEED NOT AND TYPICALLY DO NOT HAVE THE A, AB OR A 2 LICENSE THEMSELVES.

AGAIN, FROM MY PERSPECTIVE THAT'S THE CRUX OF WHAT WE'RE HEARING. AT LEAST PART OF THE ARGUMENT THAT LAS VEGAS IS MAKING IN THEIR PROTEST. THOSE SPECIFIC DESIGNATIONS SHOULD BE LIFTED IN THE BID DUMES BY THE SUBCONTRACTORS.

WHAT I'VE HEARD YOU SAY IS THE GENERAL HAS TO BE ABLE TO HAVE THOSE LICENSE AND THEN CAN PERFORM WORK UNDER THEIR GENERAL CONTRACTOR LICENSE?

>> I'M NOT SURE I'M FOLLOWING YOU.

>>> WOULD AGREE WITH THE STATEMENT OF MR. MANNING, I BELIEVE WHAT HE SAID IS THE CORRECT STATEMENT OF THE LAW.

AS LONG AS THE GENERAL HAS THE APPROPRIATE LICENSE, THEY CAN GO FORWARD. HE CAN LIST SUBCONTRACTORS THAT HAVE SPECIALTY LICENSES.

>> BROWN: MR. CHAIRMAN IF, I MAY.

IF I COULD ASK LAS VEGAS PAVING TO COME UP.

I WOULD LIKE THEM TO COMMENT.

IS THAT THE CRUX OF THE PROTEST -- THAT THE CRUX OF YOUR PROTEST THAT IT SHOULD BE LINEATED THAT THEY SHOULD HAVE THOSE?

I ASK THAT, BECAUSE I DON'T UNDERSTAND--

>> YES THE CRUX OF THE ARGUMENT IS THAT, IN ORDER TO PERFORM THIS ENGINEERING WORK WITH THESE TWO SPECIFIC SUBCONTRACTORS ARE PROVIDING TO THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT THE A 2 LICENSE THE HIGHWAY LICENSE IS REQUIRED. THAT IS THE SUB CLASSIFICATION THAT IS REQUIRED, SO YES.

>> BROWN: MR. MANNING'S STATEMENT PRETTY MUCH INDICATES WHERE THE ISSUE IS TODAY?

>> YES.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

>> COLLINS: THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN.

M AND M CONSTRUCTION JUST WENT OUT OF BUSINESS AFTER BEING AROUND 30 YEARS AND HAD THE HIGHWAY A 2.

A LOT OF ROAD GUYS EITHER DO FLAT WORK OR THEY DO BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES AND HIGHWAYS.

THERE'S A DIFFERENT LICENSE DESIGNATED FOR THAT VERY REASON.

WHY IS KIND OF INTERESTING WHEN THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AGREES WITH THE STATEMENT.

THEN MAKES THE STATEMENT -- SHE DOES.

IT IS LIKE I'LL USE THIS TO MAKE IT SIMPLE LIKE THE FORD AND THE CHEVY.

BUT THESE FOLKS EVERY YEAR HAVE A BIG FAMILY DINNER AND LOTS OF GENERATIONS SHOW UP AND THE YOUNGER NEWER WIVES ARE IN THE KITCHEN AND THEY'RE WALKING ONE CUT OFF THE END ROAST ANOTHER NEW MEMBER SAYS WHY ARE YOU CUTTING OFF THE END OF THE ROAST?

>> WELL BECAUSE GRANDMA DID.

>> WE HAVE A BIGGER POT AND YOU DON'T HAVE TO CUT OFF THE END EVIDENT ROAST.

IT IS A SIMPLE ANALOGY.

JUST BECAUSE WE'VE ALWAYS DONE SOMETHING CERTAIN WAYS DOESN'T MAKE IT RIGHT.

NOW, THERE'S STATE LAWS AND COUNTY ORDINANCES TO MAKE THINGS RIGHT. AND -- ONE OF THE CONTRACTORS LISTED IN HERE, THE BID ON THIS HIGHWAY WASN'T THE LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER SO FAR, ACCORDING TO THE LIST, AT LEAST IN THE DOLLAR AMOUNT WAYS.

IT HAS BEEN IN THE LAWSUIT FOR \$20 MILLION.

SEVERAL CONTRACTORS GET IN LAWSUITS WITH CLARK COUNTY.

SO -- IT WINDS UP, THEY WEREN'T THE LOWEST BIDDER.

THEN I HAVE A WHOLE FILE OF EXTRAS FROM AIRPLANES AND LAS VEGAS WATER DISTRICT AND PARKS AND RECREATION JOBS, WHERE THE LOW BIDDER ONE UP GETTING A WHOLE LOT MORE MONEY THAN THE NEXT TWO OR THREE BIDDERS IN LINE. SO, THERE'S MORE TO EVALUATE TO THIS THAN THE LOW BIDDER.

I THINK ONE OF THE KEY ELEMENTS IS A SUBCONTRACTORS LICENSE, WHICH IS REQUIRED BY THE CONTRACTORS BOARD AND RECOGNIZING THE STATUTES.

USING THE ANALOGY THAT -- THAT FROM THE COMMENTS READ BY MY COLLEAGUE AND FROM THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY THAT -- THAT THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR HAS A LICENSE TO DO EVERYTHING.

WHY DOES CLARK COUNTY HAVE SUBCONTRACTOR QUALIFICATIONS IN ITS OWN REGULATIONS AND STATE LAW AND WHY DO WE CHOOSE TO USE THOSE SUBCONTRACTOR QUALIFICATIONS.

QUALIFIED SUBCONTRACTORS LIKE THE WATER AUTHORITY OR OTHERS WHO CHOOSE OR CHOOSE NOT TO SUB QUALIFY CONTRACTORS.

YOU WANT THE PROPER LICENSING.

IT SAYS ALSO IN THE STATUTES THAT -- THE ADOPTION OF CRITERIA WHETHER IT POSSESSES A VALID CONTRACTORS LICENSE.

>> ARE YOU TRYING TO SAY IT APPLIES ONLY TO THE GENERAL.

>> I'M SAYING THAT THE SUBCONTRACTORS HAVE VALID CONTRACTORS LICENSE FOR THE WORK THEY ARE REPRESENTING THEY ARE GOING TO DO.

>> COLLINS: THAT AIN'T WHAT THE CONTRACTORS LICENSES -- OKAY, I DISAGREE. THAT WILL BE THE NEXT POINT.

THANK YOU.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER BROWN AND THEN SISOLAK.

>> BROWN: THE STATE CONTRACTORS BOARD WHAT DO THEY HAVE -- AS FAR AS AUTHORITY?

AS FAR AS OUR BOARD?

PART OF THIS CONFUSION IS THAT THE STATE CONTRACTORS BOARD HAS NEVER RULED SPECIFICALLY WHETHER THE SUB HAS TO HAVE AN A 2, A B.

THEY'RE NOT GOING TO RULE WHILE THIS IS UNDER PROTEST.

>> THAT APPEARS TO BE THE CASE.

>> BROWN: IF THE STATE CONTRACTORS BOARD CAME OUT WITH A RULING SAYING THE SUBCONTRACTOR IN THE BID SIMILAR TO WHAT'S BEFORE US TODAY. THE SUBCONTRACTOR WOULD HAVE TO HAVE THAT SPECIFIC A, AB, A 2 LICENSE THEN OUR DECISION WOULD BE A LOT DIFFERENT.

>> IF WE HAD IT BEFORE TODAY YOU WOULD BE ENTITLED TO RELY ON DECISIONS LIKE THAT.

>> BROWN: THAT'S A STATUTORY AUTHORITY THEY CAN CREATE RULINGS WE FOLLOW AS FAR AS STATE LAW.

>> YOU HAVE THE DISCRETION TO FOLLOW. IT IS GIVEN CONSIDERABLE WEIGHT, UNTIL IT IS BLESSED BY COURT IT IS NOT DEFINITIVE BUT GIVE YOU GUIDANCE.

>> IF THEY COME OUT WITH THE RULING TWO MONTHS DOWN THE ROAD, IT IS NOT GOING TO DO YOU ANY GOOD.

>> REID: COMMISSIONER SISOLAK.

>> SISOLAK: THANK YOU MR. CHAIR. THIS IS A VERY COMPLEX ISSUE AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED. SOME OF THE DOCUMENTATION IS A LITTLE OVERWHELMING. I THINK THAT THE CONTRACTORS BOARD WILL HAVE TO WEIGH IN HERE AT SOME TIME, IN TERMS OF WHETHER OR NOT THEY DO NEED THE SPECIFIC A-2 LICENSE THAT'S BEEN GOING AROUND AND AROUND. ULTIMATELY I THINK IT WILL BE LITIGATED ONE WAY OR ANOTHER. THAT BEING THE CASE I'M READY TO MAKE A MOTION. I MOVE THAT THE BID BY FISHER BE REJECTED AND THE BID OF LAS VEGAS PAVING BE ACCEPTED.

>> REID: IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION? CAST YOUR VOTE ON THE MOTION.

>> REID: MOTION CARRIES.

[APPLAUSE]

>> THAT CONCLUDES YOUR BUSINESS AGENDA. READ A THIS IS THE TIME SET FOR PUBLIC COMMENT YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES TO PRO.

I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR LISTENING TO US IN THE LONG QUESTION. I WANT TO SAY ONE THING IN RESPONSE MR. SISOLAK RAISED ABOUT THE FACT THIS SUBMISSION WAS JUST MADE TODAY. WE GOT IT TO YOUR STAFF VERY LATE YESTERDAY AFTERNOON. WE DIDN'T HAVE IT YET. VIRTUALLY EVERYTHING COMES FROM THE COUNTY'S OWN DUMES. WE SIMPLY WANTED TO HAVE IT ALL IN ONE PLACE AND REALIZE ALL THE DOCUMENTS ARE YOUR OWN DOCUMENTS YOU USUALLY DON'T HAVE THEM, WHEN YOU'RE HERE ON THE DIAZ. WE THOUGHT WE WOULD HAVE THEM TABBED SO THEY CAN BE REFERENCED. THE ONLY THING REALLY NEW ARE THE TWO LETTERS FROM THE EXPERTS. YOUR STAFF SAW THIS LATE YESTERDAY AFTERNOON. THIS ISN'T SOME KIND OF AN AMBUSH. IT IS DOCUMENTS FOR EVERYONE. ENOUGH SAID, I JUST WANT YOU TO UNDERSTAND THAT.

WE THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

>> REID: I HAVE A CARD FROM MR. HODGES.

>> IT HAS BEEN A ROUGH DAY.

I WANT TO HEAD ON HOME.

BUT I HAVE ONE THING HERE TODAY THAT I REALLY WANT TO BRING TO YOU, BECAUSE OF THE LOVE OF THIS COUNTRY AND IN MY POSITION TO TELL YOU THESE THINGS THAT IS VERY IMPORTANT NOW.

ESPECIALLY IN THE CONCERN OF THE STATE OF NEVADA.

WHAT HAPPENED IS THE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES BETTER KNOWN AS THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES THE CONGRESS AND THE SENATE COMBINED THEY ARE ACTUALLY CARETAKERS OF OUR NATION.

SO FOR THE LAST FOUR OR FIVE PRESIDENTS.

WE'VE BEEN SAYING IT IS BUSH'S FAULT AND OBAMA'S FAULT AND CLINTON'S FAULT AND GO BACK DOWN THE LINE.

THE GOVERNMENT OF UNITED STATES FELL APART ON LYNDON JOHNSON AND RICHARD MILLHOUSE -- IT DOESN'T MATTER.

THOSE TWO MEN WERE VERY POWERFUL.

WHAT THEY BECAUSE THEY KNEW WASHINGTON THEY TOOK THE CONGRESS AND DID WHATEVER THEY WANT WITH IT.

AFTER THAT THE WHEELS FELL OFF.

OUR COUNTRY IS BEING BOMBARDED THROUGHOUT THIS WHOLE WORLD.

PEOPLE ARE COMING THROUGH THE BACKDOOR WHATEVER AND JUST RIPPING US APART.

AND I -- ONE MORE LETTER HERE I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE LADY READ.

THIS DAY 1986 I SENT THIS TO THE UNITED STATES SENATE, CONGRESS, THE PRESIDENT.

ALL OF THEM INDICATING THE PROBLEM WE HAVE WITH THE MEXICAN BORDER AND TERRORISM AND THAT STUFF.

I WROTE HERE.

I KNOW WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT.

PLEASE READ THAT AND I'LL BE ON THE WAY HOME.

IT IS PART OF ALL OF US.

OUR WHOLE NATION IS FACING ALL OF THIS STUFF.

IT IS NOT NECESSARY.

THE TRUTH IS WE ARE A GREAT NATION.

THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES NEED TO TAKE A STAND AND -- OUR GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS NEED TO STOP LYING ALL OVER THIS COUNTRY.

I CAN'T TELL YOU THE TRUTH BECAUSE MY HANDS ARE TIED.

BUT A LOT OF THINGS GOING ON.

NEWT GINGRICH RICH AND THAT -- THAT RADIO LOUDMOUTH RADIO MOUTH GUY SUCKING DOPE FOR 20 YEARS OR WHATEVER.

DON'T STOP THE PROJECTS AND THE ONE IT AIN'T ABOUT PRESIDENT.

THIS COUNTRY IS RUN BY CONGRESS.

AND CONGRESS IS LETTING PEOPLE COME IN AND THE BACKDOOR AND TAKE OUR COUNTRY.

THE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES IS RESPONSIBLE FOR IT.

YOU HEAR ME!

OBAMA AND ALL OF THESE PEOPLE THEY GET ELECTED AND MAKE PROMISES CONGRESS WAS SHUT THEM DOWN!

THE REPUBLICANS THEY ARE FIGHTING EACH OTHER.

THAT IS THE POINT.

WE HAVE A NATION AND I'M TIRED AND SCARED TO DEATH.

AND OBAMA IS GETTING BEAT UP.

Y'ALL GOING THE GOLD MINERS SAYING GIVE US THE MONEY OR QUIT DIGGING UP THE GROUND.

>> REID: MR. HODGES WE WILL SUBMIT YOUR LETTER FOR THE RECORD.

>> WELL AIN'T THAT.

THEY'RE NOT GOING TO READ IT.

>> REID: IF YOU WANT TO READ YOU CAN.

I AM NOT GOING TO ASK THE COUNTY MANAGER TO READ EVERYONE'S INFORMATION.

>> IT IS BETTER READ IF SOMEONE ELSE READ IT, THAT'S ALL.

>> THE LETTER -- .

>> REID: MR. HODGES IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO READ IT GO AHEAD I'M NOT GOING TO HAVE THE COUNTY MANAGER PARTICIPATE IN PRESSURE PRESENTATION.

>> THE DATE IS AUGUST 29 1986.

AT THE TIME RONALD REAGAN WAS THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES.

THE LETTER INDICATES -- TO THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS AND SENATE AND TO THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES.

OKAY.

I'M TRYING TO HURRY IT UP.

ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE I FEEL THAT THE BOARD SITUATION, INCLUDING DRUG TRAFFICKING AND POSSIBLE TERRORISM ACTIVITIES TAKING PLACE.

EVERYTHING IS HAPPENING TODAY.

I WROTE IT BACK THEN.

ALL I'M TRYING TO SAY.

I KNOW WHAT IS HAPPENING 10 YEARS DOWN THE ROAD.

IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH WHO IS THE IN THE PRESIDENT THE YOUNG MAN HE WILL BE RESPONSIBLE.

WE HAVE THE DEBT OUR CHILDREN.

HE IS LYING.

WE'RE IN DEBT AND WILL NEVER GET OUT.

PUTTING A FEW MORE PUCKS BUCKS ON THE TOP.

HE SAID LET'S GO FOR BROKE AND PUT EVERYTHING IN THE POT.

I DON'T CARE HOW MUCH MONEY YOU SPEND WE'RE IN SO MUCH TROUBLE WE CAN'T DIG OURSELVES OUT IN 100,000 YEARS.

LET'S FACE THE TRUTH.

I HAVE TO SAY TO CONGRESS.

I KNOW YOU DENY WANT TO DO THE RIGHT THING.

YOU NEED TO STOP THAT CRAP THANK YOU.

>> REID: ANYTHING ELSE HERE TO SPEAK?

BEATRICE TURNER WEST LAS VEGAS.

I SEE COMMISSIONER COLLINS DONE GOT UP AND LEFT, BECAUSE HE DIDN'T RETURN MY CALLS.

WHAT I WANT TO SAY TO YOU COMMISSIONERS CHRIS G.

YOU KNOW I UNDERSTAND IF THEY'RE GOING TO DO THAT CONSOLIDATION OF THE HOUSING AUTHORITY.

YOU KNOW I KNOW, BECAUSE WE HAVE A LOT OF FAMILY DEVELOPMENTS IN OUR COMMUNITY, WHERE I LIVE.

I KNOW THOSE RESIDENTS THEY WILL HURT.

THEY PUT THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR IN THE HOUSING IN ALL THREE HOUSING AUTHORITIES THAT'S GOING TO DO THE RIGHT THING.

YOU KNOW YOU HAVE PEOPLE CAMPAIGNING FOR THAT JOB.

I HOPE IT WILL GOOD OUT AND DO A NATIONWIDE SEARCH AND SEE CAN WE GET THE BEST CANDIDATE THAT WILL BE THE ONE TO RUN ALL THREE HOUSING AUTHORITIES. WHEN THAT DO HAPPENS THEY NEED TO CENTRALLY LOCATE ALL THREE OF THOSE OFFICES TOGETHER.

NOW PEOPLE GOT TO RUN FROM ONE SIDE OF TOWN TO THE OTHER, THAT DON'T MAKE NO SENSE.

BEING HOW THEY DO THE SECTION 8 WHERE YOU CALL N YOU CAN'T GET N NOW SOMEBODY OUT OF TOWN CAN CALL IN, AND GET IN.

BUT PEOPLE THAT LIVE HERE CALL IN.

THEY CAN'T EVEN GET IN.

SO YOU KNOW AND THAT NEEDS TO BE CHANGED WHENEVER THIS CONSOLIDATION OR WHATEVER TAKE A PART AND IT SHOULD SHOW YOU THAT THE RESIDENTS DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON.

TELL ME HOW MANY RESIDENTS YOU SEE COME TO ANY OF THE MEETINGS CONCERNING THAT CONSOLIDATION AND WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN AND HOW IT WILL CHANGE THEIR LIVELIHOOD WHERE THEY LIVE AT.

YOU DON'T SEE THAT.

YOU KNOW THEY GO OUT THE HOUSING AUTHORITY GO OUT AND THEY MEET WITH A FEW RESIDENTS AND THE SENIOR CITIZENS.

THE SENIOR CITIZENS DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY'RE TALKING ABOUT.

THEY'RE FIGHTING ABOUT THE LADY GOING TO ARIZONA CHARLIE PUB PLAYING LIKE SHE'S SICK.

THEY DON'T KNOW.

THEY WON'T KNOW UNTIL IT ALL TAKES PLACE AND THEY SEE WHAT'S REALLY HAPPENING I SEE YOU BACK COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

YOU DID NOT RETURN MY PHONE CALL.

BUT THIS IS WHAT I WANTED TO TELL YOU A REPORTER CALLED ME AND ASKED ME, IF I HAD A CHOICE, WHO WOULD I CHOOSE YOU OR OSCAR?

>> I SAID I WOULD CHOOSE TOM COLLINS HANDS DOWN.

ONE THING ABOUT IT I CAN LISTEN TO RODEO STORIES AND I DON'T HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT SEEING HIM WITH TWO NAKED WOMEN AND A MARTINI GLASS, AYE SAY HE WINDS HANDS DOWN I WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT'S WHAT I TOLD THE REPORTER.

THE LAST THING I HAVE TO SAY COMMISSIONER REID, YOU KNOW I REALLY NEED TO SIT DOWN AND TALK WITH YOU REAL SOON.

SO I NEED TO CALL YOUR OFFICE AND SCHEDULE AN APPOINTMENT.

>> REID: YES MA'AM.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> REID: ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK?

MY NAME IS MARK SHERWOOD.

I LISTEN IN HEARNSON IN COMMISSIONER SISOLAK'S DISTRICT.
I'M STANDING TO VOICE MY OPPOSITION TO HAVING THE COMMISSIONERS BECOME
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES OF THE STATE, WHO HAVE NO OUTSIDE EMPLOYMENT ASIDE
FROM THE COMMISSION.
IT IS BASED ON A FALSE PREMISE.
IT SAYS THAT THE ONLY SOURCE OF EMPLOYMENT IS THE STATE YOU'LL BE ABOVE
REPROACH AND ABOVE POLITICAL INFLUENCE.
AND WE KNOW THAT IS NOT THE CASE.
I'M GRATEFUL FOR COMMISSIONER SISOLAK AND HIS BUSINESS EXPERIENCE.
AND WHEN HE BRINGS THAT, YOU CAN SEE THAT.
HE HAS INSIGHT THAT YOU WOULDN'T GET, IF YOU WERE A CAREER POLITICIAN.
AND I APPRECIATE THAT.
AND CONSPIRACY COLLINS AND THE LIST GOES ON AND ON OF TRAINED
PROFESSIONALS.
SO THANK YOU FOR YOUR EXPERIENCE AND HOPEFULLY WE CAN KEEP THE COMMISSION
PURE.
THANKS.

>> REID: ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK?
COME FORWARD AND STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD.
>> GOOD MORNING MY NAME IS JACOB PASTOR.
AN ATTORNEY IN THE LAS VEGAS OF NEVADA.
I JUST WANTED TO COME BEFORE YOU AGAIN.
THREE MONTHS AND A DAY AFTER I CAME BEFORE YOU LAST, REGARDING DR.
CHUTICOFF, WHO HAS BEEN SUBSTANTIALLY HARMED BY THE ACTIONS OF UNCS AND
WANT TO CONGRATULATE HIM ON THE RECENT FEDERAL WIN FROM THE FEDERAL BENCH
WHERE THE FEDERAL COURT ACTUALLY AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER WEEKLY'S
COMMENTS AND SAID THAT DR. CHUTIVEOFF WAS HARMED.
AND I THINK COMMISSIONER WEEKLY YOU MADE THOSE COMMENTS IN THE RECORD,
WHICH WE HAVE.
IN THE ORDER HANDED DOWN RECENTLY THE FEDERAL JUDGE SAT ON A MOTION FOR
PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT THAT HE GRANTED ON THAT ISSUE.
HE RULED IN FAVOR AND SAID HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS WERE VIOLATED BY ALL
OF THE DEFENDANTS INCLUDING UNC, THE COUNTY.
IT HAS BEEN BUILDING UP FOR MANY, MANY YEARS AND HASN'T BEEN AN EASY THING
TO DIAGNOSE.
I READ THE RIOT ACT AND PRIVATE NOTE TO CATHY SILVER WHICH IS JUST
ABSOLUTELY UNBELIEVABLE CONSIDERING WHO I AM AND THE WAY I NORMALLY
BEHAVE.
I WAS DIAGNOSED WITH LYMPHOMA B-CELL.
FINALLY AS IT HAPPENED.
IN ALL MY EXPERIENCE WHICH HAS BEEN EXTENSIVE, I CANNOT COUNT FROM THE
TIME I ARRIVED HERE SEVEN YEARS AGO ON JULY 14th TO WHERE WE ARE NOW.
MY MOST RECENT VISITOR STAY OR HOSPITALIZATION ON A SCALE FROM 1-10 I
WOULD RATE UMC AND EVERYTHING THAT'S GONE ON AS A CONGLOMERATE, EVERYTHING
FROM DAY ONE UNTIL NOW 1-10, 12.
THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
>> MR. CHAIRMAN?

>> COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

>> THIS IS A REQUEST FOR THE D.A.'S OFFICE.

A GENERAL CONTRACTOR STILL HAS TO HAVE SUBCONTRACTORS, FOR EXAMPLE, I.E. ELECTRICAL, MECHANICAL, PLUMBING, ETC.. EXCAVATION AND SO FORTH.

I GUESS THAT WOULD BE A THEORETICAL QUESTION YOU COULD PROPOSE TO THE CONTRACTOR'S BOARD.

THE POSITION YOU ALL TOOK HERE A WHILE AGO WOULD BE IF GENERAL HAS A SUPER A LICENSE NONE OF THE SUBS HAVE TO BE LICENSED AND I DON'T THINK THAT'S CORRECT.

THAT WOULD BE A CLARIFICATION.
NOT TODAY.

>> LOOKS LIKE THIS ISSUE WOULD PROBABLY BE RESOLVED BY THE DISTRICT COURT.

>> THE POINT IS TO HAVE OUR CONTRACTING DEPARTMENT AT THE COUNTY WE COULD GET A CLARIFICATION BEYOND WHATEVER THE COURT DOES.

>> I CAN ASK, AS YOU HAVE BEEN INFORMED TODAY THE STATE CONTRACTOR'S BOARD DOESN'T HAVE TO ANSWER THESE QUESTIONS.
BUT WE CAN SUBMIT.

>> I HAVE TALKED TO INLAND THEY WON'T TALK ABOUT A SPECIFIC CASE WHERE THERE'S A PROTEST BUT A THEORETICAL WE QUESTION COULD CLEAR UP SOME OF THESE THINGS.

>> GILBERT MULLEN.

6832 WEST ALTA DRIVE.

I JUST WANT TO LET YOU KNOW WHAT I WITNESSED THERE.

I AIN'T HERE TO THROW ARROWS BUT I JUST LEARNED SOMETHING UP THERE.

YOU MADE THE DECISION ABOUT THAT CONTRACT AND I GUESS IT WAS SOME REAL HEAVY STUFF.

THE LAW BASICALLY WAS STATED AND THEN SOMEONE HERE DEFINED WHAT IT MEANT AND THEN MADE A MOVE AND VOTED ON IT.

I THINK WHAT I'VE LEARNED WAS NO MATTER WHAT THE LAW IS, THE LAW SAYS THIS, THE SMARTEST ATTORNEY IS THE ONE THAT DEFINES THAT LAW TO THE JUDGE. AND THAT'S HOW CASES ARE WON AND THAT'S HOW CASES ARE LOST.

OK, SO.

WHEN I SAW SOMEONE DEFINE THAT LAW.

AND I THINK AS FAR AS THE SENSITIVITY, YOU HAD MINORITY CONTRACTORS VERSUS NOT HAVING A LICENSE.

THERE'S TIMES TO WHERE IF A CONTRACT IS NEGOTIATED AND IF A CONTRACTOR HIRES A FIRM THAT DOESN'T HAVE THE PROPER QUALIFICATIONS, LET'S SAY CASE IN POINT, I WORK FOR WATER RECLAMATION.

SOMETIMES A HOMEOWNER'S LINE IS BROKE IN THE STREET.

CONTRACTORS DOESN'T HAVE THE LICENSE TO DIG IN THE STREET, THEY CAN ONLY DIG IN THE YARD.

IF THEY HIRE THAT DON'T HAVE THAT AUTHORITY TO DIG IN THE STREET HE COULD ACTUALLY SUBCONTRACT TO GET A PLUMBER TO DIG IN THE STREET.

THIS IS HOW I SAW WHAT HAPPENED IN THAT SITUATION, I THINK THIS IS PART OF THE ARGUMENT.

IF A PERSON HAS ALL THE LEGAL LICENSES TO DO WHATEVER IT IS, OK, AND THEN IF HE HIRES SOMEONE TO DO THE SUBCONTRACTING, THE POINT I'M MAKING IS, THE PERSON WHO HAS THAT LICENSE IS AN OVERSEER.

HE SHOULD HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF WHATEVER IT IS THAT GUY IS SUPPOSED TO DO THAT'S DONE CORRECTLY.

THAT'S ON HIM.

AND WHEN YOU ALL AWARD THESE CONTRACTS LIKE THAT, THOSE ARE THE TYPES OF QUESTIONS THAT ACTUALLY NEED TO COME OUT BECAUSE WE CAN ALL KEEP UP APPEARANCES AND SAY OK, BY LAW WE CAN GIVE YOU THIS BECAUSE YOU HAVE THAT LICENSE.

BUT WHAT DOES HISTORY STATE?

WHEN THIS NEW FREEWAY WAS OUT HERE PUT UP.

WHAT DID THE ROAD DO?

SEE, AND THAT'S WHAT HAPPENS, SOMETIMES WHEN YOU MAKE THESE DECISIONS. THEY KEEP THE APPEARANCES THEY HAVE ALL THE RIGHT TOOLS, STILL THE JOB MAY NOT COME OUT CORRECTLY SO THAT WAS JUST MY OBSERVATION AND THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO PASS ONTO YOU, AGAIN IT'S VERY UNUSUAL, I'M NOT UP HERE SHOOTING ARROWS.

>> THANK YOU.

ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAK?

THIS MEETING IS IN RECESS UNTIL TOMORROW AT 9:00 A.M.

FOR OUR ZONING AGENDA.

THANK YOU ALL.