

**LAS VEGAS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT MEETING SEPTEMBER 4, 2007**

HELLO THIS IS THE BEGINNING.

>> RORY: GOOD MORNING.

WE WILL CALL THE LAS VEGAS BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING TO ORDER.

>> MR. PRESIDENT THE AGENDA IS PROPERLY NOTICED AND POSTED READY FOR THE APPROVAL AND THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF AUGUST 21.

>> RORY: ANY DISCUSSION ON THE AGENDA OR THE MINUTES?

>> MOTION TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AND MINUTES.

>> MOTION FOR APPROVAL, PLEASE CAST YOUR VOTES.

>> RORY: MOTION CARRIES.

>> [READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD] ITEMS MAY BE TAKEN AS ONE MOTION.

>> RORY: ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ON THE CONSENT AGENDA.

>> FOR APPROVAL.

>>.

>> RORY: MOTION FOR APPROVAL, PLEASE CAST YOUR VOTE.  
MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 6 ASK THE BOARD WAIVE THE REGULATORY AND AWARD THE CONTRACT FOR THE 3090 ZONE PIPELINE TO LAS VEGAS SAVING CORPORATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$340 MILLION WITH THE CHANGE ORDER CONTINGENCY NOT TO EXCEED \$348,000 AND AUTHORIZE THE EXECUTION OF THE CONTRACT.

>> RORY: ANY COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS ON THIS ITEM?  
COMMISSION GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> WHAT'S THE IRREGULARITY.

>> WE ASK THEY SIGN MANUFACTURERS NAME AND WHAT NOT.  
IF THEY CHANGE THE MANUFACTURERS.

>> CHRIS: THANK YOU.

>> RORY: MOTION FOR APPROVAL?  
PLEASE CAST YOUR VOTE.  
MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 7 ASK THE BOARD WAIVE THE REGULARITY AND AWARD THE CONTRACT FOR THE LEVEE 2745 ZONE OUT LOOK PIPELINE IN DURANGO 26 SOUTH ZONE PIPELINE PHASE C TO CONSTRUCTION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,210,675,000.  
WITH THE CHANGE ORDERS CONTINGENCY NOT TO EXCEED \$627,000 AND AUTHORIZE EXECUTION OF THE AGREEMENT.

>> RORY: ANY COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS ON THIS ITEM?  
MOTION?

>> APPROVAL.

>> RORY: MOTION FOR APPROVAL.  
PLEASE CAST YOUR VOTE.  
MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 8 ASKS THE BOARD APPROVE AN AGREEMENT R 2 H ENGINEERING FOR  
MISCELLANEOUS STRUCTURAL OPERATIONS FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$200,000.

>> RORY: ANY COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS?  
MOTION?  
APPROVAL.

>> RORY: MOTION FOR APPROVAL.  
PLEASE CAST YOUR VOTE.  
MOTION CARRIES.

>> THAT'S CONCLUDES THE POSTED AGENDA.

>> RORY: THIS IS THE TIME SET ASIDE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.  
ANYONE HERE LIKE TO SPEAK TO THE LAS VEGAS WATER VALLEY DISTRICT.  
PLEASE COME FORWARD AND STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.  
SEEING NONE, WE WILL ADJOURN THE MEETING.

### **BIG BAND WATER DISTRICT BOARD OF TRUSTEES**

>> I'M GOING TO CALL TOGETHER THE SPECIAL MEETING.  
BIG BAND WATER DISTRICT BOARD OF TRUSTEES.  
WE NEED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA OF AUGUST 21.

>> RORY: MOVE FOR APPROVAL, BOTH ITEMS.

>> CAST YOUR VOTE.

>> MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 3.

>> ITEM 2 IS APPROVE THE AGENDA AFTER ADDING EMERGENCY ITEMS AND DELETING ITEMS.

>> I THINK WE INCLUDED THAT IN THE FIRST MOTION, SO WE'RE ON ITEM 3.

>> RORY: YEAH.

>> ITEM THREE IS [READING ORDINANCE NUMBERS INTO THE RECORD] AUTHORIZING THE  
DESIGNEE TO PURCHASE LIQUID OXYGEN TO THE AIR GAS WEST INC FROM DATE OF AWARD  
THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 WITH THE OPTION TO RENEW FOR THREE ONE YEAR OLD PERIODS TO  
INCREASE FUNDS 15% ANNUALLY FOR EACH RENEWABLE TERM.

>> DO I HAVE A MOTION?

>> RORY: MOVE FOR APPROVAL.

>> IS THERE DISCUSSION?

>> CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIED.  
THAT COMPLETES THE POSTED AGENDA.  
TIME FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.  
WOULD ANYONE LIKE TO SPEAK TO THE BIG BEND WATER DISTRICT BOARD OF TRUSTEES.  
IF SO PLEASE COME FORWARD OR FOREVER HOLD YOUR PEACE.  
ALL RIGHT.  
MEETING ADJOURNED.

## **BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS MEETING**

>> RORY: I'LL CALL THIS MEETING TO ORDER.  
PLEASE RISE FOR THE INVOCATION BY RANDY WALKER, AFTER WHICH WE'LL HAVE THE  
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

>> OUR KIND HEAVENLY FATHER AS WE COME BEFORE THE COUNTY OF COMMISSIONERS  
MEETING WE'RE THANKFUL FOR OUR MANY BLESSINGS.  
GRATEFUL FOR THIS GREAT COUNTRY AND GREAT COMMUNITY IN WHICH WE HAVE THE  
OPPORTUNITY TO LIVE.  
HELP US TO APPRECIATE THE THINGS WE HAVE AND WATCH OUT FOR THOSE LESS FORTUNATE  
THAN WE ARE.  
WE ASK YOU TO BLESS THIS BOARD AS THEY DELIBERATE THE MANY ITEMS BEFORE THEM  
THIS DAY.  
BLESS THEM WITH WISDOM THAT THEY MIGHT MAKE DECISIONS BEST FOR THE DECISIONS OF  
THIS COMMUNITY.  
WE ASKED YOU TO BLESS THE MEN ASK WOMEN OF THE ARMED SERVICES SERVING US IN THE  
MILITARY AND FOREIGN LANDS, PARTICULARLY.  
ASK YOU TO WATCH OVER AND PROTECT THEM.  
WE ASK YOU TO WATCH OVER THIS MEETING.  
AND PRAY THIS IN THE NAME OF THY SON JESUS CHRIST, AMEN.

>> OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.  
AND TO THE REPUBLIC, FOR WHICH IT STANDS ONE NATION UNDER GOD, INDIVISIBLE, WITH  
LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL.

>> GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS.

>> RORY: MR. VALENTINE, BEFORE WE PRO -- I'M GOING TO AND THE VICE CHAIR TO JOIN  
ME.  
THERE IT IS.  
WE HAVE A SPECIAL PRESENTATION TODAY.  
IT IS MY HONOR TO TAKE PART IN THIS.  
THIS MORNING MY WIFE ASKED WHAT WE WERE DOING AT THE COMMISSION MEETING.  
I SAID THAT WE WERE HONORING BRUCE WOODBURY AS THE OLDEST COMMISSIONER IN THE  
HISTORY OF NEVADA.  
IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN A FREUDIAN THING.  
I DON'T WANT TO FIND OUT IF THAT IS TRUE BY ASKING, BUT BRUCE WOODBURY AS OF  
TODAY IS THE LONGEST SERVING COUNTY COMMISSIONER IN THE HISTORY OF OUR STATE.  
[APPLAUSE] [APPLAUSE]

>> RORY: NEED TO PUT THAT IN CONTEXT.  
HE WAS APPOINTED TO THIS BOARD IN 1981, ABOUT THE TIME I WAS FINISHING HIGH  
SCHOOL.  
AT THAT TIME THERE WERE JUST ABOUT 300,000 PEOPLE IN THE VALLEY.  
SINCE THEN, HE HAS STOOD FOR ELECTION SEVEN TIMES.  
HE HAS WON BY MARGINS THAT MAKE ANY ELECTED OFFICIAL VERY JEALOUS.  
HE HAS SERVED AS CHAIR OF THE BOARD TWICE.  
HE'S BEEN CHAIR MEMBER OF OTHER BOARDS AND AGENCIES.

I THINK THE THING, THOUGH THAT DISTINGUISHES BRUCE IS THAT HE SERVED AS A MEMBER OF THIS INSTITUTION, NOT ONLY FOR A LONG TIME, BUT WITH HONOR AND DISTINCTION. AND I THINK THAT WHEN PEOPLE THINK OF HIM, THEY THINK OF THE WORD INTEGRITY. IN A PLACE LIKE THIS WHERE THERE'S ALL KINDS OF PRESSURES TO DO OTHERWISE, THAT IS SOMETHING THAT WE ALL SHOULD RESPECT.

BRUCE, NOT ONLY HAS SERVED HERE, BUT HE HAS BEEN A LEADER. AND A VISIONARY, AND PEOPLE KNOW WHAT HE'S DONE WITH TRANSPORTATION, IMAGINE WHAT OUR VALLEY WOULD BE LIKE -- I KNOW SOME OF YOU WANT TO COMPLAIN ABOUT THE TRAFFIC TODAY.

IMAGINE WHAT IT WOULD BE LIKE WITHOUT THIS MAN.

I ALSO REMEMBER HAVING GROWN UP HERE, WHAT USED TO HAPPEN EVERY TIME IT RAINED. AND WE STILL HAVE SOME FLOODS ONCE IN A WHILE, BUT NOW, USUALLY WHEN WE DO, IT IS WELL CONTROLLED AND PEOPLE DON'T DIE.

THAT'S BECAUSE OF HIS VISIONARY LEADERSHIP, WITH RESPECT TO THE WAY WE CONTROL FLOODS IN THIS VALLEY.

I COULD GO THROUGH A NUMBER OF OTHER THINGS THAT HE'S DONE AS A MEMBER OF THIS BOARD.

WE WANT TO RECOGNIZE HIM, BUT I ALSO THINK IT IS APPROPRIATE THAT WE RECOGNIZE HIS WIFE ROSE.

I WOULD ASK HER TO COME UP HERE, IF SHE WOULD.

[APPLAUSE]

>> RORY: WE'RE GOING TO ASK HER TO SAY SOMETHING.

SHE DOESN'T KNOW THAT.

BUT WHILE YOU THINK OF WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO SAY, I WANT TO TURN SOME TIME OVER TO COMMISSIONER MAXFIELD.

THE ONLY MISTAKE I CAN THINK OF BRUCE HAS MADE IN HIS LIFE WAS TO REGISTER AS A REPUBLICAN, WOULDN'T YOU AGREE?

[APPLAUSE]

>> I WAS THINKING MR. CHAIRMAN, THE ONLY MISTAKE HE MADE IN LIFE WITH THE GREAT EXAMPLE HE IS WITH FLOOD CONTROL AND TRANSPORTATION AND AIR QUALITY ON AND ON. HE SHOULD HAVE BEEN AN ENGINEER.

>> THAT'S DODGING THE BULLETS.

>> CHIP: THE CHAIRMAN HAS SAID IT WELL.

I JUST WANT TO ADD TO THAT.

I'M SURE EVERYONE HERE THINKS THE SAME WAY.

WHEN I DECIDE TO RUN FOR COUNTY COMMISSION-- SOMEONE ASKED ME THE QUESTION.

WHO WOULD YOU LIKE TO EMULATE.

WHO DO YOU THINK YOU'RE LIKE.

I DON'T THINK I'M LIKE ANYONE.

I THINK I BROKE THE MOLD.

IF OTHER I COULD EMULATE SOMEONE.

BRUCE WOODBURY WOULD BE SOMEONE I WOULD LIKE TO BE LIKE.

FOR THE LAST NEAR SEVEN YEARS, A SHORT TIME I HAVE BEEN ABLE TO ASSOCIATE WITH COMMISSIONER WOODBURY.

HE IS EVERYTHING PLUS MORE WHAT CHAIRMAN REID HAS SAID.

HE'S A VERY HUMBLE MAN.

HE HAS A SENSE OF HUMOR TOO.

HE TAKES OUR TEASING WITH -- LIKE THAT SMILE THAT IS JUST A KILLER SMILE.

HE JUST -- HE'S VERY APPROACHABLE.

HE LISTENS, HE'S A GREAT LEADER.

HE HAS LED THIS COMMUNITY FOR A WHILE.

-- HE HAS LED THIS COMMUNITY FOR A WHILE AND A GREAT FAMILY AND A WONDERFUL WIFE WHO SUPPORTS HIM.

THIS COMMUNITY IS WELL SERVED BY HAVING COMMISSION WOODBURY BEING HERE.  
PEOPLE I SHOULD OR SHOULDN'T BE IN OFFICE.

I HAVE NEVER HEARD ANYONE.

JANE ANN MORRISON JUST SAID SOMETHING WONDERFUL ABOUT TERM LIMITS WHICH WE ALL  
HAVE OPINIONS ON.

SHE SAID BRUCE WOOD BURE IS WHY I HATE TERM LIMITS IF WE COULD HAVE AWFUL US,  
ESPECIALLY ME, EMULATES PARTS OF COMMISSIONER WOODBURY, WE WOULD BE BETTER  
SERVED THANK YOU FOR PUTTING UP WITH US AND SERVING THE COMMUNITY FOR SUCH A  
GREAT, LONG TIME.

[APPLAUSE]

>> RORY: WE HAVE A PRESENTATION.

BEFORE WE DO, WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU ABOUT HIM, IF POSSIBLE.

THERE'S ALSO OTHER MEMBERS OF YOUR FAMILY.

IF YOU WANT TO POINT THEM OUT, WE WOULD BE HAPPY TO LOOK AT THEM ON CHANNEL 4.

>> THANK YOU.

THIS IS TOTALLY UNANTICIPATED.

FIRST I WOULD LIKE TO SAY TO CHIP, BRUCE WOULD NEVER BE AN ENGINEER.

I LEARNED THIS VERY EARLY IN OUR MARRIAGE WHEN I WAS PUTTING UP SOME CURTAIN  
RODS AND JUST HAD HIM HAMMER A NAIL.

IT WAS SIMPLE JUST HAVE TO HAMMER A LITTLE NAIL IN.

AND HE BENT SO MANY NAILS.

HE FINALLY SAID HONEY.

I WANT TO DO THIS.

I'VE BEEN DOING IT EVER SINCE.

UNFORTUNATELY I HAD A COUPLE OF GOOD SONS TO HELP ME DO THOSE THINGS.

ONE IS HERE TODAY.

ELDEST SON RODNEY.

[APPLAUSE] AND NEXT TO HIM IS OUR DAUGHTER WENDY AND MY LITTLE GRANDDAUGHTER  
ROSIE.

[APPLAUSE] AND WENDY'S HUSBAND DAVE.

[APPLAUSE] THE NEXT ROW DOWN I HAVE CINDY, HIS SISTER, AND HER HUSBAND DAVID,

[APPLAUSE] AND OUR DEER FRIENDS PEGGY AND CHARLEY LOVET.

AND MY NEPHEW ANDY NORTH AND HIS WIFE MEGAN.

[APPLAUSE] AND THEN NEXT TO MEGAN IS OUR SPECIAL BOY BENJAMIN.

HE IS THE ONE THAT MADE THE LOUD MICE WHEN WE FIRST CAME IN, BUT HE'S SETTLED  
DOWN AND IS SMILING NOW.

[APPLAUSE] THERE'S MANY OTHERS IN THE AUDIENCE TOO.

WE APPRECIATE YOU BEING HERE.

WE WOULD LIKE TO SAY, THE QUESTION I ASKED MOST WHAT IS BRUCE LIKE AT HOME?

HE'S JUST EXACTLY THE SAME AS HE IS HERE.

HE'S QUIET, HE WORKS HARD.

SHE FUNNY.

[ LAUGHTER ] WE HAVE A LOT OF FUN MEMORIES OF HIS VERY, VERY WITTY HUMOR.

HE'S SO QUIET.

AND ALL OF A SUDDEN HE JUST SAYS SOMETHING THAT KNOCKS YOU DEAD AND YOU'RE  
ROLLING ON THE FLOOR.

AND HE IS GRANDCHILDREN LOVE HIM MORE THAN LIFE ITSELF.

THEY PUSH ME OUT OF THE WAY TO GET TO HIM.

HE IS -- I GUESS PROBABLY WHAT ATTRACTED ME TO HIM THE MOST OTHER THAN HIS GOOD  
LOOKS.

HOW MUCH MY NIECES AND NEPHEWS ADORED HIM WHEN THEY SAW HIM COMING IT WAS UNCLE  
BRUCE.

AND WE DIDN'T SEE HIM FOR HALF AN HOUR.

AND HE IS A WONDERFUL FATHER, A WONDERFUL HUSBAND.

AS YOU KNOW A WONDERFUL COMMUNITY SERVANT.

WE'RE ALL GRATEFUL TO HAVE HIM.  
[APPLAUSE]

>> RORY: I WAS CONFIDENT SHE WOULD SAY IT BETTER THAN ANY OF US COULD, SO THANK YOU ROSE.

>> WE HAVE A PRESENTATION.  
THIS IS A MONTAGE OF HEADLINES.  
BRUCE DOESN'T SEEK HEADLINES, BUT HE'S GOTTEN A NUMBER OF THEM OVER THE YEARS.  
I THINK IT SUMMARIZES VERY WELL THE MANY THINGS YOU'VE DONE AS A LEADER IN OUR COMMUNITY.  
WE WANT TO SAY THANK YOU, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY FULL OF GRATEFUL CITIZENS.  
THANK YOU VERY MUCH, BRUCE.  
[APPLAUSE]

>> BRUCE: WELL THANK YOU SO MUCH.  
TO THINK ALL OF THIS.  
ALL I HAD TO DO IS HANG AROUND HERE A LITTLE MORE THAN ANYONE ELSE.  
I WOULD LIKE TO CLAIM THAT IT IS BECAUSE OF SOME SPECIAL DEDICATION, BUT AS ROSE AND THE OTHERS HERE KNOW ME BEST.  
IT IS PROBABLY JUST MY NATURE THAT I RESIST CHANGE.  
IF SOMETHING IS GOING WELL AND FEELING COMFORTABLE, I JUST STICK WITH IT.  
FOR EXAMPLE, THAT CAR I DROVE IN TODAY IS THE SAME CAR I HAVE BEEN DRIVING SINCE 1992 I JUST NOTICE I HIT 279,000 MILES TODAY.  
I DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT CARS, BUT MY ENGINEER CHIP MAXFIELD TELLS ME WHEN I HIT 300,000 I SHOULD PROBABLY HAVE THE OIL CHANGED.  
[ LAUGHTER ] ROTATE THE TIRES YOU SAID.  
SOMETHING.  
I THINK BACK -- COME TO THINK OF IT CHIP, YOU SAID I HAVE TO MAKE SOME NEW RESOLUTIONS.  
AND SO ONE OF THESE DAYS I'M GOING TO LEARN HOW TO TURN ON A COMPUTER.  
COME TO THINK OF IT I HAVE TO LEARN TO TYPE FIRST.  
BUT I THINK BACK TO 1981, WITH THE PASSING THIS WEEK OF DAVID CANDOR.  
I GUESS DALE AND I ARE THE ONLY ONES LEFT FROM THAT COMMISSION.  
I SERVED WITH 27 COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OVER THE YEARS.  
YOU KNOW, LET'S FACE IT, THE LEGACY OF A FEW OF THEM, IT IS NOT WHAT WE WANT IT TO BE FOR OBVIOUS REASONS, BUT THE VAST MAJORITY OF THESE MEN AND WOMEN THAT I HAVE SERVED WITH, INCLUDING MY COLLEAGUES TODAY HONEST, DEDICATED PUBLIC SERVANTS.  
IT IS AN HONOR TO SERVE WITH PEOPLE LIKE YOU AND WITH ALL THOSE THAT ARE IN THAT CATEGORY.  
LET ME SAY TO THE -- I THINK LITERALLY TENS OF THOUSANDS OF DEDICATED PUBLIC SERVANTS FROM THE MANAGERS AND ADMINISTRATORS, TO ALL OF THE RANK-AND-FILE EMPLOYEES AT THE COUNTY, AND THE WATER DISTRICT AND THE RECLAMATION DISTRICT AND UNC AND RTC.  
AND THE ALL OF THE REGIONAL AGENCIES THAT I'VE BEEN ASSOCIATED WITH, THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THE WONDERFUL JOB THAT ALMOST ALL OF YOU HAVE DONE.  
-- THAT ALL ALMOST ALL OF YOU HAVE DONE OVER THE YEARS.  
YOU CAN SEE I'M BLESSED WITH A WONDERFUL FAMILY.  
MOST ARE IN SCHOOL OR WORKING OR OFF SOMEWHERE.  
THANK YOU ALL FOR BEING HERE.  
THANK YOU FOR THIS LOVELY TRIBUTE.  
LET ME ESPECIALLY THANK THE PEOPLE OF THIS GREAT COMMUNITY FORGIVING ME THIS UNBELIEVABLE OPPORTUNITY TO REPRESENT YOU IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR ALL OF THESE YEARS.  
IT HAS REALLY BEEN GREAT.  
[APPLAUSE]

>> RORY: I GUESS WE HAVE A MEETING AND A FEW ITEMS TO VOTE ON, SO THANK YOU BRUCE, WE LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING YOU FOR AS LONG AS YOU CAN STAND IT.

>> RORY: SO WE'RE IN RECESS.

>> RORY: I'LL CALL THE MEETING BACK TO ORDER.

>> RORY: BEFORE WE GO ANY FURTHER COMMISSIONER COLLINS HAD A COMMENT.

>> TOM: THROUGH THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN.

AFTER RECOGNIZING COMMISSIONER WOODBURY, I THINK IT IS APPROPRIATE TO DISCUSS SOMETHING THAT WILL ROGERS, I THINK HE WAS LIKE IN HOLLYWOOD OR NEW YORK SOMEWHERE.

A LOT OF TRAFFIC AND STUFF, AND HE HAD A SIMPLE SOLUTION FOR OUR TRAFFIC CONGESTION THAT PEOPLE HAVE.

HE SAID NO ONE SHOULD BE ABLE TO DRIVE ON THE ROAD UNTIL THEIR CAR WAS PAID FOR. THAT WAS LIKE 90 YEARS AGO, SINCE WE CAN'T GET THAT ACCOMPLISHED, WE ARE DEFINITELY DEEPLY IN GRATITUDE FOR COMMISSIONER WOODBURY FOR THE OUTSTANDING EFFORTS HE'S DONE TO GET THE BONDING QUESTIONS IN THE BELTWAY AND OTHER ROAD PROJECTS HE'S WORKED FOR AND WORKING ON RTC SOME HE IS OUR WILL ROGERS.

>> RORY: ALL RIGHT.

ON TO SOMETHING MORE MUNDANE.

>> ITEM 1 IS CONSIDER REMOVING FROM THE TABLE, ITEM 83 TABLED AT THE APRIL 2007 BOARD MEET AND TAKING ANY ACTION DEEMED APPROPRIATE.

>> RORY: TELL US WHAT THAT ITEM WAS.

>> WOULD YOU LIKE TO COME BACK TO THIS ITEM WHEN COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> RORY: WHY DON'T WE COME BACK WITH WHEN SHE IS PRESENT.

>> THE DELETION OF ANY ITEMS AND ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE TABLE.

>> RORY: IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION ON THE AGENDA?

>> COMMISSIONER MAYBE IT WOULD BE MORE APPROPRIATE TO GO BACK TO ITEM 1, WHICH IS CONSIDER REMOVING FROM THE TABLE ITEM NUMBER 83.

>> RORY: COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI YOU TABLED THIS ITEM, WHAT'S YOUR PLEASURE? OKAY.

>> CHRIS: I WOULD ASK THIS BE CONSIDERED BY THE COMMISSION.

>> RORY: YOUR MOTION TO REMOVE IT AND PUT IT ON THE TABLE.

>> RORY: ANY OTHER DISCUSSION ON THE AGENDA?  
HOW ABOUT A MOTION?

>> APPROVAL.

>> WITH THAT EXCEPTION.

>> YOU'VE HEARD THE MOTION.  
CAST YOUR VOTE.

HAVE ALL COMMISSIONERS VOTED?  
MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM TWO IS APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA WITH THE IN CONCLUSION OF ANY ITEMS AND  
ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE TABLE.

>> I WILL PULL SOMETHING OFF--

>> DO WE NEED DISCUSSION.

>> I WANT TO HOLD ITEM 10 FOR DISCUSSION.

>> RORY: YOU WOULD LIKE ITEM 10 HEARD SEPARATE.  
ANY OTHER DISCUSSION ON THE AGENDA?  
HOW ABOUT A MOTION.

>> MOVE FOR APPROVAL.

>> RORY: MOTION TO APPROVAL THE AGENDA.  
THANK YOU, CAST YOUR VOTES.

>> RORY: MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 3 THROUGH 77 COMPRISE OF CONSENT AGENDA.  
ITEM 10 WILL BE HEARD SEPARATELY.

>> MOVE FOR APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT AGENDA.

>> RORY: MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA.  
ARE THERE ANY DISCLOSURES.  
I HAVE TO DISCLOSE ON ITEM 18 THAT ONE OF THE PARTIES INVOLVED HAS SOME  
RELATIONSHIP WITH MY LAW FIRM THAT'S IS NOT SIGNIFICANT.  
IT IS UNRELATED TO THIS, SO GIVEN THAT DISCLOSURE MY UNDERSTANDING IS I CAN  
VOTE.

>> THAT'S CORRECT, COMMISSIONER.

>> RORY: THE SAME DISCLOSURE ON ITEM 54, BUT I'LL VOTE ON THOSE ITEMS.  
NO OTHER DISCLOSURES.  
THERE'S BEEN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA.  
CAST YOUR VOTE.

>> RORY: MOTION CARRIES.

>> SECTION 3 IS COUNTY MANAGER RECOGNITION ITEM 78 IS DESIGNATE SEPTEMBER 2007  
AS DIABETES AWARENESS MONTH.

>> RORY: COMMISSIONER WEEKLY.

>> LAWRENCE: THANK YOU.  
GOOD MORNING O'CLOCK.

-- CLARK COUNTY I WOULD LIKE TO THINK THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS FOR ALLOWING ME  
TO BRING THIS OPPORTUNITY TO BRING AWARENESS TO SUCH A PERTINENT ISSUE THAT IS  
PLAGUING OUR COMMUNITY AND I HAVE THE HONOR THIS MORNING OF INTRODUCING A YOUNG  
MAN, WHO -- LET ME SAY ONE OF THE BRAVEST LITTLE BOYS I KNOW ON THE FALSE OF  
THIS EARTH.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, DIABETES IS AN ISSUE THAT I FOUND WORKING THROUGHOUT MY DISTRICT THAT IS A HUGE ISSUE AND I HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE HERE BE IRENE SMITH, IF YOU WOULD COME FORWARD.

HERE REPRESENTING THE AMERICAN DIABETES ASSOCIATION TO, GIVE US JUST A LITTLE AWARENESS, BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT THIS ITEM IS ABOUT TODAY.

YOU CAN COME EITHER WAY -- OKAY, TO BRING SOME AWARENESS TO THIS ISSUE HERE AND ACCEPT THE PROCLAMATION ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS.

POLICE -- PLEASE GIVE A ROUND OF APPLAUSE TO IRENE SMITH, PLEASE.

[APPLAUSE]

>> GOOD MORNING.

IN THE UNITED STATES THERE IS 21.8 MILLION AMERICANS THAT HAVE DIABETES.

OF THAT, WE NOW IN THE AMERICAN DIABETES ASSOCIATION HAVE 24 MILLION PREDIABETES.

AND OF COURSE, MINORITIES ARE REALLY AFFECTED.

IN CLARK COUNTY, THE AMERICAN DIABETES ASSOCIATION HAS BEEN WORKING HARD TO ADDRESS ALL OF THESE AREAS AND ARE QUITE CONCERNED.

OUR NUMBERS ARE PROBABLY BETWEEN 300,000 TO 400,000.

THERE'S 1/3 OF THE 21 MILLION HAVE NOT BEEN DIAGNOSED.

SO, THIS IS A REAL CONCERN HERE.

I HAVE A SON WITH TYPE I DIABETES.

I'M AWARE OF WHAT'S GOING ON.

I'M SO PROUD OF CLARK COUNTY FOR WORKING HARD IN COMMUNITY EFFORTS TO HELP US WITH THE ASSOCIATION, AS FAR AS YOU KNOW, HEALTH FAIRS, ET CETERA.

>> LAWRENCE: WE WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT THIS PROCLAMATION TO YOU AND MAKE THIS THE AMERICAN DIABETES ASSOCIATION DAY.

WE THINK IT IS SO IMPORTANT THAT WE BRING ATTENTION TO THIS ISSUE AND THAT WE CONTINUE TO ENCOURAGE OUR COMMUNITY TO BE TESTED AND TO LIVE A HEALTHIER LIFESTYLE, AS IT RELATES TO COMBATING THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE.

WHILE YOU'RE HERE, I WOULD LIKE TO BRING UP LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, I WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE THIS YOUNG MAN KENDALL JOSEPH NETHER.

THIS HAS TO BE ONE OF THE BRAVEST LITTLE BOYS I KNOW.

[APPLAUSE] COME ON AROUND KENDALL.

AND KENDALL HERE -- [ LAUGHTER ]

>> LAWRENCE: I NEED A STOOL.

COME HERE KENDALL.

STAND ON A STOOL HERE.

>> [APPLAUSE]

>> HI.

>> KENDALL TELL THEM WHAT YOU DO FOR THE AMERICAN DIABETES ASSOCIATION?

>> WHAT DO YOU MEAN?

>> AMBASSADOR.

>> HE IS OUR AMBASSADOR.

>> LAWRENCE: I'LL TELL EVERYBODY.

HE IS THE AMBASSADOR FOR THE AMERICAN DIABETES ASSOCIATION.

MAN, THIS YOUNG MAN HERE IS SO SHARP.

HE'S SO ARTICULATE.

TO BE SO SMALL CAN SHOOT A JUMP SHOT.

WE LOVE HIM.  
KENDALL YOU WANT TO SAY HELLO TO YOUR PARENTS.

>> YEAH, HI.

>> AS YOU SEE ONE OF THE BEST SPOKESPERSONS IN THE WORLD.  
KENDALL WE WANT TO PRESENT THIS PROCLAMATION TO YOU.  
KENDALL WAS DIAGNOSED WITH TYPE I DIABETES.  
FOR A BRAVE LITTLE BOY WHO HAS TAKEN OVER 200 SHOTS A YEAR, I MEAN HE DOES IT  
WITH SUCH COURAGE.  
HE DOES IT WITH SUCH BRAVENESS, IF YOU WILL.  
HE'S A GOOD AMBASSADOR FOR ALL KIDS WHO SUFFER FROM SUCH A HORRIBLE DISEASE.  
KENDALL WE WANT TO RECOGNIZE YOU TODAY.  
THIS IS KENDALL DAY IN CLARK COUNTY.  
HOW'S THAT.  
[APPLAUSE] IS THAT COOL.

>> YEAH.

>> LAWRENCE: HE SAYS YEAH.  
I WANT TO THANK HIS PARENTS.  
HIS MOM WAS HERE.  
NATASHA.  
THIS IS HIS MOM.  
[APPLAUSE] AND AGAIN, WE JUST -- I'M SORRY.

>> LAWRENCE: AND HIS SISTER.  
OKAY.  
HI SISTER.  
AND WHO ELSE?  
WHO SELLS HERE?  
AH -- WHO IS THAT?

>> YOUR GRANDMOTHER.

>> LAWRENCE: HIGH GRANDMA, AND HIS GREAT GRANDMOTHER IS HERE AND HIS AUNT.  
KENDALL, AS YOU SEE I HAVE A LOT OF SUPPORTIVE WOMEN IN HIS LIFE.  
WE STAND BY HIM AND SUPPORT HIM.  
KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK.  
WE'RE ALL BEHIND YOU.  
GOD BLESS YOU MAN, THIS IS YOURS.  
LET US GET YOU DOWN FIRST.  
THANK YOU VERY MUCH.  
[APPLAUSE]

>> ITEM 79 IS RECEIVE TWO AWARDS FROM THE NEVADA CHAPTER OF AMERICAN PUBLIC  
WORKS ASSOCIATION.  
PEGGY POUND FROM THE AMERICAN PUBLIC WORKS ASSOCIATION IS HERE TO PRESENT THE  
WARD WITH LESS HENLEY DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS.

>> RORY: THIS ITEM IS COMMISSIONER MAXFIELD.  
HE HAS PROMISED NOT TO STAND ON HIS CHAIR.

>> GOOD AFTERNOON I'M PEGGY POUND WITH THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION WORKS NEVADA  
CHAPTER IT IS MY HONOR TO REPRESENT APWA IN GIVING YOU TWO MORE AWARDS.  
IT SEEMS EVERY YEAR CLARK COUNTY IS AN AWARD-WINNING ENTITY THAT WE HAVE THAT  
BUILDS GREAT PROJECTS.

WE WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE TWO OF THEM FOR THIS YEAR.  
THESE WERE CHOSEN AT OUR SPRING CONFERENCE IN -- WHERE WERE WE AT?  
IN LAUGHLIN, SORRY.  
THERE'S A -- A LOT OF DIFFERENT CRITERIA THAT WE USED TO JUDGE, COST, BENEFIT TO  
THE PUBLIC, FINANCING, EASE OF CONSTRUCTION OR DIFFICULTY OF CONSTRUCTION IS  
ALSO PART OF IT.  
AND IN ADDITION TO THE PEOPLE THAT I'M GOING TO RECOGNIZE, I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO  
THANK YOU FOR HAVING PEOPLE LIKE DENIS SEAR BERG AS THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR  
AND THE DEPUTY PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR THESE.

>> HAVE A STAFF,

>> OUTSTANDING, WHICH I'M SURE YOU KNOW.  
THE FIRST PROJECT TO RECEIVE AN AWARD WAS FOR TRANSPORTATION PERJURES UNDER \$2  
MILLION.  
IT IS THE 2006 TRAFFIC CAPACITY IMPROVEMENTS PHASE ONE.  
THE CONTRACTOR WAS LAS VEGAS ELECTRIC.  
IT WAS DESIGNED BY GC WALLACE IN CLARK COUNTY.  
CONSTRUCTION MANAGER WAS CHRIS COALAS OF CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS.  
IT WAS NEW TRAFFIC SIGNALS AT DURANGO, BLUE DIAMOND AND DESERT INN GRAND CANYON.  
I WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT THE AWARD TO IRENE LAHM OF THE CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS  
DEPARTMENT.  
[APPLAUSE]

>> THIS AWARD READS: "2007 PROJECT OF THE YEAR AWARD PRESENTED BY THE NEVADA  
CHAPTER OF THE NEVADA PUBLIC WORKS ASSOCIATION FOR TRANSPORTATION PROJECT,  
CONSTRUCTION IN 2006 UNDER \$2 MILLION.  
FOR THE 2006 TRAFFIC CAPACITY IMPROVEMENT PHASE ONE CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS."  
[APPLAUSE]

>> THE SECOND AWARD-WINNING PROJECT WAS IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS \$2 MILLION  
TO \$5 MILLION CATEGORY.  
FLAMINGO WASH, MONTH HAVI TO I-515 THE CONTRACTOR WAS CRYSTAL CASCADES AND  
DESIGNED BY LEWIS BERGER AND ASSOCIATES.  
CONSTRUCTION OF A FULLY IMPROVED CONCRETE LINE CHANNEL BETWEEN BOULDER HIGHWAY  
AND I-515 ALONG WITH THE PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE OVER THE CHANNEL.  
THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER IS BLAIR ELECTOR OF CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS.  
I WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT THE AWARD TO HIM.  
[APPLAUSE] THE AWARD READS "2007 PROJECT OF THE YEAR AWARD PRESENTED BY THE  
NEVADA CHAPTER OF NEVADA PUBLIC WORKS ASSOCIATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS  
CONSTRUCTED IN 2006 BETWEEN \$2 TO \$5 MILLION FLAMINGO WASH.  
TO MONTH HAVA I-515 PHASE THREE.  
CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS." [APPLAUSE] KNOWING THIS TEAM WILL PROBABLY SEE YOU  
AGAIN NEXT YEAR.

>> MR. CHAIRMAN.

>> WHEN I DID THE PRESENTATION ON THE RECOGNITION OF DIABETES AWARENESS.  
I WANTED TO BRING TO THE ATTENTION, WHEN I INDICATED THAT KENDALL TAKES 200  
SHOTS.  
IT IS 200 SHOTS A MONTH.  
NOT 200 SHOTS A YEAR.  
WHAT A BRAVE LITTLE KID.  
I WANTED TO CORRECT THAT FOR THE RECORD PLEASE, LET EVERYONE KNOW IT IS SO  
IMPORTANT THAT WE BRING THAT AWARENESS TO THE COMMUNITY'S ATTENTION.  
200 SHOTS PER MONTH.

>> ITEM 80 IS APPROPRIATE AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO DESIGNATE SEPTEMBER 2007 AS EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS MONTH IN CLARK COUNTY, AND RECOGNIZING THE ONGOING EFFORTS OF THE OFFICE OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AND HOMELAND SECURITY. CAROL LEAFSING WITH THE OFFICE OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT IS HERE TO PROVIDE A BRIEF REPORT.

>> RORY: COMMISSIONERS, I THINK YOU'LL AGREE WITH ME THAT EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS IS A RELEVANT TOPIC ANY TIME WE MEET, PARTICULARLY, IN LIGHT OF WHAT HAPPENED LAST WEEK, WHEN A RUN AWAY TANKER FILLED WITH CHLORINE SPED THROUGH OUR VALLEY AT SPEEDS, SOME BELIEVE UP TO 50 MILE PER HOUR AND WE WERE FORTUNATE THAT -- THAT WE DIDN'T HAVE TO PUT ANY OF OUR PLANS INTO PLACE, BECAUSE THAT TANKER SLOWED TO A STOP AND SERIOUS INJURIES WERE AVOIDED. AN INCIDENT LIKE THAT, AND THE UPCOMING ANNIVERSARY, THE SIXTH ANNIVERSARY OF THE ATTACKS OF 9/11 REMINDS US THAT EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS IS SOMETHING THAT WE SHOULD BE THINKING ABOUT ALL THE TIME SEPTEMBER IS NATIONAL PREPAREDNESS MONTH IN.

CONJUNCTION WITH FEDERAL INITIATIVES UNDERWAY.

WE HAVE A RESOLUTION BEFORE US, WHICH RECOGNIZES SEPTEMBER 2007 AS EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS MONTH IN CLARK COUNTY.

YOU MAY NOT BE AWARE, BUT CLARK COUNTY'S OFFICE OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AND HOMELAND SECURITY HAS THE JOB OF THINKING ABOUT EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS, WHENEVER ANY OF US IS NOT.

THE OFFICE DESERVES A LOT OF CREDIT FOR THE WORK THEY DO.

THEY COLLABORATE WITH STATE AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND OTHER ORGANIZATIONS IN THE COMMUNITY TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE PLANS IN PLACE TO RESPOND TO ANY EMERGENCY.

BUT THE GOVERNMENT CAN'T DO THIS JOB ALONE.

THE PUBLIC IS OUR PARTNER IN THIS EFFORT.

EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS IS NOT JUST UP TO THE GOVERNMENT AND TO THE FIRST RESPONDERS.

IT IS NOT JUST THE JOB OF POLICE OFFICERS AND FIREFIGHTERS AND OTHER FIRST RESPONDERS.

THE RUNWAY TANKER THAT I MENTIONED IS AN EXAMPLE OF WHY IT IS IMPORTANT FOR OUR CITIZENS TO BE VIGILANT AT ALL TIMES, BECAUSE IT IS BECAUSE OF CITIZENS WHO CALLED 9-1-1, THAT METRO RESPONDED TO THE INCIDENT.

WE NEVER KNOW WHEN OR WHERE THE DISASTER CAN STRIKE, BUT WE KNOW THAT WE HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO BE PREPARED IN THE CASE THAT IT DOES.

AND CAROLYN LEVERING FROM OUR OFFICE OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT IS HERE TO SAY A FEW WORDS AND GIVE US A FEW POINTERS, SINCE IT IS GOING TO BE IN A MOMENT WHERE WE VOTE ON THIS, EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS MONTH.

SHE WILL GIVE US AND EVERYONE WITHIN THE SOUND OF OUR VOICE SOME POINTERS ON WHAT WE CAN DO AS A COMMUNICATE.

>> THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN, COMMISSIONERS, GOOD MORNING.

>> IN THE EMERGENCY THE ROLL OF GOVERNMENT IS ORGANIZE, AND COORDINATE A MULTIPLE AGENCY RESPONSE THAT ADDRESSES AND PRIORITIZES PUBLIC SAFETY NEEDS FIRST.

THE KEY TO THE COMMUNITY'S SUCCESS, IN ANY EMERGENCY LIES WITH CITIZEN PREPAREDNESS.

AND THE EFFORT CITIZENS TAKE BEFORE A DISASTER OCCURS WILL HELP INSURE THE SAFETY OF THEMSELVES, THEIR AND EVEN THEIR NEIGHBORS.

THREE SIMPLE STEPS ARE TO GET A KIT.

MAKE A PLAN AND BE INFORMED.

GET A DISASTER SUPPLY KIT.

THE KIT SHOULD CONTAIN ITEMS FOR YOU AND YOUR FAMILY BASIC NEEDS FOR AT LEAST THREE DAYS INCLUDING FOOD AND WATER A WEEK'S WORTH OF SUPPLIES IS EVEN BETTER. PLAN FOR YOUR FAMILY UNIQUE NEEDS SUCH AS SUPPLIES FOR MEDICALLY FRAGILE AND PETS.

CREATE AN EMERGENCY PLAN.

FAMILY MEMBERS MAY NOT BE TOGETHER WHEN A DISASTER STRIKES SO, IT IS IMPORTANT TO PLAN IN ADVANCE HOW YOU WILL CONNECT WITH ONE ANOTHER, CHOOSE A PRIMARY AND SECONDARY MEETING LOCATION, IN CASE THE EMERGENCY IMPACTS YOUR HOME AND NEIGHBORHOOD AND DESIGNATE A STATE CONTACT FOR FAMILY MEMBERS TO CALL IN THE EVENT THEY'RE SEPARATED.

IN A WIDESPREAD EMERGENCY EVENT IT IS OFTEN EASIER TO CALL OUT OF STATE THAN WITHIN AN INFECTED AREA.

BE INFORMED.

IN AN EMERGENCY STAY TUNED TO YOUR RADIO OR TV FOR INSTRUCTIONS AND INFORMATION. ALWAYS HAVE A BATTERY OR HAND CRANKED RADIO, IN CASE OF POWER OUTAGE.

IT IS ALSO IMPORTANT TO LEARN OF THE EMERGENCIES THAT CAN HAPPEN IN OUR AREA AND HOW TO RESPOND TO THEM.

>> HAZARDS WE ARE MOST VULNERABLE TO INCLUDE COMMUNICABLE DISEASE, WILDFIRE, FLOODING, EARTHQUAKE AND EXTREME HEAT.

>> YOU CAN FIND A LOT OF PREPAREDNESS INFORMATION ONLINE ON THE COUNTY'S WEBSITE ACCESS CLARK COUNTY.COM OR RED CROSS.ORG.

ONE FINAL NOTE THE SOUTHERN NEVADA HEALTH DISTRICT IS FOCUSING ON FLEW AS PART OF EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS.

GETTING A FLEW SHOT IS RECOMMENDED AS A GOOD HEALTHCARE MEASURE.

MORE INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE AT SOUTHERN NEVADA HEALTH DISTRICT.ORG.

THANK YOU.

>> RORY: THANK YOU.

THANKS FOR ALL YOU DO.

I'LL MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION.

ARE THERE QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

>> CAST YOUR VOTES.

>> RORY: MOTION CARRIES.

>> SECTION 4 IS ITEMS TAKEN OFF THE CONSENT AGENDA.

ITEM 10 IS APPROVE PROVIDING ECONOMIC, AND FISCAL RESEARCH SERVICES PARTICULARLY RELEVANT TO THE CLARK COUNTY FAMILY SERVICE, CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES DIVISION AND RECOGNIZE THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER FOR HIS RECOGNITION TO TAKE ITEMS APPROPRIATE.

>> RORY: ASK IF STAFF HAS ANYTHING TO STAY ON THIS ITEM?

>> WE WOULD LIKE FOR TOM WORTON TO ADDRESS ANY QUESTIONS ON THE SIGNUP.

>> RORY: MAYBE COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI YOU ASKED THAT IT BE HELD. DO YOU HAVE A SPECIFIC QUESTION OR A PRESENTATION.

>> I GUESS WE DIDN'T UNDERSTAND WHAT WE ARE EXECUTING THE CONTRACT TO DO.

>> IT CAN BE EXPENDED UP TO \$100,000, BUT IT DOESN'T SPELL OUT IN MY READING WHAT WE ARE EXPECTING THE COMPANY TO PERFORM.

>> PROVIDE, FOR THE RECORD I'M TOM WORTON DIRECTOR OF DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES LET ME PROVIDE A SHORT PIECE OF HISTORY ABOUT THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT. ABOUT THE TIME OF MY ARRIVAL LAST SUMMER, THE ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES DIRECTED THE STATE OF NEVADA TO RENEGOTIATE ITS PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT PLAN, ORIGINALLY DEVELOPED FOLLOWING THE CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICE REVIEW. AS YOU MAY KNOW WE WERE FOUND INCOMPLIANT ON ALL ITEMS. ONE OF THE CONCERNS IN THE SYSTEMIC FACTORS WAS SERVICE ARRAY. ONE OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF AFCF. WE DO AN ASSESSMENT OF THE OUR SERVICE ARRAY IN CLARK COUNTY. AFTER SOME NEGOTIATION W SETTLED THE BOND OF METHODOLOGY. AT THAT POINT WE REALLY DIDN'T HAVE THE STAFF RESOURCES INTERNAL TO DFS, IN ORDER TO DO -- CERTAIN THINGS THAT WERE REQUIRED. ONE OF WHICH WAS COMMUNICATE PROFILE. THE OTHER WAS THE CHILD AND FAM -- THE COMMUNITY SNAP SHOT. THE OTHER WAS THE CHILD AND FAMILY PROFILE. SO WE CONTRACTED WITH APPLIED ANALYSIS TO DO THAT PART OF THE WORK. WE ALSO HAD ANTICIPATED OR HOPED, I SHOULD SAY THAT THE STATE WOULD FUND THREE ADDITIONAL QUALITY ASSURANCE POSITIONS IN CLARK COUNTY. RIGHT NOW THIS COMMISSION PRIOR TO MY ARRIVAL APPROVED THE MANAGER AND TWO Q A STAFF. WE HAD REQUESTED THE STATE FUND QA STAFF. THOSE REQUESTED DID NOT MAKE IT THROUGH THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET. WE WERE LEFT WITHOUT STAFF, WE ANTICIPATED MIGHT BE AVAILABLE TO DO SOME OF THIS WORK. WE HAD AN OBLIGATION TO FINISH UP THE REPORT AND SUBMIT IT TO THE STATE AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. ESSENTIALLY WE'RE REQUESTING APPLIED ANALYSIS UPDATE THE COMMUNITY SHOT IN THE CHILD AND FAMILY PROFILE WITH CURRENT DATA. THE SECOND IS, TO BASICALLY DO THE ANALYSIS AND COMPILATION OF THE REPORT EMANATING FROM THREE STEAK HOLDER MEETINGS WE HELD. THE THIRD, WITH OUR STAFF, WE HAVE CONDUCTED 600 INTERVIEWS, IN REGARD TO THE CASE NEEDS. WE'RE ASKING APPLIED ANALYSIS TO DO THE ANALYSIS OF THOSE 600 INTERVIEWS AND PRODUCE A PROFILE. THE REASON WE NEED THAT IS THAT THE COMMUNITY STAKE HOLDER PROCESS. WHILE IT IDENTIFIED A RANGE OF NEEDS IT ALSO PROVIDED NO METRICS, FROM WHICH WE COULD MAKE BUDGETARY ESTIMATES. WE ARE LOOKING FOR THE 600 CASES FOR THE INCIDENTS LEVEL OF NEED FOR A VARIETY OF SERVICES SO WE CAN BETTER ESTIMATE HOW MUCH THE GAP IS, AND WHAT IT WOULD COST TO FILL IT.

>> CHRIS: THANK YOU, IF WE HAD GOTTEN THE QUALITY ASSURANCE. YOU HAVE THE DIRECT OREGON ONE POSITION?

>> WE HAVE A MANAGER, THE COMMISSION APPROVED A MANAGER AND TWO MANAGEMENT ANALYSTS, I BELIEVE LAST SPRING. THEY WERE HIRED IN JUNE. BUT THEY PRINCIPALLY ARE TIED UP IN PRODUCING OTHER REPORTS, WE ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT TO THE STATE AS RELEVANT OF THE P.I.P. AND THE YOUTH LAW CENTER AND INTERNAL REPORTS.

>> YOU ASKED LEGISLATIVELY FOR AN ADDITIONAL THREE POSITIONS THAT WERE NOT GRANTED?

>> WE SENT FOR, IT I BELIEVE, IT WAS THREE.

IT MAY BE FOUR REQUESTS FOR DCFS FOR THREE ADDITIONAL POSITIONS THE STATE FUNDS NO QUALITY ASSURANCE POSITION TO MY KNOWLEDGE. THEY DID NOT MAKE IT THROUGH THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET.

>> DOES RENO OR WASHIEL HAVE QUALITY ASSURANCE POSITIONS FUNDED BY THE LEGISLATURE?

>> NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF.

>> CHRIS: WE WERE ASKING FOR SOMETHING OUT OF THE REALM AT THAT TIME?

>> CERTAINLY THERE WAS NO PRECEDENT.  
BUT WE FELT IT WAS A FAIR ARRANGEMENT.  
WE NEED A QUALITY ASSURANCE UNIT OF SIX TO EIGHT PEOPLE, GIVEN THE SIZE OF THE ORGANIZATION.  
AND SINCE THE STATE DOESN'T FUND ANY, BUT NEVER THE LESS ASKS US FOR A NUMBER OF REPORTS AND DATA FREQUENTLY.  
WE HAD HOPE THE STATE WOULD MATCH THE COUNTY IN THIS ARENA.

>> CHRIS: DO YOU KNOW OFF HAND WHAT THE COST WOULD BE FOR THOSE POSITIONS?

>> PROBABLY IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF \$200,000, WITH ALL BENEFITS.

>>.

>> CHRIS: THAT'S TO PERMANENTLY PUT IT INTO PLACE.  
WHY WAS THE DECISION MADE TO USE UP TO \$100,000 TO EMPLOY ONGOING PEOPLE TO DEVELOP THE SKILLS IN GOING TO QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM, AS YOU TRY TO FIND ADDITIONAL SOURCES RATHER THAN CONTRACTING OUT.

>> I'M NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION RESEARCH YOU SAYING WHY DIDN'T WE CREATE.

>> YOU GOT \$100,000.  
WHY DID YOU CHOOSE TO GO IN THIS DIRECTION?

>> IT LARGELY IS A MATTER OF EXPEDIENCY.  
JEREMY HAS DONE TWO PARTS OF THE WORK IF THE FIRST PHASE.  
HE IS ABLE TO FINISH WITHIN THE TIME FRAMES WE HAVE AS REQUIRED BY A.C.F..

>> CHRIS: THOSE TWO PARTS-- I NOTICE THERE'S AN AUDIT COMPONENT HERE.  
YOUR DEPARTMENT THEN AUDITS WHETHER OR NOT THE QUALITY OF THE WORK MEETS WHAT YOU NEED?

--

>> OUR DEPARTMENT WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE OVERSIGHT OF THE QUALITY OF APPLIED ANALYSIS.

>> REFER TO DOUG LYON IN HERE.  
AS THE ADMINISTRATIVE PERSON.

>> I HAVE NO PROBLEMS WITH THE COMPANY.  
I THINK WE HAVE ALL USED HIM FOR MANY THINGS.  
I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'RE NOT MOVING IN A DIRECT TO CONTINUE TO CONTRACT THINGS OUT AND IT IS BIFURCATED AND NOT KNOW WHO'S MONITORING WHAT AS WE MOVE FORWARD.  
I WOULD RATHER HAVE SEEN, MAYBE WE HIRE ONE AND A HALF POSITIONS NOW.

THEN TRY TO COME BACK FOR THE FULL TIME, RATHER THAN HAVE IT GO ON A CONTRACTUAL BASIS.

>> THE FUNDING FOR THIS PARTICULAR WORK IS COMING FROM GRANT FUNDS TO FEDERAL SYSTEM CARE GRANT.

SO THEY'RE NOT REGULAR FUNDS IN MY BUDGET.

SECONDLY, THIS IS REALLY A ONE-TIME EFFORT, IN TERM OF THIS UNIQUE PROJECT, AS REQUIRED BY THE ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES.

>> CHRIS: THAT WILL BRING US IN FULL COMPLIANCE OF THE CORRECTIVE ACTION STUFF?

>> FOR THIS PARTICULAR ITEM, YES.

>> CHRIS: HOW MANY MORE ARE STILL OUT THERE?

>> THIS IS THE ONLY ONE HANG, IF YOU WILL, BASICALLY WE CLOSED OUT OUR P.I.P. PERIOD IN FULL COMPLIANCE.

IT IS TO DO THIS FALL AS PART OF THE PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT PLAN.

>> CHRIS: PART OF THAT IS A BUDGETARY PIECE.

IF THE BUDGET COMES BACK LOOKING AT THE MATRIX THAT, THEN WILL BE WHAT YOU BRING BACK TO US AS A COMMISSION TO REACT TO LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS?

>> YES, BOTH TO THIS COMMISSION AND THE LEGISLATURE.

LARGELY WE'RE LOOKING AT SERVICE ARRAY NEEDS.

SOP OF THOSE SERVICES NEED TO BE FUNDED DIRECTLY BY THE STATE.

SOME THROUGH PURCHASE OF SERVICE CONTRACTS.

I THINK IN REGARD TO THE LATTER IT WOULD DEPEND ON WHERE THE FUNDING WOULD COME FROM AND FROM WHICH POPULATION.

AS YOU KNOW, WE MAY BE INTEGRATED BUT WE HAVE A BIFURCATED BUDGET.

>> CHRIS: MOST DEFINITELY.

THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR.

>> RORY: ANY OTHER DISCUSSION ON THE ITEM?

THERE'S A MOTION FOR APPROVAL.

CAST YOUR VOTES.

>> RORY: MOTION CARRIES.

>> 10:00 A.M.

PUBLIC HEARING THE CLARK COUNTY LIQUOR AND GAMING LICENSING BOARD CONDUCT A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE SERVICE BAR LIQUOR LICENSE WITHIN 1500 FEET OF A SCHOOL AND APPROVE A CONTRARY LIQUOR LICENSE IN CONJUNCTION WITH A RESTAURANT UNDER THE OWNERSHIP OF BOOZE ENTERPRISE COMPANY LLC.

ZING PING WANG.

100% OWNER MANAGER DOING BUSINESS AS THE KARAOKE BAR AT 3387 SOUTH JONES BOULEVARD, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA.

>> RORY: GOOD MORNING.

>> GOOD MORNING SIR.

ART LINDSEY BUSINESS LICENSE SUPERVISING SPECIAL AGENT.

AS STATED IT'S A PUBLIC HEARING FOR A CONTRARY BAR SERVICE LIQUOR LICENSE IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE RESTAURANT 1500 FEET WITHIN A SCHOOL.

THE BUSINESS NAME IS THE KARAOKE BAR.

THE AGAINST LICENSE NUMBER IS 2001397 LIQ.

THE APPLICANT IS HERE.  
MRS. WANG, PLEASE INTRODUCE YOURSELF.  
STATING YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS PLEASE.

>> OKAY.  
MY NAME IS SHIPPY WANG MY ADDRESS IS 3383 JOHNSON BOULEVARD.

>> RORY: DO YOU HAVE A RECOMMENDATION BEFORE WE OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING?

>> WE RECOMMEND APPROVAL, WITH THE CONDITION THAT THE LOCATION OPERATE STRICTLY AS A RESTAURANT, DUE TO THE NAME OF KARAOKE BAR, WE DO NOT WANT IT TO OPERATE AS A TAVERN OR "BAR".

>> RORY: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.  
IS THERE ANYONE HERE TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM.

>> IT IS A PUBLIC HEARING.  
YOU HAVE HEARD THE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION.  
DO YOU OBJECT TO THE RECOMMENDATION?

>> RORY: DID YOU GET MY QUESTION?  
COULD YOU ASK HER, IF SHE UNDERSTAND THE RECOMMENDATION THAT'S BEEN MADE BY OUR STAFF AND WHETHER SHE AGREES TO THAT CONDITION?  
[TRANSLATING].

>> YES.

>> RORY: IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION FROM THE BOARD?

>> MR. CHAIRMAN MAY I MAKE A STAFF RECOMMENDATION.

>> RORY: THERE IS A MOTION.  
ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS ON THE MOTION?  
CAST YOUR VOTE.

>> RORY: MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 82 THE U.M.C. HOSPITAL BOARD OF HOSPITAL TRUSTEES CONDUCT A PUBLIC HEARING TO HEAR OBJECTIONS OF REAL PROPERTY BETWEEN THE UNIVERSITY OF MEDICAL CENTER AND FOR MEDICAL SCHOOL SPACE IN THE BUILDING LOCATED AT 2040 WEST CHARLTON BOULEVARD TOR MONTHLY RENTAL OF \$46,294.50 FOR A THREE YEAR PERIOD AND AUTHORIZE INTERIM CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO SIGN THE LEASE AGREEMENT.

>> RORY: THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.  
IS THERE ANYONE HERE TO ADDRESS THE BOARD ON THIS ITEM?

>> THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.  
SEEING NO ONE.  
I WILL CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND TURN IT OVER TO THE BOARD.

>> MOVE FOR APPROVAL.

>> RORY: MOTION FOR APPROVAL.  
ANY DISCUSSION.  
COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> CHRIS: DOES THE RENT GO INTO THE CAPITAL FUND OR THE GENERAL FUND--

>> I BELIEVE IT WOULD GO INTO THE UMC -- SHOULD BE THE OPERATING BUDGET.

>> CHRIS: THANK YOU.

>> RORY: OTHER QUESTIONS?  
CAST YOUR VOTE ON THE MOTION.  
MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 83 IS APPROVE THE ISSUANCE OF THE ASTROLOGER AND SCIENCE PRACTICER BUSINESS LICENSE TO GODDESS SPA NAIL, AND SKIN MICHAEL MARKS ENTERPRISES DEBBIE MARKS, OWNER 100% FOR THE PURPOSES OF PRACTICING PSYCHIC ARTS FROM 900 EAST DESERT INN ROAD NUMBER 102 A LAS VEGAS, NEVADA OR TAKE OTHER ACTIONS APPROPRIATE.

>> GOOD MORNING MR. CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONER.  
JACQUELINE HOLLOWAY DIRECTOR OF BUSINESS LICENSE.  
MR. CHAIRMAN ON APRIL 17, 2007 THE BOARD TABLED THE ITEM TO APPROVE A ONE-YEAR LIMITED LICENSE FOR PSYCHIC ARTS AND ASTROLOGER SPIRITUAL AND SCIENCE PRACTICER BUSINESS LICENSE.  
AS THE RESULT OF SUCH, THE DEPARTMENT ON TODAY MADE A RECOMMENDATION TO REMOVE THE TABLING ITEM.  
THEREFORE WE HAVE BROUGHT THE ITEM BEFORE YOU, FOR DISCUSSION AND DELIBERATION IN ACTION THIS MORNING.  
IN ADDITION TO THAT, MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE WITH ME THE APPLICANT AND HER ATTORNEY, WHO WILL BE PREPARED TO ANSWER QUESTIONS AND PROVIDE A PRESENTATION. ALSO METRO REPRESENTATIVES ARE HERE THIS MORNING TO PROVIDE YOU WITH AN UPDATE AS WELL.  
SO, I WOULD LIKE FOR THE APPLICANT AND THEIR ATTORNEY TO STATE THEIR NAME AND ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD.

>> GOOD MORNING.  
I'M BARRY FISHER.  
1875 CENTURY PARK EAST, LOS ANGELES, 90067.

>> HELLO.  
MY NAME IS DEBBIE MARKS 900 EAST DESERT INN ROAD APARTMENT 214.

>> RORY: WHY DON'T WE HEAR FROM METRO.  
IF BUSINESS LICENSE CAN PROVIDE WHATEVER RECOMMENDATION THEY WOULD LIKE.

>> EXCELLENT.  
THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

>> GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONERS.  
CHAIRMAN REID, HOW ARE WE?  
STACIE RIDE SPECIAL INVESTIGATION SECTION.  
I ALSO HAVE PRESENT WITH ME DETECTIVE TONY WEEKS THE INVESTIGATING DETECTIVE ON THIS PARTICULAR REPORT.  
DETECTIVE WEEKS WAS WITH ME FOR THE INITIAL PRESENTATION FOR PURPOSES OF CLARIFICATION OR MAKING A MATTER OF RECORD ON SPECIFICS I MAY TEND TO CALL UPON DETECTIVE WEEKS WHO AVAILS YOURSELF FOR QUESTIONS AND CONCERNS, AS DO I.  
COMMISSIONERS RECALLING BACK TO THE LAST TIME WE MET ON THIS ITEM, YOU GAVE SPECIFIC DIRECTION TO THE APPLICANT, AND THE APPLICANTS REPRESENTATIVES THAT THEY SHOULD, INN GOOD CONSIDERATION OF THE PROCESS GET BACK WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, MEANING US METRO SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS TO FURTHER CONTINUE IN THE

PROCESS OF THE INVESTIGATION AND CLARIFICATION OF CERTAIN ISSUES WE BROUGHT BEFORE YOU IN THE APPLICATION LAST TIME.

I WOULD BE REMISS IN NOT ACKNOWLEDGING THAT WE HAVE HAD DISCUSSION WITH MR. FISHER.

WE HAVE HAD SEVERAL CONSERVATIVES BACK AND FORTH.

ONE OF WHICH WAS THAT MR. FISHER PROVIDED US WITH A COPY OF A CORRESPONDENCE THAT HE HAD PRESENTLY SENT TO BUSINESS LICENSE THAT WE WERE UNAWARE OF WILL THERE WAS SOME DOCUMENTATION.

WE RECEIVED A COPY COMING DIRECTLY TO OUR OFFICE AND THE SUPERVISE.

AT THAT TIME THE DISCUSSION WAS MY SUPERVISOR WOULD CALL HIM BACK AFTER REVIEWING THAT.

HOWEVER, WE STILL WANTED AND NEEDED TO MEET THE CRITERIA YOU HAD LAID DOWN, WHERE IN THE APPLICANT WOULD PRESENT HERSELF FOR FURTHER INVESTIGATION AND THAT WE WOULD CONTINUE THE APPLICATION PROCESS AS IT IS LAID OUT IN CODE.

SO WE TOOK THAT DIRECTION FROM YOU.

WE DID HAVE CONVERSATION WITH MR. FISHER.

HE DID PROVIDE US WITH THE DOCUMENT WE DID NOT KNOW EXISTED, HOWEVER, WE LEFT THE DOOR OPEN AND MADE IT CLEAR IN ALL LIKELIHOOD THE CORRESPONDENCE WE RECEIVED IS PROBABLY NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO BE SUBSTITUTED FOR THE ACTUALLY INTERVIEW. THAT IS A STANDARD NECESSARY, AND OFTEN ABSOLUTELY IN-ESCAPABLE REQUIREMENT FOR THIS PROCESS.

SO WE LEFT THAT DOOR OPEN.

WE LET HIM KNOW WE WOULD CONTACT HIM AND FURTHER ADVISE HIM IF IT CHANGED WE DID HAVE SERGEANT SUBSEQUENT CONVERSATIONS AND DID ADVISE MR. FISHER WE DID STILL IN FACT HAVE SEVERAL UNANSWERED AND UNRESOLVED ISSUES THAT WE WOULD STILL NEED TO PRO WITH THE DIRECTION YOU HAD GIVEN, WHICH IS FOR THE APPLICANT TO PRESENT HERSELF FOR INVESTIGATION FOR US SO, WE CAN CONTINUE THIS PROCESS AND BRING BACK TO YOU A COMPLETED, FACTUAL, FULL ACCOUNT OF EVERYTHING IN OUR REPORT AND HAVE THOSE ISSUES AND QUESTIONS RESOLVED AND ANSWERS.

WE'RE UNABLE TO DO SO TODAY, BECAUSE DESPITE THE CORRESPONDENCE.

DESPITE THE REQUESTS, DESPITE THE INFORMATION TO MR. FISHER AND THE INFORMATION FROM MR. FISHER, WE STILL HAVE THOSE UNRESOLVED ISSUES.

WE STILL HAVE UNANSWERED QUESTIONS.

WE ARE NO FURTHER ALONG IN BEING ABLE TO COME BEFORE YOU TODAY AND GIVE YOU ANSWERS TO THOSE QUESTIONS, BECAUSE AGAIN, WE HAVE HAD A LACK OF COOPERATION, AS FAR AS PRESENTING OURSELVES FROM THE APPLICANT, AND THE APPLICANTS REPRESENTATIVE.

UNFORTUNATELY WE STILL HAVE UNRESOLVED ISSUES.

WE'RE NO FURTHER THAN WE WERE, AND WE HAVE NOT SEEN THEM IN GOOD FAITH PRESENT THE APPLICANT FOR INVESTIGATION AS PER YOUR DIRECTION.

SO WE CERTAINLY WILL ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE AT THIS POINT, UNFORTUNATELY WE'RE NO FURTHER THAN LAST TIME, BECAUSE OF THAT -- BECAUSE OF THAT FAILURE.

>> RORY: ARE THERE QUESTIONS FOR DETECTIVE ROD AT THIS POINT?  
COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> CHRIS: IF I MIGHT MR. CHAIR, EXPLAIN WHY THIS EVEN WOUND BACK UP.  
LAST MEETING TWO WEEKS AGO IT WAS ON THE AGENDA UNBEKNOWNST TO ME.  
I MADE THE MOTION TO TABLE AND THIS COMMISSION AGREED WITH THAT.  
ONLY THE TABLER CAN BRING IT BACK UNFORTUNATELY, BUSINESS LICENSE WENT AHEAD AND PUT IT ON THE AGENDA, WITHOUT TALKING TO ME OR ANYTHING ALONG THOSE LINES.  
THAT'S WHY I ASK IT FOLLOW THE CORRECT PROCEEDINGS WHEN WE TABLE SOMETHING.  
THAT'S WHY IT IS BACK ON THE AGENDA FOR TODAY.  
NOW, A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS GOING BACK TO THE ORIGINAL REPORT.  
MAYBE OFFICER WEEKS CAN SPEAK TO THIS.

THRESHOLD FOR LICENSING IS WE HAVE TO SHOW FOR BUSINESSES WHERE INCOME IS DERIVED FROM, CORRECT?  
IS THAT NOT PART OF THE KEY COMPONENT?

>> THAT IS A KEY COMPONENT.  
ONE OF THE ABSOLUTELY CRITICAL ONES.  
ONE OF THE MAJOR COMPONENTS TO VERIFY THE SOURCE AND SUITABILITY OF FUNDS THE BROUGHT FORWARD FOR THE BUSINESS.

>> REGARDLESS OF WHAT BUSINESS?

>> YES MA'AM, ANY PRIVILEGED OR REGULATED INVESTIGATIONS WE CONDUCT WITH REGARD TO BUSINESS LICENSES ABSOLUTELY.

>> CHRIS: I THINK THAT'S STILL PART OF MY CONCERN THAT'S BEEN ADDRESSED BY THE INDIVIDUAL THAT'S REQUESTING THE -- THIS.  
I THINK SOME OF THE OTHER THINGS ARE PROBABLY NOT USING YOUR MAIDEN NAME IN ONE CASE ALONG THOSE LINES I THINK COULD BE SET ASIDE.

I THINK WE HAVING TO DILIGENT, REGARDLESS OF THE PSYCHIC ARTS OR MASSAGE PARLOR WHERE THE INCOME STREAM IS COMING IN SOME WE MAKE SURE WE'RE NOT DOING OTHER BUSINESSES.

I DID REQUEST THE LEGAL REVIEW FROM CALIFORNIA.

IN SOME CASES YES, PSYCHIC ARTS MAY BE CONSIDERED SOMEWHAT PROTECTED WITHIN CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES, HOWEVER, I DON'T THINK THAT THE ARGUMENT THAT WAS MADE PREVIOUSLY LEAD ME TO BELIEVE THAT ALL OF THOSE FACTORS.

I DON'T NECESSARILY AGREE WITH ALL OF THE COMPONENTS THAT I THINK THE INDIVIDUALS.

IF I COULD GO TO THE ATTORNEYS, HAVE WE LOOKED AT OUR ORDINANCE TO SEE WHERE THERE MAY BE INCOMPATIBLE AREAS, REGARDLESS OF THE PSYCHIC POWERS OR NOT, WE CAN MAKE SURE WE HAVE UPDATED OUR LANGUAGE?

THAT WAS ALWAYS A CONCERN.

BECAUSE WE KNEW BACK IN NOVEMBER OF LAST YEAR THAT WE MIGHT HAVE AN ISSUE.

I'M HOPING WE WERE MOVING FORWARD ON MAKING SURE WE'RE AT LEAST UPDATING THE ORDINANCE IN THAT PROPER WAY.

>> YES.

COMMISSIONER.

WHAT WE'VE DONE.

WE'VE BEEN WORKING BUSINESS LICENSE.

THERE'S -- BECAUSE OF THE POTENTIAL FIRST AMENDMENT IMPLICATIONS, THERE'S SEVERAL CHANGES THAT WOULD BE CONSIDERED BY BUSINESS LICENSE, IN TERMS OF BRINGING BACK A CODE.

ONE OF THE THING, WHEN YOU HAVE A FIRST AMENDMENT APPLICATION DECISIONS HAVE TO BE MADE IN A QUICKER FASHION THAN IN THE GENERAL LICENSE.

SECONDLY THERE ARE CERTAIN CRITERIA THAT ARE NOT ALLOWABLE IN THE FIRST AMENDMENT PROTECTED BUSINESS THAT YOU CAN IN TERMS OF CRITERIA FOR DENIAL.

SOME OF THE CRITERIA ARE MORE NARROW THAN IN THE GENERAL BUSINESS.

SOME OF THOSE THINGS ARE THE ONES WE'RE FOCUSING ON.

>> HAVE YOU IDENTIFIED WHICH ONES, IN THE METRO ISSUE MAY HAVE STILL WITHIN OUR AUTHORITY AND JURISDICTION, REGARDLESS OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL ARGUMENTS.

>> WELL, THE PARTICULAR CRITERIA FOR DENIAL THAT WOULD PROBABLY NOT BE PERMISSIBLE IN THE FIRST AMENDMENT IMPLICATION IS THE -- THE DENIAL WITH RESPECT TO GENERAL REPRESENTATION OF THE COMMUNITY AND CHARACTER AND REPRESENTATION. THOSE -- REPUTATION.

THOSE ARE BROAD, MUCH BROADER THAN YOU HAVE THE ABILITY IN THE FIRST AMENDMENT AREA.

YOU HAVE TO HAVE MORE OBJECTIVE CRITERIA FOR DENIAL.

>> CHRIS: THE ISSUE OF STILL TRYING TO FINE THE REVENUE STREAM IS NOT NECESSARILY A PROTECTED AREA?

>> THE ISSUE IS AN APPROPRIATE ISSUE FOR YOU TO CONSIDER. IT IS NOT SOMETHING YOU CAN'T CONSIDER, BUT IT IS JUST ONE OF MANY FACTORS THAT ARE CONSIDERED IN TERMS OF DECIDING TO DENY OR TO APPROVE A LICENSE. AND -- .

>> CHRIS: I GUESS MY CONCERN -- THIS IS ONE OF THE FIRST MEETINGS I GOT TO DEAL WITH.

IT IS KIND OF NEW, AS FAR AS THAT IS CONCERNED.

I DON'T HAVE PERSONALLY A PROBLEM WITH PSYCHIC ARTS OR. WHATEVER.

BUT WE DO HAVE A STANDARD FOR BUSINESS LICENSING.

I WANTED PEOPLE TO BE TREATED EXACTLY THE SAME FOR THOSE STANDARDS SETTING ASIDE THE CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUE.

I'M JUST STILL TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHERE WE'RE AT.

WE WERE TRYING TO MOVE THIS ALONG, AT LEAST TO GET SOMETHING GOING.

I THINK THAT'S WHAT BUSINESS LICENSE HAS MADE A RECOMMENDATION FOR A CONTRARY.

>> RORY: COMMISSIONER CAN I MAKE A SUGGESTION, PROCEDURALLY.

I CAN TELL MR. FISHER IS ITCHING TO SAY SOMETHING.

WHY DON'T WE LET HIM?

THEN WE CAN HEAR FROM THE STAFF ON THE RECOMMENDATION.

>> CHRIS: THAT MIGHT HELP US -- .

>> RORY: TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THE ISSUES ARE.

>> THANK YOU.

CHAIRMAN REID, MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION, THIS APPLICATION HAS BEEN PENDING FOR A LONG TIME MR. MARKS HAVE BEEN PAYING RENT UNDER THE LOCATION OF TWO YEARS IN HOPES OF OPENING A JOINT AND UNIQUE IN THE COUNTRY JOINT COSMETOLOGY AND PSYCHIC ARTS SALON.

SHE APPLIED FIRST FOR THE COSMETOLOGY LICENSE.

AFTER FULL INVESTIGATION BY THE STATE OF NEVADA, AND BY THE CLARK COUNTY, THE STATE LICENSE WAS ISSUED FOR THE COSMETOLOGY LICENSE.

AND THE COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING DEPARTMENT ISSUED A SPECIAL USE PERMIT AND THE BUSINESS LICENSING ISSUED AN UNCONDITIONAL LICENSE FOR THE COSMETOLOGY PART.

SHE THEN -- ASKED TO FILE THE PSYCHIC ARTS APPLICATION.

AND -- TO THIS DATE, AFTER INVESTIGATION, COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING AGAIN ISSUED A S.U.P.

THE BUSINESS LICENSE PEOPLE HAVE RECOMMENDED THE GRANT OF THE LICENSE AND HAVE ISSUED A CONTRARY LICENSE THAT'S IN EFFECT RIGHT NOW AND THIS HEARING IS ABOUT WHETHER THIS CONTRARY LICENSE WILL CONTINUE.

NOW -- UM, A COUPLE OF MONTHS AFTER THE PSYCHIC ARTS LICENSE APPLICATION WAS FILED I WAS BROUGHT IN.

ON NOVEMBER 17 LAST YEAR, ALMOST A YEAR AGO.

I SENT TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY A LENGTHY 17-PAGE LETTER WHICH DETAILED A NUMBER OF FACIAL CONSTITUTIONAL DEFECTS IN BOTH THE S.U.P.

LAW AND IN THE LICENSING LAW.

THEY RANGED FROM PROCEDURAL PROBLEMS OF WHERE YOU HAVE FIRST AMENDMENT LICENSING WHERE PROCEDURES ARE REQUIRED.

THEY ALSO HAD SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES.  
PROBABLY THE CENTRAL ONE, WHEN YOU HAVE FIRST AMENDMENT LICENSING, THE CRITERIA HAS TO BE VERY CLEAR.  
IT HAS TO BE OBJECTIVELY DETERMINABLE CRITERIA, NOT LEFT TO SUBJECTIVE JUDGEMENTAL CALLS.  
NOT A -- NOT A COMING UP WITH QUESTIONS AS THEY COME.  
BUT THE CRITERIA NEEDS TO BE LAID OUT CLEARLY AND NEEDS TO BE COMPLIED WITH.  
THAT'S THE KEY ELEMENT OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT LICENSING.

>> EVEN ONE CASE I CITED FROM 25 YEARS AGO IN THE U.S.  
SUPREME COURT SAYS EVEN THE REQUIREMENT THAT JUST SAYS GO TO THE POLICE AND IDENTIFY YOURSELF IS TOO VAGUE.  
WHAT KIND OF THING YOU MAKE IT INTO THE POLICE ABOUT IDENTIFYING YOURSELF LEFT JUST TOO MUCH TO SUBJECTIVE CALL.  
THIS IS THE CASE CALL.  
SO ANYWAYS, I SENT THIS LETTER ALMOST A YEAR LATER THERE'S NEVER BEEN A RESPONSE FROM THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY THAT WE ARE WRONG, IN FACT I THINK HE AGREES.  
THERE WAS SOME CONVERSATION A FEW MINUTES AGO ABOUT CONSTITUTIONAL CHANGES THAT NEED TO BE MADE IN THE ORDINANCE.  
NOW, ABOUT A MONTH AFTER I SENT THAT LETTER LAST NOVEMBER, METRO, A APPARENTLY I DIDN'T KNOW IT AT THAT TIME ISSUED A REPORT IN.  
DECEMBER 27, LAST YEAR THEY ISSUED A REPORT THAT RAISED A NUMBER OF FACTUAL QUESTIONS IT.  
WAS FORWARDED TO US IN JANUARY AND ON JANUARY, I THINK IT WAS 17 -- YOU HAVE ALL OF THIS CORRESPONDENCE IN THIS PACKAGE I SENT TO YOU AUGUST 15, WE FOUND A DETAILED LETTER DEALING WITH EVERY, SINGLE FACTUAL ISSUE THAT WAS RAISED.

>> NOW -- PARDON ME, IF I DON'T GET THIS RIGHT, COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI -- PERHAPS CLOSE.  
RAISED THE QUESTION ABOUT THE SLIP STREAM OF INCOME.  
NOW I DON'T REMEMBER THAT EVER BEING RAISED BEFORE.  
IT WAS NOT, AS I RECALL IN THE METRO DECEMBER 2006 REPORT.  
SO WE DIDN'T RESPOND TO IT.  
WE DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT IT.  
IT HAS NEVER COME UP IN ANY CONSERVATIVES WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY.  
AND I'VE HAD CONSERVATIVES WITH DETECTIVE WEEKS AND WITH SERGEANT LINER AND THAT'S NEVER COME UP.  
THAT'S ONE OF THE PROBLEMS WITH THE LICENSING.  
THE LAW REQUIRES THAT IT BE CLEAR AND OBJECTIVELY DETERMINABLE CRITERIA SET OUT IN THE ORDINANCE.  
I THINK THERE'S ALSO SOME CONFUSION AS TO WHETHER THIS IS A PRIVILEGE LICENSE OR NOT.  
IT IS NOT A PRIVILEGE LICENSE.  
I THINK THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AGREES WITH THAT.  
AND -- SO THE MATTER CAME UP IN APRIL.

>> THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY SAID THAT HE SPOKE TO SERGEANT HEINER AND THAT I SHOULD ALSO SPEAK TO HIM, SO I BEGAN AND HAD A COUPLE OF CONVERSATIONS WITH SERGEANT HIENER AND HAD CORRESPONDENCE WITH HIM.  
AND EVEN THOUGH ORDNANCE SAYS YOU FILE AN APPLICATION THAT THE POLICE CAN DO AN INVESTIGATION THAT YOU SEE POLICE IF THERE'S AN ISSUE ABOUT IDENTIFICATION.  
IF THERE'S A QUESTION I MEAN ONCE THEY KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS THEN THEY DO THEIR INVESTIGATION.  
AND THEY COME UP WITH WHATEVER THEY CAN AND DO A REPORT.  
WELL, YOU KNOW, WAS THERE STILL A QUESTION ABOUT IDENTIFICATION?  
I COULDN'T GET ANY CLEARER WORD FROM SERGEANT HIENER.  
HE SAID IF WE NEED IT MIGHT BE ABOUT IDENTIFICATION.

IT MIGHT BE ABOUT MANY OTHER THINGS.  
IT MAY BE ABOUT SUBJECTS OTHER THAN IDENTIFICATION.  
SO EVEN TO CLARIFY, WAS IT LIMITED TO IDENTIFICATION?  
COULDN'T CLARIFY.

THEN I WROTE A LETTER TO LISA FRIEDMAN THE GENERAL COUNSEL FOR METRO THINKING THAT BECAUSE THERE ARE LEGAL ISSUES AN EVEN THE ISSUE ABOUT YOU KNOW IS A MEETING ABOUT TO CLARIFY IDENTIFICATION, BUT I DIDN'T GET ANYWHERE ON THAT. SO, WE DIDN'T HAVE A MEETING BECAUSE WE COULDN'T CLARIFY THROUGH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OR THE POLICE JUST WHAT THAT WAS ABOUT. AND AGAIN THAT JUST UNDERSCORES WHAT'S WRONG WITH THE PROCESS AS SET OUT IN THE ORDNANCE THAT IT'S A POTENTIALLY EVER-CHANGING MOVING ISSUE OF INVESTIGATION OF CRITERIA WHERE IT'S ALL SUPPOSED TO BE CLEARLY SET OUT AND APPLIED BY COMMISSIONERS.

SO, WE HAVE NOTED THAT MEETING.  
WE'VE MADE EFFORTS SINCE THE APRIL MATTER WHERE IT WAS TAKEN OFF CALENDAR. HAD A NUMBER OF CONTACTS WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND WITH METRO. THE GENERAL CONSUL, SERGEANT HIENER AND DETECTIVE WEEKS AND IT'S BEFORE YOU. AGAIN SHE'S BEEN PAYING RENT FOR TWO YEARS. ALL THE LICENSES HAVE BEEN ISSUED FOR THE COSMETOLOGY FOR THE FULL INVESTIGATION.

THEY KNEW WHO SHE WAS ALL THESE AGENCIES.  
BUSINESS LICENSING HAS SUPPORTED THE ISSUES AND THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY FREES THAT THE ORDNANCE IS CONSTITUTIONALLY IN FIRM.  
SO I WOULD HOPE THAT THE MATTER RESOLVES TODAY.  
THANK YOU.

>> ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU.  
BEFORE YOU RESPOND DETECTIVE, I'M SURE THERE WILL BE QUESTIONS YOU WILL WANT TO ANSWER.  
MISS HALLOWAY DO YOU WANT TO MAKE A RECOMMENDATION, THEN WE WILL TURN IT OVER TO THE BOARD BECAUSE WE WILL HAVE HEARD FROM EVERYONE.

>> YES, WE REVIEWED THE INFORMATION WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. THE LAST TIME OF COURSE WE RECOMMENDED A ONE-YEAR LIMITED LICENSE FOR BUSINESS LICENSE TO MONITOR BUSINESS.  
WE CONTINUE TO SUPPORT THAT RECOMMENDATION.  
A ONE-YEAR LIMITED LICENSE TO MONITOR THE BUSINESS ACTIVITY.

>> COMMISSIONER GIN, DO YOU HAVE FURTHER QUESTIONS.

>> LET ME CLARIFY THEM, HAVE YOU BEGUN THE COSMETOLOGY BUSINESS, IS THAT UP AN RUNNING.

>> THAT HAS BEEN OPENED AND RIGHT NOW IT'S NOT OPERATING.

>> BUT IT'S BEEN OPEN BECAUSE THE LICENSE WAS ISSUED PLANNING ON THAT PART. AND SO WHEN YOU MAKE THE ISSUE THAT SHE'S BEEN PAYING RENT SO SHE'S HAD THE YOU WANT THE TO COLLECT RENT.

>> THAT'S RIGHT.

>> ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?  
I HAVE A QUESTION FOR THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY.  
I WANT TO KNOW -- I UNDERSTAND WHAT IT IS YOU'RE SAYING.  
ARE YOU TELLING US THAT THE ORDINANCE IS FLAWED AND NEEDS TO BE FIXED?  
I THINK THAT'S WHAT I HEARD YOU SAY.  
COULD YOU SAY IT MORE CLEARLY OR NOT?

[LAUGHTER]

>> WELL, WHAT I CAN TELL YOU IS THE BUSINESS LICENSE AND THE DA OFFICER WORK ON A CHANGE IN THE ORDINANCE TO, IT'S REALLY DIFFICULT TO SAY ABSOLUTELY 100% THIS IS A FREE SPEECH ISSUE. HOWEVER ALREADY CASES OUT THERE THAT DO INDICATE THAT FORTUNE TELLING ITSELF HAS A FREE SPEECH IMPLICATION. THAT THE FACT THAT THEY'RE DOING IT FOR A BUSINESS MEANS THAT WE CAN REGULATE. WE CAN ISSUE A BUSINESS LICENSE. WE CAN HAVE RUMORS. SO, WE CAN REQUIRE A BUSINESS LICENSE FOR THIS ACTIVITY. WHAT WE NEED TO DO I THINK IS TIGHTEN UP OR ORDINANCE TO BE ABLE TO MAKE THEM MORE NARROW AND GET RID OF SOME OF THE THINGS THAT ARE IN THERE THAT ARE A PROBLEM. I DON'T WANT TO BE ON RECORD SAYING THE CODE IS UNCONSTITUTIONALLY FIRM TODAY. BUT I WANT TO SAY WE'RE GOING TO MAKE SOME CHANGES AS A RESULT -- I'M SORRY, WE'RE GOING TO BE BRINGING CHANGES TO YOU AS A RESULT OF SOME OF THE ISSUE THAT WERE BROUGHT UP BY MR. FISHER AND BECAUSE OF THIS ISSUE. IT WAS CLEARLY AT THE TIME THAT THE FORTUNE TELLING ORDINANCE WAS DONE FIRST AMENDMENT APPLICATION WERE NOT CONSIDERED. NOT AN ISSUE AT ALL.

>> IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION FOR A TEMPORARY LICENSE, AND YOU CAN SPEAK TO THAT OR MISS HALLOWAY CAN, IN PART BECAUSE OF THE CONCERNS YOU MENTIONED?

>> YES, I WOULD I'VE GIVEN RECOMMENDATIONS TO BUSINESS LICENSE IN THE PAST, PART OF IT IS THE LEGAL ANALYSIS THAT WE'VE DONE THIS APPLICATION HAS BEEN PEPPING FOR QUITE SOME TIME. IN ADDITION A LOT WENT OFF CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED ACTIVITY. TOUGH WAY TO DEAL WITH IT IF THERE'S A PROBLEM LATER ON, WE BRING IT BACK TO THE BOARD FOR DISCIPLINE OR SOMETHING TO DO WITH THE LICENSE ITSELF BUT TO INDICATE THAT YOU'RE NOT GOING TO PROVIDE THE LICENSE TO BEGIN WITH BECAUSE OF POSSIBLY GROUNDS THAT ARE NOT PERMISSIBLE UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT BECOME PROBLEMATIC.

>> AND IF AT ANY TIME IF WE FOLLOW YOUR RECOMMENDATION IF AT ANY TIME DURING THE TENDENCY OF THIS TEMPORARY PERMIT IF THERE'S AN ISSUE WE CAN BRING IT BACK OR YOU COULD RECOMMEND THAT SOME KIND OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION KURD, CORRECT.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.  
AND IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE A LIMITED RATHER THAN A TEMPORARY.

>> A ONE-YEAR LIMITED.

>> YES, THAT'S WHAT WE WOULD DO.  
WITH ANY LICENSE BUT WITH THIS ONE IT'S LIMITED, SO THAT GIVES US THE ABILITY TO GO FORWARD AND CHECK ON THE STATUS OF THE BUSINESS MORE OFTEN THAN IN A REGULAR SITUATION.  
WE WOULD FOCUS ON THIS BUSINESS BECAUSE THE LIMITED AND BECAUSE OF THE ONE-YEAR LIMITED NATURE OF THE LICENSE.

>> COMMISSIONER MAXFIELD HAD A QUESTION.

>> THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN.  
AND I UNDERSTAND IF I UNDERSTAND THE AREAS OF CONCERN, DETECTIVE TODD STACEY, HE HAD MENTIONED THAT OR SERGEANT?

>> JUST DETECTIVE FOR NOW SIR.

[LAUGHTER]

OH, THE SHERIFF IS HERE.

>> I THOUGHT HE WAS SERGEANT TOO.

>> ANYWAY I'LL GET TO THE SHERIFF LATER.

BUT, YOU MADE A POINT AND THAT IS MY EXPERIENCE HAS BEEN TO PROTECT IT OR SPECIAL USE, WHATEVER.

TENDERS AN INVESTIGATION.

ONE OF THE IMPORTANT PARTS WE LOOK AT IN THE PAST OF THE BUSINESS LICENSE, IS THE COOPERATION OF THE APPLICANT.

AND I ALWAYS FIND IT TROUBLING IF THE APPLICANT, A LOT OF TIMES THERE'S A REASON WHY THE APPLICANT HASN'T MET WITH METRO TO ASK EITHER NARROWLY DEFINED QUESTIONS, OR BROAD WHATEVER CASE MAYBE, AN THEN ALARMED THESE GUYS BECAUSE THEY WORK, THEY TRY TO BE REALLY CAREFUL.

AND I THINK THEY PRESUME EVERYBODY IS INNOCENT, BUT THEY WANT TO MAKE SURE EVERYTHING IS DONE RIGHT BECAUSE THIS IS A COMMUNITY WHERE WE HAVE TO MAKE SURE EVERYONE IS PROTECTED.

YOUR CLIENTS ARE PROTECTED, THE PUBLIC IS PROTECTED, EVERYTHING ELSE.

I'M GOING TO GUESS THAT YOU THINK THAT SHE HAS DONE THAT THROUGH WRITTEN CORRESPONDENCE.

IS THERE ANY REASON WHY YOU AND YOUR CLIENT WOULD NOT VISIT WITH THE OFFICERS AN JUST ANSWER SOME NARROWLY-BASED OR BROAD BASED OR WHATEVER THE CASE MAYBE LEGALLY CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTIONS?

>> FIRST OF ALL WE DEPARTMENT KNOW ABOUT THE METRO REPORT ISSUES LAST DECEMBER UNTIL SOME TIME IN JANUARY.

IT HAD IT RAISED A NUMBER OF FACTUAL QUESTIONS ABOUT NAMES AND SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBERS OR SO.

THINGS THAT WE THEN CHECKED OUT AND SENT A DETAILED RESPONSE.

NOW, THERE MIGHT HAVE BEEN FOLLOW-UP AND WE SENT TO IT THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY WHO WE ASSUMED SENT TO IT METRO, WHATEVER.

BUT IT HAD TO HAVE BEEN ANY QUESTIONS WHATSOEVER IN FOLLOW-UP TO ANY OF THOSE. WE WOULD HAVE GONE THE NEXT STEP TO CLARIFY BUT THERE WASN'T A SINGLE QUESTION RACED AFTER THAT POINT.

AND UNTIL IT WENT TO THE CONSENT CALENDAR.

SO WE DIDN'T KNOW THERE WAS ANY OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS AFTER WE SENT THAT LETTER. WE CONTINUE TO HAVE CONTACT WITH MR. GAWER IN PARTICULAR, AND NOTHING WAS EVER SAID THAT THERE WERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS.

WHEN THE MATTER WAS TABLED, WE WANTED TO CLARIFY FIRST OF ALL AFTER READING OUR JANUARY LETTER, WERE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?

WELL WHAT I HEARD MORE CLEARLY TODAY, FRANKLY, THAN I'VE HEARD IN ANY OF MY CONVERSATIONS WITH THE DETECTIVE OR SERGEANT, WHO I SPOKE TO, WAS THAT THEY HAD NEVER SEEN THAT JANUARY RESPONSE.

SO, MY QUESTION AT THAT POINT WAS WELL, WHAT ELSE, WHO ARE THE GENERAL SUBJECTS THAT YOU'RE INTERESTED IN?

FOR EXAMPLE, HAD THEY SAID THEY WANTED TO KNOW THE SLIPSTREAM OF INCOME THAT'S PAYING FOR THIS, WHICH NEVER CAME UP UNTIL THIS MORNING.

WE WOULD HAVE WE DEALT WITH THAT?

HAVE WE SAID WE WANT TO MEET TO SEE, SHE WENT THERE AND THEY TOOK HER FINGERPRINTS.

BUT HAD THEY SAID WE WANT TO TALK ABOUT THIS GENERAL SUBJECT OR THAT, WE PROBABLY WOULD HAVE DONE THAT.

I WAS TRYING TO ARRANGE A MEETING BECAUSE YOU KNOW, WE HAVE ALL OF THESE CONSTITUTIONAL ARGUMENTS BUT WE'VE SPENT ALL THIS TIME TRYING TO COOPERATE AND WORK IT OUT.

SO IT WAS PRECISELY WHAT YOU'RE SAYING THAT WE WERE TRYING TO DO.

BUT WHEN WE COULDN'T GET ANY FEEDBACK OTHER THAN WE'LL GO INTO ANYTHING WE WANT ON ANY SUBJECT, IT WAS JUST YOU KNOW WE DREW A LINE.  
I'M SORRY.

>> IF I MIGHT MR. CHAIRMAN.  
THEN PERHAPS IS A GOOD THING WE'RE HERE TOGETHER.  
SO WE CALL IT CONCERNS BECAUSE IF THERE'S UNANSWERED QUESTIONS THEY'RE CONCERNED.  
MAYBE WE'LL REPHRASE IT.  
WE HAD UNANSWERED QUESTIONS.  
SO IT APPEARS THAT YOU HAVE SOME OF THE QUESTION OR TWO THAT'S BEEN STATED HERE TODAY, ARE YOU WILLING TO MEET WITH METRO?

>> MAYBE WE CAN ANSWER RIGHT NOW ALTHOUGH AGAIN IT'S NOT IN YOUR CRITERIA, IT'S NOT IN YOUR ORDINANCE IN ALL OF THIS TIME, THE QUESTION HAS NEVER BEEN ASKED BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, THE LICENSING BOARD, NOR POLICE.  
IT WASN'T IN THEIR DECEMBER REPORT, BUT YOU KNOW, HOW DOES SHE LIVE?  
SHE HAS A HUSBAND.  
AND HE HAS SOME BUSINESSES, AND HE WORKS.  
AND THEY SOMEHOW PAY THE RENT ON THIS PLACE.  
I MEAN IT'S NOT LIKE A MAJOR SLIPSTREAM OF CASH.  
THEY'RE TRYING TO OPEN A SMALL MODEST YOU KNOW COUPLE OF ROOM PLACE THAT THEY'VE RENTED.  
THAT'S THE ONLY -- THAT'S THE EXPENSE.

>> I THINK YOU HEARD THE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM OUR DIRECTOR OF BUSINESS LICENSE, WHICH IS TO ISSUE A LIMITED LICENSE.  
SO ONE OF MY CONCERNS WOULD BE, AN I UNDERSTAND WHAT WE'RE DEALING WITH NARROW ISSUES OF CONSTITUTIONALITY, BUT I'M GOING TO CONSIDER SOMEWHAT OF A PRECEDENCE AND THAT'S COME BEFORE THIS BOARD BEFORE, AND YOU'RE OFFERING AND WILLING TO COMPLY AND MEET AND MAKE SURE THAT YOUR CLIENT IS A GOOD COMMUNITY BUSINESS CITIZEN.  
IT COMES DOWN LIVING A LICENSE WHICH ALLOWS IS TO MONITOR.  
WE DON'T SEE ANY OTHER AREA CONCERNED OTHER THAN A COUPLE OF UNANSWERED QUESTIONS.

>> HOW ABOUT IF THEY YOU DIDN'T ANSWER THE QUESTION, YOU DID VERY WELL IN EXPLAINING SOMETHING.  
IT'S AS SIMPLE.  
WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO MEET WITH YOUR CLIENT AT METRO?  
YES OR NO.

>> NOT UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE IT'S ENTIRELY OPEN ENDED ON ANY KIND OF INQUIRIES WHERE EVER THEY WANT TO GO HAVING TO DO WITH IDENTITY OR NOT IDENTITY ABOUT ANYTHING THAT'S TOTALLY UNRELATED TO ANY LEGAL CRITERIA THAT'S WRITTEN CRITERIA IN OUR ORDINANCE.  
AND THEY'VE BEEN UNWILLING AFTER A CONTACT WITH.

>> I TAKE THAT AS A NO.

>> YES, A NO.

>> WITHOUT MAKING THIS AN ALL-AFTERNOON EVENT, YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE ORDINANCE IS THERE AN ABILITY FOR METRO TO CRAFT SPECIFIC QUESTIONS AND THEN REQUEST A MEETING FROM THE APPLICANT WITH OR WITHOUT A LIMBED LICENSE FROM THE APPLICANT AND THE REPRESENTATIVE TO MEET WITH METRO AND OR BUSINESS LICENSE.  
I SHOULDN'T SAY AND OR, AND BUSINESS LICENSE SO WE CAN GET ON THE SEMANTICS.

>> THAT MAKES SENSE TO ME COMMISSIONER.  
THAT'S REASON I SUGGEST TO MR. FISHER THAT HE TALK TO SERGEANT HEINER BECAUSE I HAD HOPED THAT MR. FISHER WOULD BE ABLE TO THROUGH METRO FIGURE OUT WHAT THOSE REMAINING ISSUES WERE, ESPECIALLY SINCE THERE WAS A DETAILED RESPONSE FROM MR. FISHER ON BEHALF OF THIS CLIENT TO THE AREAS OF CONCERN IN THE ORIGINAL REPORT. BUT I REALLY DON'T KNOW WHAT THOSE REMAINING ISSUES ARE FROM METRO SO I COULDN'T TELL MR. FISHER AND I THOUGHT HE MIGHT BE ABLE TO CONTACT METRO DIRECTLY AND GET THAT INFORMATION OBVIOUSLY THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN.

>> AND I MEAN DETECTIVE TODD.

>> EXCUSE ME?

>> METRO OFFICER.  
IN THE ALMOST SEVEN YEARS THAT I'VE BEEN HERE I HAVEN'T HAD THE UNDERSTANDING OF VAGUE QUESTIONS FROM METRO.  
USUALLY THE GUYS ARE PRETTY STRAIGHT FORWARD AND ASK WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW OR ASK WHAT YOU WANT TO KNOW.  
IS THERE AN ISSUE HERE.

>> COMMISSIONER, WE SHARE SOME OF THE SAME CONCERNS YOU DO.  
NUMBER ONE THAT WE'RE SETTING A PRECEDENT, A CRITICAL PART OF OUR INVESTIGATION WHICH IS A ONE ON ONE INTERVIEW WITH THE INVESTIGATOR THAT HAS REVIEWED ALL YOUR DOCUMENTS FOR SETTING A RECORD.  
OUR PROCESS IS MULTIFACETED.  
WE PRESENT YOU A DOT AND WE HAVE A CROSS REFERENCE TO THAT DOCUMENT WITH YOUR ORAL RESPONSES.  
WE CROSS REFERENCE THOSE WITH FINANCIAL DOCUMENTS.  
SO IT'S A VERY PROGRAMMED APPROACH, AND WE FIND INFORMATION THAT YOU TYPICALLY WOULDN'T FIND IF YOU'RE CONDUCTING A TELEPHONE INTERVIEW.  
WHEN YOU DON'T HAVE AN INDIVIDUAL SITTING ACROSS THE DESK, WHEN YOU CAN CHALLENGE THEM IT MAY CONFLICT OR CONTRAST WITH WHAT THEY'RE TELLING YOU, SO THE PROCESS THAT WE SET UP IS BY DESIGN.  
IT'S A PROCESS THAT HAS SERVED THE COMMUNITY VERY WELL ALL THIS TIME AND TO BE QUITE FRANK WITH YOU WE WOULD BE CONCERNED IF WE STARTED TO ABANDON IT.  
BEYOND THAT I WOULD JUST REPRESENT THAT WE HAVE NO OBJECTION TO HAVING THE ATTORNEY PRESENT.  
AND SO THE ATTORNEY WE OFFER THIS AND WE ACCOMMODATE ATTORNEYS ALL THE TIME IN INTERVIEWS.  
WE HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH HAVING THEM PRESENT.  
THERE'S SOMETHING TO BE SAID FOR THE IMPROMPTU RESPONSE TO A QUESTION IF WE PROVIDE INFORMATION AND START CONDUCTING THIS INTERVIEW AND THIS INVESTIGATION WHERE WE'RE FAXING BACK AND FORTH INFORMATION AND MAY NOT BE ACCURATE BASED ON THEIR TIMELY RESPONSE TO IT.  
IT'S A LITTLE DIFFERENT WHEN YOU HAVE SOMEONE SITTING ACROSS THE TABLE FROM YOU. SO, THE PROCESS IS SET UP THE WAY IT IS TO GET THE MOST HONEST MOST IMPROMPTU RESPONSES.  
IT WOULD BE A LITTLE CONCERNED.  
WE INVITE THE ATTORNEY TO BE PRESENT WHILE WE ASK THESE QUESTIONS, BUT SPARSE THE PROCESS AND CERTAINLY WE WILL TAKE WHATEVER DIRECTION YOU WILL GIVE US, HOWEVER WE SEE A COMPROMISE IN THE PROCESS WHEN YOU DO HA.  
I DON'T WANT TO BE DEFRAIABLE ABOUT THIS, BUT WE ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY BRINGING TO YOU WHATEVER INFORMATION WE HAVE.  
IF THE APPLICANT FAILS TO COOPERATE WITH YOUR PROCESS BY CODE, THAT SAYS YOU WILL SUBMIT YOURSELF TO LVPD.

THAT IS ONE SMART PAUL BUT THAT STEPS RIGHT OVER ONE OF THE CRITICAL PARTS WHICH IS THE FACE TO FACE INTERVIEW WITH THE DETECTIVE WITH THESE DOCUMENTS, WITH THESE INSTANCES.

YOU HAVE SIX AREAS OF CONCERN IN YOUR REPORT THAT SPECIFICALLY LAY OUT SOME OF THE CONCERNS THAT WE HAVE.

WE DON'T HAVE ANSWERS TO SOME OF THESE THINGS AND FOR LACK OF BETTER TERMS REWARD THEM WHICH WE WOULD SAY IN THE FINGERPRINT, THE VERY NEXT STEP THAT DAY IS TO GO TO FINGERPRINTING BUT THEY CIRCUMVENTED THE WHY THIS HAS TAKEN A YEAR, WITHOUT COOPERATION WE CAN GO NO FURTHER AND SO WE'VE CIRCUMVENTED THE INTERVIEW ALL TOGETHER.

LIKE NO ONE ELSE HAS BEEN TO THIS POINT.

IT WOULD SET A DANGEROUS PRECEDENT IN OUR OPINION BUT CERTAINLY WE TAKE DIRECTION FROM YOU.

THANK YOU DETECTIVE.

NOW ONE MORE TO THE D.A.

IF I MIGHT.

WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE HAVE FROM THE BUSINESS LICENSE LIMITED FOR ONE YEAR, WHAT'S OUR DISCRETION ON COMMISSIONING THAT.

IF WE ACCEPT.

ED, DOES THE BOARD HAVE OTHER DISCRETION TO ADD CONDITIONS TO THE LIMITED LICENSE LIKE REQUIRING A MEETING WITH METRO SATISFYING THEM IN A PERSONAL INTERVIEW?

WHERE DO WE GO HERE.

>> I BELIEVE YOU HAVE THE ABILITY TO PUT CONDITIONS ON THEM AS LONG AS THEY'RE REASONABLE AND RATIONAL AND FAIR.

>> I'VE NEVER HEARD -- THIS IS REALLY KIND OF BEYOND MY UNDERSTANDING BECAUSE I'VE NEVER HAD AN APPLICANT OR LICENSE OF THIS NATURE OR ANY OTHER NATURE THAT HAD AN INVESTIGATION EVER.

REFUSE OR NOT WANT TO MEET WITH METROPOLITAN POLICE.

I'M FINISHED BUT I CAN SAY I DON'T KNOW THIS IS COMMISSIONER'S GIUNCHIGLIANI COMMISSION.

WHAT WE DO AN WHAT WE REQUIRE EVERYONE ELSE TO DO IN THE COMMUNITY AND BUT I CAN TELL YOU, I CAN SUPPORT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ONLY IF THERE'S A CONDITION THAT THEY DO MEET BE METRO AND SATISFY A FEW QUESTIONS.

I THINK IT'S SIMPLE THING TO DO.

THANK YOU.

>> COMMISSIONER WEEKRY HAD SOMETHING TO SAY.

>> JUST VERY BRIEFLY MR. CHAIRMAN BECAUSE THIS WENT LONGER THAN I THOUGHT IT WOULD BE.

AND I WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO HEAR WHAT THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE DISTRICT HAS TO SAY.

BUT I JUST WANT TO GET CLARIFICATION HERE FROM STAFF ON THIS NOT BEING A PRIVILEGED LICENSE.

BECAUSE TO ME IN MY OWN MIND I THINK THIS IS A PRIVILEGED LICENSE.

ANY TIME YOU HAVE A FOR-PROFIT BUSINESS THAT AN NOT TO DISTRANSPORT LADY HERE, BECAUSE YOU'RE PROBABLY A HARD-WORKING, HONEST LADY.

I DON'T KNOW YOU ON A PERSONAL LEVEL BUT A BUSINESS LIKE THIS THAT I'VE SEEN IN THE PAST THAT HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF VULNERABLE PEOPLE, TO ME IT'S A PRIVILEGED LICENSE.

AND I WOULD BE VERY CONCERNED THAT IF THERE IS SOMETHING LINGERING OUT THERE THAT YOU DON'T WANT TO DISCLOSE TO LAW ENFORCEMENT BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT WE HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY WHEN WE'RE ISSUING OUT THESE TYPE OF LICENSES TO LOOK OUT FOR THE BEST INTEREST OF THIS COMMUNITY.

AND SO, I WOULD HOPE MR. ATTORNEY THAT YOUR CLIENT WOULD BE INTERESTED IN DOING THAT, FOLLOWING COMMISSIONER MAXFIELD'S RECOMMENDATION THAT YOU WOULD MEET WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT BECAUSE THAT'S EXTREMELY IMPORTANT AND I GUESS I WOULD LIKE IN THE FUTURE NOT TODAY, BECAUSE THIS IS TAKING A LONG TIME I HOPE WE VOTE REAL QUICK, BUT I WILL LIKE TO HEAR IN THE FUTURE HOW WE COULD MAYBE CLARIFY THIS TO THIS BEING A PRIVILEGED LICENSE.  
THANK YOU.

>> COMMISSIONER COLLINS.

>> IF YOU THINK THIS NEEDS TO BE CHANGE, I KNOW IN OTHER CASES WHERE WE HAVE ISSUED LICENSES AND WHEN WE'RE CHANGED ORDINANCE.  
WE HOLD OFF ON ISSUING ANY ONE OF THEM UNTIL WE PUT PAST A NEW ORDINANCE OR THAT THE APPLICANT FREES TO FOLLOW THE NEW REGULATIONS ONCE THAT ORDINANCE HAS BEEN CHANGED.

SO I WANT TO MAKE THAT POINT VERY CLEAR.

SECONDLY, WE'VE HAD A PRACTICE FOR A LOT OF YEARS THAT IF YOU WANT TO COME AND GET A LICENSE IN CLARK COUNTY, THAT YOU LAY IT OUT ON THE TABLE TO LAW ENFORCEMENT, WE YOU KNOW THIS IS I THINK UTAHS THAT MOST GULLIBLE PEOPLE, BUT WE'VE GOT THE MORE SCAMS PER POPULATION, I DON'T KNOW.

IT SEEMS LIKE WITH IT ALL THE DEALS GOING ON.

NO I'M NOT GOING TO BE OPEN WITH THE LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMUNITY WHERE I LIVE.

I DON'T THINK THAT'S WHERE YOU OUGHT TO BE PRACTICING LAW, IN MY OPINION.

BUT, I GUESS FINALLY I START TO PREACH THAT YOU MUST EAT BEEF DOES THAT MEAN I HAVE FREE SPEECH AND DON'T HAVE TO HAVE A LICENSE?

I DON'T THINK SO.

WHETHER YOU'RE A SPIRITUAL WHATEVER KIND OF LICENSE YOU'RE WANTING TO GET, I THINK YOU NEED TO ABIDE BY THOSE AND THE POLICIES THAT THE COUNTY HAS HERE AND TO NOT BE WILLING TO GO SPEAK TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AND SHOW THAT YOU DESERVE TO RECEIVE A PRIVILEGED LICENSE SO I'M DONE.

>> COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> THANK YOU.

I UNDERSTAND YOUR ARGUMENTS THAT THERE MAY BE CONSTITUTIONAL ARGUMENT AN THEY MAY BE ON A VERY THIN LINE, BUT WE CAN MAKE ALL THE ARGUMENTS WE WANT CONSTITUTIONALLY.

WE GET THREATENED UP HERE ALL THE TIME.

WE'RE GOING TO SUE FOR THAT OR THAT.

I DON'T THINK THAT'S WHERE YOU'RE TRYING TO GO.

AT LEAST I HOPE NOT.

UNDER THE CLOUD OF THAT WE HAVE TO HAVE A STANDARD FOR BUSINESSES TO BE TREATED AND WHEN WE I GUESS IN THE COUNTY SET UP THE PROCESS VERY TO WHAT THE CITY DOES, AS METRO DOES THE INVESTIGATION, THAT'S THEIR EXPERTISE.

IT IS THE CRIMINALIST, IT'S THE CIVIL, LOOKING AT ALL THE COMPONENTS THAT COME INTO PLAY.

BUSINESS LICENSE HAS ITS INVESTIGATORS WHICH ARE NOT METROPOLITAN POLICE.

THEY'RE LOOK AT BUSINESS FUNCTIONS OR THEY OUGHT TO BE.

AND SO WHEN WE HAVE TO REACT TO SOMETHING IT'S BASED ON BOTH RECOMMENDATIONS THAT COME UNDER PLAY.

AND I UNDERSTAND BUSINESS LICENSE THINKING OKAY, WE'RE SUPPOSED TO FACILITATE BUSINESSES BUT TO ME BACK IN FEBRUARY IT WAS PRETTY CLEAR.

I KNOW I WAS CLEAR.

FINGERPRINT AND MEET WITH METRO.

DO THE SAME STANDARD.

THAT DOES NOT EXCUSE OR SET ASIDE THE ISSUE OF THE FORTUNE TELLING AT ALL.

IT WAS WE HAVE A STANDARD, WE HAVE A RULE.

AND I THINK WITH THEM SAYING YOU CAN COME WITH YOUR CLIENT.  
I CAN'T PERSONALLY RECOMMEND THIS IF IT'S NOT WITH THAT CONDITION.  
I WAS NOT GOING TO RECOMMEND IT PERIOD, BUT IF THAT'S THE CASE, AND WE WANT TO  
SEE THAT YOU WANT TO PLAY BY THE RULES, WE WANT THE PLAY BY THE RULES, THEN I  
THINK THAT MY RECOMMENDATION AT LEAST TO THIS COMMISSION WOULD BE THAT WE  
APPROVE IT WITH THE LIMITED, WITH THE CONDITION THAT YOU COMPLETE THE INTERVIEW  
METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT LIKE WE TREAT EVERY OTHER BUSINESS IN THIS  
COMMUNITY.  
AND IF I CAN CLARIFY.

>> AS THE FORM OF A MOTION AND A LIMBED FOR ONE YEAR.

>> ONE YEAR, YEAH, ONE YEAR.

>> AND IF YOUR MOTION THAT THEY HAVE HOW LONG TO GET WITH METRO THOUGH?  
IT COULDN'T BE TO END OF THAT YEAR.

>> NO, IT'S GOT TO BE WITHIN THE NEXT 30 DAYS.  
BEFORE IT'S ISSUED.  
OKAY.  
BEFORE IT'S ISSUED.  
AN THEY'VE GOT A TEMPORARY UNTIL THE 30th OF SEPTEMBER.

>> THAT IS CORRECT.

>> I WOULD ALSO THEN OFFER TO OUR STAFF AND I APPRECIATE REVIEWING AND UPDATING  
ORDINANCES.  
WHAT I WOULD ASK OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, YOU GUYS HAVE KNOWN SINCE  
NOVEMBER THAT THERE WAS QUESTIONS.  
I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IF YOU CAN GET WITH THE OTHER JURISDICTIONS BECAUSE THE SAME  
ARGUMENT WILL BE MADE BY SOMEONE ELSE OR POSSIBLY THIS PERSON AS WELL IN THE  
CITY, IN HENDERSON AND SO FORTH AND IT'S TIME IF YOU'RE GOING TO REVIEW OUR  
ORDINANCES, WHY NOT GET THE DISTRICT ATTORNEYS FROM THOSE OTHER ENTITIES AND  
FIGURE OUT WHAT THE ORDINANCES SHOULD BE AND DON'T CREATE OPPORTUNITIES FOR  
BUSINESSES TO LEAPFROG US.  
THIS COUNTY DIDN'T GIVE TO IT US, I'LL GO TO THE CITY.  
I'M JUST THINKING ORDINANCES.  
SO I WOULD ASK IF WHATEVER THE PROPER WAY TO DO THAT IS TO HAVE YOU MOVE FORWARD  
SINCE YOU ARE REVIEWING IT, LET'S SIT EVERYONE DOWN AN COME UP WITH SOMETHING  
THAT WORKS FOR EVERYBODY IN THE VALLEY, SO TO SPEAK.

>> WE HAD ACTUALLY HAD MEETINGS WITH THE CITY ON OTHER ISSUES AS WELL.

>> GOOD LOOK AT NORTH LAS VEGAS AND HENDERSON.  
THEY'RE PART OF OUR SISTER COORDINATION AND WE SHOULD BE LOOK AT ORDINANCES  
WHERE APPROPRIATE THAT SIT THE COMMUNITY AS A WHOLE.

>> AND MAY I GET ANOTHER CLARIFICATION.  
THEY HAVE TO MEET WITH METRO IN THE NEXT 30 DAY.

>> THE LIMITED WILL EXPIRE THE YEAR FROM THE DATE THAT WE ISSUE IT COMMISSIONER.  
WHAT WE'RE SAYING IS WE WILL NOT ISSUE THE LIMITED LICENSE UNTIL INTERVIEW HAS  
TAKEN PLACE AND THE REPORT HAS BEEN RENDERED BACK TO THE DEPARTMENT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> I'M SORRY?

I DON'T MEAN TO INTERRUPT.

I JUST HAVE A QUESTION BASED ON THE DIRECTION IT SEEMS TO BE GOING IN.

IF WE'LL FINALLY GET THE INTERVIEW AND BRING IT BACK BEFORE YOU.

IF THERE'S ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR CONCERNS, DOES THAT INFLUENCE WHAT SEEMS TO BE THE MOVE TOWARDS GIVING A LIMBED OR HOW OLD IT WORK?

>> I WOULD ASK THE MAKER OF THE MOTION.

>> I'M TO THE POINT THAT MAYBE WHAT WE DO IS I'LL OPEN UP MY OFFICE.

YOU CAN COME TO MY OFFICE WITH METRO AND BUSINESS LICENSE AND WE DETERMINE WHAT WE'RE GOING TO ASK AND WHAT NEEDS TO BE AND DEAL WITH IT THAT WAY SO WE'RE ALL ON THE SAME PAGE INSTEAD OF HE SAID, SHE SAID, WE SAID.

I'M TO THE POINT THERE'S A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS THAT STILL HAVE NOT BEEN RESOLVED AND I UNDERSTAND MR. FISHER THEY WEREN'T BUT IN THE ORIGINAL, THOSE ISSUES ABOUT THE PERSONAL FINANCIAL QUESTIONNAIRE ON THE VERY FIRST REPORT THAT WE STILL HAVE NOT SEEN THE ADDRESSING OF WHERE THE DOLLARS FLOW THROUGH.

SO, IF YOU WANT, I WOULD SAY BEFORE WE ISSUE THE LIMITED PART OF THE MOTION SAYS WE HAVE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS TO COME UP REGARDLESS OF THIS MEET.

>> THERE'S A MOTION ON THE FLOOR.

I'LL STUDENT MOTION.

LET ME SAY SOMETHING THOUGH.

I THINK THAT WE'RE TRYING TO PROTECT BOTH INTERESTS HERE.

I UNDERSTAND WHAT METRO IS SAYING, THE CONCERN SETTING A PRECEDENT WITH HAVING PEOPLE REFUSE TO MEET WITH THEM WHEN THEY'RE SEEKING A LICENSE FROM US.

BUT IMPLICIT IN THE DISTRICT WHAT THE DISTRICT SAID, THERE IS SOME PROBLEMS OUR ORDINANCE SO I WOULD INSTRUCT, DIRECT THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY TO TRY TO CRAFT THE ORDINANCE SUCH THAT IN THE FUTURE OUR RECOMMENDATIONS AREN'T BASED ON THE FACT THAT WE'RE AFRAID AN ORDINANCE THAT WE HAVE DOES NOT PASS CONSTITUTIONAL MUSTER.

I THINK IN THAT MOTION WE PROTECT BOTH LAW ENFORCEMENT INTEREST AND THE CONSTITUTIONAL INTEREST SO I'LL SUPPORT THE MOTION AND I WOULD ASK MY COLLEAGUES TO CAST THEIR VOTE WHETHER THEY AGREE WITH ME OR NOT.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> RATIFIED LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN CLARK COUNTY TENANT AND AIRPORT CENTER L.L.C. JAMES A BARRETT LANDLORD FOR APPROXIMATELY 81,600 OFFICE SPACE BY THE CLARK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION.

>> GOOD MORNING.

>> MR. CHAIRMAN, WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION.

WE WERE HERE ON AUGUST 7th.

THERE WAS AN ERROR IN THE FISCAL IMPACT.

THAT AGENDA WE THOUGHT WAS MATERIAL ENOUGH THAT WE NEEDED TO BRING IT BACK AND PRESENT TO IT THE BOARD AGAIN.

ALL OF THE OTHER INFORMATION WAS CORRECT.

IT SAID ANNUAL INSTEAD OF MONTHLY.

SQUARE FOOTAGE THE BACKUP.

THE CONTRACT EVERYTHING ELSE WAS ACCURATE BUT WE FELT THAT WAS APPROPRIATE TO COME BACK AND PRESENT TO IT THE BOARD AGAIN AND CORRECT THAT ERROR.

>> ANY COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS ON FINAL.

COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> THIS IS LAND WE OWN AS THE COUNTY CORRECT.

>> CORRECT.

>> NOW WE'RE LEASING TO PAY BACK OUR OWN LAND.

>> NOT LAND FEW NEED THE LAND FOR AN AIRPORT PURPOSE WE CAN GET THE LAND BACK WHICH WE HAVE DONE FOR THE ROM PARKING THAT WE HAVE FOR THE EXPANSION OF THE AIRPORT WHICH IS LARGER THAN WE HAD ANTICIPATED WHEN WE DID OUR MASTER PLAN BACK IN 98 OR 99.

BUT IN TERMS OF THIS IS OFFICE BUILDINGS THAT ARE BEING BUILT. THERE'S MORE THAN ONE AND AS I EXPLAINED BEFORE WE WERE GOING TO BUILD AN OFFICE BUILDING OURSELVES, ACTUALLY OVER OFF PARADISE, CLOSER TO TROPICANA WHERE DOWN THE OLD RENTAL CAR FACILITIES AND WE DECIDED IT WAS FOR PARKING NEEDS OVER THERE AND THE FACT THAT IT WOULD BE EASIER FOR US NOT TO PUT THIS ON OUR PLATE RIGHT NOW AND DO WITH ALL THE OTHER THINGS WE'VE GOT GOING ON. WE FELT THIS WAS THE MOST PRUDENT COURSE OF ACTION.

>> AND I'M SORRY THE TERM OF THIS LEASE IS.

>> OH.  
IT'S IN THE LEASE TERM.  
I HAVE TO LOOK AT IT.  
20 YEARS.

>> 20 YEARS.  
WHAT IF WE DECIDE WE NEED TO BUILD OUR OWN OFFICE BUILDING AND SINCE THIS WAS OUR LAND ORIGINALLY.

>> I JUST SAID I IT THAT LENGTH OF TERM IF THAT'S THE CASE.

>> WE NEED THE BUILD TERMINALS, PARKING.  
OFFICE SPACE IS NOT SOMETHING THAT I PERCEIVE A BIG NEED INTO THE FUTURE AS WE BUILD OUT THE AIN'T.  
WE HAVE THE CAPITAL PLAN FOR THE FINAL BUILD OUT OF THE AIRPORT ALREADY IN PLACE AN FINANCED AND STARRED TO BE CONSTRUCTED.  
WE'RE JUST THAT'S WHY WE'RE BUILDING A SECOND AIRPORT FOR CAPACITY.  
WE THINK WE'VE PLANNED OUT THE NEEDS FOR THE AIRPORT BASED ON OUR ULTIMATE CAPACITY.

>> I APPRECIATE IT AND HOPEFULLY WATER UNDER THE BRIDGE, BUT IT WAS ONE OF THOSE THINGS THAT OUR OWN LAND NOW WE LEASE IT, I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD HAVE EVER DONE WHAT WE DID IT WITH IT, BUT THAT'S BESIDE THE POINT.  
20 YEARS IS A LONG TIME.  
I GUESS IT'S A 3% ANNUAL INCREASE EVERY YEAR.  
THAT'S STANDARD WITHIN.

>> YES, THAT'S NOT UNUSUAL, NO.

>> OKAY, THANK YOU.

>> ANY OTHER QUESTIONS.

>> MOTION?  
I MOVE FOR A MOTION FOR APPROVAL.  
PLEASE CAST YOUR VOTE.  
MOTION CARRIED, THANK YOU.

>> APPROVAL WAIVER OF TITLE 16.06.060, RESTRICTIONS FOR STREET AND TIMES FOR

EVENTS URBAN MUSIC AWARDS SCHEDULED TO TAKE PLACE ON A PORTION OF LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD ON FRIDAY SEPTEMBER 7th, 2007.

>> GOOD MORNING COMMISSIONER.

THIS IS A WAY TO ALLOW FOR CLOSURE OF LEFT-HAND POCKET ON LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD AT NEW YORK, NEW YORK TO ACCOMMODATE A MUSIC AWARDS EVENT TO BE HELD ON SEPTEMBER 7th.

>> ANY COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS?

MOTION.

MOTION FOR APPROVAL.

PLEASE, CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 86 IS RECEIVE A STATUS REPORT REGARDING THE PROPOSED LEASE AGREEMENT. FOR A LOW LEVEL OF FACILITY LOCATED GENERALLY ON THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SLOAN AN LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD AND AUTHORIZE THE DIRECTOR TIME LEASE AGREEMENT OR TAKE ANY OTHER ACTION DEEMED APPROPRIATE.

>> GOOD MORNING.

>> MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBER OF THE BOARD RANDALL WALKER REPRESENTING THE COUNTY. ON THIS ISSUE.

AS YOU'RE AWARE I WAS ASKED BY THE COUNTY MANAGER TO HAVE A COST ANALYSIS DONE OF THE PROPOSED FACILITY THAT'S PROPOSED FOR YOUR APPROVAL.

WE HAVE COMPLETED THAT.

IT'S DATED AUGUST 30th.

I THINK COUNTY MANAGER PROVIDED COPIES OF THAT TO THE BOARD MEMBERS SO THAT THEY COULD REVIEW IT.

I'M GOING TO HIT SOME HIGHLIGHTS AND IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS I WOULD CERTAINLY TRY TO ANSWER THOSE QUESTIONS.

IF YOU GO INTO THE FINDINGS I THINK WHICH IS THE KEY AREA, THE REVIEW FIRST LOOKED AT THE SCOPE TO SEE IF THERE WAS ANY OBVIOUS ISSUES.

NONE WERE FOUND.

THE ROUGH ORDER OF MAGNITUDE COST PER SQUARE FOOT.

THIS IS A ROUGH ORDER ESTIMATE BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE FINAL DESIGN INSPECTS HERE. RESULTED IN AN OVERALL PROCESS OF \$84 MILLION COMPARED TO THE ESTIMATE OF 88 DIFFERENCE OF LESS THAN 4% WHICH WE CONSIDER THIS LEVEL OF DESIGN AND MATERIAL. WHERE QUANTITIES WERE DEFINED IN THE ESTIMATE WE REVIEWED THOSE QUANTITIES TO SEE IF THERE WERE REASONABLE WHERE UNITS ARE USED.

WE HAD TOOK A HISTORICAL COST BASED ON OUR EXPERIENCE OF WHAT THOSE THINGS COST. AND THEN WE CHECKED ALL THEIR INTERNAL CALCULATES FOR CONSISTENCY.

THE SOFT SPOTS WE SEE IN THE ESTIMATE AS FOLLOWS.

THERE ARE A LARGE NUMBER OF LUMP-SUM VARIATIONS TO THE ESTIMATE WHICH COULD NOT BE EVALUATED BECAUSE OF THE LACK OF DETAIL GIVEN THE LEVEL OF DETAIL AT THIS POINT.

FOR EXAMPLE THE ESTIMATE APPEARED LOW IN OUR OPINION BASED ON STANDARDS.

OF 20% OF THE CONSTRUCTION VERSUS WHAT WE THINK IS MORE APPROPRIATE.

30% THE MECHANICAL ALTHOUGH DIFFICULT TO ASSESS WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE TOTAL COST RELATIVE TO THE SQUARE FOOT.

THE RATIO, ALTHOUGH ON THE LOW SIDE IN OUR OPINION.

THERE'S AN ALLOW WEDNESDAY FOR \$1.1 MILLION, WE WERE CONCERNED OF WHETHER OR NOT WHAT HAPPENED IF THE KITCHEN THEN COST MORE THAN 1.1 MILLION BASED ON THE STANDARDS.

IT IS OUR UNDERSTANDING TODAY THE PROPOSED AGREEMENT THAT THE BUILDER TAKES ALL OF THOSE RISKS.

SOME OTHER THINGS WE SAW BULLET-PROOF GAS BETWEEN WHERE IS THE CENTERS ARE GOING TO BE SITTING AND THE INMATES, WE'RE NOT EXPERTS BUT WE THINK THAT MIGHT NEED TO BE FIRE RATE.

THERE WERE NO ACCESS DOORS IN THE CEILINGS.

THE EMERGENCY GENERATOR AND THE ALLOW WEDNESDAY OF 1.6 MILLION FOR ALL OTHER THINGS SEEMED LOW TO US AS WELL.

GIVEN THE FACT THAT BUILDERS RISK.

BUILDING PERMITS 1% AND ALL THE OTHER THINGS THAT HAVE TO BE TAKEN OUT OF THAT 1.6 MILLION SEEMS LOW.

THE SCHEDULE APPEARS TO BE ACHIEVABLE EXCEPT WE'RE A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT THE DESIGN END AND PERMITTING PROCESS.

THAT SEEMED A LITTLE AGGRESSIVE TO US BASED ON OUR EXPERIENCE.

WITH PARTICULARLY GETTING THROUGH DESIGN REVIEW.

BUT WHILE THE ESTIMATE APPEARS TO BE REASONABLE BASED ON THE RECORD A MAGNITUDE.

WE THINK THAT IT'S A LITTLE BIT ON THE LOW SIDE ACTUALLY IN TERMS OF THE COST GIVEN THE NORMAL ANALYSIS YOU DO AT THIS LEVEL OF DESIGN.

THERE ARE A COUPLE OF CONCERNS WE'VE HAD THAT I TALKED ABOUT FROM THE MOLASKY GROUP AND TO MICHAEL C.

FROM METRO.

WE'RE CONCERNED ABOUT THE SPECIFICATIONS FOR SOME OF THE THINGS SUCH AS THE SECURITY EQUIPMENT TO MAKE SURE BE CONFIDENT IN OUR MIND THAT IF PRICE BECAME AN ISSUE, WE WOULDN'T BE AT A BILL TO CODE COMPLIANT BUT NOT NECESSARILY A JAIL COMPLIANT PHENOMENAL.

OUR UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THE AGREEMENT IS THAT THIS WILL BE THE A.C.C.

A C.A.A. DEGRADATION STANDARDS AND SPECS FOR THE EQUIPMENT AND A NUMBER OF OTHER SPECIALIZED ISSUES WITH THE FACILITY AND THAT THE BUILDER HAS AGREED TO BUILD A BUILDING WITH THOSE SPECIFICATIONS.

IF YOU GO FORWARD MAKE SURE THAT THE CONTRACT INCLUDES THOSE CONDITIONS.

SO THAT YOU ACTUALLY GET THE BUILDING THAT YOU'RE ANTICIPATING.

THAT IS OUR REPORT.

MR. CHAIRMAN BE GLAD TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE.

>> YOU'VE HEARD THE REPORT.

ANY QUESTIONS?

COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> THANK YOU RANDY.

AND THANK YOU CHAIRMAN.

IN YOUR REPORT, CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE 1.6 MILLION AGAIN?

THAT WAS A LUMP FOR OTHER FURNISHINGS.

>> IT'S AN ALLOW WEDNESDAY VALUE FOR VARIOUS THINGS.

IF YOU CAN SEE THE LAUNDRY LIST OF SPECIFICATION, QUALITY INSPECTIONS.

BUILDERS RISK INSURANCE, THOSE THINGS.

>> THAT NORMALLY GENERATE IF WE WERE DOING THIS OURSELVES IN A CHANGE ORDER POTENTIALLY.

SO THEY LUMP-SUM MANY.

>> IF THEY LOOKED LIKE PLANTS AND SPECS THAT'S A COST.

SPECIAL INSPECTIONS FOR QUALITY ASSURANCE.

>> NONKITCHEN ITEM.

TESTING FOR EXAMPLE.

THIRD PARTY COMMISSION BUILDERS RISK YOU HAVE INSURANCE ON THE FACILITY.

WHILE IT'S BEING CONSTRUCTED.

BUILDING PERMIT FEES, THOSE KIND OF THINGS, A LOT OF MISCELLANEOUS THINGS THAT AREN'T GOING TO BE INCLUDE IN THE CONTRACTOR'S AMOUNT, THE ONE THAT'S BUILDING THE BUILDING FOR YOU BUT AN OBLIGATION OF THE OWNER.

>> IT'S A BUILDING PERMIT IS NOTED AS AN OWNER COST GENERALLY IN THE 1% RANGE WHICH WOULD BE ANOTHER \$900,000?

>> YES, IN THIS CASE REMEMBER OWNER IS THE MOLASKY GROUP. IT WOULD BE THE OWNER OF THE FACILITY.

>> AND THE ESCALATION WAS UNDERESTIMATED BY TWO \$2.5 MILLION.

>> APPEARED TO US THAT THE ESTIMATION WAS TO THE BEGINNING OF THE CONSTRUCTION AND NOT TO THE MIDPOINT OF CONSTRUCTION AND WE FELT THE ESCALATION COSTS WERE LOW.

>> AND THIS REVIEW IS BASED ON THE PREVAILING WAGE NOT ON THE.

>> THIS WAS BASED ON THE PREVAILING WAGE, CORRECT.

>> AND THEN FINALLY, CAN YOU EXPLAIN FURTHER ABOUT THE LUMP-SUM AGREEMENT WITH THE CONTRACTOR UNDER YOUR CONCLUSIONS ITEM SEVEN. THIS IS ITEM THE THIRD BULLET?

>> RIGHT.

>> THEY ARE EXPECTING A LUMP-SUM AGREEMENT WITH THE CONTRACTOR IN ORDER TO PROGRESS.

NOW THERE'S A RISK GIVEN THE SOFT SPOT AND THE TIME THAT HAS ELAPSED FROM THE TIME THAT THE GAVE THIS INFORMATION, I THINK YOU'RE MAYBE 60 DAY INTO IT AND WE KNOW THE CONSTRUCTION COSTS ARE GOING UP AND NOT DOWN.

AND THERE IS I UNDERSTAND THERE IS NO ACTUALLY CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT. THESE WERE PRECONSTRUCTION SERVICES PROVIDE AND SO THERE'S NO ACTUALLY CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATION TO BUILD THE FACILITY AT THIS PRICE BUT IF THE BUYER WHEN FORWARD WITH THE COUNTY, THAT WOULD BE THEIR RISK.

>> AND TO MARIANNE.

WE CANNOT BECAUSE THIS IS A LEASE CONSIDERATION, THE COUNTY CANNOT DESCRIBE WHAT THEY WANT TO HAVE BUILT.

IT'S JUST WHETHER THEY CHOOSE TO LEASE SOMETHING OR NOT WHEN SOMETHING IS BUILT.

>> BECAUSE WE'RE GOING FORTH AS A LEASE WE CANNOT DESCRIBE A PARTICULAR AREA WHAT WE WANT.

JUST IN GENERAL WE NEED SO MANY ROOMS, SO MANY BEDS.

X TYPE OF KITCHEN FACILITY.

X TYPE OF ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY, BUT AFTER THAT YOU CAN'T NARROW IT DOWN TOO MUCH.

>> WHAT IS THE KITCHEN FACILITY DOESN'T MEET WHAT WE NEED FOR SMART, THEN WE'RE STILL LIABLE FOR THAT COST?

>> UNDER THE TERMS OF THIS PROPOSED LEASE THEY HAVE AGREED BUILD ALL THE FACILITIES FOR THE AMERICAN CORRECTIONAL STANDARD AND THAT'S A FARLEY HIGH LEVEL OF STANDARD AND ONE WE MEET AT OUR CURRENT FACILITY AND WE'RE COMFORTABLE WITH THAT LEVEL.

>> COMMISSIONER WE WERE ALSO CONCERNED THE VERY SAME THING YOU BROUGHT UP THAT'S WHY I TALKED TO HIM DIRECTLY AND TO MICHAEL C FROM METRO. AND BOTH ON THE STANDARD, THE A.C.A. STANDARD PLUS THE FACT THEY'VE GIVEN SPECIFIC SPECIFICATION AND THEY'VE AGREED USE THOSE SPECIFICATION IN THEIR BIDS OR IN THEIR CONTRACT.

WE FEEL COMFORTABLE THAT METRO KNOWS WHAT THEY'RE ASKING FOR AND WHAT THEY CAN LIVE WITH IN TERM OFFICE OPERATING IN A JAIL BECAUSE WE WERE CONCERNED ABOUT THE OPERATIONAL COSTS AFTERWARDS AND THAT WAS A BIG QUESTION MARK WE HAD WHEN WE LOOKED AT THE PRELIMINARY REPORT BUT WE FEEL COMFORTABLE AFTER TALKING TO THEM ASSUMING THE COUNTY PUTS THAT INTO THEIR AGREEMENT THAT METRO WILL GET THE BUILDING THAT THEY'RE ANTICIPATING.

>> THANK YOU AND I'LL HOLD QUESTIONS ON THE LEASE WHEN WE GO INTO THAT PART.

>> OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS AT THIS TIME?

>> COMMISSIONER MAXFIELD.

>> THANK YOU.

SO, VIRGINIA THEN WITH THE REPORT FROM MR. WALKER, AND TO MARY, HAVE WE DISCUSSED THAT OR INCLUDED THAT ONE PART THAT MR. WALKER JUST SAID IN THE LEASE. WE ALL UNDERSTAND WE'RE BUILDING A LOW LEVEL DETENTION FACILITY AT A CERTAIN STANDARD THEY'RE AGREEING TO IT, SO IS IT MEMORIALIZED?

CAN WE MEMORIALIZE IT?

DID WE?

>> THERE IS A AN ATTACHMENT TO THE LEASE WHICH I DON'T HAVE IN FRONT OF ME WHICH DOES DEAL WITH THE DEVELOPMENT CRITERIA SO WE ARE SPECIFIC IN THESE TERMS ABOUT WHAT WE WOULD FIND TO BE ACCEPTABLE AND AS MR. WALKER MENTIONED THE ADULT CORRECTIONS STANDARDS, THAT WOULD OF COURSE SPECIFY SOME OF THE THINGS LIKE THE TYPE OF MATERIALS AND KNOWING WHAT WE NEED, KNOWING WHAT WE'RE GOING TO GET.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

SOME OF THE DETAILS ITEMS WILL BE TENANT IMPROVEMENT THAT WE'LL PUT IN ANY EVENT.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THAT'S SOMETHING THAT THE COUNTY WOULD BE INSTALLING ITSELF.

>> SO IN THIS REVIEW WHICH I THINK WAS IMPORTANT AND IMPORTANT TO DO HASN'T CHANGED THE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS OR VIEW OF THE LEASE IN ANY WAY.

>> OUR RECOMMENDATION THAT YOU APPROVE THE LEASE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ARE THERE OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

>> YES, SIR, THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN.

>> MISS VALENTINE ASSUMING WE MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS RECOMMENDATION HERE TODAY I UNDERSTAND THE SHERIFF IS IN THE AUDIENCE AND I'VE PREVIOUSLY SEEN HIM ON AN INTERVIEW HERE AND WHAT HAPPENS OR WHAT POSITION HAS THIS PUT US IN. BASED ON HIS COMMENTS THAT ONCE THE FACILITY IS BUILT, DEALING WITH THE AMOUNT OF GROWTH THAT WE ARE EXPERIENCING TODAY.

, THIS WOULD BE A CAPACITY AND SO HAVE WE KIND OF LOOKED FORWARD?  
HAVE WE HAD PEOPLE THINKING AS TO WHERE WE GO FROM HERE.  
THE BIG CONCERN WITH EVERYONE IS A PRICE TAG THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT.  
THAT'S A MAJOR CONCERN, BUT, ALSO TALKING WITH THE SHERIFF AS WELL,  
UNDERSTANDING THE NEED FOR A FACILITY LIKE THIS BECAUSE WE HAVE JUST SOME  
TREMENDOUSLY HAVE OUTGROWN WHERE WE'RE CURRENTLY LEASING NOW.

>> THERE DOES NEED TO BE A LONG-TERM PLAN.  
WE DID A COUNTYWIDE FACILITY ASSESSMENT, I THINK THAT WAS COMPLETED A YEAR AGO.  
MAYBE A LITTLE BIT MORE NOW.  
WE IDENTIFIED ALL THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF COUNTY FACILITIES WE NEEDED AND THAT  
INCLUDED DETENTION FACILITIES, COURTROOMS DAPD.  
ALL OF DIFFERENT FACILITIES THAT WE NEEDED.  
WHAT WE HAVE -- SO I THINK WE DO HAVE A PRETTY GOOD IDEA OF WHAT WE NEED AND WE  
HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO IDENTIFY IS NOW TO FINANCE THOSE FACILITIES.  
AND IN ADDITION TO THE CAPITAL COSTS AND THAT CAN BE IN MANY CASES IT IS LESS  
THAN THE COST OF OPERATING AND MAINTAINING SO THE CREATING THE POSITIONS ALONG  
WITH IT IS THAT ONGOING COST THAT WE NEED TO ADDRESS.  
I CAN TELL YOU BASED ON OUR GROWTH AND REVENUES AND THE GROWTH TAN COST OF  
DELIVERING SERVICES CONTRACTUAL LABOUR COSTS THERE IS NOT ENOUGH ROOM IN THE  
GROWTH YEAR TO YEAR TO TAKE ON ONE OF THESE LONG PROJECTS AT THIS TIME.  
SO, IN ORDER TO DELIVER ONE OF THOSE LARGER BUILDINGS AND TO STAFF AND THEN  
OPERATE IT, WE MAY HAVE TO LOOK OUTSIDE OF THE RESOURCES THAT ARE CURRENTLY  
AVAILABLE TO US.

>> AND ARE WE HAVING ANY KIND OF CONVERSATIONS WITH ANY OF THE OTHER GOVERNMENTS  
TO ADDRESS FUTURE CONCERNS THAT WE MAY HAVE BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND RIGHT NOW, AS  
IT RELATES TO THIS LOW LEVEL, THIS IS JUST A COUNTY THING AND EVERYBODY ELSE  
KIND OF LIKE YOU'RE ON YOUR OWN ON THIS ONE.

>> WE HAVE HAD VERY PRELIMINARY CONVERSATIONS, WE DIDN'T GO MUCH FURTHER THAN  
THAT AT THIS STAGE BECAUSE OF OUR CONCERN THAT IF WE START TO NEGOTIATE WITH  
OTHER LOCAL GOVERNMENTS RIGHT NOW FOR THIS FACILITY THAT IT WOULD DELAY THIS  
FACILITY SO WE HAVE CHOSEN TO GO FORWARD THIS THE FACILITY IS FAIRLY MINIMAL IN  
TERMS TO OUR NEEDS.  
BUT, WE'LL PROVIDE AT LEAST GET A STARTED AND ALLOW US FOR TIME TO HAVE THIS  
CONVERSATION GOING NOR WARD AND FUTURE PHASES.

>> OKAY I APPRECIATE IT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.  
THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN.

>> COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> VIRGINIA IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION ABOUT THE ACA SMARTS.  
THAT'S IN SECTION SEVEN IF I'M READING ON A LEASE AGREEMENT?

>> IT ALSO SAYS THE LANDLORD HAS BEEN ABOUT OBLIGATION TO CONSTRUCT AND PROVIDE  
ANY OF THE ITEMS NOT KNOWN AS DEVELOPMENT CRITERIA.  
SO, CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT IS INTENDED HERE?

>> I'M SORRY, 7.01.  
SORRY.

NOW THAT'S ONLY LISTED AS IMPROVEMENT SO I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S OVER AND ABOVE  
THE A.C.A. THAT THEY WILL DESIGN TO OR WHAT THAT'S INTEND FOR.

>> I'M GOING TO HAVE TO DEFER THAT TO EITHER CHEAP GUARD OR TO SOMEONE AT R.P.M.  
ON THE DETAIL OF THE DEVELOPMENT CRITERIA.

ASK ABOUT THE OTHER JURISDICTIONS.  
PERHAPS I'M HAVING A MEMORY LAPSE.  
BUT I THOUGHT THAT WAS PART OF THE ORIGINAL DISCUSSION AN DIRECTION WHICH I  
STILL THOUGHT 250 GETS TO A THOUSAND.  
TEMPORARY DEVELOPMENT AND INVOLVE THE OTHER JURISDICTIONS, SO THAT WE CAN  
DEVELOP FASTER TO THE LARGER FACILITY.  
AND NOW, IT'S LIKE WE'RE PAYING FOR EVERYTHING UP FRONT, WE'RE GOING TO GO TO A  
THOUSAND BED.  
WENT FROM 250.

>> ONE OF THE CONCERNS BECAUSE THIS SITE IS LARGER THAN WHAT IS PLANNED FOR THIS  
FIRST PHASE IS THE OTHER TENANTS AND WHAT WE DID ASK FOR AND WERE SUCCESSFUL IN  
NEGOTIATING WITH THE MOLASKY GROUND IS ANY OF THOSE ONE-TIME COSTS THAT WOULD  
BENEFIT ANY FUTURE DEVELOPMENT WOULD BE RETURNED TO THE COUNTY IN THE FORM OF A  
LEASE CREDIT.  
SO WE DID TRY TO TAKE THOSE ONE-TIME COSTS SINCE WE WERE OBVIOUSLY GOING TO  
INCUR SOME OF THOSE NONRECURRING COSTS, ON THIS PROJECT.  
TO THE BENEFIT OF FUTURE TENANTS OF THE SITE THAT WE DO GET A CREDIT FOR THAT.  
MOO THE TYPES OF COSTS BASICALLY.  
RIGHT, PUTTING IN THE ROADS.  
PUTTING IN COMMISSIONER.

>> IT WOULD BE THOSE TYPES OF COSTS THAT WOW WOULDN'T EXPECT TO SEE ANY OF THOSE  
INITIAL DEVELOPMENTS OUTSIDE IMPROVEMENTS.  
BUT YES WE DID ADDRESS THAT.

>> THIS IS GOING ON 14 ACRES OF THE 37 OR 11 ACRES?  
I APOLOGIZE.

>> THE LAST FEW COMPANIES, YES, THAT'S CORRECT.  
THIS IS GOING ON 17 ACRES OF THE 31 ACRES THAT WE ACQUIRED FOR THIS PROJECT.

>> AND WE HAVE, THERE'S A REFERENCE IN SECTION 305.  
OR DO YOU WANT TO ANSWER THE PREVIOUS QUESTION?  
IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE UP HERE TO DO GENTLEMEN?

>> YOUR QUESTION IS WHAT GUARANTEES US THAT WE'LL GET THE DESIGN CRITERIA?

>> CORRECT.

>> AND THAT IS THE DESIGN AGREEMENT SECTION 701 THE DEVELOPMENT CRITERIA WHICH  
WOULD BE ATTACHED TO THIS AGREEMENT AND THE STANDARDS THAT ARE SPECIFIED IN THE  
A.C.A. STANDARDS.  
AMERICAN CORRECTIONAL ACCREDITATION.

>> WHEN IT'S LABELED IMPROVEMENT.  
AND OKAY, THE ACA DESIGN WILL BE USED FOR ACTUALLY OR JAIL ITSELF OR THAT  
DETENTION FACILITY ANY ADDITIONAL DEVELOPMENT CRITERIA.  
THAT'S OVER AND ABOVE.  
COLD YOU RESTATE THAT QUESTION.  
OR WE WANTED SOMETHING MORE EXPENSIVE THAN THAT WOULD BE BUT WE ARE LOOKING TO  
WHEN WE ENTER INTO A LEASE.  
THAT'S THE AMOUNT WE'RE GOING TO PAY AND WE'RE NOT ANTICIPATING THAT WE GO  
OUTSIDE OF THAT OR WE DIRECT THE WORK TO GO BEYOND WHAT WE'VE ASKED FOR IN THESE  
CRITERIA.

>> SO I APOLOGIZE.

701 IS IF WE WANT THE ABOVE AND BEYOND.  
THE A.C.A. HAS BEEN AGREED BUT I DON'T SEE WHERE THAT'S AT AND I THINK AT THE  
VERY END.

MOO I'M NOT SURE THAT ANTICIPATES THAT WE GO ABOVE AND BEYOND.  
I THINK IT LIMITS THE PRICE THAT WE'RE AGREEING TO THOSE STANDARD.

>> IF WE WERE TO DO SOMETHING ABOVE AND BEYOND, I BELIEVE AND I'LL DEFER TO  
MARIANNE ON THAT THE LEASE WOULD HAVE TO COME BACK BEFORE YOU.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.  
WE'VE WRITTEN A LEASE SO THAT NO COUNTY STAFF CAN UNILATERALLY ASK FOR CHANGE  
ORDERS OF THAT TYPE.

>> OKAY.  
ALL RIGHT.  
THE UNDERSTANDING IS THIS WILL BE BUILT ACA STANDARDS INITIALLY AND THEN IF WE  
ASK FOR SOMETHING MORE IT COMES BACK.  
IS THERE AN EARLY PURCHASE PHENOMENAL.  
IN OTHER WORDS THIS IS IN 10 YEARS WE COULD CHOOSE THE COUNTY TO BUY IT.  
WHAT IF WE DECIDED WE HAD BONDING CAPACITY AND WANTED TO DO IT IN FIVE.

>> WE WOULD HAVE TO SEPARATELY NEGOTIATE THAT.  
THERE ARE PROVISIONS FOR PURCHASING, I BELIEVE IF WE WANT THERE'S NOTHING IN  
THIS LEASE THAT WOULD PRECLUDE US FROM GOING BACK TO THE MOLASKY GROUP AND SAY  
CIRCUMSTANCES HAVE CHANGED.  
BUT WHAT IT COSTS TO BUY YOU OUT OF THE PROPERTY.  
IT WOULD BE DISTINCTLY VOLUNTARY ON THEIR PART AND WOULD HAVE TAKEN  
CONSIDERATIONS FINANCING EXPENSES.

>> OKAY, SO AT THIS POINT THEN IT'S YOUR READING THAT WE'RE LOCKED INTO A 10  
YEARS.  
LEASE ARRANGEMENT.  
AN NONE OF THAT MONEY COUNTS TOWARDS THE EVENTUAL PURCHASE PRICE.  
CORRECT?

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

>> OKAY, AND BUT IT DOES NOT -- IT DOESN'T DISALLOW US TO GO IN AND SEEK IT  
EARLIER IF WE CHOSE TO.  
SAY WE BROUGHT THE OTHER ENTITIES ONBOARD, MAYBE WITH THAT ADDITIONAL REVENUE WE  
WOULD CHOOSE TO DO SO.

>> I THINK THAT WOULD REQUIRE IS TO REOPEN THE LEASE AND NEGOTIATE WITH THE  
OWNER OF THE PROJECT THE MOLASKY GROUP.

>> OKAY.  
3.05 HAS SOME ZONES REFERENCE.  
IT SAYS IN THE EVENT THE LANDLORD SHOULD SELL OR LEASE AS PERMITTED BY THE  
CURRENT ZONING DESIGNATION I BELIEVE THIS IS ONLY CURRENTLY ZONE P.F.  
CORRECT?  
I THINK.

>> I BELIEVE THAT IS CORRECT.

>> OKAY.

>> AND SO, THAT'S JUST IT DOESN'T GUARANTEE ANY OTHER ZONING CHANGE.

ON OUR PART.  
BECAUSE RIGHT NOW THAT LAND CAN'T BE USED FOR MUCH ELSE AT THIS POINT.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.  
WE'RE NOT GUARANTEEING ANY ACTION.

>> I THINK MY QUESTION TO RANDY ANSWER THE QUESTION ABOUT 3% SEEMS TO BE THE STANDARD FOR YOUR YEARLY INCREASES BECAUSE IT'S A 24% REFERENCE.  
THAT'S WHAT THEY'RE LOOKING AT.  
THANK YOU.

>> FAIL TO RECOGNIZE THE SHERIFF IN THE AUDIENCE OTHER THAN THE REFERENCE TO THE DETECTIVE MOTION.  
SO WE WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FROM YOU SIR.

>> GOOD MORNING, COMMISSIONER.  
FIRST OFF I WOULD BE REMISS IF I DIDN'T SAY THANK YOU TO COMMISSIONER WOODBURY FOR HIS 26 YEARS SERVICE.  
HE SET A HIGH BAR FOR ALL OF US TO REACH AND WE AT METRO APPRECIATE YOUR HARD WORK AND COMMITMENT TO THE COMMUNITY.  
THANKS FOR ALL THOSE YEARS OF SERVICE.  
WITHOUT BEING SAID A COUPLE QUESTIONS CAME UP THAT I THINK I'M ABLE TO ANSWER IN ANY TECHNICAL QUESTIONS, WHAT GOES ON INSIDE THERE AND HOW WE MANAGE THAT POPULATION I HAVE SOME MEMBERS OF MY STAFF FROM THE CLARK COUNTY DETENTION CENTER HERE BUT WITH THAT BEING SAID WE'RE NOT STOPPING WITH THIS LOW LEVEL OFFENDER PHENOMENAL.  
I'VE MET WITH I BELIEVE ALL OF YOU OR WE'VE HAD SOME SORT OF INTERACTION THAT I BELIEVE MY COUNT THIS MORNING AT THE CLARK COUNTY DETENTION CENTER TOTAL POPULATION WAS OVER 3600.  
AND WE FARM OUT ABOUT 250 BEDS.  
OUR BUILDING OVER THERE IF YOU TAKE ALL THE BEDS IN ONE COUNT YOU DON'T EVEN REACH 2900 CAPABILITY.  
SO WE EXCEED THAT WHICH WE ALL KNOW.  
THAT'S NO SURPRISE TO ANY OF US SO IN A YEAR AND A HALF WHEN YOU HAVE A THOUSAND ADDITIONAL BEDS WE'RE GOING TO BE IN A SIMILAR SITUATION.  
THAT'S WHY WITH THIS PROJECT I THINK THIS OFFERS US SOME OPPORTUNITIES FOR GROWTH.  
I HAVE MEETINGS SCHEDULED THE LATTER PART OF THIS MONTH WITH THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS MANAGER AS WELL AS THE MANAGER OF CITY MANAGER OF NORTH LAS VEGAS.  
I HAVE BEEN DISCUSSING WITH THOSE TWO GENTLEMEN IN PARTICULAR ALSO WITH VIRGINIA IN REGARDS TO A REGIONAL APPROACH.  
THE HOLD-UP SO FAR HAS BEEN IN REGARDS TO THIS PARTICULAR SITE AND MAYBE THEIR PARTICIPATION IN IT IS THEY WANTED TO SEE WHAT THE COST OF THE PROJECT CAME IN AT.  
AND THEY WOULD BE WILLING TO SIT DOWN AND DISCUSS WITH US THE POSSIBILITY OF THEM BECOMING PARTNERS ON THAT PARTICULAR PLOT OF LAND.  
BECAUSE BASED ON THE PROJECTIONS WE COULD HOLD CLOSE TO 4,000 LOW LEVEL OFFENDERS ON THAT PARTICULAR SITE.  
WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO GROW TO MEET THAT PARTICULAR NEED.  
IN REGARDS TO ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR MORE JAIL SPACE, I BELIEVE THAT NEEDS TO BE ONE OF THE TOP PRIORITIES NOT ONLY FOR ME AS THE SHERIFF OF CLARK COUNTY BUT FOR ALL OF YOU THAT OVERSEE THE DOLLARS AND CENTS AND REGARDS TO WHAT IT IS THAT WE DO.  
BECAUSE OF PEOPLE DON'T FEEL SAFE, THEY'RE NOT GOING TO COME HERE.  
AND AS COMMITTED AS I AM TO ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS SUCH AS HOUSE ARREST, TO DRUG COURT.  
TO MENTAL HEALTH COURT.

WE'VE GOT A SIGNIFICANT VOLUME OF PEOPLE THAT CONTINUOUSLY MOVE INTO OUR COMMUNITY.

AND A PERCENTAGE OF THEM ARE NOT MOVING HERE FOR THE RIGHT REASONS.

WE CAN'T GO THROUGH LIFE THINKING THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO MANAGE CRIME IN THIS VALLEY TO THE LEVEL THAT I BELIEVE THE MEN AND WOMEN AT METRO AS WELL AS HENDERSON, NORTH LAS VEGAS AND OUR OTHER COUNTERPARTS HAVE IF WE DON'T AS A COUNTY CONTINUE TO ADD TO THAT JAIL BED SPACE.

AND, I'M AS COMMITTED I THINK AS ANY OTHER SHERIFF.

I WOULD LIKE TO FOLLOW THROUGH WITH THAT.

THIS PROS WE'RE CUSS DISCUSSING HERE TODAY HAS TAKEN US OTHER A YEAR TO GET AT WHERE WE'RE HERE TODAY AND I THINK IT JUST SHOWS EVEN MORE IMPORTANCE FOR US TO PROCEED ON THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT AND OFFER SOME RELIEF FOR THOSE INDIVIDUALS THAT I HAVE WITHIN A DETENTION FACILITY THAT ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR MANAGING THE INMATES THAT WE ARE.

AND I KNOW IT'S NOT AN EASY DECISION.

I KNOW IT'S A COSTLY DECISION.

AND YOUR FACED WITH MANY OF THESE EACH AND EVERY I THINK ITS EVERY TWO WEEKS. PEOPLE ARE COMING AT YOU FROM A VARIETY OF ANGLES AND ASPECTS, GET PART OF THE COUNTY DOLLARS.

I FEEL VERY STRONGLY ABOUT THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE.

THAT'S WHY I'M HERE THIS MORNING AND I WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE WITH ME AS FAR AS WHAT WE'RE LOOK AT DOING DOWN THE ROAD.

OR THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT AND WHETHER WE FEEL IT'S GOING TO MEET OUR NEEDS IN REGARDS TO BE ABLE TO MANAGE THAT INMATE POPULATION.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

ARE THERE OTHER QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD, A MOTION?  
COMMISSIONER COLLIN.

>> THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN.

THIS BEING IN MY DISTRICT AND WE'VE WORKED ON IT A LONG TIME I GUESS, JUST SOME POINTS I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE AND I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION AS WELL. BUT CLARIFICATION FIRST IS THAT IF WE ANY DETAINEE IN THIS FACILITY IF ITS BUILT HAS TO BE A CLARK COUNTY ARREST OR WE HAVE GO TO THE LAND LORD. DID I HEAR YOU SAY THAT?

>> I CAN'T ANSWER THAT QUESTION.

>> OR MARIANNE?

>> NO, THE MOLASKY GROUP IN THIS LEASE AGREEMENT THAT WE CAN ENTER INTO LOCALS AS PROVIDED BY STATUTES.

>> WE CAN DO INTERLOCALS AND I THOUGHT THAT WAS THE CASE BUT IT SOUNDED LIKE MAYBE I JUST HER IT WRONG.

ANOTHER THING IS THAT OVER A YEAR AGO, THE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE GROUP AND OTHER FOLKS AND I WE WENT OUT AND MET AND WENT FOR A THOUSAND BEDS.

AND WE WERE GOING TO BUILD 250 AT A TIME BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT WAS NEEDED TWO YEARS AGO.

PRIOR TO THAT MEETING.

YOU KNOW THREE YEARS AGO ALMOST NOW.

SO WE JUST GOT FARTHER BEHIND AND AS HAS BEEN EXPLAINED THE POTENTIAL THIS EXPLOSION OF MEAT IS JUST GOING TO CONTINUE.

THE STATE THAT WE LIVE IN AND THE COUNTRY WE LIVE IN WHATEVER, WHERE EVER YOU WANT TO SHARE ALL THE RESPONSIBILITY.

BUT, AS LONG AS WE CONTINUE TO AND WE'VE HAD TO PLAY CATCH-UP ON ROADS.

WE'VE HAD TO PLAY CATCH-UP ON HOSPITAL RESPONSIBILITIES, PEOPLE BUSINESSES TO WANT TO ENSURE THEIR PRIEST. OR HELP THEM PROVIDE FOR AFFORDABLE INSURANCE SO WE'RE GOING TO PAY THE BILL FOR THEM AT THE HOSPITAL.

WE'LL TALK ABOUT THAT TODAY I'M SURE.

WE DON'T WANT TO SPEND ENOUGH ON EDUCATION AND A GOOD COMMUNITY AND ENOUGH CONS THE STREETS SO WE'RE GOING TO HAVE MORE CRIME.

AN WE'VE GOT A 24-HOUR TOWN SO WE DON'T ROLL UP THE SIDEWALK, WE MAKE THE SHERIFF OF WORK AROUND THE CLOCK WITH ALMOST 40 MILLION TOURISTS SO WE HAVE DIFFERENT THINGS WE HAVE TO ADDRESS HERE.

I WISH WE WOULD HAVE BUILT THIS PRISON OR THIS JAIL DETENTION FACILITY YEARS AGO BUT THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN SO TODAY WE'RE AT A POINT WHERE WE DON'T HAVE AS MANY CHOICES TO MAKE IN HOW WE GO ABOUT THIS.

AND I'M GLAD THAT EVERYBODY IS HAPPY OR HOPEFULLY EVERYBODY IS HAPPY WITH THIS REPORT THAT RANDY WALKER BROUGHT US THAT TELLS US THAT THEY'RE IN THE BALLPARK. THAT IT MAKES SENSE.

CONSTRUCTION A LITTLE SLOW RIGHT NOW?

MAYBE THE CONTRACTORS THAT THEY'RE TALKING TO ARE STILL GOING TO BE WILLING TO SIGN THIS DEAL EVEN WITH THE SOFT SPOTS THAT ARE IN IT.

A RECOGNIZE THE LAND IS STILL IN CLARK COUNTY AND THAT WE HANDLE ZONING OUT OF THIS FACILITY WOULD BE AT OUR JURISDICTION AS A COMMISSION TO ZONE APPROVE, ALLOW, COMMISSION, WHATEVER.

SO, MY MOTION IS THAT WE APPROVE THIS LEASE.

BUT BEFORE I ASK YOU TO TAKE A VOTE ON THAT, IF THEY DON'T BUILD IT, WHAT HAPPENS?

>> UNDER THE TERMS OF THE LEASE IF THEY DON'T BUILD IT WITHIN A CERTAIN AM OF TIME THE LEASE WILL TERMINATE.

>> ANY PENALTIES?

>> NO PENALTIES.

>> ALL THE RISK IS ON THAT THEY WILL DO IT UNDER THEIR CAP COST CORRECT.

>> THAT'S THE PENALTY.

>> RIGHT.

>> THAT'S MY MOTION.

>> YOU'VE HEARD THE MOTION.

ARE THERE QUESTIONS ON THE MOTION?

COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> THANK YOU.

IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THIS WILL BE BUILT EVEN THOUGH IT DOES NOT CURRENTLY DO THAT?

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

>> OKAY, AND MAYBE TO HAVE SHERIFF BECAUSE I KNOW WE'RE DESPERATE FOR THE NEED FOR BEDS.

BUT IT SCARES WHEN I HEAR WE'RE GOING TO PROJECT OUT TO THE 4,000.

I THINK WE NEED TO STOP AND ANALYZE WHAT WE WANT TO DO, WHETHER WE WANT TO STAY IN PARTNERSHIP IN THIS WAY.

I WAS THE ONE WHO RACED THE ISSUE.

CONDITION WANT TO SELL IT.

I APPRECIATE THAT, THAT SAID YOU'RE USING 17 ACRES OF THE 31.

I WOULD HOPE THAT PART OF OUR DISCUSSION BECAUSE WE HAVE TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO PAY FOR ANYTHING FURTHER DOWN THE ROAD WHETHER IT'S STAFFING IT CORRECTLY.

MAKING SURE OUR OFFICERS ARE SAFE AS WE DO THE STAFF.

WE ONLY STAFFED I BELIEVE FOR 250 CURRENTLY.

IN THE CURRENT BUDGET.

DID WE NOT?

I THINK 250.

SO WE HAVE TO WE'LL DO THAT PART OF IT.

I THINK IT'S TIME AND MAYBE WITH OUR PHYSICAL PEOPLE AND YOUR FISCAL PEOPLE WE TAKE A LOOK AT THE CONTACTS.

WHAT WE NEED TO DO TO DETENTION FACILITIES.

WE LOOK AT CHANGES THAT MAY NEED TO COME INTO PLAY TO HELP OFFSET SOME OF THE COST IMPACTS BECAUSE FOR NOW WE HAVEN'T FINISHED FUNDING THE THOUSAND BEDS, TALKING ABOUT GOING TO 4,000 IT'S HUGE.

SHOULD WE OWN THAT LAND?

SHOULD WE PURCHASE LAND SOMEPLACE ELSE?

WHAT DO WE DO WITH THE OLD DETENTION FACILITIES.

THOSE ARE ALL FACTORS AND I DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO REACT TO THINGS.

I THINK WE SHOULD PUT TOGETHER A PLAN ON NOT JUST JAIL 5:30S BUT OTHER PROGRAMS. FOR OUR COURTS AND SO FORTH.

SO THAT WE'RE DOING IT THE RIGHT WAY WITH ANTICIPATION.

I HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT GOING INTO A LEASE.

I WILL NOT MAKE ANY BONES ABOUT IT BUT WE NEED THE SPACE AND I APPRECIATE THAT PART OF IT.

I WAS CONCERNED BECAUSE THIS THING MORPHED FROM WHAT I THOUGHT WE WERE VOTING ON FROM WHAT IT IS NOW.

I WILL SUPPORT THIS BECAUSE WE NEED TO HAVE THE SPACE.

BUT I'M GOING TO TELL PEOPLE, DO NOT EVER PLAY THAT GAME AGAIN OF WHERE WE WIND WITH TEMPORARY FACILITIES AND NOW IT'S A PERMANENT FACILITY.

WE WENT FROM ONE STORY NOW TO TWO STORY.

WE WENT FROM LEASE TO BASICALLY DESCRIBING HOW WE WANTED IT TO BE PURCHASED.

WE HAVE TO HAVE A PLACE TO LOOK SOME OF THOSE FOLKS UP.

I UNDERSTAND THAT PART OF IT BUT I HOPE WE MAYBE LEARN A LITTLE SOMETHING FROM THIS AND APPROACH THE FUTURE MORE APPROPRIATELY.

YOU WEREN'T PART OF THAT MIX.

I'M TALKING MORE ABOUT HOW WE REACTOR ACT SOME TIME WITHIN LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

THAT SAID WE UNDERSTAND PREVAILING WAY WHICH I APPRECIATE EVEN THOUGH YOU DIDN'T HAVE TO DO THAT I WILL SUPPORT THIS MOTION.

>> A COUPLE OF POINTS IF I MAY.

FIRST OFF.

I DON'T WANT ANYBODY THAT CEASE THESE HEARINGS AND STUFF TO TAKE SOME OF THE COMMENTS THAT WERE MADE AS IN REGARDS TO THE CACS AND SOME OTHER THINGS AS A WAY TO FUND YOUR JAIL BEDS.

THOSE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE SALES TAX FOR COPS WERE FOR HIRING A POLICE OFFICER IS NOT ONLY AT METRO BUT THROUGHOUT CLARK COUNTY AND THERE'S BEEN NOTHING SHOWN TO ME THAT 10 OR 15 YEARS FROM NOW THERE WOULD BE AVAILABLE REVENUE GO BACK TO THE VOTERS AND PUT IT INTO SOMETHING ELSE.

I MEAN, I SEASON ARE SEEN IT.

IF I MADE STATEMENTS THEN IN THE NEXT LEGISLATIVE SESSION WE WOULDN'T NEED THAT QUARTER CENT, YES BUT I ALSO SAID WHEN I HAD THAT MEETING WITH THAT PARTICULAR EDITORIAL BOARD THAT WE MIGHT NEED IT IN A COUPLE MORE YEARS AFTER THIS, OR AFTER THAT BASED ON PROJECTS THAT YOU SEE IN SALES TAX.

THE OTHER THING YOUR POLICE DEPARTMENT IS BEING VERY PROGRESSIVE IN THEIR APPROACH TO MANAGING THIS INMATE POPULATION.

WE'RE NOT SITTING HERE BY OURSELVES TRYING TO PONDER THINGS OUT.  
WE'VE BEEN TO A VARIETY OF OTHER JURISDICTIONS WITH COUNTY STAFF LOOKING AT  
OTHER VARIETIES OF ALTERNATIVES TO INCARCERATION.  
HOW WE CAN STREAMLINE OUR JUDICIAL PROCESS SO THOSE INDIVIDUALS THAT WE DON'T  
WE'RE NOT GOING TO INCARCERATE, THAT WE GET OUT OF OUR FACILITY AS QUICKLY AS  
POSSIBLE.  
THERE'S A LOT OF THINGS BEING DONE ON THE FRONT SIDE, TO LIMIT THE NUMBER OF  
PEOPLE THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO HOUSE.  
SO, YOU KNOW I WANT ALL OF YOU TO BE VERY WELL AWARE OF FACT OR KNOW THAT WE'RE  
NOT JUST LOOKING AT THE ANSWER IS TO LOCK EVERYBODY UP.  
THAT'S NOT IT.  
THERE'S VERY SUCCESSFUL PROGRAMS OUT THERE THAT HAD BEEN INSTITUTED AND WILL BE  
CONTINUED TO BE INSTITUTED.  
AND WE'LL CONTINUE TO BE AS PROGRESSIVE AS ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY IN AMERICA  
IN DOING THAT.  
YOU KNOW I COMMIT THAT TO YOU.  
TOO ALL RIGHT, THERE'S THE MOTION ON FLOOR.  
I NEED TO DISCLOSE, YES, SIR.

>> I'M SORRY.

>> COMMISSIONER G.  
ASKED, THIS WAS A LONG MOTION WITH STAFF OFFER THAT ISSUE AND THE LEASE PRICE  
THAT'S IN THE LEASE TODAY DOES NOT PRESUME PREVAILING WAGE.  
IT PRESUMES AN OPEN SHOP CONSTRUCTION AN WE'RE GOING TO USE A PORTION OF UNION  
LABOUR SO I MISSPOKE WHEN I SAID PREVAILING WAGE.  
I MEN TO SAY WE WOULD USE A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF UNION LABOUR.  
OUTSIDE OF THE M.P.E.s.  
I'M SORRY.

>> THAT'S ALL RIGHT.  
THANK YOU FOR CLARIFYING.  
THE REASON I RACED IT IS BECAUSE THEY REFERRED TO BOTH.  
THAT'S WHY I MADE THAT ASSUMPTION.

>> THAT'S CORRECT.

>> RIGHT, THERE'S A MOTION.  
I WILL COMMISSIONER, THE LAST WORD, I PROMISE.

>> MR. MOLANSKY BECAUSE IT WAS SAID, IT WOULD BE PREVAILING WAGE HE DIDN'T  
NECESSARILY SAY IT WOULD BE UNION BUT THAT WOULD BE UP TO HOWEVER LATE YOUR  
GENERALS AND SUB GOES ABOUT IT.

>> IF I MAY, ON A NUMBER OF LABOUR CARES AND IT WAS OUR INTENTION TO USE UNION  
LABOUR TO DO SO WOULD BE AN OPEN SHOT.  
DOES THAT CLARIFY YOUR QUESTION?

>> YEAH, THAT'S BEYOND WHAT WE NEEDED TO TALK ABOUT BUT I WAS JUST MAKING SHUSH  
EVERYBODY IS GOING TO KEEP DOING WHATEVER THEY SAID THEY WERE GOING TO DO.  
WHATEVER THAT WAS.  
THANK YOU.

>> THERE'S A MOTION.  
I'VE ABSTAINED ON ALL THE ITEMS RELATED TO THIS BECAUSE MY LAW FIRM DOES WORK  
FOR THE MOLASKYS.  
CAST YOUR VOTE.

MOTION CARRIES.

>> ITEM 87 IS HEARING AN APPEAL OFFER AT PROPERTY ELECTED AT 2884 NORTH SANDY LANE.

AS REGISTERED BY THE LAST DONOR OF RECORD, DAVE MEEHAN OR TAKE ANY ACTION DEEMED NECESSARY.

>> GOOD MORNING.

>> THANK YOU MR. CHAIR.

GOOD MORNING MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION.  
CHIEF OF CODE ENFORCEMENT.

THIS PROPERTY IS ABOUT 1.42 ACRES IN SIZE IN COMMISSIONER'S WEEKLY DISTRICT.

I HAVE VIDEOS THAT WE CAN SEE WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT.

THE PROPERTY IS JUST EAST OF THE NEW MEDAL SCHOOL THERE AT TERRY AND SANDY AND THERE'S A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF OUTSIDE STORAGE ON THE PROPERTY.

THIS CASE HAS BEEN ONGOING SINCE MARCH 2004.

WE'VE GIVEN THE APPLICANT CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF TIME TO REMOVE VEHICLES.  
ACQUIRE ANOTHER LOT OF STORED VEHICLES THAT WERE ON THIS PARCEL.

IN ADDITION TO WORKING WITH THEM TO TRY TO GET THE PROPERTY CLEANED UP.

THERE WERE A LOT OF ISSUES THAT HAD TO BE RESOLVED BETWEEN FEBRUARY 9th.  
2005.

AND JANUARY 2007 TO ACQUIRE THE NEW LOT GET IT PAVED TO STANDARD.

AS I SAID THERE'S A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF MATERIAL ON THE LOTS, AND I'M TOLD TODAY BY THE COUNSEL THAT THEY HAVE REMOVED A NUMBER OF VEHICLES OVER THE WEEKEND.

THIS VIDEO WAS TAKEN LAST THURSDAY.

MORNING.

SO THEY HAD BEEN WORKING ON THE PROPERTY.

ONE OF THE CONCERNS WAS OF THE PROPERTY IS THAT THE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES HAS ISSUED A NOTICE IN ORDER REGARDING THE PROPERTY THE HOME IS COMPLETELY MORE THAN 75% DAMAGED.

SO IT'S BURNED OUT AND AT THIS POINT THE NOTICE AND ORDER SAID THEY WOULD EITHER REPAIR OR DEMOLISH BY APRIL 12th2007.

AND THE WORK SHOULD COMMENCE BY MAY 24th.

SO WHERE WE ARE TODAY IS THE CONDITION OF THE PROPERTY IS PRETTY MUCH REPRESENTED BY THIS VIDEO AND WE'RE HERE FOR YOU TODAY.

>> WE'LL HEAR FROM THE AN PELL LENT.

>> GOOD MORNING CHAIRMAN.

TO ALL THE COMMISSIONERS.

MY NAME IS GEORGE KROEMER.

I REPRESENT MR. MEEHAN THE OWNER OF THIS PROPERTY.

>> AS MR. MEEHAN HAS OWNED THIS PROPERTY SINCE SHORTLY BEFORE HE WAS ELECTED TO COUNTY COMMISSION.

THIS IS ONE OF TWO PIECES OF PROPERTIES LOCATED ON SANDY LANE OWNED BY MR. MEEHAN AND WE HAVE WORKED TO COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS ON BOTH PIECES OF PROPERTY WITH THE COUNTY AS IS LATELY 2007 THEY ISSUED THE NEW NOTICE OF ABATEMENT AND REQUEST TO REPAIR.

THE PROBLEM IS THAT OVER THE LAST 25 YEARS MR. MEEHAN HAS COLLECTED AN ASSORTMENT OF ITEMS ON THIS PROPERTY AND THIS PROPERTY HAS BECOME FULL, BUT WHAT SHOULD BE REMEMBERED IS THAT IN 1980 AND 81 WHEN MR. MEEHAN PURCHASED THIS PROPERTY DID NOT GO ANYWHERE NEAR THIS PROPERTY.

THIS PROPERTY WAS WAY OUT IN THE MIDDLE OF NOWHERE.

AND BECAUSE THE COLLECTION OVER THE RECENT TIME THERE'S BEEN A FOUR-YEAR LAPSE NOW INTO WHICH THIS PROPERTY HAS FALLEN.

NOT TO EXCUSE MR. MEEHAN'S CONDUCT IN CLEANING THIS PROPERTY WE HAD COMPLIED WITH OTHER NOTICES ON PARTICULARLY BY THE CITY AT 1601 CARSON STREET AND THE MAYFIELD AVENUE ADDRESS WHICH REQUIRED ADDITIONAL WORK AFTER MR. MEEHAN PURCHASED THOSE PROPERTIES.

THE 2184 PROPERTY HAS COME INTO COMPLIANCE.

HE'S PULLED THE PERMITS AFTER THE FIRE THAT OCCURRED THERE.

THIS IS JUST A CONTINUATION OF PROBLEMS THAT MR. MEEHAN HAS SUFFERED OVER THE LAST FOUR YEARS.

HE WAS BITTEN BY A BROWN RECLUSE SPIDER AND HOSPITALIZED FOR A PERIOD OF FOUR MONTHS.

UNTIL HE SAID HE HAD TO GET OUT AND GET THIS DONE.

WE HAVE BEEN COOPERATING WITH THE COUNTY IN ATTEMPT TO REMEDY THE SITUATION.

HOWEVER BECAUSE OF THE EXTENT OF THE CLUTTER AND THE CARS AND THE ITEMS AT 2184 SANDY LANE, WE HAVE OBTAINED THE RECONSTRUCTION PERMITS AFTER HE SUFFERED A FIRE ON THAT PROPERTY.

WE'RE STILL IN THE PROCESS OF REMOVING VEHICLES AND REMOVING CLUTTER FROM THIS PROPERTY.

THIS LATEST ROUND WAS ORIGINALLY SCHEDULED BACK IN 05 TO DO THIS FOR AN MOA WHEN WE SPOKE WITH MR. PATELLO AND OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING THE AREA TO MOVE THESE VEHICLES, MR. MEEHAN PURCHASED ANOTHER PETITION OF PROPERTY WHICH IS IN THE MIDDLE OF AN INDUSTRIAL AREA.

AND IN ORDER TO MOVE THE VEHICLES UP THERE, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT HE HAD TO REZONE IT.

THAT HE HAD TO SCREEN FENCE IT.

THAT HE THEN HAD AIR QUALITY PROBLEMS IT THAT THEY REQUIRED HIM TO ASPHALT CHIP THIS.

AND MR. PATELLO IS AWARE OF THESE PROBLEMS AND I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY DISAGREEMENT TO MR. MEEHAN.

HAS BEEN SOMEWHAT DELIGHTFUL IN PERFORMING THESE OBLIGATION.

HE HAS IN FACT DONE WHAT HE'S TOLD HIM HE WOULD DO.

WE'VE HAD SEVERAL MEETINGS.

WE'VE MET AT THE PROPERTY.

WE'VE MET AT THE OFFICES AND THE HEARING OFFICERS DIRECTION.

WE'VE HAD SEVERAL CONTINUE ANSWERS AND AS REINLY AS 2007, THIS WAS A NEW NOTICE OF ABATEMENT THAT WAS DONE.

MR. MEEHAN HAS STARTED REMOVING VEHICLES.

NOW THAT THE WEATHER IS STARTING TO COOL DOWN A LITTLE BIT.

WE WOULD REQUEST THIS COUNSEL PROVIDE WAS AN ADDITIONAL 90DAYS TO COMPLETE THIS TASK.

AND NOT ISSUE THESE ORDERS AT THIS POINT.

MR. MEEHAN INDICATED HE HAS HIS FORKLIFT OPERATING.

THAT HE HAS HIS TRUCK.

THAT HE NOW HAS THE PLACE TO DEPOSIT THE VEHICLES AND THE ITEMS HE WISHES TO SECURE ON AND THAT HAS NOW BEEN ASPHALT CHIPPED AND REZONED FOR PROPER STORAGE OF THESE ITEMS.

IT'S JUST A SERIES OF UNFORTUNATE EVENTS HAVE PRO CONCLUDED HIM FROM CONTINUES TO WORK ON THIS.

PARTICULARLY THE MONTHS THAT HE SPENT IN THE HOSPITAL AS A RESULT OF THE SPIDER BITE.

THOSE ISSUES WARRANT MR. MEEHAN GIVING HIM SOME LEEWAY IN 90-DAYTIME TO GET THIS MATTER RESOLVED.

SO THAT HE CAN THEN GET RECONSTRUCTION PERMITS ON THE HOUSE.

AT 2384 SANDY LANE.

THANK YOU.

>> CAN I SAY SOMETHING?

>> YES, SIR.

YOU NEED TO SPEAK INTO THE MICROPHONE, SIR.  
STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

>> YOU NEED TO TELL US YOUR NAME.

>> JAY MEEHAN.

I HAVE THE EQUIPMENT WORKING, I PITCHED IT.  
AND THE TRUCKS.

AND MANPOWER AND IT'S GOING OVER TO THE PROPER STORAGE PLACE BECAUSE I DON'T  
LIKE IT EITHER.

I'M SORRY, I ALMOST DIED.

I COULDN'T KEEP UP AT THE TIME AND THEY THREW A LOT OF THE CRIME IN MY AREA,  
BURNING ME OUT.

GIVING ME PSYCHOLOGICAL PROBLEMS.

IT'S SLOWED ME DOWN.

IF YOU'VE BEEN BURNED OUT TWICE IN THE LAST THREE DAYS FOR ALL THE THINGS YOU'RE  
MOVING AND YOU LOST YOUR CLOTHES, AND YOUR TAX PAPERS AND YOUR SAVINGS PAPERS  
AND GOT WIPED OUT THIS BAD, AND NEARLY DIE TRYING TO CLEAN IT UP.

I'M BACK.

AND I'M WORKING.

JUST GIVE ME THE CHANCE TO DO THE WORK.

MOO OKAY, MR. PATELLO.

>> JUST ONE COMMENT NOW THAT MR. WOODBURY A RETURNING PD, AS I WOULD POINT OUT  
THAT THE COUNTY AND I THINK MR. COLLINS INDICATED THAT THE COUNTY HAS BEEN  
PLAYING CATCH-UP FOR THE LAST 10 YEARS.

MR. MEEHAN HAS BEEN PLAYING CATCH-UP WITH THE COUNTY.

THE AREA THIS IS IN CERTAINLY NOT ANTICIPATED TO BE A DEVELOPED AREA BY THIS  
TIME AND HE HAS NOW AS A RESULT OF THAT STARTED TO CLEAN UP.

HE'S GOTTEN ONE PIECE OF PROPERTY VERY WELL CLEANED UP TO THE SATISFACTION OF  
THE COUNTY AND NOW HE'S WORKING ON THE SECOND PIECE OF PROPERTY AND WE REQUESTED  
HE ARE THE ADDITIONAL TIME TO MAKE THAT CATCH-UP.

IN THEIR ROAD PROJECTS AND PRISONS ET CETERA.

>> MR. PATELLO DO YOU HAVE A RECOMMENDATION FOR THEM AND WE'LL TURN IT OVER TO  
COMMISSIONER WEEKLY.

WHAT IS YOUR DISTRICT.

>> WE'VE WORKED WITH THEM AS I SAID OVER THE LAST THREE AND A HALF YEARS SO,  
IT'S A PLEASURE OF THE BOARD AT THIS POINT.

I CAN SUPPORT ANYTHING THE BOARD WOULD LIKE TO DO.

>> COMMISSIONER WEEKLY.

>> THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN.

I GUESS MR. PATELLO MY QUESTION TO YOU WOULD BE I GUESS YOUR PAST WORKING  
RELATIONSHIP WITH MR. MEEHAN, I MEAN DO YOU BELIEVE THAT HE WOULD BE CONSISTENT  
IN HIS WORK TODAY WITH MOVING FORWARD WITH CLEANING UP HIS PROPERTY?

>> I WOULD GIVE THEM 90 DAYS AND HE HAS BEEN VERY DILIGENT WORKING ON IT.

I THINK THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF CIRCUMSTANCES, AS YOU KNOW, WE USUALLY DON'T GIVE  
PEOPLE MORE THAN THREE AND A HALF YEARS TO GET THIS SITUATION UNDER CONTROL SO  
WE'VE BEEN VERY WILLING TO WORK WITH MR. MEEHAN.

>> OKAY, I WILL SAY TO YOU TODAY SIR, I WAS I WE WANT AROUND DID A RIDE ALONG WITH STAFF AND WAS ON YOUR PROPERTY, AND WAS ABSOLUTELY OUTRAGED AT I MEAN THAT LOOKED A LITTLE BIT BETTER THAN WHAT I SAW WHEN I WAS OUT ON YOUR PROPERTY. AND THE NEIGHBOURHOOD PRIDE ZONE, THE REDS IN THIS AREA DAY ARE OUTRAGED ALSO TOO.

AND ATTORNEY, I KNOW THE COUNTY IS A LITTLE BIT BEHIND SCHEDULE AND OH, WELL. IT'S A NEW DAY, AND PROPERTIES LIKE THIS IS TOTALLY UNACCEPTABLE. IT TOTALLY DOES A TOTAL DISSERVICE TO ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS AND THEIR PROPERTY VALUES.

I MEAN IT BRINGS NO NEIGHBOURHOOD PRIDE.

YOU KNOW, IT ATTRACTS A LOT OF UNNECESSARY CRIME IN THIS AREA HERE AND SO, I'LL WORK WITH YOU ON THIS AND FIRST OF ALL I JUST WANT TO SAY WHAT A BLESSING IT IS FOR YOU TO BE STANDING HERE TODAY AFTER BEING BITTEN BY A SPIDER BUT I WANT TO SAY NOW THAT YOU'RE BACK, WE WOULD LOVE FOR YOU TO MOVE FORWARD SIR WITH GETTING THIS PROPERTY CLEANED.

>> I WOULD LOVE TO MOVE FORWARD MYSELF.

A LOT OF THE STUFF INSIDE THE PROPERTY WAS STUFF THAT WAS DROPPED DOWN THERE PART OF THE STORAGE FACILITY MATERIALS, WHEN I WENT DOWN EVERYTHING YOU JUST SAID, I IGNORED THE PROPERTY.

I WENT MENTAL.

I OVERLOAD MENTALLY ON IT AND I STARTED MY OTHER FIRE CLEANUP.

NOW I'M BACK ON THIS ONE.

I WILL CLEAN IT UP.

>> OKAY MR. MEEHAN WE'RE GOING TO WORK WITH YOU ON THIS.

>> I APPRECIATE THAT.

>> ALL RIGHT, SO I'LL FOLLOW STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION TO ALLOW THE 90 DAYS. AND I WANT TO SAY PLEASE DON'T LET ME DOWN ON THIS ONE BECAUSE THE NEIGHBOURHOOD IS REALLY ASKING FOR THIS TO BE CLEANED UP.  
MR. MEEHAN.

>> I UNDERSTAND THAT.

AND I APPRECIATE.

BUT YOU KNOW SOMETHING ABOUT OUR NEIGHBOURHOOD, IT'S FULL OF CRIMINALS, THIEVES, THUGS.

I CATCH SEVEN A DAY ON MY PROPERTY AND FIST FIGHT THEM.

I'M AN OLD MAN 65 AND TURF PUNCH PEOPLE OUT.

THAT RAID ME EVERY DAY.

SO I HEAR ABOUT ALL THIS NICEY NICE TOUGH.

I CALL THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THEY COME IN SIX HOURS.

I FINALLY GOT TO THE POINT WHERE I SLUG IT OUT WITH THEM.

SO, IT'S NOT AS PRETTY AS I BETTER SHUT UP.

I GOT WHAT I WANTED.

>> YEAH, I WAS GOING TO SAY YOU BETTER QUIT WHILE YOU'RE AHEAD.

[LAUGHTER]

BEFORE SOME OF THESE NICEY-NICE NEIGHBOURS DON'T WANT YOU THERE.

I WANT TO SAY YOUR NEIGHBOURHOOD HAS CHANGED TREMENDOUSLY AND IT'S NOT THE NEIGHBOURHOOD THAT IT USED TO BE.

BUT I WILL SAY TO YOU THAT THERE ARE SOME GOOD HARDWORKING CLASS PEOPLE THE THAT STILL LIVE IN THIS AREA THAT TAKE PRIDE IN THEIR PROPERTIES.

>> I'LL GIVE YOU A PHONE CALL.

>> I'LL GIVE YOU A PHONE CALL.  
DON'T SLUG IT OUT.  
NO, NO, NO, ZIP IT OR I'LL REDUCE IT DOWN TO OF DAYS.  
SO WE'RE GOING TO MOVE FORWARD WITH STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR THE 90DAYS AND  
I'LL TALK WITH YOU PERSONALLY OKAY?  
THAT WILL BE MY RECOMMENDATION.

>> YOU HEARD THE MOTION.  
THERE'S A QUESTION FROM COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY THE NEW PROPERTY THAT YOU BOUGHT TO DO THE STORAGE  
YOU'RE NOT JUST MOVING TRASH BACK OVER TO ANOTHER PLACE.  
YOU'RE GOING TO ASSESS WHAT YOU'RE MOVING TO THIS OTHER STORAGE AREA?

>> MOST STUFF WILL GO TO TRASH.

>> AND I'LL JOIN COMMISSIONER WEEKLY IF HE WANTS MAYBE WE CAN DO GO DO ONE OF  
OUR NEIGHBOURHOOD CLEANUPS.

>> HE HAS SPIDERS, I'M NOT GOING.  
[LAUGHTER]  
MY WEED WHACKER I DON'T THINK WOULD WORK UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCE.

>> JUST FOR THE RECORD, MY INVOLVEMENT IN THIS MATTER IS BASED UPON MY LOVE OF  
ANTIQUÉ VEHICLES AND MR. MEEHAN DOES HAVE SEVERAL CLASSIC COLLECTIBLE CARS THAT  
SHOULD BE MOVED OUT OF THERE.

>> I FIGURED THAT WAS PART OF IT.  
I HAVE TWO CLASSICS, MINE ARE GARAGED.  
AND THEY DON'T LOOK NICK ANYTHING LIKE ANY OF THAT.  
ONE PERSON'S TREASURE IS ANOTHER PERSON'S JUNK UNFORTUNATELY AND AT SOME POINT  
WE HAVE TO LOOK AT ORDINANCES THAT TRULY DEFINE WHAT A CLASSIC IS VERSUS WHAT  
SOMEONE IN THE EYE OF THE BEHOLDER IS.  
THANK YOU.

>> A CLASSIC COMMISSIONER NAMED COLLINS HAD SOMETHING TO SAY.

>> I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY THE SCRAP IN PRICES ARE VERY HIGH RIGHT NOW AND I'VE  
JUST CLEANED UP A COUPLE ACRES.  
KID MADE SEVERAL THOUSAND BUCKS.  
SO DON'T TAKE IT ALL TO THE NEW LOT.  
TAKE A BUNCH RIGHT THERE AND SCRAP IT AND GET RID OF IT.  
ALL RIGHT THERE'S THE MOTION.  
WE'RE GOING TO VOTE ON IT.  
CAST YOUR VOTES.

>> MOTION CARRIED.

>> ITEM 88 IS SET THE ANNUAL SALARY FOR THE CLARK COUNTY JUSTICE OF THE PEACE  
AND PROVIDE FUTURE SALARY INCREASE.

>> DOES STAFF HAVE A PRESENTATION OR DO THE JUDGES?  
THERE'S A BUNCH OF JUDGES HERE.  
I SEE NO ROBES BUT JUDGE.

>> I JUST HAVE A PRESENTATION.

>> GOOD MORNING.

>> GOOD MORNING.

>> MY NAME IS STEVE DHAL.  
I'M A JUDGE IN THE LAS VEGAS JUSTICE COURT.

>> WE REALLY DON'T HAVE A PRESENTATION.  
JUST HERE TO ASK.

>> YOUR HONOUR WE'RE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO HEAR A WORD YOU SAY.  
COWL YOU SPEAK INTO THE MICROPHONE.

>> THE PRESENTATION IS SHORT, WE ARE ASKING FOR AN ADJUSTMENT WHICH WOULD BE THE FIRST PAY RAISE FOR THE JUSTICE OF THE PEACE, WOULD BE THE FIRST PAY RAISE IN SIX YEARS.

AND THEN CONSIDERATION OF A COST OF LIVING OR SOME KIND OF ADJUSTMENT THAT'S GIVE ON THE OTHER COUNTY EMPLOYEES, AFTER THAT WE CAN AVOID COMING BACK IN FRONT OF THE COMMISSION AIR FIVE OR SIX YEARS ASKING FOR A LARGE PAY INCREASE WHICH IS ESSENTIALLY CATCHING UP FOR WHAT WE HAVEN'T RECEIVED OVER THE LAST FIVE OR SIX YEARS.

BUT, I BELIEVE IT'S ALL ON THE AGENDA ITEM.

>> IF YOU WOULD LIKE I CAN CLARIFY WHAT'S IN THE BACK.  
THE REQUEST IS THAT THEY CURRENTLY RECEIVE 128,000.  
THERE ARE FOUR DIFFERENT PAY SCALES IF YOU WILL FOR DISTRICT COURT -- I'M SORRY.  
FOR JUSTICE OF THE PEACE.

THERE'S THE NORTH LAS VEGAS, LAS VEGAS AND HENDERSON.

J.P.s, LAUGHLIN HAS THOSE SALARIES ARE FOR LAUGHLIN IS 83,655 A YEAR.

AND THEN WE HAVE A GROUP THAT ARE CURRENTLY AT \$51,480 AND A SECOND GROUP WHICH ARE AT \$61,480.

WHAT THE JUDGES HAD REQUESTED IN THE BACKUP ITEM IS A ONE-TIME ADJUSTMENT OF 19.23% EFFECTIVE ON JANUARY 1st OF '08 AND THEN THEY HAVE REQUESTED IN THE BACKUP ITEM THAT THEY RECEIVED GOING FORWARD, BASED ON OUR SEIU CONTRACT.  
THAT'S WHAT'S REQUESTED IN THE BACKUP ITEM.

NO COMMISSIONER WOODBURY.

>> WE'VE KNOWN EVERY JUSTICE OF THE PEACE THAT HAS EVER SERVED IN CLARK COUNTY.  
[LAUGHTER]

>> THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN.

YES.

I THINK I HAVE WORKED WITH THESE CURRENT JUDGES OVER THE PERIOD OF MANY YEARS.  
AND I THINK THE REQUESTED INCREASE IS CERTAINLY JUSTIFIED.  
FOR ALL OF THEM.

WE'RE TALKING OVER A SIX-YEAR PERIOD WHERE THERE HASN'T BEEN AN INCREASE.  
AND I'M GOING TO MOVE FOR APPROVAL OF THE 19.23% INCREASE FOR EACH OF THE JUDGES.

I THINK WITH REGARD TO THE I THINK I WOULD PROPOSE SOMETHING ELSE.

INSTEAD OF INSTEAD OF WAITING FIVE OR SUCKS YEARS TO REVIEW THIS, WE WOULD REVIEW THESE SALARIES EVERY TWO YEAR.

WHICH I THINK IS WHAT THE LEGISLATURE OUGHT TO DO WITH THE DISTRICT JUDGES AND THE OTHER OFFICIALS THAT THEY REVIEW AS WELL.

SO AS WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT PERCENTAGES.

>> THAT WOULD BE ACCEPT TO BELIEVE THE JUDGES.

THAT PROPOSAL?

>> WHAT HAS BEEN DONE.

>> COMMISSIONER COULD YOU CLARIFY IF YOUR MOTION MEANS AN EFFECTIVE DATE OF IS THAT JANUARY 1st OF '08?  
WOULD THAT BE AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

>> IS THAT THE RECOMMENDATION?

>> YES.

>> I'M NOT SURE WHICH.

I WAS THE NORTH LAS VEGAS PRETTY MUCH WORK A FULL-TIME LOAD.

AND -- I'M NOT SURE THAT ALL OF THESE OTHER OUTLYING AREAS WORK FIVE DAYS A WEEK.

I'M FAMILIAR WITH A COUPLE THAT MAYBE WORK A COUPLE OF HOURS A WEEK.

I KNOW THEY'RE SALARIED SO WE CAN'T CALL THEM PART-TIME.

AS LAUGHLIN'S GROWTH BOOMED, BACK IN 94 THEY GOT A 44% INCREASE IN 1997 IT IS 59%.

AND 67% AT THE 2001-03 RAISE.

AND THEN, YOU KNOW LOOKING BACK THAT THERE WERE ADJUSTMENTS WHERE MESQUITE GOT A 92%.

AND THE REST 50% AND MESQUITE GOT 253, BUNKERVILLE, 139, 91 FOR SEARCHLIGHT.

64 FIRST GOODS SPRINGS AND FOR LAUGHLIN THE OTHER JUDGES GOT 30.

SO IT IS NOT ALWAYS BEEN A CROSS THE BOARD INCREASES.

I GUESS THE POINT OF, BECAUSE OF THE LOWER CASELOAD IN THE RURAL AREAS, COMPARED TO THEIR PAY RANGES THE FACT THEY DON'T HAVE TO BE AN ATTORNEY.

AND SOME ALSO GET OTHER STIPENDS, FOR EXAMPLE THE HEARING MASTER INCREASES.

MY -- MY CONSIDERATION FOR THEM WOULD BE TO GO ALONG WITH THE 19.23 AS A CATCH-UP FOR THE NORTH LAS VEGAS AND I SUPPORT COMMISSIONER WOODBURY COME BACK IN TWO-

YEAR REVIEW OR GIVE AFTER TWO YEARS A TWO YEARS FOR THAT LENGTH OF THE TIME,

UNTIL THE LEGISLATURE WOULD AGAIN ADDRESS DISTRICT COURT JUDGE PAY RAISES.

SO EITHER GRANTING FOR TWO YEARS IN THE NEXT FOUR OR TO DO A REVIEW AND SEE WHAT THEY NEED.

I WOULD NOT SUPPORT A 19.23% INCREASE FOR THOSE OUTLYING JUSTICE OF THE PEACE AREAS.

I THINK THAT IT SHOULD BE SOMEHOW MAYBE HALF OF WHAT IS OFFERED TO THE LAS VEGAS ANDERSON NORTH LAS VEGAS, BECAUSE I DON'T THINK THEY HAVE THE CASELOAD AND THE WORKLOAD TO.

ADJUST IT EQUITABLY THE WAY IT HAS BEEN DONE IN THE PAST THE WHERE SOME HAVE HAD MORE THAN THE OTHERS BECAUSE OF WORKLOAD OR WHATEVER THE CASE MAY BE.

MY POSITION WOULD BE TO -- SIMPLY GRANT 19.23 FOR LAS VEGAS, ANDERSON NORTH LAS VEGAS AND HALF OF THE 19, NOT JUST ABOUT 10% FOR THE REST OF THEM THAT.

WOULD BE MY RECOMMENDATION TO THIS BOARD.

>> IF I COULD SUGGEST SIX YEARS AGO -- WE WENT THROUGH THE PROCESS WHERE THE COURTS WERE DIVIDED UP IN CERTAIN PERCENTAGES FOR LAUGHLIN AND IT WAS DECIDED THEN, BASED ON WORK LOAD AND CASELOAD WHAT THE FAIR SCALE SHOULD BE.

ALL WE'RE DOING WITH THIS PAY REQUEST IS ASKING THAT ALL OF THE JUDGES BE TREATED THE SAME AND THAT THEY ALL GET THE SAME PERCENTAGE INCREASES.

ALL OF THE JUDGES HAVE BEEN IMPACTED IN THE LAST SIX YEAR.

ALL HAVE HAD INCREASE CASELOADS.

MOST HAVE INCREASED REF NEWS.

IT IS NOT LIKE SOME COURTS ARE STANDING STILL AND OTHERS ARE MOVING FORWARD.

THE COMMISSION MADE A DECISION SIX YEARS AGO ABOUT DIVIDING THE PERCENTAGES IT JUST INCREASES EVERYONE BY 70%.

THAT'S WHAT OUR REQUEST IS.

>> NORMALLY MR. CHAIRMAN, AS I NEGOTIATE UNION CONTRACTS.  
THE LOCAL BREAD GOES UP THE SAME FOR EVERYONE.  
IF I WAS TRYING TO ADJUST THE COST OF LIVING I WOULD AGREE WITH YOU.  
I'M NOT WORKING ALL OF YOU 40 HOURS A WEEK.  
SOME OF YOU ARE TWO OR THREE OR TEN HOURS A WEEK.  
I THINK THERE'S A LEGITIMATE REASON TO HAVE A SEPARATION IN THESE RAISES.

>> I DO HAVE TWO OF THE OUTLYING JUDGES HERE, IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FROM THEM OR RURAL JUDGES, IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FROM THEIR CASELOAD AND WHAT THEY'RE DOING.

>> RORY: APPRECIATE YOUR SUGGESTION COMMISSIONER WOODBURY HAS A COMMENT.

>> BRUCE: I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FROM THE RURAL JUDGES CALL YOUR ATTENTION WHEN WE MADE THE ADJUSTMENTS A FEW YEARS AGO, IT WAS TO RECOGNIZE THE RURAL JUDGES AS JUDGES.  
BEFORE THEIR PAY SCALE WAS NEXT TO NOTHING IN SOME SITUATIONS.  
IF YOU LOOK AT WHAT THE J.P.S RECEIVE AROUND THE STATE, OUR RURAL J.P.S WERE WAY, WAY BELOW THE LEVEL OF SOME OF SMALLEST COUNTIES.  
IF YOU LOOK AT THE REVENUE THAT -- THAT AGAIN RATED FROM THESE COURTS, IT IS VERY, VERY SIGNIFICANT.  
THESE ARE HARD-WORKING JUDGES.  
THEY'RE ELECTED BY THE PEOPLE.  
WITH YOUR PERMISSION I WOULD LOVE IT HEAR FROM SOME OF THESE JUDGES.

>> RORY: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME FOR RECORD.

>> GOOD MORNING, I THINK IT IS STILL MORNING.  
I'M DAWN HAVLIN SERVING GOODSPRINGS TOWNSHIP OUR COURT IS OPEN FROM 7:00 A.M. TO 4:30 MONDAY THROUGH THURSDAY.  
I ALSO DO OUR P.C.  
HEARINGS FROM MY HOME ON FRIDAY, SATURDAY, AND SUNDAY.  
ON MANY OCCASIONS, I WILL ISSUE A PROTECTIVE ORDER FROM MY DINING ROOM TABLE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT.  
OUR CASELOAD HAS PROBABLY INCREASED FOUR OR FIVE TIMES IN THE SEVEN YEARS I'VE SERVED.  
BUT WE DO ONE OTHER THING AS WELL.  
WE ARE PROBABLY THE ONLY ELECTED OFFICIAL IN OUR GIVEN AREAS.  
SO A FRONT LINE, EVEN FOR YOU DIRECTING PEOPLE THAT EITHER CAN'T GET FURTHER OR -- ARE CONFUSED OR DON'T TRUST OTHER PEOPLE.  
WE REPRESENT THE JUDICIARY OF NEVADA.  
AND I AGREE WITH COMMISSIONER WOODBURY, IT IS A DISTINGUISHED POSITION THAT WE WORK VERY HARD TO FULFILL.  
WE SHOULD BE TREATED AS EQUALLY AS ANY OTHER CONSTITUENT OR ELECTED OFFICIAL.  
WE HAVE BEEN QUITE CONTENT WITH THE PERCENTAGE OF THE SALARIES, BECAUSE MANY OF THE OUTLYING J.P.S DO NOT DEVOTE TOTAL TIME, THEREFORE WE DON'T GET TOTAL SALARY.  
BUT THERE ARE THOSE OF US WHO EXCEED.  
ANY QUESTIONS?  
JIM THE.

>> KEN: LAUGHLIN, NEVADA.

>> I AGREE WITH THE JUDGE.

WE DO MANY THINGS IN OUR COMMUNITY ABOVE AND BEYOND WHAT IS DONE LAS VEGAS AND NORTH LAS VEGAS.

MY CASELOAD ON THURSDAY IS THE ONLY DAY I CAN GET THE PUBLIC DEFENDER AND OUR DISTRICT ATTORNEY DOWN THERE.

I'M IN THAT COURTROOM AT 7:00 IN THE MORNING.

REALLY, IT IS NOT OPEN UNTIL 9:00.

YOU'LL BE THERE GETTING EVERYTHING PREPARED AND GETTING IT READY ON THAT DAY.

IT MAY GO UNTIL 6:00 OR 7:00 SOMETIMES.

I CAN'T GO OUT OF MY HOUSE WITHOUT PEOPLE STOPPING ME.

I'M BASICALLY ON CALL 24 HOURS A DAY, JUST LIKE I WAS WITH MY PAST JOB.

ANY QUESTIONS?

>> DO YOU GET UNDER THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEM?

>> YES.

>> 100% EMPLOYER PAID, RIGHT?

>> I AM.

>> I GUESS THE REST OF THEM ARE TOO?

>> THANKS.

>> RORY: ALL RIGHT.

COMMISSIONER MAXFIELD.

>> THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

JUST A COUPLE OF COMMENTS.

ONE, FIRST I WANT TO MAKE COMMISSIONER WOODBURY OFFERED A MOTION, IS THAT CORRECT?

>> RORY: CORRECT.

>> I AGREE WITH COMMISSIONER WOODBURY'S MOTION.

WE'VE HAD THIS DISCUSSION.

I'VE BEEN HERE AND HAD THIS DISCUSSION IN TRYING TO EQUATE THE JUSTICES FOR WORKLOAD.

BUT ALSO TO BRING UP SOME VALID POINTS WE TALKED ABOUT A FEW YEARS AGO AS WELL.

THE JUDGES IN THE RURAL AREAS ARE EVERYTHING HE SAID AND MORE.

THE COMMUNITY LOOKS TO JUDGE TO STOP AND PREVENT THINGS FROM HAPPENING, BECAUSE

THEY BECOME A DISTINGUISHED OR A FIGURE FOR THE COMMUNITY WHERE A LOT OF

INCIDENTS AND THING GO ON BEFORE INSTANCES COME ABOUT.

PEOPLE LOOK TO THAT STOPPING IN THE GROCERY STORE OR COMMISSIONER COLLINS CASE, OUT IN HIS FIELD.

WHAT ABOUT THIS?

WHAT ABOUT THAT?

>> THAT'S WHY YOU SEE THE DISPARITY OF THE SALARY ANNUAL SALARY.

THEY'RE NOT ALL MAKING THE SAME AS THE JUDGES THAT ARE HERE IN THE URBANIZED CORE OF OUR COMMUNITY.

SO-- I THINK THAT -- I THINK IT IS JUSTIFIED.

I BELIEVE THEY WORK FOR IT.

THEY'RE AVAILABLE, WHETHER THEY'RE ATTORNEYS OR NOT.

THEY CERTAINLY HAVE A LONG TIME, SO HAVE A LONG-TIME EXPERIENCE.

THEY KNOW THE COMMUNITY VERY WELL.

I THINK THEY SERVE WELL AND IT IS DESERVING OF THEM SO, I SUPPORT THAT MOTION.

>> THERE'S A MOTION ON THE FLOOR.  
ANY OTHER COMMENTS?  
COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> CHRIS: THANK YOU, I APOLOGIZE.  
I -- JUST WANT TO CLARIFY COMMISSIONER WOODBURY, IT IS JANUARY 1, 2008 THE  
19.23%.  
WE WOULD THEN ANTICIPATE THAT THE DIRECTIVE WILL COME BACK THAT IN TWO YEARS  
AFTER THAT WE WOULD REVIEW SO WE DIDN'T GET SO FAR OUT OF WHACK.  
I HAD A CONCERN.  
I ORIGINALLY THOUGHT IT WOULD WORK.  
YOU GO AHEAD OF DISTRICT COURT.  
I DON'T WANT TO CREATE THAT DISPARITY.  
THEY WILL GO TO THE LEGISLATURE SEE WHAT THE J.P.S ARE GETTING AND WE'RE CAUGHT  
IN THIS GRIND.  
I THINK THROUGH THAT MOTION THAT, AT LEAST GETS US -- YOU DON'T CALL FALL OFF  
THE RADAR SCREEN, SO TO SPEAK.  
I DO WANT TO THANK JUDGE LIPPOS, BECAUSE WE DID MEET TO TALK ABOUT NIGHT COURTS  
AND OTHER TYPES OF SCHEDULING WITH MR. SHORT BEING IN THE AUDIENCE AS AN ASIDE,  
I DO THINK THAT MAYBE IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO GET THE OTHER JURISDICTIONS TO TALK  
ABOUT HOW WE WILL DEAL WITH SPACING AND LOCATIONS OF THIS WHOLE FACTOR WITH THE  
GROWTH THAT WE'RE HAVING.  
AND IF -- IF COMMISSIONER WOODBURY WOULDN'T MIND THAT PERHAPS -- PERHAPS  
MANAGEMENT WORK WITH THEM TO LOOK AT EXPLORING HOW WE CAN USE OUR FACILITIES FOR  
JUDGES TO THE UTMOST.  
AND THEN SECONDLY MAYBE TO PROVIDE THE CASELOADS FROM THE RURAL.  
NO THE CASELOADS SO WE AT LEAST HAVE THE DOCUMENTATION.  
I KNOW THE COMMISSIONER DID ATTEMPT TO GET THAT.  
THAT WILL ADDRESS SOME OF THE QUESTIONS THAT COME INTO PLAY THAT.  
WOULD BE HELPFUL.

>> MAY I MAKE ONE QUICK COMMENT.

>> THE JUDGE HAD SUGGESTED THE SURVEY OF THE CLIENTS WHO WE SERVICE.  
THAT'S IN THE PROGRESS OF BEING PREPARED.

>> I COULD KICK AROUND SOME IDEAS.  
WE TALKED ABOUT GOING TO ARIZONA.

>> THAT WOULD BE ON SEPTEMBER 27TH SOMEWHERE IN THERE.  
THANK YOU.

>> CHRIS: THANKS.

>> CAN I SAY ON BEHALF OF THE RURAL JUDGES, THEY ARE REQUIRED TO GO TO THE  
JUDICIAL COLLEGE AND TAKE A MINIMUM AMOUNT OF REQUIREMENTS MOST EXCEED THAT.  
SO THEY REALLY WORK HARD.

>> RORY: COMMISSIONER BRAGER HAD A COMMENT OR QUESTION.

>> I CAN ONLY SPEAK TO THE GOODSPRINGS AREA.  
KNOWING JUDGE HAVLIN PERFORMS MORE THAN A JOHNSHIP TO BE QUITE FRANK IN REGARDS  
JUDGESHIP, IN REGARDS TO THE SCHOOL BOARD AND THE TOWN MEETINGS.  
IT IS SOMEONE THAT TRULY KNOWS THEIR COMMUNITIES.  
IT IS VERY ONE-ON-ONE.

SO, IN THAT RURAL ASPECT, I THANK YOU FOR WHAT YOU DO AND THE INVOLVEMENT AND CREATION.  
WE NOW HAVE A K THROUGH 12 SCHOOL, BECAUSE OF THE HARD WORK AND THE COMMITMENT THAT YOU GAVE.  
I JUST WANT TO THANK YOU FOR THAT.

>> I JUST HAD ONE -- .

>> RORY: MR. MAXFIELD.

>> CHIP: I UNDERSTAND THAT THE TWO-YEAR REVIEW WOULD BE IN SEQUENCE WITH THE LEGISLATURE AS THEY REVIEW OTHER ELECTED SALARIES?  
COMMISSIONER WOODBURY, IS IT YOUR INTENT TO HAVE STAFF COORDINATE THAT WITH WHAT THE LEGISLATURE DOES WITH OTHER ELECTED?

>> WELL, WE CAN'T CONTROL WHAT THE LEGISLATURE WOULD DO.  
THEY WOULD ADJUST SALARIES EVERY TWO YEARS.  
WE CAN SEE WHAT IT IS AND WHAT THEIR SCHEDULE IS AND GET COMMUNICATION, BUT WE SHOULD HAVE THE MATTER COME BEFORE US, I THINK EVERY TWO YEARS.

>> THAT'S FINE.

>> RORY: ALL RIGHT.  
THERE'S A MOTION.  
YEAH, I KNOW ALL OF THESE FOLKS.  
IS THERE A CIRCUMSTANCE IN WHICH A GOOD FRIEND IS A REASON TO ABSTAIN, WHEN YOU'RE GIVING THEM A RAISE?

>> THE LAW DOES NOT REQUIRE YOU TO ABSTAIN, BUT I THINK DISCLOSURE IS APPROPRIATE.

>> RORY: WHOSE YOUR FRIEND?  
I HAVE ONE J.P.  
WHO WAS A ROOMMATE IN COLLEGE.  
I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT MEANS.

>> YOU KNOW.

>> RORY: IT IS PROBABLY BETTER THAN LIVING WITH HER.  
ALL RIGHT.  
YOU'VE HEARD THE MOTION.  
CAST YOUR VOTE.  
MOTION CARRIES.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

>> ITEM 89 IS RECEIVE A REPORT ON THE EMPLOYEE AND PUBLIC OUTREACH FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF MEDICAL FOR SOUTHERN NEVADA AND TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION.  
COMMISSIONERS I WILL GIVE YOU A QUICK OVERVIEW OF THAT PROCESS.  
AND THEN MISS SILVER WILL JUMP IN WITH SOME OF THE ACTION PLANS WE HAVE TAKEN.  
AND BELIEVE THAT YOU ALL -- RECEIVE PREVIOUSLY A COPIES OF THIS PRESENTATION.  
I THINK IT MAY BE UP ON THE SCREEN HERE SHORTLY.  
HERE'S KIND OF A RECAP OF WHAT'S HAPPENED OVER THE LAST YEAR.  
EARLIER THIS YEAR, YOU RECEIVED THE RESULTS OF THE ERNEST AND YOUNG AUDIT.

THAT IDENTIFIED THAT ABOUT \$34.3 MILLION WOULD BE NEEDED FROM THE GENERAL FUND TO SUPPORT THE HOSPITAL.

YOU ALSO BROUGHT BACK BY GEORGE STEVENS A PROJECTION FOR 2007 ESTIMATED TO BE 60 \$MILLION AND THEN LAST MONTH YOU RECEIVED THE REPORT IT WOULD BE \$54.6 MILLION. AFTER RECEIVING THAT REPORT, I APPOINTED CATHY TO BE THE ACTING CEO AND GEORGE TO BE THE -- THE CFO FOR THE HOSPITAL.

WE IDENTIFIED SOME IMMEDIATE SAVINGS, THAT INCLUDED ABOUT \$19 MILLION WORTH OF OPERATIONAL EXPENSES.

IT DID NOT AFFECT ANY OF THE CURRENT SERVICES.

WE DID THAT BY CUTTING A NUMBER OF THINGS, INCLUDING REVIEWING ALL OF OUR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS, CANCELLING THREE OF THOSE.

RENEGOTIATING SOME OTHERS, RESTRUCTURING AND RECLASSIFYING SOME POSITIONS.

ELIMINATING SOME VACANT POSITIONS AND ALSO DELAYING SOME PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS.

ALSO, AT THE BOARD ADVANCE UMC ADMINISTRATION PROVIDED A LOT OF SERVICES AND PROGRAMS THAT WE COULD CONSIDER FOR POSSIBLE REDUCTION OF SERVICE DEPENDING UPON THE AMOUNT OF SUPPORT THAT WAS REQUIRED BY THE BOARD TO SUPPORT THOSE ACTIVITIES IN THE HOSPITAL.

I ALSO WANTED TO REMIND YOU OR AT LEAST REFRESH YOU ON THE REPORT GIVEN TO THE BOARD, THE CONSULTING GROUP WAS BROUGHT IN TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO YOU ON DIFFERENT TYPES OF GOVERNANCE AND WHAT SOME OF THE IMPLICATIONS WERE.

THEY ALSO DID DURING ANALYSIS ON WHAT STATE AND LOCAL SUPPORT CAME TO PUBLIC HOSPITALS.

I'M GOING TO JUST MENTION THESE GOVERNANCE ALTERNATIVES THAT THEY BROUGHT FORWARD IN THEIR REPORT, JUST BRIEFLY, BECAUSE AT THE END OF THE PRESENTATION WE WILL ASK YOU TO GIVE US SOME DIRECTION ON THAT.

THE FIRST OPTION, CONTINUING THE PRESENCE BCC GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE IT WOULD CONTINUE SERVING AS THE BOARD OF HOSPITAL TRUSTEES AND WOULD HAVE FINAL SAY OVERALL HOSPITAL POLICIES AND SPENDING.

SECOND ALTERNATIVE WAS TO ESTABLISH A HOSPITAL ADVISORY BORE INCH THAT CASE THE COUNTY WOULD MAINTAIN OWNERSHIP, BUT THE DECISIONS NOW, MANY OF THE DECISIONS THAT GO DIRECTLY TO THE BCC WOULD GO TO AN ADVISORY BOARD FIRST.

LEASING THE HOSPITAL TO THE NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION, UNDER THIS SCENARIO.

THE COUNTY WOULD STILL OWN THE HOSPITAL, BUT POLICY AND BUDGET DECISIONS WOULD MOST LIKELY REST WITH A MON PROFIT THERE.

ARE VARIATIONS THAT WOULD INCLUDE KEEPING FINAL BUDGET DECISIONS WITH THE COMMISSIONERS.

ESTABLISHING A SEPARATE ENTITY TO TAKE OVER THE HOSPITAL.

THIS WOULD CREATE A STRUCTURE SIMILAR TO SOMETHING LIKE THE SOUTHERN NEVADA HEALTH DISTRICT.

THERE ARE OTHERS WHERE THERE WOULD BE THE OWN REVENUE STREAM AND COULD BE OVERSEEN BY AN INDEPENDENT BOARD.

THEY WERE APPOINTED BY VARIOUS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS.

CONVEYING OWNERSHIP TO A NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION WOULD BE SIMILAR TO THE LEASE OPTION.

AND WOULD BE TRANSFERRED TO A NON-PROFIT IN SOME WAY.

AND SELLING THE HOSPITAL, ALTHOUGH STATE LAW DOES NOT CURRENTLY ALLOW THIS, EVEN IF THE LAW WAS CHANGED THAT WOULD NOT UNGATE THE COUNTY OF THE STATUTORILY MANDATED DUTY TO PROVIDE URGENT MEDICAL CARE.

>> IN THE PUBLIC OUTREACH WE TARGETED THREE GROUPS.

THE EMPLOYEES STAKE HOLDERS AND THE GENERAL PUBLIC.

I THINK THAT -- I THINK ALL OF YOU HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT REPAIRING THESE FINANCIAL CHALLENGES WHICH IS NOT GOING TO HAPPEN OVERNIGHT AT YOUR DIRECTION AFTER WE HEL THE BUDGET WORK SHOP.

WE DID REACH OUT TO THE PUBLIC TO SOLICIT THE INPUT.

THE FOCUS GROUPS INCLUDED COUNTY SCIU AND UMC STAFF WORKING TOGETHER TO HOST 14 THEY WERE FACILITATED FOCUS GROUPS INCLUDING A BROAD SECTION OF -- OF REPRESENTATION FROM THE MANAGEMENT AND SUPERVISORY UNITS. NONMANAGEMENT EMPLOYEES AND OF COURSE, UNION EMPLOYEES. THE STAFF ALSO HOSTED FOCUS GROUPS FOR COMMUNITY DOCTORS AFFILIATED WITH THE HOSPITAL AND ANOTHER FOCUS GROUP FOR HEALTHCARE AND COMMUNICATE SERVICE PROVIDERS. THE SECOND COMPONENT INCLUDED THE PUBLIC FORUMS AND INTERNET FEEDBACK. TWO WERE HELD AT THE CLARK CENTER. AND THE PUBLIC WAS INVITED TO MEET WITH COUNTY OFFICIALS SO, THEY COULD ASK QUESTIONS, GATHER INFORMATION AND SHARE IDEAS AND OTHER COMMENTARY. ADDITIONALLY THERE WAS A COMMENT FORM AND PLACED ON THE INTERNET FOR THE PUBLIC TO COMPLETE AND SUBMIT.

>> THE THIRD, WE USED AN EMPLOYEE INSTRUMENT AND THERE ARE A COUPLE OF -- ITEMS THAT I WOULD LIKE TO OUT AS THE RESULT OF THAT SURVEY. 5473 SURVEY INVITATIONS WERE ISSUED. OF THAT ABOUT 24% OR 1310 EMPLOYEES RESPONDED. WE ASKED QUESTIONS THAT WERE BOTH QUALITITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE AND OPEN ENDED. THERE WAS AN OPPORTUNITY TO RECEIVE JUST COMMENTS IN GENERAL. AND THEY ARE NOT LIMITED TO JUST THE QUESTIONS ASKED ON THE FORM.

>> IN THE QUANTITATIVE SUMMARY WE LOOKED AT THE EMPLOYEE ROLL. 91% OF THE RESPONDENTS STRONGLY AGREED OR SOMEWHAT AGREED AND HOW IT EFFECTED THE FINANCIAL HEALTH OF THE HOSPITAL. THE MAJORITY STRONGLY AGREED OR SOMEWHAT AGREED THE DEPARTMENT WAS INITIAL ALL AREAS IN LOOKING AT RESPONSES THE DATA SHOWED THAT OUTITIZATION OF STAFF AND CREATING OPPORTUNITIES IN THE REVENUE PROCESS WHERE AREAS OF GREAT EFFICIENCY MIGHT BE DESIRED. THE RELATION OF MANAGERS, THE STAFF, WHEN ASKED ABOUT THIS, GENERALLY ABOUT 52% FELT THE RATIO WAS JUST RIGHT. IN TERMS OF THE FEEDBACK ON THE GOVERNANCE OPTIONS. THE EMPLOYEES WERE ASKED THEIR THOUGHTS ABOUT THE GROUP OF ALTERNATIVES THEY JUST WENT THROUGH. THEY STRONGLY AGREED THE REMAINDER WAS 54%. THERE WAS ALSO FEEDBACK REGARDING PROPOSED SERVICE REDUCTION. EMPLOYEES WERE ASKED TO PROVIDE FEEDBACK ON ALL OF THE PROGRAMS THAT WE HAD DISCUSSED WITH THE BOARD AT THE BUDGET WORK SHOP. ALL OF THOSE PROGRAMS LIFTED RECEIVED A RESPONSE OF -- BASICALLY GENERALLY EXPRESSING SUPPORT TO CONTINUE THOSE PROGRAMS. CATHY WILL TALK MORE ABOUT THE SPECIFIC SERVICES IN HER PRESENTATION. AND IN TERMS OF THE QUALITATIVE COMMENTARY WE DID RECEIVE VERBAL AND WRITTEN COMMENTS. THE NATURE OF THESE INSTRUMENTS WERE THAT WE RECEIVED -- DATA THAT IN AND OF ITSELF WAS MORE EXPLORATORY IN NATURE. SOME OF THE COMMENTS THAT YOU MAY SEE, IF YOU LOOK AT THE DATA CONFLICT WITH THE -- WITH THE QUANTITATIVE DATA. THERE WAS OBVIOUSLY A LOT OF DIFFERING VIEW POINTS. THE COMMENTS WE TRIED TO GROUP IN GENERAL AREAS TO SORT OF -- SO WE COULD COME AROUND SOME CONCLUDING POINTS. AND -- WHEN WE ASKED THAT THE FOCUS GROUPS TALK ABOUT SERVICES THAT COULD BE ELIMINATED OVERWHELMINGLY THE RESPONDENTS DID NOT WANT TO REALLY ADDRESS THE ISSUE STATING THAT BASICALLY EVERYTHING UMC DID WAS ESSENTIAL. SO, SINCE THE ISSUE OF SERVICE ELIMINATION LACKED SOME SPECIFIC COMMENTARY IN THE FOCUS GROUPS. YOU WON'T SEE THAT IN THE TOP SUMMARY COMMENTS. YOU CAN SEE THAT IN THE QUANTITATIVE DATA JUST COVERED.

THE FEELINGS WERE VERY STRONG ABOUT ANY PROPOSED ELIMINATION OF SERVICES.  
THE TOP COMMENTS THAT WE RECEIVED -- INCLUDED COMMUNICATION, EFFICIENCY, AND  
TIMELY BILLING COLLECTIONS.

I.T., I.S.

SOFTWARE AND COMPUTERS WITH ANOTHER LARGE CATEGORY.

WE HAD A LOT OF FEEDBACK IN ADMISSIONS, STAFFING, MANAGEMENT, CONTRACTING, FUND-  
RAISING, SUPPLIES AND SUPPORT FOR HOSPITAL SERVICES.

KATHY WILL ADDRESS THESE NOW.

AND GIVE YOU SOME IDEAS ABOUT IDEAS SHE HAS TAKEN AND WILL BE UNDERTAKING WITH  
HER SUPPORT TO ADDRESS THESE SPECIFIC AREAS.

SHE SHOULD MENTION THAT FEEDBACK FROM THE HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS FOCUSED ON  
PRIVATE HOSPITAL RESPONSIBILITY AND THE BURDEN THAT UMC CARRIES FOR TREATING THE  
UNINSURED AND THE NEED TO COLLABORATE AND CREATE STRATEGIES FOR SHARING THE  
BURDEN OF INDIGENT CARE THROUGHOUT THE COMMUNITY.

SOME OF THE NEXT STEPS THAT WE WOULD LIKE FOR YOU TO CONSIDER HERE.

YOU'VE ALREADY ADOPTED YOUR BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008 THAT.

PROJECT UMC WILL NEED AN ADDITIONAL \$41.3 MILLION IN GENERAL FUND SUPPORT.

WE ALSO NEED TO CONTINUE TO WORK TO FINE WAYS AND LONGER TERM STRATEGIES FOR  
MINIMIZING THE AMOUNT OF GENERAL FUND SUPPORT NEEDED.

WE THINK THERE ARE A COUPLE OF THINGS WE CAN DO LEGISLATIVELY THAT WE WILL BEGIN  
WORKING ON NOW IN ANTICIPATION OF -- IMPROVING BOTH THE PRODUCTIVE ELIGIBLE AND  
POTENTIALLY REDUCING THE AMOUNT OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL TRANSFERS THAT IS RETAINED  
BY THE STATE.

THAT'S THE COUNTY MATCH THAT -- THE COUNTY PUTS UP TO THE STATE.

FIRST, THEN WE'LL START YOU TO RESPONSIBLE TO SOME OF THIS INPUT.

I THINK WE'RE GOING TO, AFTER TODAY, I HOPE PUT TO BED SOME OF THE ISSUES ON  
WHAT SERVICES WE'LL CONTINUE TO PROVIDE.

TO GO FORWARD WITH MAKING A PERMANENT APPOINTMENT FOR THE CEO POSITION.

I WOULD LIKE TO START THAT PROCESS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

WE WANT TO CONTINUE LOOKING AT LONG-RANGE FINANCIAL STABILITY STRATEGIES FOR THE  
HOSPITAL.

AND -- WITH THAT, I'M GOING TO ASK THAT CATHY JUMP RIGHT IN WITH THE ACTION  
PLAN.

.

>> GOOD AFTERNOON, CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONERS.

THANKS VIRGINIA.

WHAT WE DID IS WE PUT THIS PRESENTATION IN ORDER OF THE NUMBER OF COMMENTS.  
THE ITEMS HAVING THE LARGEST NUMBER OF COMMENTS ARE THE WAY WE HAVE STARTED.  
LEADING OFF WITH THE ISSUE OF COMMUNICATION.

THE INTEGRATION OF COUNTY AND UMC FINANCE HAS AND WILL CONTINUE TO PROVIDE THE  
TRANSPARENCY TO THE BOARD AND TO THE COMMUNITY.

INTEGRATION PROVIDES FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT IN COMMISSION WITH UNC STAFF AND  
MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL.

CURRENTLY U MC CONDUCTS A COST AND MANAGEMENT MEETING THAT WILL ALSO INCLUDE A  
FINANCIAL OVERVIEW ITEM FOR THE MANAGERS.

THE CEO MESSAGE, WHICH IS POSTED ON THE INTERNET ON THE OPENING PAGE OF THE  
INTERNET IS -- IS REDONE EVERY MONTH OR MORE FREQUENTLY, IF THERE ARE ISSUES OF  
MORE CONTEMPORARY NATURE THAT NEED TO BE DISCUSSED OR CURRENT EVENTS.

ALL STAFF WITH COMPUTER ACCESS HAVE UMC INTERNET ACCESS AND THE MESSAGE IS SENT  
VIA UMC POST-MAIL.

MANAGERS RELAY THIS INFORMATION TO STAFF, WHO HAVE LIMITED COMMUTER ACCESS.

CURRENTLY AROUND -- I WOULD EXPECT ANY CEO GOING FORWARD WILL DO THE SAME AROUND  
ON A MONTHLY BASIS, THREE TIMES MONTHLY WITH DIFFERENT ADMINISTRATIVE TEAM  
MEMBERS.

THIS IS AN TOWN TALK WITH STAFF, WITH PATIENTS AND WITH VISITORS.

OTHER ADMINISTRATORS PERFORM THESE ROUNDS TO THEIR RESPECTIVE DEPARTMENTS IN A MORE FREQUENT BASIS.

THE CEO ALSO INVITES COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FROM STAFF VIA E-MAIL.

THESE COMMENTS ARE PERSONALLY ANSWER BY MYSELF.

WE WILL ALSO ATTEMPT TO INSTITUTE SOME -- FORMERLY THEY WERE CALLED CEO BREAKFAST AND FORUMS AND DO IT THROUGHOUT TIME TO GIVE MORE INFORMAL ACCESS TO THE CEO TO TALK ABOUT WHATEVER IS ON STAFF MINDS.

>> LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT CONTINUES WITH AN EIGHT-WEEK SUPERVISORY BOOT CAMP. THIS 24-HOUR COURSE INCLUDES SESSION IN COMMUNICATION, COACHING DELEGATION AND TEAM BUILDING.

ADDITIONALLY UMCS PARTNERED WITH THE NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TRAINING AND REHABILITATE TO CREATE A SIX-MONTH LEADERSHIP A FOCUSING ON LEADERS ROLES TO BUILD AND STRENGTHEN TEAM WORK AND ORGANIZATIONAL RESPONSIBILITY.

SHARED GOVERNANCE HAVE BEEN INFORMED THROUGHOUT THE HOSPITAL.

AS PART OF THE GREATER JOURNEY TOWARDS MAGNET STATUS BEGAN ON THE NURSING UNITS AND HAVE BEEN CREATED AT STAFF REQUESTS THROUGHOUT OTHER CLINICAL AREAS AND NO CLINICAL DEPARTMENTS.

THE PURPOSE IS TO BRING DECISION-MAKING REGARDING PATIENT CARE AND DEPARTMENTAL OPERATIONS TO THE MOST APPROPRIATE PARTIES, WHICH ARE THE CAREGIVERS.

THE NEXT ITEM THAT WAS MENTIONED IS THE BILLING PROCESSES.

THE REVENUE CYCLE HAS IMPLEMENTED A MULTIPLE PRONG APPROACH TO ADMITTING BILLING AND PROCESSES IT INCLUDES TRAINING, GOAL SETTING AND FREQUENT STAFF

COMMUNICATION WAS MANAGEMENT, REGARDING ACCURACY OF DATA COLLECTED ACCOUNTS

CONCEIVABLE AND ADDITIONALLY THE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT DESIGNED TO IDENTIFY

UNDERPAYMENTS FROM GOVERNMENTS AND HMO PPO IS BEING IMPLEMENTED TO ASSIST STAFF IN THE BUILDING AND FOLLOW-UP EFFORTS.

INCLUDING PLANNING TO EXPECT BUSINESS PARTNERS TO ASSIST IN THE FOLLOW-UP.

COMPUTER SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENT.

THE CURRENT INITIATIVE FOCUS ON SHORT-TERM AND LONG-TERM PLANS.

THIS WILL ADDRESS THE ISSUES ADDRESSING THE OPERATION AND EFFICIENCY SUPPORTING REVENUE CYCLE PROCESSING FOR BOTH FINANCIAL AND CLINICAL INFORMATION.

THIS INCLUDE THE EVALUATION, SELECTION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW REPLACEMENT TO THE CURRENT UMC HOSPITAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS INCLUDING REPLACEMENT OF THE 20 YEARLY-YEAR OLD PATIENT ACCOUNTING SYSTEM AND PATIENT CARE SYSTEMS.

IN 2004-05 IN INTERIM OUT PATIENT BILLING SYSTEM SEPARATE FROM THE INPATIENT BILLING SYSTEM WAS IMPLEMENTED TO PROVIDE TEMPORARY IMPROVEMENT AND NUMEROUS INTERIM IMPROVEMENT IN FINANCIAL AND CLINICAL SYSTEMS HAVE BEEN MADE AND HAVE BEEN COMPLETED.

WE HOPE THIS WILL HAVE A POSITIVE IMPACT ON THE REVENUE CYCLE PROCESSING, BUT NOT ELIMINATE THE NEED FOR A COURSE SYSTEM REPLACEMENT.

IN THE INTERIM, UNTIL THIS FUNNING AVAILABLE.

WE'RE PROCEEDING WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ENTERPRISE WIDE PATIENT IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM.

THIS WILL ENABLE POSITIVE PATIENT IDENTIFICATION THROUGH BAR CODING AND PHOTOGRAPHIC TECHNOLOGIES AND ADMISSION PROCESSING EFFICIENCIES THROUGH ELECTRIC FORMS AND ELECTRIC SIGNATURE TECHNOLOGY.

THIS WILL IMPROVES ACCURACY OF PATIENT INFORMATION AT THE BEGINNING OF THE REVENUE SICKLE AND PROVIDE GREATER PATIENT SAFETY DURING THE PATIENT'S STAY THROUGH BAR CODING TECHNOLOGIES.

ALLOWING FOR AUTOMATED VERIFICATION OF MEDICATIONS AND BLOOD TRANSFUSIONS PLACING UMC AS THE FIRST AND ONLY HOSPITAL IN THE VALLEY WITH THE ENTERPRISE WIDE BAR CODING SYSTEM.

QUALITY AND SUFFICIENT STAFFING WAS ANOTHER AREA THAT GARNERED A LOT OF INTEREST FROM OUR SURVEY.

THE PRIMARY CHALLENGE HERE IS TO INSURE THE SUFFICIENT STAFFING IS IN EXISTENCE, WHILE REMAINING FISCALLY PRUDENT.

UMC IS INSISTENT ON PROVIDING ALL CLINICAL PHYSICIANS ARE REPLACED IN THE TIMELY MANNER WE HAVE CONTINUED TO USE SIGN ON BONUSES TO CONDUCT CLASSIFICATIONS WE HOPE IN THE FUTURE, WE WILL FINE THE ABILITY TO ELIMINATE SIGN-ON BONUSES BY CREATING AN ATMOSPHERE THAT PEOPLE JUST WANT TO WORK THERE.

WE REGULARLY MONITOR THE MARKET TO INSURE OUR SALARIES REMAIN COMPETITIVE. ADJUSTING PAY SCALES AND INSURING INCUMBENTS INSURE EQUITY WITHIN STAFF IS MAINTAINED OUR STAFFING MODEL IS DERIVED VIA A VALID AND RELIABLE COMPUTER-BASED TOOL ALSO USED THROUGHOUT THE NATION.

IT ALLOWS FLEXIBILITY TO INCREASE STAFFING AS NEEDS DICTATE RESULTING IN AVERAGE STAFFING LEVELS TO BE IN LINE OR BETTER THAN THE CALIFORNIA MANDATED STAFFING LEVELS UMC ADMINISTRATION IN CONSTRUCTION CONJUNCTION WILL BE REVIEWING AND MODIFYING CRITERIA TO MORE ACCURATELY MEASURE PARENTS NEEDS AND UNGRADED TO INCREASE USER EASE AND EXPAND TO THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT AND OTHER CLINICAL DISCIPLINES.

WE'LL ALSO INSTITUTED THE POSITION REVIEW COMMITTEE.

I'LL TALK MORE ABOUT THAT IN JUST A MINUTE.

WE HAVE EXPANDED OUR EMPLOYEE TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT FUNCTION.

MIDDLE MANAGEMENT.

IT HAS BEEN MENTIONED THE REVIEW COMMITTEE IS IN PLACE, INCLUDING ADMINISTRATION FROM FINANCE, HUMAN RESOURCES PATIENT SERVICES AND MYSELF TO REVIEW ALL POSITION REQUESTS OR REQUESTS OR RECLASSIFICATION IN MANAGEMENT POSITIONS ARE ADDED WITHOUT APPROVAL OF THIS BODY AND APPROVAL OF THE COUNTY MANAGER.

DURING THE LAST DOWN TURN IN THE FINANCIAL STATUS OF 2002, THE ONLY INDIVIDUALS WHOSE POSITIONS WERE ELIMINATED WERE FROM THE MANAGEMENT RANKS.

DURING THE CURRENT BUDGET CRISIS 12 MANAGEMENT POSITIONS WERE ELIMINATED AND VACANT NON-CRITICAL STAFF POSITION RESULTED IN THE CURRENT LEVEL OF 201 MANAGEMENT POSITIONS COMPARED WITH 4691 POSITIONS AND A EQUIVALENT OF 4.29%.

THESE FIGURES INCLUDE FRONT-LINE HANDS ON SUPERVISORS IF, ELIMINATED WILL RESULT IN ONLY 139 MANAGE IN THE POSITIONS OR 2.9%.

THE 12 STAFF POSITIONS HAVE INCREASED OVER 2002-2007.

MANAGEMENT HAS GONE DOWN OVER THE SAME PERIOD.

CONTRACTING.

WE'RE A LITTLE UNSURE FOR THE COMMENTS WHICH CONTRACTS THIS IS ACTUALLY MEANT TO -- TALK TO SPEAK TO, SO WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT ALL OF THE CONTRACTS.

NOT KNOWING EXACTLY THE CONTEXT IN MANAGED CARE CONTRACTING, WE REVIEW THE CONTRACTS WHEN THEY ARE UP FOR RENEWAL OR IN BETWEEN TERM, ASSUMING THAT THERE'S AN OPERATIONAL DEFICIENCY THAT'S BEEN IDENTIFIED AND STAFF OR MYSELF FEEL THERE NEEDS TO BE A RE-OPENING OF THE CONTRACT.

VENDOR CONTRACTS TYPICALLY BE REVIEWED AT THE TIME OF RENEWAL AND THE OPERATIONAL NEEDS THAT IS PRESENTED WITHIN THE TIME OF THE AGREEMENT.

PHYSICIAN CONTRACTS WILL BE SUBJECT TO AN PROCESS IMPLEMENTING PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND THE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS WILL BE REVIEWED ON AN ANNUAL BASIS.

NEW PHYSICIAN HALF DRIVEN COMMITTEE HAS BEEN APPOINTED ABOUT THE I-EXECUTIVE COMMUNITY SOTO EVALUATE ALL RSPS IN RESPONSE TO OUR CONTRACT OPPORTUNITIES.

FUND-RAISING, ADMINISTRATION IN CONJUNCTION WITH COUNTY MANAGEMENT AND WITH OUR CURRENT FOUNDATION BOARD, ALTHOUGH IT IS VERY SMALL IN NUMBER.

THE PARTNERS IN EXCELLENCE IS GOING TO TRY TO RESURRECT THE FOUNDATION.

THE GOALS ARE TO ENGAGE THE CLARK COMMUNITY IN CREATING PARTNERSHIP WITH THE BUSINESS LEADERS.

WE LOOK FORWARD TO THE RETURN OF THE CHILDREN MIRACLE NETWORK.

WE WOULD LIKE TO BELIEVE WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF ST.

ROSE WE MAY BE ABLE TO SHARE THAT IN THIS MARKET.

IT IS OBVIOUSLY NOT OUR CALL.

IT IS UP TO THE CHILDREN'S MIRACLE NETWORK TO MAKE THAT CALL.

THESE PLANS INCLUDE REDESIGNING THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT AND GOALS WILL INCLUDE FOCUSING ON DEVELOPING COMMUNITY PARTNERSHIPS AND ENHANCING RELATIONSHIP WITH THE BOARD, PERFORMING GRANT OPPORTUNITIES AND CREATING A ROBUST FUND-RAISING PLATFORM.

THE UMC WILL RECREATE THE ANALYSIS DESIGNED TO CREATE INPUT TO THE APPLICATION OF MEDICAL SUPPLIES.

THE VALUE HAS RECENTLY APPROVED FOR POSTING THE BUSINESS MANAGER HAS BEEN HIRED TO PROVIDE CLINICAL AND BUSINESS OVERSIGHT FOR RESOURCE ALLOCATION.

THE PRODUCE QUANTITY HAVE BEEN ADDRESS, WE BELIEVE, FOR THE MOST PART THROUGH THE S.A.P.

SYSTEM AND STAFF SHOULD FINE THEIR SUPPLIES DELIVERED IN A MORE ACCEPTABLE QUANTITY.

THE PURPOSE ON THIS UNIT TO INSURE PROMINENT DELIVERY, RETURN AND TRANSFER OF MEDICATIONS AS WELL AS STOCKING AND MAINTAIN INVENTORY LEVELS IN THE COMPUTERIZE DELIVERY SYSTEM.

NOW, I THINK THE PART THAT EVERYONE WOULD LIKE TO SEE US BRING SOME CLOSURE TO. THE REVIEW OF SERVICES.

THE FOLLOWING IS AN UPDATE TO THE OPTIONS WE PRESENTED TO THE BOARD OF THE BUDGET ADVANCE IN APRIL.

THE DECISION TO CLOSE WAS MADE BY THE BOARD IN RESPONSE TO THE AIRPORT EXPANSION AND CONSTRUCTION.

ACCESS TO THE CLINIC COULD BECOME EXTREMELY LIMITED AND VOLUME DROP QUICKLY.

CLARK PHYSICALS ARE BEING RELOCATED TO THE ENTERPRISE QUICK CARE AND STAFF HAVE BEEN REDEPLOYED WITH THE EXCEPTION OF TWO PHYSICIANS WHO CHOSE TO RETIRE FROM THE WORKFORCE.

SOME SAY QUICK CARE WILL REMAIN OPEN AND WILL BE CLOSELY MONITORED FOR FINANCIAL AND QUALITY PERFORMANCE.

LIE TELL YOU ON INITIAL ASSESSMENT OF THE PERFORMANCE AT SUNSET.

I'M OPTIMISTIC BY THE TRENDS WE'RE BEGINNING TO SEE.

WE'RE ACTUALLY SEEING MUCH MORE TRANSFER OF VOLUME COMING BACK TO THE HOSPITAL.

I THINK IF WE ACCOMPLISH NOTHING ELSE BY THIS.

THAT WAS A GOOD OUT COME.

SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE METRIX WILL BE PERFORMED EVERY SIX MONTHS BEFORE ANY FURTHER ACTION WILL BE TAKEN.

THE OUT PATIENT REHAB DEPARTMENT WILL REMAIN OPEN, BUT IT HAS BEEN CONTRARILY RELOCATED TO THE RANCHER REHAB FACILITY LOCATED ON NORTH RANCHER DRIVE.

THE PROGRESS ON THE MAIN CAMPUS HAS RENDERED THE CLINIC DIFFICULT TO ACCESS.

THE CLINIC HOWEVER, IS SCHEDULED TO RETURN TO THE MAIN CAMPUS WHEN CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETE.

>> THE SURF SIDE CLINIC WILL CONTINUE TO OFFER THE SERVICES WHICH IS THE BLOOD PRESSURE CHECKS AND THE LAB DRAWS, WE'RE ACTUALLY GOING TO COVER THAT CLINIC AND THE NURSE THAT WAS IN SEARCHLIGHT IS ACTUALLY BECOMING A PER DIEM NURSE AND THEY WILL COME BACK AND FORTH TO PROVIDE THOSE SERVICE AS THEY WERE PROVIDED BEFORE. ALL OTHER SERVICES MENTIONED ABOVE, WHICH INCLUDE THE BURN CARE, THE NICK U, THE WELLNESS CENTER.

CARDIAC REHAB WILL REMAIN INTACT.

AS WITH ALL SERVICES AND PROGRAMS THESE DEPARTMENTS AND SERVICES WILL BE CONTINUOUSLY MONITORED FOR FINANCIAL AND QUALITY PERFORMANCE.

>> I WANT TO MAKE THE BOARD AWARE OF A FEW OTHER INITIATIVE CURRENTLY IN PLEASE. ONE IS A PATIENT PLACEMENT CENTER.

EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT OVERCROWDING HAS A BEEN A PROBLEM FOR LAS VEGAS HOSPITALS CAUSING AMBULANCE DIVERSION, PATIENT DISSATISFACTION AND HIGH RISK SITUATION FOR CAREGIVERS IN APRIL 2004 THEY OPENED THE PLACEMENT CENTER A CENTRALIZED DEDICATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.

THE RESULTS OF THE CENTER HAVE BEEN DRAMATIC, WITH THE AVERAGE WHITE TIME PER PATIENT IN THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT DECREASING BY 1.5 HOURS OR NEARLY 13,000 TOTAL WAIT HOURS IN 2006.

DECREASING THE WAIT TIME FOR ADMITTED PATIENTS NOT ONLY INCREASES PATIENT, PHYSICIAN AND STAFF SATISFACTION BUT DIRECTLY CONTRIBUTES TO THE BOTTOM LINE BY ALLOWING MORE PATIENTS TO BE TREATED IN THE E.D. OR ADMITTED TO THE HOSPITAL. THERE WERE 840 ADDITIONAL PATIENTS ADMITTED IN 2006 OVER 2005.

2007 INDICATORS CONTINUE TO DEMONSTRATE FURTHER DECREASES IN WAIT TIMES AND INCREASES IN NUMBERS OF ADMISSIONS.

THE IN THE SUMMER OF 2004, IN AN EFFORT TO SMOOTH THE INPATIENT ADMISSION PROCESS.

INCREASED WAIT TIME IN THE E.D. AND PATIENT AND SAFETY SATISFACTION.

U.M.C. ADMINISTRATION BEGAN EXPLORING THE CONCEPT OF NATIONAL AND LOCAL RESPONSES WERE RECEIVED TO THE RFP.

HMG WAS SELECTED AMONG THE NUMEROUS QUALIFIED RESPONDENTS.

HMG CONTRACT WENT INTO EFFECT ON JULY 1ST.

THE PERFORMANCE OF HMG TO DATE HAS FAR SURPASSED THE EXPECTATIONS WITHIN JUST A FEW WEEK.

I NEED TO ADD THAT THEY ARE DOING THIS IN A SELECTIVE EFFORT WITH THE SCHOOL OF MEDICINE, AS OF RIGHT NOW THE SCHOOL OF MEDICINE ACTUALLY CONTRIBUTES TO 75% OF THE ACTUALLY PHYSICIAN RESOURCES NEEDED TO -- PROVIDE THIS PROGRAM.

INITIALLY THEY WERE EXPECTED TO SEE A CENSUS OF 60, HOWEVER, WITHIN THE FIRST WEEK TO TWO WEEKS IT WAS A PARTNER THEY'RE SEEING THE CENSUS OF 100.

THAT'S ABOUT 25% OF ALL UNC PATIENTS ABSENT MOMS AND BABIES.

THE AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY IS ALSO EXCEEDING OUR EXPECTATION.

THE PATIENTS SEEN HAVE A LENGTH OF STAY TWO DAYS LOWER THAN THE GENERAL POPULATION THIS.

IS SIGNIFICANT IN THE SHORTEST LENGTH OF STAY EQUATES WITH BETTER PATIENT OUT COMES AND FINANCIAL HEALTH.

IN ADDITION THE CHARGES PER CASE WHICH IS AN INDICATOR OF COST PER CASE ON THE COVERED PATIENTS.

WE HAVE AN ARGUMENT WHICH WE HAVE ENTERED INTO IN ORDER TO CAP PRICES ON OUR SURGICAL AND PLANT HOLD.

DUE TO THE SUCCESS OF THAT PROGRAM WE WILL EXPAND THAT TO INCLUDE CARDIOLOGY AS WELL.

WE'RE CONFIDENT THESE PROGRAMS WILL MAKE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON OUR SUPPLY EXPENSES, AS YOU HAVE HEARD GEORGE STEINS SAY IN PREVIOUS MEETINGS HAS DRAMATICALLY UP CREASED.

WE'RE WORKING WITH THE COMMUNITY OF LAUGHLIN IF A COLLABORATIVE TO DEVELOP A BROADER BASE OF PATIENTS IN THE LAUGHLIN MARKET FOR UNC.

WE'RE DOING THIS WITH VALLEY VIEW MEDICAL CENTER IN FORT MOJAVE.

THE VALLEY VIEW HOSPITAL WILL RECRUIT A PRIMARY CARE PHYSICIAN WHO WILL LEASE SPACE IN THE QUICK CARE IN LAUGHLIN.

WE HOPE BY THAT TO BRING A NEEDED SERVICE TO THE COMMUNITY AND INCREASE THE BUSINESS IN THE LAUGHLIN QUICK CARE.

VIRGINIA ALREADY TOUCH ON THE NEED TO WORK DURING THESE NEXT TWO YEARS ON SOME LEGISLATIVE OPPORTUNITIES THAT WE MAY HAVE THAT MAY BE A GREAT FINANCIAL BENEFIT TO UNC.

LAST, BUT NOT LEAST, IN ADDITION TO THE FOCUS GROUPS AND THE FEEDBACK THAT WE HAVE FROM THE EMPLOYEES WE WILL BE LOOKING AT DEVELOPING AN AGREEMENT WITH THE OUTSIDE COMPANY TO DO SOME MARKET RESEARCH TO DETERMINE GET INCREASED PUBLIC FEEDBACK ON THEIR OPINION OF THE HOSPITAL AND THINGS THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE FROM THE PUBLIC HOSPITAL THAT THEY EITHER LIKE OR CURRENTLY DON'T LIKE ABOUT US. WITH THAT I WOULD LOVE TO ENTERTAIN ANY QUESTIONS YOU MIGHT HAVE.

>> THAT COMPLETES STAFF'S PRESENTATION?

>> RORY: WE APPRECIATE THE REPORT.  
ARE THERE COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD?  
COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> CHRIS: THANK YOU.  
COULD YOU BRIEFLY EXPLAIN WHAT THE MAGNET STATUS OR PROGRAM?

>> MAGNET STATUS IS ACTUALLY INITIALLY A NURSING-DRIVEN PROGRAM.  
IT IS A UNIQUE LEVEL OF ACCREDITATION THAT VERY FEW HOSPITALS IN THE COUNTRY  
HAVE BEEN ABLE TO ACHIEVE.  
UNC AND WASHO NOW KNOWN AS RENOWN IN RENO HAVE APPLIED FOR THAT SURVEY PROCESS  
TO TAKE PLACE.  
WE EXPECT -- WHAT'S THE TIME FRAME?

>> WITHIN NINE MONTHS, WE SHOULD BE SURVEYED AND KNOW WHETHER WE HAVE BEEN ABLE  
-- IT IS A VERY PRESTIGIOUS AWARD, IF WE MANAGE TO ACCOMPLISH THIS IT WILL BE A  
GREAT CUE.

>> CHRIS: PATIENTS WITH OUT OF STATE I.D.S ONE THING THAT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE AT  
U.N.C.?  
WHAT WERE THEY TALKING ABOUT?  
I THINK THEY'RE REFERRING TO -- WE HAVE THE POTSY SAYS WE SHOULD ASK FOR  
IDENTIFICATION.  
A LOT OF PATIENTS COME IN CLAIMING THEY HAVE NO IDENTIFICATION.  
THEY WILL CLAIM THEY HAVE NO IDENTIFICATION FOR PROBABLY A NUMBER OF REASONS.  
THEY LEGITIMATELY DON'T HAVE IT WITH THEM.

>> THEY'RE TRAVELING HERE, BUT DIDN'T -- OR THERE MAY BE OTHER REASONS WHY THEY  
DON'T HAVE AN I.D..

>> CHRIS: I MADE THE LEAP IT WAS BACK TO THE CONVERSATION WHERE WE HAVE SOME  
MANY UNREGISTERED NEVADA CARS.  
MAYBE THAT PEOPLE COMING IN -- ACTUALLY HAVE I.D.S FROM OTHER STATES?  
THAT'S PEAKED MY INTEREST.  
THERE MIGHT BE SOMETHING STILL WORTHWHILE TO LOOK AT.  
I THINK WE NEED TO TAKE A LOOK AT THAT.  
BUT THAT'S AN ASIDE.  
A LOT OF HAD TO DO WITH I.T..  
I THINK YOU HAVE SOME OF YOUR PLANS AT UPDATING OUR TECHNOLOGY SO, WE CAN BE  
MORE EFFICIENT.

>> THAT'S A HUGE NEED.  
I KNOW IT IS A BIG FINANCIAL COMMITMENT, SO WE DON'T TAKE SHARING THAT  
INFORMATION LIGHTLY.

>> CHRIS: ON THE BILLING PART.  
IT APPEARS THAT -- THE GOAL IS TO STREAM LINE THE BILLING, WORKING WITH THOSE  
WHO ACTUALLY DO THAT BUSINESS ON A REGULAR BASIS SO WE'RE MAKING SURE WE GET ALL  
OF THE INFORMATION, IN ORDER TO PROCESS THROUGH ALL OF THE STEPS.  
CONTINUE THROUGHOUT THE PROCESS.  
IT IS GOOD INFORMATION, WHICH RESULTS IN BETTER COLLECTIONS.  
WE DID CANCEL THAT CONTRACT DOING THE OUTSIDE BILLING CORRECT?  
ACN OR WHATEVER?

>> YES WE DID.

>> CHRIS: DID WE GET A HANDLE BACK.  
I DON'T KNOW IN IT WAS THEM OR ANOTHER SUBCONTRACTOR.  
THAT HAS TO BE SOMETHING I THINK WE NEED TO MONITOR.  
THERE'S SOME THINGS THAT RIGHTLY SHOULD BE CONTRACTED OUT.  
SOMETIMES YOU THINK YOU HAVE THIS PERSON.  
THEN THEY SUBCONTRACT TO SUBCONTRACT TO SOMEONE ELSE.  
SOME OF THE STAFF HAD TOLD ME IN ONE OF THE BILLING INSTANCES THE BILLINGS WERE  
COMING FROM INDIA BACK HERE.  
WE WERE SHIPPING IT TO THEM.  
AND THEN -- YOU KNOW -- AGAIN, IT DIDN'T MAKE SENSE, AS FAR AS THAT IS  
CONCERNED.  
WE ALWAYS HAVE TO PROBE THAT PART OF IT.  
JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT WHAT WE THINK WE HAVE ON THE FRONT SIDE IS TRULY WHAT WE  
HAVE ON THE FRONT SIDE.  
ARE WE GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE MAKEUP OF THE BOARD?  
OR ALL OF THAT?  
WHERE ARE WE AT THIS POINT?

>> RORY: IF YOU WOULD LIKE I'LL SAY SOME THINGS.

>> I THINK THAT -- THIS MEETING.

>> RORY: I REAL THE HOUR IS LATE AND EVERYONE IS HUNGRY, BUT WE'VE BEEN WORKING  
TOWARDS THIS FOR MONTHS.  
IF JUST -- JUST FOR THE PUBLIC'S BENEFIT, REMEMBER THAT UNC IS THE ONLY PUBLIC  
HOSPITAL IN THE REGION.  
IT IS A -- IT IS A PUBLIC ASSET.  
IT DOES THINGS THAT IN OTHER HOSPITAL CAN DO HERE.  
IT IS REQUIRED TO DO THINGS THAT NO OTHER HOSPITAL IS REQUIRED TO DO.  
STATE LAW REQUIRES US TO TREAT THE INDIGENT.  
OTHER HOSPITALS DON'T HAVE THAT REQUIREMENT.  
AND -- WE HAD THE STRUGGLES THAT WE HAD.  
AS A REACTION TO THAT, WHAT WE SAID WE WOULD DO IS A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF THE  
HOSPITAL'S OPERATIONS.  
AND THAT WE WOULD TRY TO IMPROVE THE SERVICES THERE MAINTAIN THE QUALITY OF CARE  
WHILE REDUCING THE COSTS, IF POSSIBLE.  
I'M HAPPY TO REPORT THAT WE -- THERE'S BEEN SIGNIFICANT TANGIBLE BENEFITS TO  
THAT EFFORTS ALREADY.  
WE DECREASE SUPPLY AND PURCHASE SERVICES COSTS BY RENEGOTIATING SOME CONTRACTS,  
CANCELLING OTHERS.  
AS I UNDERSTAND IT THAT SAVED US OVER \$7 MILLION IN ONE YEAR.  
THOSE COSTS WILL CONTINUE -- THOSE BENEFITS WILL CONTINUE TO ACCRUE TO THE  
HOSPITAL AND THE PUBLIC.  
WE -- WE RECLASSIFIED PHYSICIANS, ELIMINATED SOME VACANT POSITIONS DID SOME  
PERSONNEL THINGS ALWAYS DIFFICULT TO DO AND SAVED ALMOST \$5 MILLION WITH RESPECT  
TO THAT.  
WE DELAYED SOME PROGRAMS AND -- AND THINGS WE WERE GOING TO DO AND SAVED ANOTHER  
HALF A MILLION DOLLARS.  
WE'VE ALREADY DONE OFF ALL OF THAT.  
A LOT OF WHAT VIRGINIA AND CATHY TALKED ABOUT THIS AFTERNOON RELATED TO THE --  
WE HAD THIRD PARTIES COME IN AND REVIEW THE HOSPITAL TOP TO BOTTOM.  
THEY LOOKED AT OUR OPERATIONS.  
THEY LOOKED AT OUR GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE.  
A LOT OF THESE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WERE MADE TODAY AND HAVE BEEN MADE IN THE  
PAST SEVERAL MONTHS, AS WE TALKED ABOUT THIS IS THE RESULT OF ALL OF THOSE  
REVIEWS.

I THINK WE NEED TO BRING SOME OF THESE THINGS TO CLOSURE.  
WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THE GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE OF THE HOSPITAL.  
WE TESTIFIED IN THE LEGISLATURE, WITH RESPECT TO THAT.  
AND -- SOME OF THE THINGS THAT THE LEWIN GROUP SUGGESTED ARE EITHER LEGALLY  
IMPOSSIBLE OR PRACTICALLY -- IMPRACTICAL -- THEY'RE PRACTICAL IMPOSSIBLE.  
I THINK WE NEED TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT.  
IT IS MY VIEW THAT MOST OF WHAT THEY SUGGESTED EITHER FALLS INTO THE TWO  
CATEGORIZE JUST DESCRIBED OR WOULD JUST CREATE ADDITIONAL BUREAUCRACY.  
THAT WOULD BE USELESS.  
WE WOULD BE SO FOCUSED ON THIS NEW BUREAUCRACY THAT WE WERE CREATING THAT IT  
WOULD MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT FOR US AND OUR STAFF TO FOCUS ON WHAT WE SHOULD BE  
FOCUSING ON, WHICH IS THE THING WE SAID WE WOULD, SERVICES, COSTS, QUALITY OF  
CARE, ALL OF THAT.  
SO -- SO MY OPINION IS -- YOU KNOW WE NEED TO CONTINUE WITH THE GOVERNANCE  
STRUCTURE THAT WE HAD.  
WE NEED TO BE MORE VIGILANT, AWFUL US.  
WE NEED TO BE TRANSPARENT.  
WE NEED TO HAVE THE PERIOD REPORTS THAT WE'RE DEMANDING FINANCIALLY FROM THE  
HOSPITAL ON A MONTHLY BASIS.  
WE NEED TO CONTINUE COMBINING THE FINANCE FUNCTION OF THE COUNTY AND THE FINANCE  
FUNCTION OF UNC SO SOME OF THE STUFF THAT HAPPENED BEFORE CANNOT AGAIN.  
AND I THINK ONE THING I WOULD SUGGEST WE TALKED ABOUT THE -- YOU TALKED ABOUT  
THE CEO OF ROUNDS YOU DO.  
I THINK THE COMMISSIONER SHOULD ROUND AT THE HOSPITAL IN THE PERIODIC BASIS.  
I THINK THE COMMISSIONERS NEED TO SEE WHAT'S GOING ON.  
IF THEY DON'T APPRECIATE IT.  
IF THEY GO TO THE INSTITUTION, THEY WILL.  
I KNOW ALL OF US HAVE BEEN THERE.  
I THINK WE NEED TO DO IT ON A MONTHLY BASIS.  
I DON'T KNOW WHOSE ASSIGNMENT IT IS.  
SOMEONE SHOULD BUG US SO ON A PERIODIC BASIS WE GOOD TO THE HOSPITAL SPEND SOME  
TIME AND SEE WHAT OUR DEDICATED STAFF IS DOING THERE, SO WE'RE MORE ABLE TO  
PARTICIPATE IN THE DECISIONS THAT NEED TO BE MADE.  
AS FOR THE GOVERNANCE.  
WE HAVE HAD ALL OF THEE CONSULTANTS LOOK AT IT.  
AT THE END OF THE DAY WE NEED TO BE MORE VIGILANT THAN WE WERE.  
SERVICES, WE CLOSE THE MCCARON QUICK CARE.  
THOSE DECISIONS ARE ALWAYS DIFFICULT.  
BUT WE ALL SAW THE RESPONSE FROM THE COMMUNITY WHEN WE TALKED ABOUT CLOSING  
THINGS LIKE THE WELLNESS CENTER OR THE LYON BURN CARE UNIT.  
I THINK WE ALL RECOGNIZED THOSE ARE UNIQUE SERVICES THAT NO ONE CAN PROVIDE IN  
THE COMMUNICATE BUT US.  
AND THAT WE NEED TO CONTINUE TO PROVIDE THEM.  
WE NEED TO CONTINUE TO -- AGAIN-- MONITOR WHAT'S HAPPENING THERE.  
AND -- WE NEED TO BE EFFICIENT, AS WE CAN, BUT THOSE AREN'T SERVICES THAT I  
THINK WE SHOULD CUT.  
IF WE DO, THE COMMUNITY WILL NO LONGER HAVE THEM AND THEY'RE NECESSARY.  
AND THE OTHER THINK I WOULD SAY.  
WE'VE DEMANDED PERIODIC REPORTS ON THE FINANCES OF THE HOSPITAL, WHICH IS THAT'S  
WHERE WE FELL DOWN.  
AS A BOARD, BEFORE SOME OF THE PEOPLE ON THE BOARD WERE EVEN HERE.  
YOU ABLY DESCRIBE THE UNC ACTION PLAN, WHICH WAS THE RESPONSE TO THE PUBLIC  
INPUT WE RECEIVED.  
THE INPUT FROM OUR EMPLOYEES.  
AND I THINK WE -- WE HAVE TO HAVE A PERIODIC REPORT ON YOUR PROGRESS WITH  
RESPECT TO THAT, ALL OF THE THINGS WE TALKED ABOUT COMMUNICATION, ADMITTING  
BILLING.

INFRASTRUCTURE, CONTRACTING, HIRING, ALL OF THAT STUFF.  
I DON'T THINK YOU SHOULD JUST TELL US WHAT THE ACTION PLAN IS.  
I THINK YOURSELF DONE THAT.  
NOW I WANT TO HERE ON A PERIODIC BASIS.  
WE CAN TALK ABOUT HOW FREQUENT THAT SHOULD BE.  
I THINK THAT EVERY ONCE IN A WHILE GEORGE AND YOLANDA SHOULD TELL US HOW THE IT IS DOING FINANCIALLY AND CATHY SHOULD REPORT ON WHAT SHE'S DONE, TOGETHER WITH HER STAFF TO ENACT THE CHANGES THAT WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO MAKE.  
WHEN WE HAD THE U.N.T. TASK FORCE YEARS AGO, WE ADOPTED SOME OF THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS OTHERS WE LEFT ON THE SHELF.  
I DON'T THINK WE CAN LET THAT HAPPEN AGAIN.  
AND -- FINALLY ON THE CEO SEARCH, I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR, WHENEVER IS PROPOSE, BECAUSE SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES MIGHT WANT TO SAY SOMETHING.  
I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR WHAT YOUR RECOMMENDATION TO US IS, VIRGINIA ON HOW THAT SHOULD PRO.  
SO WE'VE DONE A LOT.  
WE SHOULD BE PROUD OF WAS WE'VE DONE.  
WE SHOULD BE HAPPY THAT WE ARE IN A BETTER PLACE THAN WE WERE BUT WE HAVE A LOT OF WORK TO DO.  
WE NEED TO CONTINUE TO DO IT AS A COMMUNITY, TRANSPARENTLY AND -- I THINK THAT I THINK WE CAN CONTINUE TO PROTECT THIS INSTITUTION, WHICH IS UNLIKE ANYTHING ELSE.  
THAT'S MY SPEECH.  
IT'S OVER.

>> COMMISSION MAXFIELD AND THEN COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI

>> CHIP: THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN TO TRY TO BREAKDOWN THE PRESENTATION IN THOSE AREAS.  
THE GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE-- I HAVE A STRONG OPINION.  
I BELIEVE IT SHOULD BE THIS BOARD I'LL SAY FOR MYSELF THIS BOARD NEED TO BE MORE ENGAGED, AS YOU SAID.  
AND WHAT GOES ON IN THE HOSPITAL, IT IS A VITAL PART OF THE COMMUNITY.  
WE NEED TO MAKE SURE IT IS JOINED WITH THE COUNTY, WHICH IT IS NOW, BECAUSE IT WORKS THROUGH OUR FINANCE AND MANAGERS OFFICE.  
IT NEEDS TO JOIN THE COMMUNITY THROUGH THE BOARD AS WELL.  
PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY KNOW HOW WE FEEL ABOUT IT AND PART OF HOW WE FEEL ABOUT IT IS HOW OFTEN WE'RE THERE OR SEE WHAT IT REALLY DOES AND BE ENGAGED IN IT.  
I AGREE WITH THAT.  
I'M GLAD YOU SAID.  
THAT THIS IS WHERE IT STARTS.  
I'M GOING OVER THERE TODAY, BECAUSE AT 4:30, I'VE ASKED TO HAVE -- OPPORTUNITY -  
- .

>> RORY: FEELING ALL RIGHT?

>> [ LAUGHTER ]

>> CHIP: I WAS, UNTIL THIS LONG MEETING.  
BUT, NO I'M FEELING FINE.  
I DIDN'T -- I DON'T UNDERSTAND ALL OF THE OPERATIONS OF THE HOSPITAL.  
I DON'T THINK I WILL UNDERSTAND -- I WANT TO GET TO KNOW THEM AND SEE WHAT GOES ON FROM A PERSONAL POINT OF VIEW.  
WE NEED TO BE ENGAGED AND I WANT TO HEAR FROM PEOPLE THAT ARE THERE.  
I THINK IT IS A VERY, VERY IMPORTANT PART EVER.  
THE OTHER PART.  
GOVERNANCE.

I BELIEVE THIS BOARD IS THE BEST.  
I DON'T BELIEVE IN CREATING ANOTHER BUREAUCRACY, JUST TO WEIGH THING DOWN AND THEN TAKE IT TO COMMITTEE AND COMMITTEE.  
WHAT I FELL WAS EFFECTIVE WAS ON A PERIODIC BASIS BRING OTHERS -- HAVE AN AUDIT, PERFORMANCE AUDIT OR FINANCIAL AUDIT OR WHATEVER IT IS LOOKING TO MAKE SURE WE'RE PERFORMING TO WHAT WE BELIEVE OUR POLICIES ARE.  
WHAT I FELL WORKED REALLY WELL FOR THE COMMUNITY AND THE HOSPITAL AS WELL.  
WE PUT THE TASK FORCE TOGETHER.  
WE BROUGHT PEOPLE THAT DIDN'T UNDERSTAND HOSPITALS, BUT HAD DIFFERENT EXPERIENCES IN THE COMMUNICATE.  
THEY CAME BACK, WITH I THINK SOME REALLY GOOD RECOMMENDATIONS.  
I'VE HAD REPEATED REQUESTS FROM SOME OF THE MEMBERS TO FIND OUT WHAT'S GOING ON WITH THE HOSPITAL.  
HOW DO THEY DO THAT.  
WHEN NEEDED WE WOULD GIVE SOME DIRECTION TO THE COUNTY MANAGER TO RECONVENE A TASK FORCE OR -- OR JUST SO THEY CAN HAVE REPORTING TOOL AS WELL TO THE COMMUNITY.  
I THINK THAT'S SOMETHING WE SHOULD DO.  
WE NEED TO ALWAYS LOOK AT THE -- AND I'M INTERESTED TO HEAR WHAT VIRGINIA HAD TO SAY ABOUT THE CEO SEARCH AS WELL.  
I'LL WAIT FOR MY COMMENTS ON THAT.  
WHAT I BELIEVE WE SHOULD HAVE ON THE DOLLARS AND CENTS OF THE HOSPITAL IS A COUPLE OF THINGS THAT GO ON.  
YOU CAN'T SOLVE THOSE PROBLEMS IN A MEETING OR IN A QUARTER.  
SOME OF THESE THINGS WILL TAKE YEARS.  
IF WE DON'T PUT THAT COMMITTED PLAN TOGETHER, THEN WE'LL HAVE THIS DISCUSSION AGAIN IN A YEAR AND A HALF OR TWO OR THREE YEARS FROM NOW.  
THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF TALK AND DISCUSSION.  
I THINK COMMISSIONER WOODBURY SAID THIS.  
THIS IS COMMISSION WOODBURY DAY.  
I THINK HE SAID IT WAS THE LAST TIME WITH ONE OF THESE ISSUES.  
HE SAID WHY -- WHY -- I'M PARAPHRASING WHY DO WE EXPECT THE ONLY PUBLIC HOSPITAL, THE COMMUNITY HOSPITAL THAT SERVES EVERYBODY TO EVER OPERATE IN ANYTHING BUT THE RED?  
IT IS NOT A MATTE OF HOW DO YOU GET THE HOSPITAL TO OPERATE IN THE BLACK.  
WE WOULD ALL LOVE IT TO HAVE A PROFIT, BUT IT IS MANAGING THE COSTS AND PROVIDING THE SERVICES THAT THE COMMUNITY NEEDS THAT NO OTHERS PROVIDE.  
THE EXPECTATION IF, IT COSTS \$40 MILLION A YEAR TO DO THAT OR \$30 MILLION, WHATEVER IT IS.  
WE WANT TO BE A LEAN, MEAN, FIGHTING MACHINE, BUT WHATEVER IT IS WE NEED TO COMMIT THE RESOURCES TO ACCOMPLISH THAT.  
TO SAY WE WILL INFUSE \$10 MILLION, WHEN HISTORICALLY WE KNOW IT IS \$30 MILLION OR WHATEVER THE NUMBER WE INFUSE LESS WAS WE KNOW IT IS.  
IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE.  
WHAT HAPPENS OVER A PERIOD OF TIME, WHICH SEEMS TO BE CYCLICAL ABOUT FOUR TO SIX YEARS, IT ERUPTS.  
YOU KNOW WE SAY WHAT'S GOING ON?  
WE DON'T NEED TO LOOK AT THIS EVERY FOUR OR SIX YEARS.  
WE NEED TO LOOK AT IT CONSTANTLY.  
I'VE TALKED WITH VIRGINIA AND GEORGE AND CHAIRMAN REID.  
WE NEED THE OVERALL PLAN.  
PART IS I.T., WHICH IS THE FUTURE INVESTMENT.  
THE PEOPLE, THE SERVICES, THE FINANCIAL PLAN OF WHAT IS THE HOSPITAL.  
WE NEED TO KNOW WHO WE'RE SERVING.  
WE DON'T EVEN KNOW, ACTUALLY.  
WE KNOW BITS AND PARTS.

WE DON'T KNOW EXACTLY -- WHO WE'RE SERVING AND IF WE COULD SERVE THEM BETTER OR IF PEOPLE WHO ARE THERE SERVING SHOULD BE THROUGH OTHER PROGRAMS, AS A POSED TO JUST WALKING IN THE DOOR.

THERE IS A LOT OF EVERY.

THERE IS A TREMENDOUS EVERY THAT GOES ON, IF THIS BOARD IS ENGAGED.

IF WE HAVE SOME CLEAR POLICIES AND ENGAGED COUNTY MANAGER AND ENGAGED BOARD, WE CAN ACTUALLY REFINE, I BELIEVE THE HOSPITAL TO -- TO A PREMIERE HOSPITAL OF THE COMMUNITY.

THEY DON'T JUST SAY THAT.

IN WORDS, IT IS GOING TO TAKE WORK.

IT IS GOING TO TAKE ACTION.

IT IS GOING TO TAKE COMMITMENT.

I'M FOR ONE.

I BELIEVE THAT THE BOARD, HOPEFULLY AGREES, IF NOT ALL, BUT MOST OF IT WE NEED TO BE COMMITTED TO THE HOSPITAL.

I DON'T SEE SELLING OR LEASING IT.

I WOULD SAY WE HAVE ONE CHOICE.

WE EAGER GET COMMITTED AND DO THE ABSOLUTE BEST WE CAN WITH THE HOSPITAL OR GET RID OF IT.

I'M NOT SUGGESTING GET RID OF IT.

WHAT WE SHOULD DO IS BE SUBMITTED COMMITTED TOWARDS THE HOSPITAL.

IT HAS BEEN HERE A LONG TIME.

SO -- OTHER THAN THAT, I'M GLAD TO SEE THAT WE HAVE THE PROCESS THAT WE WENT THROUGH.

I'M GLAD THAT WE HAVE THE INFORMATION FROM THE LOUON GROUP AND FROM THE COMMUNITY AND FROM THE EMPLOYEES I WANT TO POINT OUT ONE THING THAT HAS BEEN ON MY MINE.

IT IS FROM THE EMPLOYEES.

I THINK IT SAYS IT.

THEY WANT TO KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON WITH THE HOSPITAL THEY WORK IN.

THEY WANT TO COMMUNICATION, BUT NOT JUST WITH ADMINISTRATORS AND CATHY AND YOU VIRGINIA.

THEY WANT IT FROM US AS WELL.

THEY WANT TO KNOW WHERE THEY STAND IN OUR EYES.

WE NEED TO BE ABLE TO COMMUNICATE AND HAVE THE ABILITY ADDING ON TO WHAT YOU HAVE BEEN DOING CATHY.

WE NEED TO EXPAND THAT.

THE BEST WAY TO GET THE GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THE HOSPITAL NEEDS IS TO LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE WORKING THERE.

SOME DO IT OVER AND OVER AGAIN HAVING GREAT IDEAS IF, WE'RE NOT LISTENING TO WHAT THAT WE MIGHT MISS A WONDERFUL OPPORTUNITY.

BUT -- SO I'M INTERESTED -- NOT TODAY.

I'M VERY INTERESTED IN HAVING, AS CHAIRMAN REID SAID.

WE NEED TO MAKE SURE THIS ACTION PLAN IS IMPLEMENTED.

NEW ISSUES COME AND HAVE THEM PUT ON AND THEM TAKEN CARE OF.

IT NEEDS TO BE IMPLEMENTED.

WHETHER IT IS QUARTERLY OR SOME ANNUALLY.

IT NEEDS TO BE MORE THAN WE SAW 30,000 PATIENTS THIS MONTH.

AND YOU KNOW THAT EQUATES TO 10 MILLION OF WHATEVER THAT IS AND SIX OF THIS.

WE FEED A MORE CONCLUSIVE, SIMPLIFIED.

THIS IS SOME OF THE ISSUES.

THIS IS WHAT WE'LL DEL WITH.

THIS IS WHAT WE HAVE CORRECTED.

WE NEED YOUR DIRECTION.

OR WE DON'T UNDERSTAND THE POLICY.

WE NEED TO TWEAK THE POLICY, WHATEVER THAT IS, BUT IT THESE TO BE A MORE ENGAGED DISCUSSION BETWEEN THE BOARD AND THE HOP.

THANK YOU.  
-- THE HOSPITAL.  
THANK YOU.

>> RORY: ANYONE ELSE.  
COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> CHRIS: THANK YOU.  
I ECHO WHAT THE CHAIRMAN AND VICE CHAIRMAN SAID.  
I THINK IT IS OUR COUNTRY COUNTY HOSPITAL.  
WE HAVE TO DO EVERYTHING COMMITMENT WISE TO MAKE SURE IT FUNCTIONS AS  
SUCCESSFULLY AS WE CAN.  
WE NEED TO RECOGNIZE UNTIL WE HAVE A SINGLE SYSTEM THAT COSTS OF HEALTHCARE HAVE  
GONE UP, WITH THE PRIVATE HOSPITALS LOCATED HERE, THAT HAS CAUSED SOMETIMES  
COMPETITION IS BAD.  
SOMETIMES IT DRIVES UP OVER DOLLARS, AS WE'RE TRYING TO COMPETE IN THE SAME WAY.  
SO -- I DO THINK A COUPLE OF THINGS.  
JUST IN GOING BACK THROUGH MY NOTES.  
I'M GLAD TO SEE WE HAVE THE SHARE COUNCILS AND THE CEO.  
THAT WAS VERY POPULAR WITH A LOT OF PEOPLE ABOUT HAVING THAT CONVERSATION THAT  
COMES INTO PLAY.  
I AGREE WITH COMMISSIONER MAX FEEL WE SHOULD BE MORE INVOLVED IN THE HOSPITAL  
ITSELF IT.  
WOULD BE INTERESTING TO FIND OUT MAYBE IN THE SHARED COUNCILS WE COULD LOOK AT  
THE BEST PRACTICES OF OTHER PUBLIC HOSPITALS TO SEE WHAT WE'RE DOING THAT MAY BE  
INNOVATIVE BUT THINGS THAT HAVE BECOME ANT EQUATED TO HONE IN ON SOME OF THAT.  
I DO THINK WE NEED TO LOOK AT A TRUE CENSUS COUNT OF WHO OUR CLIENTELE IS AND  
WHO WE SERVE, SO WE CAN ANSWER QUESTIONS IN THE COMMUNITY ABOUT WHO MAKES UP OUR  
CLIENTS, OUR PATIENTS.  
THERE WAS A NOTATION.  
I DIDN'T FOLLOW UP.  
IT IS FOR ANOTHER TIME, BUT THE ISSUE OF REFERRAL LOSS.  
I HAD EMPLOYEES THAT CALLED ME ON THAT.  
IT APPEARED IN A COUPLE OF PLACES.  
I THINK IT HAD TO DO MORE WITH THE REQUEST IC CARE.  
WE USED TO HAVE SOMEONE THAT MONITORED OUR REFERRAL TO MAKE SURE WE WEREN'T  
LOSING PEOPLE TO THE OTHER HOSPITALS.  
MAYBE THAT IS SOMETHING WE COULD TAKE A LOOK AT.  
PARKING I PERSONALLY DO NOT LIKE PUBLIC METRES.  
THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, I USED TO ARGUE WITH THEM, BECAUSE I PUT THEM IN OUR  
DOWNTOWN AREA.  
IN FRONT OF PEOPLE'S RESIDENCES JUST BECAUSE THEY LIVED IN AN PARAMEDIC SHE  
SHOULDN'T HAVE THEIR FAMILY AND FRIENDS PUT A QUARTER IN TO TALK TO SOMEONE FOR  
10 MINUTES.  
I AM BOTHER IT HAD SURROUNDS OUR HOSPITAL.  
I THINK IT MAKES IT THAT MUCH MORE PERCEIVED AS INACCESSIBLE.  
ALTHOUGH IT IS NOT A HUGE ISSUE, I THINK IT IS SOMETHING WE SHOULD REVISIT AT  
SOME POINT.  
WE'RE LAND LOCKED THERE.  
I DON'T KNOW WHAT OUR FUTURE CAPITAL CONCEPT IS.  
BUT -- YOU KNOW IF WE'RE GOING TO DO SOME EXPANSION.  
IT TIME TO MAYBE LOOK AT EXPANDING TO COMPETE BETTER OR PROVIDE SERVICES FOR  
SOME OUR CLIENTS IN OTHER PARTIES OF THE VALLEY.  
RATHER THAN STICKING WITH THAT LOCATION.  
I THINK MAYBE IT IS SOMETHING TO TAKE A LOOK AT LEGISLATIVELY, I THINK IT IS  
TIME WE LOOK FOR SOME FUNDING SUPPORT, BUT WE CAN'T DO IT IN THIS VENUE.  
MOST OF THE OTHER FOLKS ARE WORKING.

I THINK IT IS TIME WE MAYBE DO SOME PERIODIC MEETINGS.  
DO ROUNDS WITH THEM.

SOME OF THE FELLOW WAYS AND MEANS.

AND HEALTH COMMITTEE MEMBERS FROM SOUTHERN NEVADA SO WE'RE EDUCATING THEM AT THE SAME TIME ON WHAT OUR TRUE NEEDS ARE, SO IF WE ASK FOR SOME FINANCING, THAT WE HAVE THAT SUPPORT BUILT IN, I THINK MAYBE WE COULD MASSAGE THAT A LITTLE BETTER. AND -- FINALLY I THINK ONE OF THE BETTER THINGS THAT WE DID AS WELL WAS DOING -- PUTTING OUR CFO OVER THE HOSPITAL.

I WOULD SAY WE SHOULD CONTINUE WITH THAT COMPONENT.

IT GIVES US SOME ASSURANCES WE DON'T HAVE RESPONSIBLE WHO REFUSES TO PROVIDE INFORMATION TO US.

THEY WORK FOR US, EVEN THOUGH I THOUGHT THE OTHER GENTLEMAN DID AS WELL.

BUT BE THAT AS IT MAY, I KNOW THE MANAGER FELT THAT, BUT DIDN'T QUITE GET THE COOPERATION.

I THINK THAT WAS A VERY, VERY GOOD MOVE WE TOOK INITIALLY.

THERE'S SOME GOOD INFORMATION IN HERE.

THE PUBLIC, WHILE IT WASN'T A HUGE TURNOUT.

-- I THINK THEY SHARED WHAT THEY WANTED.

MOSTLY WE LISTENED TO THE EMPLOYEES, MANAGEMENT AND THE WORKING MEN AND WOMEN, WHO KNOW BEST WHAT THE JOB IS AND WHAT THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO BE DOING MANY I THINK THE REPORT HAS GIVEN US SOME VALUABLE INSIGHT.

WE NEED TO MAKE SURE IT IS NOT JUST A COURTESY THING WE DO AT THE BOARD MEETING.

I THINK MAYBE -- MAYBE IF WE DO AN AUDIT OR THE CONCEPT OF PERFORMANCE STANDARD FOR DEPARTMENT X.

WE HAVE EMPLOYEES COME AND SHARE ABOUT WHAT THEY'RE SEEING GOING ON, AS WELL AS THE MANAGEMENT, SO WE'RE GETTING A WHOLE PICTURE OF WHAT THE HOSPITAL IS MADE OF.

THOSE ARE SOME THOUGHTS.

>> RORY: STAFF HAS RECEIVED A LOT OF DIRECTION.

I THINK A COUPLE OF THINGS WE NEED TO HEAR, BEFORE WE -- MOVE ON IS -- THE UNC ACTION PLAN, AND THEN THERE'S SOME OTHER ITEMS.

DID I INTERRUPT YOU COMMISSIONER?

>> I WAS NOT WAIVING HARD ENOUGH.

>> GO AHEAD.

>> JUST A COUPLE OF THOUGHTS WITH ALL OF THE DISCUSSION WE'VE HAD FOR A YEAR OR SO.

WHEN WE REFORMED WORKERS COMP WE HAD KIND OF A BAD LIST, IF THE EMPLOYER HAD TOO MANY ACCIDENTS THEY PAID A HIGHER PREMIUM AND GOT ON THE BAD LIST.

I DON'T THINK WE CAN DO THAT, PER SE IN EFFECTING RATES, BUT WHAT WE COULD DO IS -- THE FACT IS THE GOVERNMENT IS GOING TO SUBSIDIZE THE HOSPITAL AND TAXPAYERS ARE GOING TO PAY FOR IT, UNTIL WE GET TO THE POINT WHERE EVERYONE HAS INSURANCE FOR SOME KIND OF COVERAGE.

WE COULD LIST THE COMPANIES THAT THEIR EMPLOYEE COMES INTO THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES THAT DOESN'T HAVE INSURANCE IF JOE BLOW OR SOMEBODY SORE EMPLOYEE COMES IN THE HOSPITAL -- WE COULD PUBLISH THAT COMPANY'S NAME AND SHOW THE 13 EMPLOYEES IN THE LAST YEAR COME FROM X, Y, Z -- ON THE LIST.

WE COULD KNOW THEN WHO RESPONSIBLE BUSINESSES IN THIS COMMUNITY ARE AND WHO AREN'T.

JUST ANOTHER AVENUE OF -- YOU KNOW, THEN WE COULD FINE THE RUMORS IS IT THE BIGGEST UNINSURED IN CLARK COUNTY, OR IS IT TRULY A -- ILLEGALS OR IS IT TRULY THE CONSTRUCTION OR THE -- GAMING OR THE WHATEVER BUSINESS, YOU KNOW RETAILERS OR WHOEVER.

SOMEHOW WE HAVE GOT TO GET OUR COMMUNITY TO BE MORE RESPONSIBLE, LIKE I'VE SAID BEFORE IN BUSINESS LICENSING.

MAYBE WE COULD -- MAYBE WE COULD WAIVE BUSINESS LICENSE FEES TO COMPANIES WITH AFFORDABLE INSURANCE FOR THEIR EMPLOYEES.

IN THE MEANTIME WE WILL HAVE TO COME UP WITH THE MONEY, WHETHER IT IS 10 THERE ARE, \$35 OR 4 \$MILLION BECAUSE WE HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO MAKE SURE THERE'S SOME HEALTHCARE IN OUR PUBLIC HOSPITAL.

I WOULD LOVE TO SEE A LIST IN THE PAPER OR ON THE WALL OUT HEREOF EMPLOYERS THAT DON'T PROVIDE INSURANCE FOR THEIR PATIENTS THAT WE TREAT.

>> RORY: ALREADY.

-- ALL RIGHT.

I THINK YOU HAVE HEARD ON THE GOVERNANCE AND ON THE SERVICES ON THE UNC ACTION PLAN, I ASKED AND OTHERS ASKED FOR PERIODIC REPORTS.

I GUESS I SHOULD ASK STAFF HOW THEY THINK THAT WOULD BEST BE HANDLED COULD IT BE IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE FINANCIAL REPORT WE RECEIVE MONTHLY IS IT TOO SOON.

>> I THINK IF YOU WANT TO MEASURE THE PROGRESS, ON THE FINANCIAL IT MAKE SENSE TO CONTINUE TO DO THE MONTHLY REPORTS BRING BACK IMPLEMENTATION ON SOME OF THE ACTION PLANS, I THINK MAYBE QUARTERLY MIGHT BE MORE APPROPRIATE, SO YOU HAVE A SENSE OF WHAT CHANGES FROM ONE MONTH TO ANOTHER.

SOME WILL NOT ALL START AND FINISH AT THE SAME TIME.

AND PROBABLY HAVE PRETTY DIFFERENT TIME SCALES.

IF YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH QUARTERLY THAT, WOULD BE MY RECOMMENDATION TO YOU?

>> AND -- THE THINGS THAT WERE MENTIONED -- THERE WAS AN ASSORTMENT OF MISCELLANEOUS THINGS, THOSE COULD BE ADDRESSED TO THE COMMISSIONER WHO ASKED FOR IT BEFORE THAT REPORT OR IN CONNECTION WITH THAT REPORT?

YES, WE CAN DO THAT.

WE CAN DO THAT INDIVIDUALLY TOO.

BUT ALL OF THESE THINGS THAT HAVE BEEN MENTIONED.

THEY I THINK ARE WORK AGREE OF FURTHER DISCUSSION.

>> WHAT'S YOUR PLAN ON THE CEO SEARCH?

>> WELL, SINCE KATHY HAS BEEN ACCOUNTING NOW -- ACTING NOW FOR NINE MONTHS IN THE CAPACITY AS THE INTERIM CEO.

WE WOULD LIKE TO, TO MOVE THIS PROCESS ALONG AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE.

I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT WE DO A RECRUITMENT.

THAT WE GO WITH THE RECRUITING FIRM, ONE THAT WE LOOKED AT PREVIOUSLY WHEN WE DID THE CEO RECRUITMENT ABOUT FOUR YEARS AGO.

AND THAT FIRM IS -- THE NAME OF THAT FIRM WE DID AN RFP TO GET A SHORT LIST OF FIRM.

THIS WOULD BE THE SECOND FIRM ON THE LIST.

I HAD SOME INITIAL DISCUSSION WITH JOHN ESSPINNOSA, WHO IS THE H.  
R.

DIRECTOR AT UNC.

HE SPENT ME WHAT WE USED FOR A JOB DESCRIPTION.

WHAT EXPECTATIONS CHARACTERISTICS AND THE HOSPITAL, CERTAINLY CANDIDATES WHO ARE INTERESTED IN THE POSITION OR POTENTIAL CANDIDATES WOULD WANT TO KNOW SOMETHING ABOUT WHAT'S GONE ON.

WE WILL NEED TO UPDATE THAT INFORMATION.

I BELIEVE THAT -- CORN FERRY, ONE OF THE REASONS THEY WERE ON WOULD NOT ONE OF THE TOP FIRM WAS THEIR EXPERIENCE SPECIFICALLY DOING MEDICAL RECRUITMENT THEY.

DID HAVE PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE RECRUITING FROM PUBLIC HOSPITALS.

SOME OF THE GOVERNANCE HAS CHANGED.

WHILE IT SOUNDS LIKE MAYBE NOT TODAY IT WILL CHANGE AT THE COMMISSION LEVEL, BUT CERTAINLY HAVING THIS CFO REPORT OUTSIDE OF THE CEO IS A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT WE'LL NEED TO INCLUDE THAT INFORMATION.

AFTER HIRING CORN FERRY, WE WOULD CREATE A SCREENING PANEL THAT WOULD INCLUDE VARIOUS REPRESENTATIVES OF STAKE HOLDER GROUPS IN THE COMMUNITY.

SOMEONE -- SOMEONE HEALTHCARE PLANNED PROVIDER.

PROBABLY MYSELF, DAREL MARTIN GEORGE STEVENS AND A REPRESENTATIVE FROM SCI U, ONE OF THE -- A DOCTOR FROM UNC.

WE HAVE ALSO HAD NON-PROFIT PREVIOUSLY AND SO -- SOMEWHERE IN THE 8 TO 10 RANGE A GROUP THAT WOULD SCREEN THESE -- THE CANDIDATES.

THEN WE WOULD LIKE TO BRING INVITE THE FINAL LISTS TO TOWN TO MEET WITH ALL OF YOU TO, MEET WITH SOME EMPLOYEE GROUPS TO TOUR THE HOSPITAL AND TO ALSO MEET WITH OTHER COMMUNITY STAKE HOLDERS THAT UNC IS ACTIVELY ENGAGED WITH.

THAT WOULD BE THE PROCESS I WOULD RECOMMEND TO YOU TODAY.

>> RORY: HOW LONG WOULD THAT TAKE?

>> I THINK THE QUICKEST WE COULD DO SOMETHING LIKE THAT WOULD BE THREE TO SIX MONTHS THAT.

WOULD BE MOVING PRETTY QUICK.

WE WOULD DIRECT THE RECRUITING FIRM TO MOVE AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE.

>> RORY: ALL RIGHT.

I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY ACTION FOR US TO TAKE IN THIS, AS THE REPORT.

FOR US TO PROVIDE DIRECTION, I THINK WE HAVE DONE PLENTY THAT.

>> AGAIN I WOULD LIKE TO THANK GEORGE AND CATHY FOR ALL OF THE WORK THEY HAVE DONE OVER THIS PAST NINE MONTHS AND PEOPLE ON OUR STAFF.

JENNIFER LANCIS AND TRACY PUCKETT FROM UNC WHO WORKED ON THIS REPORT ANSWERS RAT FORD AND CONSTANCE BURKES AND DOUG LYON WHO HELPED US IN PUTTING THE SURVEY TOGETHER.

OF COURSE, JENNIFER LANCIS AND JENNIFER HAIL FROM ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES, WHO HELP COMPILE THIS AND MANY OF THOSE INDIVIDUALS ARE ALSO INVOLVED IN FACILITATING THE FOCUS GROUPS.

THEY SPENT A LOT OF EVENINGS AND WEEKENDS DOING THAT.

I WOULD BE REMISS IF I DIDN'T THINK THEM.

>> RORY: WE THANK THEM.

THIS IS THE TIME SET ASIDE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

IS THERE ANYONE HERE TO ADDRESS THE BOARD.

AS IS OUR TRADITION YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES TO SAY WHATEVER YOU LIKE.

THERE WILL BE A PLEASANT CHIME TELLING YOU YOUR TIME IS UP.

>> RANNY BECKEL 643 EVERY GREEN AVENUE, I AM A FORMER EMPLOYEE OF UNC.

I THINK THAT CHRIS SUGGESTION OF EMPLOYEES CALLING HERE IS EXCELLENT.

MY ONE SUGGESTION FOR YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN, WHEN YOU GO ON YOUR TOUR OF THE HOSPITAL.

DON'T HAVE KATHY AND YOUR GAGGLE OF GEESE FOLLOWING.

YOU ARE NOT GOING TO RECEIVE ANY HONEST COMMENTS FROM ANY HONEST HOSPITAL EMPLOYEE AS LONG AS YOU'RE TAILED BY THE ADMINISTRATION.

AND SO, JUST A SUGGESTION.

.

>> RORY: THANK YOU.

ANYONE ELSE?

>> GOOD AFTERNOON.

MY NAME IS PATRICIA MOORE.  
I RESIDE AT 4642 SAWYER AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA.

>> I'VE BEEN BEFORE THIS BOARD IN THE PAST DISCUSSING THESE ISSUES.  
I WANT TO REAFFIRM MY COMMITMENT TO UNC AND THE COMMUNITY THAT WE SERVE.  
I'M A 25-YEAR EMPLOYEE AT UNC.  
I'M A PROUD MEMBER OF SCIU.  
I WORK IN THE PET TRICK INTENSIVE CARE UNIT AND TAKE CARE OF KIDS.  
UNDER THE DIRECTION OF ACTING CEO CATHY SILVER AND THE REST OF UNC  
ADMINISTRATION AND EMPLOYEES AND LOCAL 1107, AND -- THIS BOARD AND THE STAFF,  
TAKING THE PUBLIC OUT REACH REPORT IS THE FIRST STEP IN IMPROVING SERVICE AND  
PROVIDING PREMIERE CARE TO THE CITIZENS OF CLARK COUNTY.  
I WOULD ONLY ASK OR REITERATE, NOW THAT I'VE HEARD ALL OF YOU SPEAK THAT YOU DO  
HAVE RESPONSIBILITY TO UNC AND TO THE PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNICATE AND TO THE  
EMPLOYEES AT UNC.  
AND WE HAVE THAT SAME RESPONSIBILITY TO YOU, AND TO OUR COMMUNITY.  
I'M SO VERY EXTREMELY PROUD OF THE CARE THAT WE PROVIDE.  
I KNOW FOR A FACT, THAT EVERY DAY I GO HOME KNOWING I'VE DONE THE BEST JOB I  
CAN.  
IT DOESN'T MATTER WHAT INSURANCE COMPANY THESE PEOPLE HAVE.  
IT DOESN'T MATTER WHAT JOB THEY HAVE.  
IT DOESN'T MATTER WHAT -- KIND OF HOUSE THEY HAVE, WHAT THEIR MORTGAGE PAYMENT  
IS OR ISN'T.  
WE TAKE CARE OF THE PEOPLE, REGARDLESS OF THEIR ABILITY TO PAY.  
I WANT TO -- TO LEAVE A THOUGHT OR A QUESTION WITH ALL OF YOU.  
THERE'S MANY PEOPLE IN THIS COMMUNITY, INCLUDING ME, THAT ARE ONLY ONE PAYCHECK  
AWAY FROM HAVING NO MEDICAL INSURANCE.  
I JUST WANT TO PROPOSE TO YOU.  
WHAT SERVICES AND WHAT CARE WOULD YOU WANT TO KNOW THAT'S AVAILABLE, IF YOU  
DIDN'T HAVE THE ABILITY TO PAY FOR IT?  
WE'RE ALL -- WE COULD ALL BE IN THE SAME POSITION THAT THE MAJORITY OF PEOPLE IN  
THIS COUNTRY ARE IN TODAY.  
I'M COMMITTED.  
I KNOW THE PEOPLE THAT I WORK WITH ARE COMMITTED.  
I KNOW THAT SCII1107 ARE COMMITTED AND AFTER HEARING ALL OF YOU ARE COMMITTED TO  
UNC AND ITS FUTURE.  
THANK YOU.

>> RORY: THANK YOU.  
GOOD AFTERNOON.

>> GOOD AFTERNOON MR. CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONERS MY NAME IS TED RUSSELL I'M A ARE  
NOT OF LAS VEGAS.  
I'VE BEEN TO UNC ONCE, UNFORTUNATELY WITHOUT INSURANCE.  
I WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT I WAS TREATED, TREATED VERY, VERY WELL.  
IT IS WAS NOT A LIFE THREATENING INJURY IT WAS A SEPARATED SHOULDER.  
I WAS IMPRESSED WITH THE LEVEL OF SERVICE.  
I'M MOST CONCERNED WITH THE RUN AWAY TANKER I AGREE FIRST AND FOREMOST WHAT  
SENATOR REID SAID AND STIPULATED IF THIS IS WHAT CAN HAPPEN OF A TANKER OF  
CHLORINE THE AFFIRMS THE FACT THAT THE YUCCA MOUNTAIN PROJECT IS NOT ACCEPTABLE.  
I'M ESPECIALLY CONCERNED WITH THE WAY UNION PACIFIC SEEMS TO BE RUN THESE DAYS  
WITH A VERY LEAN STAFF.  
THE IDEA THAT EVERYTHING IS WEST OF OMAHA.  
IN THE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT IN THE HOMELAND SECURITY, THE WORST-CASE SENARIO IS,  
IF SOMETHING HAPPENED TO RUPTURE THAT CAR, THANK GOD METRO WAS THERE, BECAUSE  
THE MOST LIKELY WOULD NOT BE A DERAILMENT.

BUT IF THERE WERE A VEHICLE AT ANY OF THOSE INTERSECTIONS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A POTENTIAL OF 71,000 LIVES BEING LOST, HAD THAT RUPTURED. BECAUSE THE GAS HUGS THE GROUND. ALSO FOR EACH ONE OF THE MORTALITY THERE WOULD BE TWO OR THREE WITH ACUTE RESPIRATORY SYSTEMS WITH ANY YEARS TO FOLLOW. I AGAIN -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT WE'RE DOING IN FACT FINDING OR WHATEVER, BUT THIS REALLY IS NOT ACCEPTABLE FROM THE REPORTS AND THE IDEA THAT WE HAVE TO DOWNSIZE OUR STAFF HERE AT THE RAIL RODE, BECAUSE OF THE EXPENSES. THEY'RE MAKING A GREAT DEAL OF MONEY AND ALWAYS THE HUMAN LIFE IS ABOVE THE DOLLAR SIGN. THAT'S ALL I HAVE. THANK YOU AND HAVE A GREAT DAY.

>> RORY: THANK YOU.  
I HAVE A CARD FROM HOMER JOHNSON THAT I DIDN'T SEE BEFORE.  
THERE HE IS.

>> I THINK MOST OF YOU KNOW ME, IF YOU DOAN, YOU KNOW OF ME PROBABLY. I'M AN ACTIVIST. MOST OF YOU KNOW THAT I'M OUT THERE TRYING TO DO THE BEST FOR THE COUNTRY, FOR THE PEOPLE THAT LIVE IN THIS VALLEY. AT THIS MOM I HAVE A BATTLE THAT I'VE BEEN DOING FOR, GOING ON 10 YEARS NOW. THAT'S ABOUT BUSINESSES THAT FLY TATTERED AMERICAN FLAGS. I KNOW IT DOESN'T SOUND LIKE MUCH, BUT TO A LOT OF THE VETERANS THAT ARE COMING HOME, IT MEANS A LOT. KNOWING THAT WE RESPECT THAT FLAG, AS MUCH AS THEY DO. RIGHT NOW THERE IS PROBABLY SIX THAT I KNOW OF IN MY TRAVELS ON A BICYCLE. I DON'T GET AROUND THE VALLEY AS MUCH OF YOU THAT POLLUTE, BUT ON MY TRAVELS ON A BICYCLE, I KNOW SIX THAT ARE THE LARGE 50 BY 80 FLAGS, VERY PROMINENT CORNERS AND SEEN FOR MILES AROUND THEM. I HAVE BEEN FIGHTING THAT BUSINESS. I DON'T THINK I HAVE TO MENTION THE NAME. I'M PRETTY SURE ALL OF YOU KNOW THE COMPANY I'M TALKING ABOUT. I HAVE FOUGHT THEM, AND FOUGHT THEM AND FOUGHT THEM. BACK IN 2002, I WENT TO MICHAEL MCDONALD, WHO WAS AT THE TIME CITY COUNCILMAN. HE TOLD ME THAT I WAS GOING ABOUT TO THE WRONG WAY SOME AT THAT TIME, AND IF ONE OF THE STAFF WOULD CARE TO PASS THESE OUT, AT THAT TIME I INCORPORATED ON MY COMPUTER DESIGNED, PRINTED THEM UP MYSELF THESE FLYERS. SINCE I HAVE OF PASSED OUT PROBABLY CLOSE TO 6,000. NOT A RICH MAN. I'M A UNION MAN, BUT I'M NOT A RICH MAN. I DO IT BECAUSE I CARE. I CARE ABOUT THE PEOPLE COMING BACK FROM WAR. I CARE ABOUT THE FAMILIES AND FRIENDS OF PEOPLE THAT DIDN'T COME BACK. BUT SINCE I PRINCIPLED THESE, I HAVE GIVEN 2,000 TO THAT ONE COMPANY. I HAVE SPOKE TO THE CORPORATE HEAD OFFICE. EVERY TIME THEY TELL ME, WELL THEY DO THE BEST THEY CAN. THEY ARE IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL STATUTES, WHICH I KNOW THE LAWS WERE ALL CHANGED IN 1985. 1985 THE SUPREME COURT RULED YOU COULD DO WHATEVER YOU WANT WITH THE FLAG IN THE NAME OF THE FREEDOM OF SPEECH. I DON'T AGREE WITH BUSINESSES FALLING UNDER THAT HEADING. BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT PRIVATE CITIZENS. IF THEY WANT TO FLY THE FLAG UPSIDE DOWN AT THEIR HOUSE, THERE'S NOTHING I CAN DO OR THE GOVERNMENT CAN DO, I THINK AS BUSINESSES -- THE WHOLE OF PUTTING THE FLAGPOLE UP WAS TO LOOK PATRIOTIC, BUT WHEN THE FLAGS ARE TATTERED, TORN OR EVEN DIRTIED BY THE POLLUTION IN THE VALLEY, IT IS AGAINST FEDERAL STATUTES.

ALL I CAN DO IS ASK YOU.

I HAVE COME BEFORE THIS BODY SO MANY TIMES.

>> BEEN SO HELPFUL IN MY BATTLES BEFORE.

BUT THIS IS A BATTLE I STARTED 10 YEARS AGO WITH ONE BUSINESS.

I WANT TO SHOW YOU THERE'S NO GUN IN HERE.

POLICE DON'T -- PLEASE DON'T THINK I'M PULLING A GUN OUT.

THIS IS A -- PULLING NO GUN OUT.

THIS A SIGN MADE FOR THE BUSINESS.

I PROTESTED.

I WON'T MENTION ITS NAME.

I TOOK THE SIGN AND I RETIRE IT.

I BROKE IT OUT OF RETIREMENT LAST NIGHT, BECAUSE IT IS BROUGHT TO MY ATTENTION AT THE AMERICAN LEAGUE WHERE I'M A MEMBER OF BY ONE OF THE VETERANS I'VE BEEN SLACKING ON MY DUTIES.

THAT'S BAD.

BECAUSE I TOOK A PLEDGE OVER THOUSANDS OF TIMES.

I'M SURE YOU KNOW THE PLEDGE I'M SPEAKING OF.

THE PLEDGE ALLEGIANCE.

I WAS SLACKING IN MY DUTIES THE VETERAN BROUGHT IT TO MY ATTENTION.

I BROUGHT THIS SIGN OUT OF RETIREMENT.

ONE MORE TIME.

AND I WILL BE PROTESTING, NOT ONLY THAT ONE BUSINESS, WHICH I WON'T MENTION, BUT ANY OTHER BUSINESS, WHICH -- DISREGARDS THE FLYERS THAT I PASS OUT.

WHEN I GO IN, I NO LONGER TALK TO THE MANAGEMENT.

I FOUND THAT I'M TOO AGGRESSIVE.

AND THAT THEY GUESS AGGRESSIVE BACK.

SO TAKE THE FLYERS.

PASS THEM UP YOUR CHAIN OF COMMAND.

IT IS SELF-EXPLANATORY.

AND I WALK OUT.

THEIR ABOUT 70 TO 80% EFFECTIVE.

THIS IS A NICE WAY OF TELLING THEM THEIR FLAG IS TATTERED AND MOST BUSINESSES, I GO BY TWO WEEKS LATER THEY HAVE A BRAND-NEW FLAG.

THEY FLY IT.

I NEVER HAVE TO GIVE THEM ANOTHER FLYER.

SOME BUSINESSES, EVERY ONCE IN A WHILE HAVE TO GO IN A SECOND TIME.

OR MAYBE A THIRD TIME.

BUT I HAVE LEARNED, I DON'T KNOW IF ANY OF YOU REMEMBER -- THE ARTICLE IN THE PAPER.

THEY SAID I STOLE FLAGS.

I GAVE THEM THREE CHANCES.

AND THEN I TAKE IT DOWN, IF I COULD AND RETIRED IT THROUGH THE VETERANS OR THE AMERICAN LEAGUE SO, IT WAS RETIRED PROPERLY.

I CAN'T IMAGINE HOW MANY FLAGS WIND UP IN THE CITY DUMP.

BUT I KNOW, I DON'T WANT TO HEAR ABOUT IT.

I THINK THEY SHOULD BE RETIRED PROPERLY.

AND WE DO IT, TWICE A YEAR AT THE AMERICAN LEAGUE.

AND OUT THEY DO IT IN HEARNSON, WHERE THEY ARE AVAILABLE TO BURN THE FLAGS.

THEY ARE BURNED ON FLAG DAY.

AND WE DO IT TWICE -- ON FLAG DAY AND ON 9/11 WE WILL HAVE A FLAG BURNING CEREMONY.

MOST OF THE FLAGS ARE DUE TO MY ACTIVIST.

I AM ASKING YOU.

I KNOW LEGALLY YOU CAN'T CALL MY BUSINESSES AND SAY TAKE DOWN THE FLAG.

LEGALLY YOU CAN'T, BUT YOU CAN WRITE A LETTER ON LETTER HEAD AND YOU CAN SUGGEST THAT THEY TRY AND MAINTAIN THE FLAGS BETTER.

THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY.  
THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

>> RORY: THANK YOU.

>> RORY: ANYONE ELSE HERE TO SPEAKING TO US.  
COMMISSIONER GIUNCHIGLIANI.

>> CHRIS: IF FOLLOWING UP.  
HOMER I HAVEN'T SEEN YOU GOOD TO SEE YOU.  
COULD WINDOW LOOK AT RENEWAL OF THE BUSINESS LICENSE IF THEY CHOOSE TO FLY THE  
FLAG THAT THERE IS A CERTAIN STANDARD THAT HAS TO BE MAINTAINED.

>> RORY: IF STAFF WOULD REPORT BACK TO THE COMMISSIONER ON THAT, I WOULD  
APPRECIATE IT.

>> CHRIS: SECONDLY FOR ANYONE WATCHING.  
ACTUALLY, THIS CAME ABOUT BECAUSE TOM COLLINS WANTED ME TO PREP FOR THE RODEO.  
ACTUALLY COMMISSIONER BRAGER WE WERE GOING TO DO SOMETHING WITH JUBILEE AND  
FIGHTING OVER A BOA.  
-- IT WAS SO BORING IT WAS LIKE OH, MY GOSH.  
IN CASE ANYONE TUNES IN.

>> RORY: THE CAMERA WANTS TO ZOOM IN.

>> CHRIS: IT IS A GREAT COLOR.  
I TRIED TO MATCH IT TO MY OUTFIT.  
THANK YOU.

>> RORY: THIS MEETING IN RECESS UNTIL TOMORROW AT 9:00 A.M.  
FOR A ZONING HEARING.  
THANK YOU ALL.